

University of Warwick institutional repository: http://go.warwick.ac.uk/wrap

A Thesis Submitted for the Degree of PhD at the University of Warwick

http://go.warwick.ac.uk/wrap/67285

This thesis is made available online and is protected by original copyright.

Please scroll down to view the document itself.

Please refer to the repository record for this item for information to help you to cite it. Our policy information is available from the repository home page.

MOBILE PAYMENTS SYSTEMS IN KENYA: A NEW ERA OR FALSE DAWN?

AN EXAMINATION OF THE LEGAL AND REGULATORY ISSUES ARISING 'POST' FINANCIAL INCLUSION.

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

JOY NABWIRE MALALA

A Thesis Submitted In Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Award of

The Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Law

University of Warwick, School of Law

DECLARATION

I, the undersigned, hereby confirm that this thesis is my own original work and does not include any material already submitted for another degree at the University of Warwick. It also does not include any unreferenced material published prior to the enrolment at the University of Warwick. I also confirm that the thesis has not been submitted for a degree at another university.

During the enrolment period, one paper was published, an earlier draft of Chapter 3 and 4 by the Kenya Bankers Association in 2013, titled:

'Consumer Protection for Mobile Payments In Kenya: An Examination of the Fragmented Legislation and the Complexities It Presents for Mobile Payments' Kenya Bankers Association, Centre for Research on Financial Markets and Policy Working Paper Series.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My gratitude goes to God, my pillar and strength throughout this journey, without whom I would not have stayed the course. I would also like to acknowledge my family, my loving parents Florence and Rebman, whose constant love and support has been unwavering, unfaltering and unconditional. Their moral, emotional and financial support, constant commitment and dedication to the realisation of my dreams has been both uplifting and humbling. I would also like to thank my siblings especially my sister Melisa and my brothers, Steve, Tony and Joseph in many ways, this achievement is as much theirs as it is mine.

This work could not have been completed without the support of my supervisor Professor Dalvinder Singh. In addition to his incisive and direct academic criticism of my arguments and writing, he was a pillar of knowledge and basic common sense when it came to the completion of this thesis. The many meetings when I had felt less than adequate and lacked the self-belief and confidence in my work, he never failed to reassure me of my capabilities.

My journey through Warwick undeniably bears the positive impact of various individuals who, in their different ways, have their indelible influences woven into the fabric of this academic achievement. I would especially like to thank Helen Riley, the Academic Support Librarian, who would respond to every single query I had about the library's resources with the fervour and dedication that is insurmountable, every book, every article that I requested would be obtained for me with swiftness and accuracy and for that I shall be eternally grateful. Dr. George Meszaros and Janice Dean (members of my upgrade panel in 2011), and my Residential Life Team Warden, Tracey Grant for her support throughout my stay at Warwick.

Finally there is no way I could finish without acknowledging my doctoral colleagues, especially one of my dearest friends, Rumana Islam, who has been my righteous soul sister, helper, confidant, consigliore and all round mentor, this journey would not be the same without her by my side, literally and figuratively. The greater PhD community in Warwick, particularly Bayan Al Shabaani, Raza Saeed, Pedro Florencio and Ahamad Alkhamees. I would also like to acknowledge Marion and Francois Lenfant-Tavin, my surrogate family in France, for their long distance support and encouragement and Sylvie Nyamunga and her family, for being my constant prayer warriors, always supporting me and reminding me that, the end was in sight and that it would all be worth it. Finally all the people who have assisted me in ways that I know and ways that I would never even know.

ABSTRACT

This study, for the first time, brings together the detached understanding that facilitates coherent analysis of the emerging legal and regulatory issues that mobile payments introduce after financial inclusion in Kenya. To pursue these arguments firstly the thesis finds that the law on payments systems is incomplete as it does not address the specific issues necessary for an effective payments systems. It also puts forward the argument that the current regulatory regime is weak and largely unfelt due to its nascent state of development. Furthermore the current legal instruments are dispersed, and not uniformly applied among and across all payments systems and instruments as there exists an ambiguity in the legal definition of 'mobile money' and 'deposits' that present legal challenges in the enforcement of new regulations. Secondly, the cross-roads between the telecommunications industry and the financial industry that introduces non-banks into the retail payments market, presents a challenge in its effective regulation. As policy objectives are blurred when firms which are traditionally separated have to find appropriate regulatory frameworks in convergence. Thirdly this thesis examines the approach taken by Kenya in regulating mobile payments juxtaposing the realities that combine to blunt the impact of innovation and access to finance. This thesis serves as a foundational discussion on the regulatory capacity for the adoption and development of mobile payments within a regulatory vacuum and proposes that an appropriate regulatory framework is needed to addresses all these issues.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
CHAPTER 1	1
SETTING OUT THE PROBLEM AND SCOPE OF THE STUDY	1
Introduction	1
1.1. Background	1
1.2. Problem Statement	3
1.3. Purpose	5
1.4. Research Questions	8
1.4.1. Main Questions	8
1.4.2. Secondary Questions	9
1.5. Originality and Contribution to Knowledge	12
1.6. Literature Review	13
1.7. Outline of the Thesis	17
CHAPTER 2:	22
INTRODUCTION TO THE MOBILE PAYMENTS SYSTEM IN KENY.	
BACKGROUND AND HISTORICAL CONTEXT	
2.1. Introduction	
2.2. The Development of a Payments System in Kenya	
2.2.1. Payments System Definition	
2.2.2. The Modernisation of the Payments System Prior To Mobile Payments	
2.3. Introduction of Mobile Payments in Kenya	36
2.3.1. Definition of Mobile Payments	36
2.3.2. Introduction of M-Pesa to Kenya	37
2.3.4. The System Design of M-Pesa	
2.4. The Enabling Environment for Mobile Payments	47
2.4.1. Infrastructure and the Quality of the Pre-Existing Financial Services	
2.4.2. Mobile Phone Rate Penetration	52
2.4.3. Market Share and Dominance of Safaricom	54
2.4.4. The Regulatory Environment	
2.4.5. Urbanisation	61
2.4.6. Other Country Specific Factors	65

2.5. Success of Mobile Payments: Financial Inclusion	67
2.5.1. Definition of Financial Inclusion	68
2.5.2. Mobile Payments as an Instrument for Financial Inclusion	70
2.6. Conclusion	75
CHAPTER 3	77
FINANCIAL STABILITY AND INTEGRITY AFTER FINANCIAL INCL THROUGH MOBILE PAYMENTS	
3.1. Introduction	77
3.2. Kenya's Payment System Structure: An Overview	86
3.3. The Need for an Appropriate Regulatory Approach through the Examinate Pre-Conditions to a Safe And Sound Payment System	nation of
3.3.1. Relevance to Stability and Development: Financial Inclusion, Stabil- Integrity A Nexus	ity and
3.4.1. Taxonomy of Risks	100
3.4.1.1. Systemic Risk	100
3.4.1.2. Integrity Risk	103
3.4.1.3. Liquidity Risk	104
3.4.1.4. Operational Risk	106
3.4.1.5. Reputational Risk	114
3.4.2 Summary of Risks	115
3.5. Unique Risks In Mobile Payment Systems: A Multi-layered Analysis	116
3.5.1. Risks at the Mobile Network Operator Level	118
3.5.2. Risk Exposure at the Bank Level	123
3.5.3. Risks at the Agent Level	126
3.5.4. Risks at the Consumer Level	135
3.6. Conclusion	141
CHAPTER 4	145
THE LEGAL AND REGULATORY CHALLENGES IN THE CURREN REGULATORY FRAMEWORK	
4.1. Introduction	145
PART I	150
4.2. An Examination of the Current Regulatory Framework for Mobile Pa	
Systems	•
4.2.1. The Regulatory Authorities	153
4.2.1.1. The Central Bank of Kenya	153
4.3. Functions, Roles and Powers of the Central Bank of Kenya in Regula Mobile Payments	iting

in Mobile Payments	-
4.5. An Examination of the Legal and Regulatory Challenges in the Current Regulatory Framework	170
4.5.1. The Banking Act (CAP 488)	171
4.5.1.1. Challenges in the Application of the Current Regulatory Framework Mobile Payments Systems	
4.5.2. The Definition of 'Deposits'	
4.5.3.1. Challenges in Its Application to the Current Regulatory Framework of Mobile Payments	of
4.5.4. The Definition and Placement of Agents	189
4.5.4.1. Challenges in Applying the Current Regulatory Framework to Mobile Payments	
PART II	196
4.6. Overall Regulatory Challenges for the Regulatory Authorities in Regulation Mobile Payments	0
4.6.1. The Inconsistencies in Regulation That Result From Multiple Regulato Authorities	,
4.6.2. Regulatory Overlaps	198
4.6.3. Regulatory Arbitrage	199
4.6.4. Regulatory Inertia	201
4.6.5. The Political Interference With The Collective Will To Regulate	201
PART III	202
4.7. THE IMPACT OF THE REGULATORY CHALLENGES ON CONSUMERS	202
4.7.1. Lack of a Cohesive Consumer Protection Regulation	209
4.7.2. Protection of Consumer Funds	213
4.7.3. Lack of Public and Private Mechanisms for Legal Remedies for Consum	
4.8. Conclusion	221
CHAPTER 5	227
THEMES AROUND THE APPROPRIATE REGULATORY FRAMEWORK MOBILE PAYMENTS SYSTEMS	
5.1. Introduction	227
5.2. The Considerations in Prescribing the Appropriate Financial Services Regular for Mobile Payments	
5.2.1. Proportionality	232
5.2.2. Transparency	234

5.2.3. Accountability	238
5.2.4. Consistency	243
5.2.5. Balancing the Objectives of the State	244
5.2.6. The Design of the Non-Bank Payment Provider	245
5.3. The CBK's Approach in Regulating Mobile Payments	248
5.4. Themes Round the Regulation of Mobile Payments	250
5.4.1. Risk-Based Approach to the Regulation of Mobile Payments	251
5.4.2. Self-Regulation and Enforced Regulation	256
5.4.3. Command and Control	260
5.4.4. Incentive-Based Regimes	260
5.4.5. Market Discipline and Direct Action	262
5.4.6. Rights and Liabilities	264
5.4.7. Procedural Dimension of Regulation	264
5.4.8. Competition Laws	265
5.5. Enforcement of the Appropriate Mobile Payments Regulation	268
5.5.1. Harmonization between the CBK and the CCK	269
5.5.2. Justification for Regulatory Harmonisation between the CBK and	
5.6. Conclusion	278
CHAPTER 6	284
CONCLUSION	284
6.1. Introduction	284
6.2. Chapter Summaries	285
6.3. Future Research	292
6.4. Conclusion	296
BIBLIOGRAPHY	298

CHAPTER 1

SETTING OUT THE PROBLEM AND SCOPE OF THE STUDY.

Introduction

'A country's payment system is what makes it real and financial markets work ... when commodities are exchanged for cash, cheque, giro, credit card, or debit card payments rather than other commodities, trade expands as transaction costs fall and production specialisation increases.'

1.1. Background

In 2008, Kenya enjoyed stable growth within its banking sector and it appeared to have avoided most of the ripple effects from the Global Financial Crisis.² However, despite the resilience of the leading local retail banks in the preceding years, only 19 per cent of Kenya's 40 million people had bank accounts in 2009.³ As is the case in many developing economies, banking is still widely considered to be the exclusive preserve of the rich who can afford the regular and costly fees charged by banks whose branches were, and continue to be, few and far between.⁴ Until recently, there had been very little incentive for banks to serve the 'unbanked' and 'under banked'⁵ members of society, principally, due to the significant costs entailed in establishing a network of regional branches and the minimal margins associated with providing banking services to the

¹ David B Humphrey, Lawrence B Pulley and Jukka M Vesala, 'Cash, Paper, and Electronic Payments: a Cross-country Analysis' (1996) 28(4) Journal of Money, Credit and Banking 914.

² The Global Financial Crisis in 2007/2008 as defined in John Raymond LaBrosse, Rodrigo Olivares-Caminal and Dalvinder Singh, *Financial Crisis Containment and Government Guarantees* (Edward Elgar 2013).

³ Mark Pickens, David Porteous and Sarah Rotman, 'Banking the Poor via G2P Payments' (2009) 58 Focus Note.

⁴ Growth Commission, Post-Crisis Growth in Developing Countries: A Special Report of the Commission on Growth and Development on the Implications of the 2008 Financial Crisis (Washington DC: The World Bank (2010).

⁵ The terms 'unbanked' and 'under banked' will be used in this paper to refer to persons who do not have a bank account or who rely on alternative financial services. See, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), 'Tapping the Unbanked Market' (Symposium) http://www.fdic.gov/news/conferences/TUM_bio.html accessed 3 September 2013.

'poor'. Consequently, the adoption of mobile financial services, and in particular mobile payments, has become an effective step in the evolution of payment schemes. This has enabled the gap created by the lack of a broad financial infrastructure in Kenya to be narrowed.

As a result, mobile payment systems have become commonplace in Kenya over the last 7 years⁹ through the introduction of M-Pesa¹⁰ by the leading Mobile Network Operator (MNO) Safaricom Ltd.¹¹ M-Pesa, a new financial services model, has transformed the banking and financial services industry in Kenya. It has done so by giving the unbanked majority access to the financial services market, and in the process it has attracted over 18 million subscribers.¹² This is remarkable given that fewer than 4 million people in Kenya have bank accounts. It has succeeded in transferring people from the cash economy to modern book entry systems. The annual deposit value stands at KSh. 93,273,000,000 billion (\$932,730,000); withdrawals at KSh. 79,917,000,000 billion; transfers at KSh. 84,882,000,000 billion, including KSh. 25,610,000,000 billion, from

⁶ Ignacio Mas and Daniel Radcliffe, 'Mobile Payments go Viral: M-PESA in Kenya' (2011) 32 Journal of Financial Transformation 169; See Alliance for Financial Inclusion, 'Enabling Mobile Money Transfer' (*Youtube*, 24 May 2012) 'Enabling Mobile Money Transfer' https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LFXAX42WA6Q accessed 3 September 2014.

⁷ Olga Morawczynski, 'Examining the Adoption, Usage and Outcomes of Mobile Money Services: the Case of M-PESA in Kenya' (PhD Thesis, University of Edinburgh 2011). This uptake will be explored in Chapter 2 when the thesis examines the enabling environment for mobile payments.

⁸ Stamatis Karnouskos and Fraunhofer Fokus, 'Mobile Payment: A Journey through Existing Procedures and Standardization Initiatives' (2004) 6(4) IEEE Communications Surveys 24. 'Financial Infrastructures' is the set of institutions that enable effective operation of financial intermediaries. This includes such elements as payment systems, credit information bureaus and collateral registries. More broadly, financial infrastructure encompasses the existing legal and regulatory framework for financial sector operations.

⁹ This period is from 2008–2014.

¹⁰ M-Pesa (M for mobile, *Pesa* is Swahili for money) is a mobile phone-based money transfer and micro financing service for Safaricom and Vodacom, the largest mobile network operators in Kenya and, currently, the most developed mobile payment system in the world. M-Pesa allows users with a national ID card or passport to deposit, withdraw and transfer money easily with a mobile device. See Safaricom, http://www.safaricom.co.ke/?id=257 accessed 3 September 2014.

¹¹ Safaricom Ltd is a leading mobile network operator in Kenya. It was formed in 1997 as a fully owned subsidiary of Telkom Kenya. In May 2000, Vodafone Group Plc of the United Kingdom acquired a 40 per cent stake and management responsibility for the company.

¹² Safaricom, 'MPESA Timeline' < http://www.safaricom.co.ke/mpesa_timeline/timeline.html#> accessed 3 September 2014.

airtime values of KSh. 3,551,000,000 billion.¹³ Therefore, its significance to the Kenyan economy cannot be underestimated.

Simple payment and settlement functions have been made even more convenient and accessible by this technological innovation in the payments system. Mobile payments have to a large extent achieved the policy objectives of financial access and inclusion which have been part of the overall landscape of financial services and delivery in developing countries such as Kenya. Therefore, in order to understand the importance of this new financial service, this thesis aims to address some of the legal regulatory issues that arise out of this innovation as it pertains to Kenya, *post*-financial inclusion. Financial inclusion or inclusive financing is the delivery of financial services at affordable costs to disadvantaged and low-income segments of society. This is in contrast to financial exclusion where those services are not available or affordable. The term 'post-financial inclusion' in this thesis will be used to describe the subsequent phase when financial inclusion has been achieved, a period through which a large portion of the population has access to finance and financial services and the rate of inclusion as begun to plateau.

1.2. Problem Statement

Innovation and technology present an intersection between growth and development, which is also an intermediary between the innovators on the one hand and those that use the innovations on the other. Innovation has also been seen as both transformative and catalytic for the way that a country's most productive economic sectors develop. Innovation in this context will refer to both innovation in technology and in the financial products and services introduced. It should be clear that the concept of 'innovation' encompasses not only 'technological innovation', i.e., the diffusion of new

-

¹³ Ibid.

products and services of a technological nature into the economy. However, equally it includes non-technological forms of innovation, such as 'organisation' innovations. It may be used interchangeably with the term 'technology' although clarification will be given as and when needed and in context.

This is particularly true in a developing country such as Kenya, a sub-Saharan African country whose private sector is still under-capitalised and under-developed.¹⁴ Innovation is needed and should be encouraged as the private sector is the main driver of economic growth,¹⁵ a position adopted in development literature¹⁶ as well as in Kenya's ambitious economic development plans which seek to transform it into a middle-income economy by the year 2030.¹⁷

Furthermore, this thesis addresses the interaction between regulation and technological innovation from Kenya's perspective, with a particular focus on the regulatory tools, institutional arrangements and government decisional processes through the examination as a whole of its regulatory capacity. This is done with a view to understanding the regulatory capacity of Kenya in addressing the vulnerabilities presented by technological innovation in the financial industry for consumers after financial inclusion. It also examines the way that mobile payments have been regulated by criticising the piecemeal approach that the Central Bank of Kenya (CBK) has taken in addressing the legal and regulatory issues presented by mobile payments. This focus is used because many of the major obstacles to technological innovations in developing

1

¹⁴ Richard Kitchen, 'Venture Capital: Is it Appropriate for Developing Countries?' (1992) Contributions in Economics and Economic History 15. Klaus Fischer and George Papaioannou (eds), Hofstra University.

¹⁵ Yochanan Shachmurove, 'An Introduction to the Special Issues on Financial Markets of the Middle East' (2004) 9(3) International Journal of Business 213.

¹⁶ Department for International Development (DfID), 'The Engine of Development: The Private Sector and Prosperity for Poor People' (DfID, 2011) http://www.dfid.gov.uk/Documents/publications1/Private-sector-approach-paper-May2011.pdf accessed 3 September 2014. See also, Asian Development Bank, 'Overview' (ADB, 2011) http://www.adb.org/themes/private-sector-development/overview accessed 3 September 2013.

¹⁷ Government of Kenya, 'Vision 2030' < http://www.vision2030.go.ke/index.php/vision> accessed 3 September 2014.

countries are related to the institutional and regulatory environment. ¹⁸ Such obstacles are not fundamentally different from those experienced in the developed countries, however they are exacerbated in developing countries and are more challenging to address, particularly because of the absence of a coherent regulatory system. ¹⁹

1.3. Purpose

An effective payment system in which transferability of claims is effected in full and on time is a prerequisite for any economy. Similarly, disruptions in the payment system result in disruptions in aggregate economic activity.²⁰ In general, payment systems can preserve or undermine the public trust and confidence in financial systems and thus are under close public policy scrutiny with a view to safeguarding financial stability and ensuring financial integrity.

Therefore, the purpose of this study is also to discuss the legal and regulatory issues that underpin mobile payments in Kenya by postulating that the protection of consumers and the stability of the financial system should be the main justification for government intervention by way of regulation. This is in response to the rapid adoption, speculation and optimism regarding the effects of mobile payments on economic development in Africa, where policy-makers have rightly touted the poverty eradicating potential of mobile payments due to their financial inclusivity.²¹

Since there is currently an increased focus on payments systems issues which reflect the political changes and economic development occurring around the world, this

¹⁸ 'Institutional environment' will be used here to mean the infrastructure in Kenya.

¹⁹ Jean Eric—Aurbert, 'Promoting Innovation in Developing Countries: A Conceptual Framework 2005' http://info.worldbank.org/etools/docs/library/137729/0-3097AubertPaper%5B1%5D.pdf. World Bank Publications. Accessed 29th September 2013.

²⁰ George J Benston and George G Kaufman, 'Is the Banking and Payments System Fragile?' (1995) 9 Journal of Financial Services Research 209.

²¹ Sara Corbett, 'Can the Cell Phone Help End Global Poverty?' New York Times (New York, 13 April 2008).

Despite the existence of other payments systems such as electronic payments,²³ there had not been any other advances in Kenya's payments system as it had largely remained a cash dominant society and any other forms of payments systems had primarily been cheques, electronic funds transfer, ATM cards, debit and credit cards until the introduction of mobile payments.²⁴

A number of unique and country specific factors have contributed to the success and acceptance of mobile payments and these will be discussed in Chapter 2.²⁵ Among these are the wide availability and heavy use of mobile phones²⁶ because the telecommunications regulatory environment enabled faster adoption of mobile phone usage. In 1997, over 75 per cent of countries in sub-Saharan Africa had no mobile phone network. By 2009, a mobile phone network existed in every country, with 49 per cent of markets fully liberalised, 25 per cent partially deregulated and 26 per cent as monopolies.²⁷ The most common reason given for this increase is the technology in the market and the willingness of consumers to embrace new payment systems via mobile devices. In Kenya, many people are completely unbanked and so have no access to traditional bank accounts and financial services because they lack a sufficient income to qualify for such services.²⁸

-

²² The Payments System Regulator (PSR) under the Financial Conduct Authority UK was recently created on 1 of April 2014 with a view to addressing the promotion of effective **competition** in the markets for payment systems, promoting innovation, and ensuring that they are developed with the interests of its users in mind.

²³ Joyce Wangui Gikandi and Chris Bloor, 'Adoption and Effectiveness of Electronic Banking in Kenya' (2010) 9(4) Electronic Commerce Research and Applications 277.

²⁴ Kevin P Donovan, 'Mobile Money, More Freedom? The Impact of M-PESA's Network Power on Development as Freedom' (2012) 6 International Journal of Communication 2647. Other means of payment, though somewhat narrower in scope, include POS, microfinance institutions and SACCOs.

²⁵ See Chapter 2 Section.2.4. The Enabling Environment for Mobile Payments pg 47.

²⁶ Olga Morawczynski, 'Exploring the Usage and Impact of "transformational" Mobile Financial Services: the Case of M-PESA in Kenya' (2009) 3(3) Journal of Eastern African Studies 509.

²⁷ Jenny Aker and Isaac Mbiti, *Mobile Phones and Economic Development in Africa* (Center for Global Development Working Paper 211, 2010).

²⁸ AJM Shafiul and Alam Bhuiyan, 'Peripheral View: Conceptualizing the Information Society as a Postcolonial Subject' (2008) 70(2) International Communication Gazette 99.

Establishing an efficient payment system is essential for the efficient functioning of competitive money and capital markets as well as monetary control. These are the prerequisites for the creation of a well-developed market-based financial system. Hence, the stability and efficient working of the banking system is tied to the integrity and the resilience of the payments system and disruptions in the payments system result in disruptions in aggregate economic activity. Additionally, instability that manifests itself in the payments system can be seen to be more alarming than instability in deposits. This fear is manifest in the larger volume of daily payments within the mobile financial services and the speedy movement of the funds as well as the unfamiliarity with the clearing process. Therefore, the payments system is one of the first places where financial stress is manifested, through the Herstatt Risk, as firms in financial difficulty fail to meet their payment obligations. Moreover, there are very few theoretical and even fewer empirical studies which look at the fragility and risks of mobile payments systems, much less the payments systems as a whole in developing countries, especially in those countries that are trying to restructure their banking and monetary systems.

The theoretical framework that underpins this thesis is that, since innovation in financial services always outpaces regulation, ³⁴ developing countries that adopt innovative

²⁹ Hans J Blommestein and Michael George Spencer, *The Role of Financial Institutions in the Transition to a Market Economy* (International Monetary Fund 1993)

³⁰ International Competition Network (Antitrust Enforcement in Regulated Sectors Subgroup 1), 'An increasing role for competition in the regulation of banks' (June 2005) < http://www.internationalcompetitionnetwork.org/uploads/library/doc382.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

³¹ George J Benston and George G Kaufman, 'Is the Banking and Payments System Fragile?' (1995) 9(3–4) Journal of Financial Services Research 209.

³² Herstatt risk, also known as cross-currency settlement risk or foreign exchange risk, is the risk that a party to a trade fails to make payment even though it has been paid by its counterparty. See, George G Kaufman and Kenneth E Scott, 'What is Systemic Risk, and do Bank Regulators Retard or Contribute to it?' (2003) 7(3) Independent Review 371. Similarly, systemic risk has taken centre stage following the GFC and regulators are now re-examining their approach to financial system stability in the context of their interconnectivity See, David Bholat and Joanna Gray, 'Organizational Form as a Source of Systemic Risk' (2013) 7 Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal.

³³ Bruce J Summers, *The Payment System: Design, Management, And Supervision* (International Monetary Fund 1994).

³⁴ It is suggested that complex financial markets innovate more quickly than regulators can adapt as was discussed in Anabtawi & Schwarcz, supra note 5, (manuscript at 40-41) Cf. Kathryn Judge, Fragmentation

products and services should have the regulatory capacity to do so. In order to advance governments' financial inclusion agendas, regulators should have the capacity to regulate these innovative products and services in a way that protects those who might otherwise be left vulnerable in times of financial crises. This regulatory capacity³⁵ should be commensurate with their economic development, regulatory development and their regulatory objectives as a whole. This thesis asserts that regulatory authorities in Kenya should be equipped to provide appropriate and adequate regulation of technological innovation that takes into account the best approach that would justify and balance regulatory or state intervention. Further, this thesis will aim to discuss this adequate and appropriate approach by focusing on a risk-based and prudential approach to regulation. Such an approach focuses on the stability and integrity of the payments system and the financial system as a whole, and the conduct of the business approach to regulation which encompasses consumer protection issues and accountability of the regulators in their mandate of regulating mobile payments.

1.4. Research Questions

1.4.1. Main Questions

The general reflections in the preceding part suggest the existence of a dependence between the state of a country's economic development and the effectiveness of its payments system. Mobile payments systems have offered a viable, partial solution to a nation's ability to provide financial access to drive economic growth. A fundamental policy question is, therefore, how can a country then protect those who were previously

-

Nodes: A Study in Financial Innovation, Complexity and Systemic Risk 82 (14 December 2010) (unpublished manuscript) (on file with author) (explaining why market observers and regulators failed to observe the 'most pernicious forms of complexity' leading to the recent financial collapse). Additionally due to the emergence of various payments systems and options that are enabled through advanced technology such as RFID, NFC, Bluetooth.

³⁵ Regulatory capacity is defined as the combination of individual competence, organisational capabilities, assets and relationships that enable a political entity to formulate, monitor and enforce regulation. For further discussion on regulatory capacity see Chapter 5, The Appropriate Regulatory Approach for Mobile Payments Systems.

excluded against the risks that new technology poses, or the previously unbanked through adequate and appropriate regulation³⁶ that does not stifle innovation? In essence, what legal and regulatory considerations must Kenya secure in order to ensure a robust and economically significant payments system that is financially inclusive and that eventually leads to growth and better infrastructure in its financial system? Further, what would those considerations mean for a country's law and institutional development process? All these reflect the aim of this study which highlights the weak implementation of previous regulatory frameworks for payments and the lack of enforcement action which have raised deficiencies in the regulatory capacity.

1.4.2. Secondary Questions

In order to fully explore the main research question outlined above, the following secondary questions are pertinent.

1. Why is a study of mobile payments important to Kenya?

The success of mobile payments in Kenya has offered an opportunity for discourse amongst developing countries in their efforts to modernise their payments systems. Kenya's economic policies have not always matched the policy recognition of the importance of financial inclusion, through innovative payment systems, to economic growth with commensurate public policy measures that translate such recognition into market practice. Development research illustrates that the historical mismatch between academic and policy recognition of that role is increasingly being bridged, as governments across the world pay closer attention to the determinants of financial inclusion. Further, Kenyan public policy since 2003, through the Vision 2030, has been to increase and

9

 $^{^{36}}$ The adequate and appropriate regulatory approach to mobile payments will be extensively discussed in Chapter 5.

emphasise the instrumental role of Kenya's private sector in driving sustainable economic development, employment-led growth and wealth creation.³⁷

These important documents are: the Economic Recovery Strategy (ERS) of 2003–2007, Vision 2030 of 2007 (Vision 2030),³⁸ the Private Sector Development Strategy (PSDS) 2006–2012,³⁹ and the Master Plan Study for Kenya's Industrial Development (MAPSKID) 2007.⁴⁰ This recognition mirrors global practice which has been reinforced by recent and ongoing governmental reactions to the 'Great Recession' or the banking crisis of 2007–2008.⁴¹ This saw governments around the world directly channelling huge amounts of public funds into private businesses to stem a drawn-out recession.⁴² While laudable, the persisting fragmentation of the current regulatory regime exposes fundamental deficiencies in the institutional arrangements for regulating payments systems. Therefore, this thesis attempts to draw out the correlation between having an efficient regulatory framework that safeguards these governmental goals and one that enables financial inclusion.

2. Are laws and legal institutions really relevant to the growth of mobile payments in a developing country like Kenya? If so, what are the key emerging legal and regulatory issues?

²¹

³⁷ See Government of Kenya Budget Statement for Fiscal Year 2011–2012 focusing on tax and business regulatory reforms: Government of Kenya, 'Budget Statement for Fiscal Year 2011-2012' (Uhuru Muigai Kenyatta, Minister for Finance & Deputy Prime Minister, 8 June 2011) paras 10, 19, 22, 35 http://statehousekenya.go.ke/publications/07-06-2011-12-BudgetSpeech-Distribution%20Final%20_MF.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

³⁸ Government of Kenya (n 18).

³⁹ Government of Kenya, 'The State of Kenya's Private Sector' (African Development Bank Group, 29 October 2013) < http://www.afdb.org/en/documents/document/the-state-of-kenyas-private-sector-34093/ accessed 3 September 2014.

Republic of Kenya, Ministry of Industrialisation (2011), http://www.industrialization.go.ke/index.php/downloads accessed 3 September 2014.

⁴¹ The Global Financial Crises, between the periods of 2007–2008 (n 2).

 ⁴² Masaaki Shirakawa, "The International Policy Response to Financial Crises' (Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City Symposium, 2009)
 http://www.kansascityfed.org/publicat/sympos/2009/papers/Shirakawa.08.24.09.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

This thesis argues that in a developing country context, legal and regulatory issues are likely to be more influential than macro-economic factors in nurturing fortuitous environments for a prudential mobile payments system. In adopting this proposition, this thesis examines the regulatory framework that currently deals with mobile payments and whether this environment is equipped to regulate mobile payments. Therefore, the presence rather than the absence of law should be viewed here as an adequate 'enabler', hence the question of what can Kenya do to enable an adequate and appropriate regulatory framework that supports mobile payments is apposite. As discussed in detail in chapter 2,⁴³ Kenya has a nascent payments system industry and this suggests that it is still a new form of financial innovation.⁴⁴

3. What are the risks created by mobile payments to the financial system in Kenya and, consequently, what are the specific risks to consumers as a result of a lack of an adequate regulatory framework?

This second part of the question seeks to explore whether mobile payments have now become systemically important payment systems that need specific regulatory oversight. This thesis will argue that there should be a regulatory framework that considers the consumer protection mandate specific to mobile payments due to the systemic importance of MNOs in the payments system in Kenya. Additionally, it needs to be considered whether this specific oversight should be anchored in the law. It will also examine the extent to which the legal and regulatory issues raised by mobile payments are effectively resolved within the current regulatory framework.

⁴³ Chapter 2 will discuss the proliferation of mobile payments system with an analysis of its success, brought about by an enabling environment.

⁴⁴ A financial innovation here is defined as running a new process that reduces costs, reduces risks, or provides an improved product/service/instrument that better satisfies financial system participants' demands. In this case the cost to reaching out to unbanked markets is reduced through mobile payments.

4. What reforms should be implemented in the current regulatory framework to adequately oversee mobile payments?

A discernible theme that arises from the literature as far as developing countries and payments systems are concerned, is the notion that socio-economic environments, with particular emphasis on legal and regulatory conditions, are especially crucial to financial inclusion. This line of thinking argues that the drivers of economic and financial growth, including employment-led growth, are determined by the relative sophistication of regulatory and legal systems that seek to provide both a conducive, enabling environment and one that protects consumers. This line of inquiry will be used in presenting an appropriate regulatory framework for mobile payments systems. This study will propose an appropriate approach to regulating mobile payments.

1.5. Originality and Contribution to Knowledge

Originality in this thesis can be found in the discussion chapters: chapters 3 through to 5. This thesis is also original in the sense that it is the first comprehensive study of the regulatory issues surrounding mobile payments in Kenya post-financial inclusion. As a contribution to knowledge, therefore, this thesis extends the frontiers of what we know about the challenges of regulators in adapting new financial services after the initial objectives have been met. It brings together the regulatory and statutory experience of mobile payments in Kenya, and this will allow for an understanding of how the various pieces of regulation and the regulators fit together; where inconsistencies in the law exist; where practice is incongruent with the law; where the law is vague or silent; and how mobile payments stakeholders have related to the laws and institutions supporting their businesses. As such, this study serves as a baseline for future academic endeavour and offers future studies a coherent basis upon which to extrapolate.

1.6. Literature Review

The literature review in this study is based on a wide examination of primary sources, regulation and case studies of the law in action; as well as secondary sources, scholarly literature and policy documents. It includes a preliminary bibliography for both primary and secondary sources. It is important to note that, although the examination of the regulation of mobile payments is still growing, there has not yet been a thorough review of existing work.⁴⁵ Moreover, research so far seems fragmented and lacks a roadmap or an agenda that discusses post-financial inclusion issues. The pertinent issues that were noted in the literature review for mobile payments are that the literature tends to classify mobile financial services into three main categories: mobile banking, mobile transfers and mobile payments. However, in this thesis 'mobile payments' will be used as an all-encompassing term for these three categories. Much of the literature on mobile payments is still in development; the literature has focused on 'mobile payments' in the developing world. This thesis has adopted the classical tools of legal inquiry. However, even this approach does not yield adequate results when the law is linked to development. Thus, from a methodological framework, the central line of inquiry will employ the following three alternative questions:

- i. Do laws cause strong mobile financial services to develop?
- ii. Does the development of mobile financial services serve as a catalyst for the emergence of strong payments systems law?
- iii. Would a developing economy that does not boast either strong laws or strong financial markets create both?

⁴⁵ Jane Webster and Richard T Watson, 'Analyzing the Past to Prepare for the Future: Writing a Literature Review' (2002) 26(2) MIS Quarterly xiii–xxiii.

A practical evaluation may be useful when conducting value judgements using this methodology. A nascent financial market, it could be hypothesised, would demand supporting legal structures and, as these structures are operationalised, the markets expand and mature. With maturing markets and an enlightened set of market participants, the demand for even better law is made. This cyclical process between strengthened law and strengthened financial markets appears to be a continuous one. 46 This interpretation sits particularly well with the theoretical stance in this thesis: that the law and legal institutions are likely to play stronger roles vis-à-vis macroeconomic factors in the emergence of mobile payments systems in a developing or emerging market context which other developing and emerging states can adopt.

In addition, there is an important theoretical gap related to the central legal themes of mobile payments. An expansive portion of them focuses on issues such as adoption or market analysis, neglecting other relevant themes such as regulation and effective socio-economic impacts. Thus, it is clear that there is a gap because although there are emerging mobile payments systems worldwide, academic research is still in its infancy. However, there are limitations in the existing literature, both in discussing mobile payments in general and discussing their regulatory issues in Kenya specifically. Much of the available literature examined has quite rightly only discussed payments systems and electronic payments generally. The paucity of the existing literature on mobile payments omits any comparative and legal analysis; it is not particularly domestic-focused and has mostly been prepared in isolation by the various institutional stakeholders involved. This

⁴⁶ Mark J Roe, 'Legal Origins, Politics, and Modern Stock Markets' (2006) 120 Harvard Law Review 460.

⁴⁷ Most of the literature produced on mobile payments has been by donor agencies, such as the World Bank, the CGAP and the DfID.

mobile payments as the focus has tended to be on the system's successes and how it has brought the financially excluded into the financial realm.

The emerging dominance of the mobile payments system in Kenya and internationally requires an extended contemporary approach to the discussion and evaluation of regulatory issues and options as part of the quest for a more effective regulatory system. Therefore, there has been some disagreement over how a regulatory atmosphere should be approached which would enable mobile payments to attain their full potential.⁴⁸ This is a gap in the current research which this thesis aims to address. In doing so, this thesis contributes with a critical analysis of consumer protection in mobile payments and a proposal for an adequate approach to regulation. This thesis has the potential to benefit stakeholders, policy-makers and research organisations that have a need for such legal analysis.

However, it is clear that, while the legal and regulatory structures are also important, they are not investigated enough. In addition, this thesis will expand to take into account the complicated institutional and policy environment within which major payments system decisions take place. These will constitute the analytical framework used by this study in making a case for better financial consumer protection legislation. This vacuum in the literature helps to explain why a service with such a large potential has not been disseminated worldwide. The reasons for these failures include the fact that successful cases are not clearly understood and as a result are not being replicated in other developing countries In terms of particular sources, major works by authors have

-

⁴⁸ Simone Di Castri, 'Mobile Money: Enabling Regulatory Solutions' (GSMA, Mobile Money for the Unbanked) 8.

⁴⁹ Meiling Pope and others, 'Mobile Payments: The Reality on the Ground in Selected Asian Countries and the United States' (2011) 6(2) International Journal of Mobile Marketing 88.

⁵⁰ Eduardo Henrique Diniz, Joao Porto de Albuquerque and Adrian Kemmer Cernev, 'Mobile Money And Payment: A Literature Review Based On Academic And Practitioner-Oriented Publications (2001-2011)' 3rd December 2011.

been taken into consideration, such as the work by Julia Black, John Braithwaite, Anthony Ogus, Michelle Yeung and Bronwen Morgan.⁵¹ This study references a number of journal articles written by specialists in mobile payments markets, such as Olga, Morawczynski⁵² discussion on the adoption, the convergence of financial services and telecommunications from the Consultative Group to Assist the Poor.⁵³

Changes in the legal and regulatory environment deal with evolving jurisdiction, regulations and other norms with requirements to comply. Mobile payments research on these issues should examine the impact of regulations on the development and success of mobile payments services markets.⁵⁴ However, current research on legislation regarding mobile payments provides an informative description of the complexities and problems surrounding these topics, yet it does not provide adequate solutions to solve these issues. However, the importance of the literature cannot be underestimated as it not only leads to a better understanding of the state of the research in the field, but it also discerns patterns in the development of the field itself. This thesis aims to address these issues. Mobile payments research, like the technology itself, is very new and research on its adoption across several countries is currently very limited. By providing a better understanding of some of the macro level factors, such as governmental regulation, multiple regulator coordination, competition policy and multi-stakeholder interests, this thesis offers some insights that can be utilised by stakeholders to advance mobile payments discourse in other developing countries or emerging markets that hope to adopt mobile payments into their payments systems infrastructure.

⁵¹ Tomi Dahlberg, Niina Mallat, Jan Ondrus and Agneiszka Zmijewska, 'Mobile payment market and research – past, present and future' (Presentation at Helsinki Mobility Roundtable, Helsinki, Finland, 1–2 June 2006) http://sprouts.aisnet.org/483/1/Mobile Applications 3 1.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

⁵² Olga Morawczynski, 'Exploring the Usage and Impact of "Transformational" Mobile Financial Services: the Case of M-PESA in Kenya' (2009) 3(3) Journal of Eastern African Studies 509–525.

⁵³ CGAP is an independent policy and research centre dedicated to advancing financial access to the world's poor <<u>www.cgap.org</u>> accessed 3 September 2014.

⁵⁴ Ibid at 54

1.7. Outline of the Thesis

The regulation of mobile payments is an important one, especially for a country like Kenya that is in continual need of more innovative ways to encourage and sustain access to finance while maintaining resilience in the financial system. The tensions between economists and lawyers over the regulatory determinants of mobile payments in the developing world are explored, yielding the proposition that underlies the main research question and which is summed up in the thesis statement. As it is a contested claim, this chapter lays the framework for an evaluation of the reality of the Kenyan mobile payments experience, enabling lessons to be learned that can inform future efforts to strengthen the framework for regulating mobile payments.

This thesis is divided into 6 chapters including this chapter. Chapter 1 introduces the thesis by providing the background and the conceptual framework through which the legal and regulatory challenges of mobile payments can be tackled. It clarifies the contribution of the thesis and discusses the research questions. Having clarified the research problem and contextualised it within a specified legal context for investigation, and having explored various underlying concepts and issues, including an exposition of the varied terminology employed in describing the problem at hand, the rest of this thesis is organised as follows.

Chapter 2 is titled: 'Kenya's Payments System: An Exploratory and Explanatory Analysis', and it offers background information on the development of Kenya's payments system. This chapter will start by setting out the historical context of the payments system in Kenya. It will discuss the efforts of Kenya to modernise its payments system as a useful segue into a discussion on its regulatory capacity. It will then explore the introduction of mobile payments as part of Kenya's payments system framework. This will be done by

discussing the 'telecommunications revolution'55 in Kenya, which provided an environment that allowed the success of mobile payments and has consequently led to financial inclusion. It is hoped that examining the importance of a country's payments system in its economy and financial system will demonstrate the increased focus on mobile payments system issues that reflect both political changes and economic development in Kenya. This chapter also highlights the importance of a stable and resilient 56 payments system as this allowed for the emergence of mobile payments as a preferred payment method in Kenya. It discusses the enabling environment, but more specifically the enabling legal environment for the successful uptake of mobile payments as proof of its ubiquitous success in Kenya. This chapter is a preamble to a discussion on the risks that the payments system poses to consumers.

Chapter 3 titled 'Financial Stability and Integrity Post Financial Inclusion through Mobile Payments' explores the risks inherent in payments systems and then highlights the unique risks introduced by a mobile payments system to a post-financially inclusive Kenya. It will offer this discussion through the assertion that the use of mobile payments has resulted in the increase of public involvement in the formal financial system. Through this involvement, the expansion of savings accounts in the regulated financial intermediaries has converted widely distributed consumer risk, from the use of mobile payments, into a 'concentrated systemic risk', where the value of the 'items' in transit on 'deposit' through trustee accounts is no longer insignificant. These issues will be put forward as those that should be of great concern to regulators in Kenya, who are ultimately responsible for finding a balance between an enabling environment that is conducive to innovation and economic development, and one that addresses consumer protection concerns. The chapter begins with the observation that failure in the payments

-

⁵⁵ This is the period during which telecommunications received great acceptance and uptake in Kenya.

⁵⁶ Joanna Gray, 'Toward a More Resilient Financial System' (2012–2013) 36 Seattle U.l.Rev.799

system can produce a domino effect that is damaging to financial stability and integrity. This not only provides the main justification for regulatory intervention but also raises the question as to whether the central bank can perform better in its mandate to regulate and mitigate market failure as a result of a weak regulatory implementation of its prescribed payments system regulation. This chapter also discusses the legal, operational, security, and liquidity risks created by mobile payments as a justification for the reformation of the current regulatory framework and the implementation of an appropriate regulation.

Following the examination of the risks and regulatory challenges, chapter 4 discusses the current regulatory framework for mobile payments. This chapter will consider how Kenya has dealt with the regulatory challenges that the mobile payments system has presented. It will highlight the fragmented, incomplete, ambiguous and often conflicting regulatory environment that mobile payments currently operate under. This chapter hopes to discuss the gaps in the current regulatory framework in an attempt to explore the regulatory capacity that the CBK has in its mandate. The focus in this chapter is to explore some of the main regulatory challenges that mobile payments present; for instance, the legal definition of 'mobile money' and 'deposit' in the context of mobile payments, and whether pre-existing paper-based common law and contractual principles, such as those between a banker and a customer, have relevance and application to mobile payments. This chapter will also challenge the approach that the CBK has taken in prudentially regulating mobile payments as well as examining the adverse trend prevalent in the monitoring and enforcement capabilities established by the CBK's National Payments Act.⁵⁷ It is hoped that this critical analysis will uncover the gaps and complexities it presents for consumers and their protection.

⁵⁷ National Payment System Act (No 39 of 2011).

Chapter 4 titled, 'The Legal and Regulatory Challenges' discusses the consequences of the application of the current regulatory framework on the regulation of mobile payments and as it affects consumers. This chapter considers the justification for consumer protection by arguing that an appropriate regulatory framework for mobile payments should be established which ensures that consumers are adequately protected while still balancing the objectives of regulating mobile payments. The discussion in this chapter concerns the difficult and perhaps impossible role of the CBK as the principal adjudicator of mobile payments disputes between financial institutions and consumers. The Banking Act CAP 488, is also briefly reviewed to the extent that it relates, in small part, to the National Payment System Act 2011 and is also a self-regulating instrument. This chapter also examines the role and relevance of the legislative force of the Consumer Protection Act 2012 and its omission of payments systems from its content. Finally, it proposes the establishment of a separate consumer agency to deal with conduct of business requirements for consumer needs.

Chapter 5 describes what an adequate and appropriate regulatory framework should look like by stressing the accountability and the functions of the CBK in its mandate as the sole regulatory authority for the financial system in Kenya. This chapter offers a criticism of the current regulatory framework for mobile payments. It further argues that while the stability and integrity of payments systems are important, mobile payments deserve special attention because of their specific system design and, because through their creation of a systemically important institution in the MNO, this poses further systemic risk to the economic and financial system as a whole. This chapter also calls for a reform in the current regulatory framework taking into account the objectives as stated throughout the thesis of stability, integrity and consumer protection.

Chapter 6 is the conclusion to this thesis. It draws on the summaries and conclusions made in the 4 analytical chapters and revisits the mobile payments system problems and debate in order to assess the contribution of the research. It offers possible regulatory solutions to the mobile payments system challenges in Kenya and offers areas for future research and exploration.

CHAPTER 2:

INTRODUCTION TO THE MOBILE PAYMENTS SYSTEM IN KENYA: BACKGROUND AND HISTORICAL CONTEXT

"Banks are dinosaurs we shall by pass them." Bill Gates 1

2.1. Introduction

The CBK has overall responsibility for maintaining financial stability in the financial sector, since Central Banks have to ensure that the payment systems are vital for the proper functioning of financial markets.² A well-functioning payment system is essential for both the growth of an efficient financial sector and confidence in the banking sector.³ In this regard, the CBK is aware of the numerous benefits of an efficient payments system, especially in fulfilling its role in the effective implementation of the monetary policy operations and financial stability.⁴ Efforts to modernise the payments system first began in 1993⁵ when the CBK and the Kenya Bankers Association⁶ began a collaboration. However, this modernisation was centred on addressing short-term challenges. This was a flaw in its approach as the CBK mainly focused on the need to

¹ A Newsweek Interview on July 1994 published in The American Banker, 9 January 1995: The American Banker, (9 January 1995) http://www.highbeam.com/publications/american-banker-p392958/january-1995/3> accessed 3 September 2014.

² David Marston, 'Financial system standards and financial stability: the case of Basel core principles' (2001) IMF Working Papers 1/62 < http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1446185 accessed 3 September 2014.

³ Central Bank of Kenya, 'Kenya Payments System (Framework and Strategy)' (September 2004) < https://www.centralbank.go.ke/index.php/2012-09-21-11-44-41 accessed 3 September 2014.

⁴ Ibid.

⁵ Central Bank of Kenya, 'Payment System in Kenya' (September 2003) 1 https://www.centralbank.go.ke/index.php/kepss accessed 3 September 2014.

⁶ The Kenya Bankers Association (KBA) was registered as an industry association on 16 July 1962 by the Registrar of Trade Unions. In its formative years, the main aim of the Association was to cater for the interests of the member banks in negotiating terms and conditions of service of its union, its employees and, as far as possible, standardise management practices so as to ensure harmony in the industry. Kenya Bankers Association, http://www.kba.co.ke/ accessed 3 September 2014.

improve the system for clearing cheques; at the time, this was the only and most important non-cash payment instrument in use. This meant that much of the Kenyan population remained principally cash dominant.⁷ Furthermore, much of the Kenyan population still lacked a practical substitute to the cash economy and informal financial services.⁸

Financial inclusion⁹ and access to finance¹⁰ were never thought possible through payments systems, as old models that provided access to finance were minimal at best¹¹ and were still being explored, such as microfinance,¹² bank branches and Automated Teller Machine (ATM) distribution in rural areas. All this changed with the introduction of mobile payments.¹³ Over 80 per cent of the adult population in sub-Saharan Africa, lacked a bank account in the early 2000s, which was above the world average of 50 per cent.¹⁴ These were the key hindrances to financial development: lack of access by the

⁷ Di Castri (n 46) Chapter 1. See also Sheri M Markose and Yiing Jia Loke, 'Changing Trends in Payment Systems for Selected G10 and EU Countries 1990-1998' (2000) Economic Discussion Papers 508; Michelle Baddeley, 'Using e-cash in the New Economy: An Economic Analysis of Micro-payment Systems' (2004) 5 Journal of Electronic Commerce Research 239.

⁸ Ibid. See also Mobile for Development, 'MMU Policy and Regulation', http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/programmes/mobile-money-for-the-unbanked/regulation accessed 18 September 2014.

⁹ Financial inclusion is defined as the ability of an individual, household, or group to access appropriate financial services or products. Without this ability, people are often referred to as financially excluded. Various financial services and products have brought about financial inclusion. This thesis proposes mobile payments as one of the main drivers of financial inclusivity in Kenya. See, Peter Dittus and Michael U Klein, 'On harnessing the potential of financial inclusion' (BIS Working Paper 247, 2011) http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1859412 accessed 3 September 2014.

Mwangi Kimenyi and Njuguna Ndung'u, 'Expanding the Financial Services Frontier: Lessons from Mobile Phone Banking in Kenya' (October 2009) Brookings Paper.

¹¹ FDS Kenya, 'FinAccess 2009: Mapping the financial sector in Kenya' [2009]; Richard Duncombe and Richard Boateng, 'Mobile Phones and Financial Services in Developing Countries: a Review of Concepts, Methods, Issues, Evidence and Future Research Directions' (2009) 30(7) Third World Quarterly 1237.

¹² Aleke Dondo, "The Status of Microfinance in Kenya' (K-Rep Occasional Paper 34); Susan Johnson, Markku Malkamaki and Kuria Wanjau, "Tackling the "Frontiers" of Microfinance in Kenya: the Role for Decentralized Services' (2006) 17(3) Small Enterprise Development 41. See also Dean Karlan, Jonathan Morduch and Sendhil Mullainathan, "Take-Up: Why Microfinance Take-Up Rates Are Low & Why It Matters' (Financial Access Initiative Research Framing Note, June 2010) http://www.financialaccess.org/sites/default/files/blog/RFN11 Why microfinance takeup rates are low(6-17-10).pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

¹³ Outreach for financial inclusion in Kenya was not considered seriously. The unbanked were not considered a viable segment of the population.

¹⁴ Franklin Allen and others, 'Improving Access to Banking: Evidence from Kenya' [2014] CEPR Discussion Paper No. DP9840. See also, Alberto Chaia and others, 'Half the World is Unbanked' (Financial Access Initiative Research Brief, November 2010). See also, Patrick Honohan, 'Cross-country Variation in Household Access to Financial Services' (2008) 32(11) Journal of Banking & Finance 2493.

disadvantaged to finance as such access promotes economic growth at the broadest levels.¹⁵

A financial inclusion agenda¹⁶ by governments, much less by the Kenyan government prior to mobile payments, did not include an examination of payments systems as an effective means of achieving inclusion.¹⁷ This study will highlight this oversight. This chapter begins by tracing significant changes in the national payments system in Kenya as a way of providing background to the introduction of mobile payments. Mobile payments will be introduced by considering their metamorphosis from a microfinance instrument to their present-day highly visible, if sometimes controversial, market standing.¹⁸ It will discuss the CBK's efforts to modernise the payments system as an important segue into its regulatory capacity.¹⁹ It will further explore the introduction of mobile payments, in particular, Safaricom's²⁰ M-Pesa,²¹ as part of Kenya's payments system framework by describing the telecommunications revolution in Kenya²² and providing a brief background and analysis of the development of mobile phone usage, further answering the question of how mobile payments developed and emerged in the Kenyan context.

¹⁵ Ibid [Franklin].

¹⁶ 'Financial Inclusion Agenda' will be used to describe the push to include the financially excluded through government directives and policies. Thorsten Beck and Asli Demirgüç-Kunt, 'Access to Finance: An Unfinished Agenda' (2008) 22(3) The World Bank Economic Review 383.

¹⁷ Massimo Russo and Piero Ugolini, 'Financial Sector Challenges in Africa's Emerging Markets' (2008) EMF paper series.

¹⁸ Isaac Mbiti and David N Weil, 'Mobile Banking: The Impact of M-Pesa in Kenya' (2011) NBER Working Paper No 17129 http://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/62662/1/668481188.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁹ Regulatory capacity in this context is defined as the combination of individual competence, organisational capabilities, assets and relationships that enable a political entity to formulate, monitor and enforce regulation. Investment Climate Advisory Services (World Bank Group), 'Regulatory Capacity Review of Kenya' (2010) https://www.wbginvestmentclimate.org/uploads/Kenya.pdf accessed 3 September 2013. Regulatory capacity will be discussed in Chapter 5: The Regulatory Approach to Mobile Payments.
²⁰ Safaricom, the leading MNO which first deployed M-Pesa.

²¹ As described in the first chapter, M-Pesa was the first mobile payment system deployed in Kenya.

²² Sebastiana Etzo and Guy Collender, 'The Mobile Phone Revolution in Africa: Rhetoric or Reality? (2010) 109(437) African Affairs 659. See also, Gabriel Demombynes and Aaron Thegeya, 'Kenya's Mobile Revolution and the Promise of Mobile Savings' (2012) World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 5988 https://openknowledge.worldbank.com/bitstream/handle/10986/3275/WPS5988.txt?sequence=2 accessed 3 September 2014.

Furthermore, it highlights the role of public policy in shaping the growth of mobile payments in Kenya and the central role that the main regulator, the CBK, had in its advancement.²³ Through a review of its history and eventual success in Kenya, it is also possible to acquire an appreciation of why these public policy responses to its emergence have increasingly gained impetus around the world. This will be done by explaining how M-Pesa shifted from a microfinance product²⁴ to a national payments system and by highlighting the country specific factors that have remained unique to Kenya and have hampered the same success in other countries.²⁵ The enabling environment that ensured the success of mobile payments will also be discussed. Finally, financial inclusion will be shown as the resultant success.²⁶ It is hoped that examining the importance of a country's payments system in its economy and financial system will demonstrate the increased focus of mobile payments system issues which reflect both regulatory changes and economic development in Kenya.

This chapter also highlights the importance of a stable and efficient payments system as the central focus of the emergence of mobile payments as a preferred payment method in Kenya. It will present this discussion as a preamble to the risks that it poses to consumers who are included, as mobile payments have changed the face of banking through inadvertently seeking new markets through innovative financial services. It will also aim to show the impetus M-Pesa has placed on local financial institutions to become

²³ Christian Johnson and Robert Steigerwald, "The Central Bank's Role in the Payment System: Legal and Policy Aspects' in *Current Developments in Monetary and Financial Law*, vol 5 (International Monetary Fund, 2008) 445.

²⁴ William Jack and Tavneet Suri, 'Mobile Money: the Economics of M-PESA' (2011) NBER Working Paper No 16721 http://www9.georgetown.edu/faculty/wgj/papers/Jack_Suri-Economics-of-M-PESA.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁵ Jan Ondrus, Kalle Lyytinen and Yves Pigneur, 'Why Mobile Payments Fail? Towards a Dynamic and Multi-Perspective Explanation' (42nd Hawaii International Conference on IEEE 2009) System Sciences 1. See also, World Economic Forum, 'The Mobile Financial Services Development Report' (2011) 4 < http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_MFSD_Report_2011.pdf accessed 3 September 2014. Afghanistan Argentina Bangladesh and others Mobile Financial Services Development Report'.

²⁶ Ubiquitous success is used to imply the fact that Kenya leads the world in mobile payments deployment and this success has not been replicated elsewhere in Africa.

innovative in the same way banks conduct their business. This chapter therefore and in general, offers a contextual background to the adoption, usage and scaling up of mobile payments into the Kenyan market in order to analyse its ubiquity and its success.

2.2. The Development of a Payments System in Kenya

2.2.1. Payments System Definition

At its most basic level, a payments system is merely an agreed-upon way to transfer value between buyers and sellers in a transaction.²⁷ When coupled with rules and procedures, a payments system provides the infrastructure for transferring money from one entity in the economy to another. The goal of an efficient payments system is to allow instant confirmation of a transaction and to allow the buyer and the seller to directly exchange the necessary information. It gives value to completing a transaction without a third party confirmation, and does so within a secure environment.²⁸ A payments system is notable for the 'things'²⁹ that are used as money to transfer value in an economic exchange of goods or services.³⁰ As the economy develops, so too do these 'things': commodities, money, currency, cheques and finally to the use of electronic means to transfer value.

In an advanced payments system, various procedures, rules, standards and instruments are used to exchange monetary value between parties in order to discharge an obligation.³¹ With good and clear procedures, rules and standards, the payment instrument will be able to function efficiently and will allow mobilisation of financial resources at lower transaction costs, both of which promote economic growth.³² Other

²⁷ David B Humphrey, *Payment Systems: Principles, Practice, And Improvements*, vol 23 (World Bank Publications 1995).

²⁸ Randall W Sifers, 'Regulating Electronic Money in Small-Value Payment Systems: Telecommunications Law as a Regulatory Model' (1996) 49 Fed Comm LJ 701.

²⁹ Whether that is electronic value or stored value.

³⁰ Humphrey (n 27).

³¹ Electronic payments are instructions passed between banks without reliance on paper processing. This also includes card payments such as Automated Teller Machine (ATM), credit and debit.

³² Ibid.

features that are important for a payments system are the functions of clearing³³ and settlement.³⁴ All payments systems involve clearing and settlement functions in order to finalise the value transferred. The clearing function, which is composed of processing and collection, can be paper-based or electronic. Settlement finality can take place at the time of transaction or after a period of delay. Payments can carry immediate settlement when the funding can be validated before the transaction.³⁵

Settlement after a period of delay usually occurs when some time is required to ensure the availability of funds.³⁶ Since an efficient and strong financial sector is one of the key requirements for a safe and sound financial system,³⁷ it would be right to say that payments systems are one of the crucial factors that determine this. Until recently, the issue of the development of payments systems has often been of secondary importance to financial sector reform. This is due to the view that a payment system is only a mechanical process and nothing more than a mechanization mechanisation of commercial banks' back-office function.³⁸ However, a different approach is now being taken as it has become clear that payments systems have operated on technological development in the last 20 years. These technological developments have created risks

³³ Clearing or clearance is the process of transmitting, reconciling and, in some cases, confirming payment orders or security transfer instructions prior to settlement, possibly including the netting of instruction and the establishment of final positions for settlement. See, Committee on Payment and Settlement Systems Secretariat, 'A Glossary of Terms Used in Payments and Settlement Systems' (2000) http://www.bis.org/cpmi/publ/d00b.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

³⁴ Ibid at 33 Settlement is an act of discharging obligations in respect of funds or securities transfers between two or more parties.

³⁵ A credit transaction such as a direct deposit transaction is an example of immediate payments settlement. This is where the payment instrument flows from the paying corporation to the paying bank to the payee's bank and to the payee. The flow of funds follows the same path. See David B Humphreys and Setsuya Sato, *Modernizing Payment Systems in Emerging Economies* (The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development 1995).

³⁶ Ibid at 35 A debit transaction, such as a payment by cheque, is an example of settlement at a delayed period.

Cheques flow through the banking system from the payee to the payee's bank to the payer. The flow of funds moves in the opposite direction from the transaction.

³⁷ David B Humphrey, Transforming Payment Systems: Meeting the Needs of Emerging Market Economies, vol 291 (The Internastional Bank for Reconstruction and Development 1995).

³⁸ Ibid.

capable of affecting the global economy.³⁹ It is also recognised that payments systems are an important element of financial stability for all countries and the failure of payments systems may affect financial and economic stability.⁴⁰

The World Bank holds the view that a payments system plays an important role in the process of developing a sound financial market.⁴¹ It acknowledges that market economies rely on payments systems to enable trade and exchange among enterprises and consumers in product markets.⁴² Concurrently, the payments system is also used to convert domestic and international savings into productive investments through financial markets.⁴³ The Bank for International Settlements (BIS) hosts the Committee on Payment and Settlement Systems (CPSS) and has carried out much work on retail payments systems.⁴⁴ While development of new technology affects retail payments systems and their instruments, the application of modern technology has facilitated innovations in their instruments and services.⁴⁵ The CPSS acknowledges that new technologies not only lead to the emergence of new retail payments instruments, such as electronic money and mobile payments, but also to the development of new electronic payments delivery and processing, such as internet payments methods.⁴⁶ A payments system is hence a composite 'network of instruments, institutions and services that facilitate the transfer of

_

<u>Coop.doc</u>> accessed 3 September 2014.

³⁹ Sergio G Garcia, "The Contribution of Payment Systems to Financial Stability" (2000) < http://www.bis.org/publ/cpss41.pdf> accessed 3 September 2014.

⁴⁰ Ibid. See also Chapter 3 where issues of risk are extensively discussed.

⁴¹ David B Humphrey, *Transforming Payment Systems: Meeting the Needs of Emerging Market Economies*, vol 291 (The Internastional Bank for Reconstruction and Development 1995).

⁴² Ibid.

⁴³ Anton Allahar, Ethnicity, Class, And Nationalism (1st edn, Lexington Books 2005).

⁴⁴ Specific reports on retail payment systems, which include retail payment instruments such as electronic money, have been published. All reports on electronic money are under the Committee on Payment and Settlement Systems (CPSS) Publications section. The latest report by BIS on electronic money has also included electronic money.

⁴⁵ Carlo Tresoldi, 'Report on the Activity of the CPSS Working Group on Retail Payment Systems' in 'The Contribution of Payment Systems to Financial Stability' (CEMELA Mexico City May 2000) http://www.bis.org/publ/cpss41.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

⁴⁶ Massimo Cirasino, "The Role of the Central Banks in Supervising the Financial System: The Case of the Oversight of Payment Systems' (2003)
http://info.worldbank.org/etools/docs/library/155862/paymentsystems2003/pdf/Cirasino Oversight

value between parties in a transaction'. Similarly in many areas of economic activity, the organisational structure and the operations of payments systems are shaped by government policies and regulatory environments as well as by market forces. 48

Payments systems enable the financial sector to serve the needs of the real economy. Their improvement is a priority in the transformation of wealth, development of banking systems and emerging money markets. The development of the payments system has significant implications for the accounting and legal framework of any financial system. The telecommunications infrastructure, the institutional capacity of the central banks and the commercial banks that are major providers and users of the payments systems, and the monetary instruments and management are also impacted by the payments system. The characteristics of the payments systems depend on the payments services that are being offered in a particular country. Therefore, to date, mobile payments are becoming an increasingly important factor that affect the strategic competitiveness and future profitability of both the private service providers in the system and business users. This is mainly due to the fact that new payments technologies have offered both lower costs and greater convenience to consumers who are the end users of these retail payments services.

Payments systems can therefore be broadly defined as the means of conducting transactions in an economy.⁵¹ These transactions are a set of instruments, banking procedures and, typically,⁵² interbank funds transfer systems that facilitate the circulation

⁴⁷ 'The Canadian Payment System: Public Policy Objectives and Approaches' May 1997.< 14^h September 2014

⁴⁸ See Humphrey (1995) n 41.

⁴⁹ Ibid.

⁵⁰ Ibid.

⁵¹ Paul W Bauer and Gary D Ferrier, 'Scale Economies, Cost Efficiencies, and Technological Change in Federal Reserve Payments Processing' (1996) 28(4) Journal of Money, Credit and Banking 1004.

⁵² Tanai Khiaonarong and Jonathan Liebena, 'The Analysis of Payment Systems Efficiency' in *Banking on Innovation* (Springer 2009).

of money.⁵³ More precisely, a payments system is a mechanism, or a set of mechanisms which, coupled with rules and procedures, provide 'an infrastructure for transferring money from one entity in the economy to another'.⁵⁴ That infrastructure links together different banks, giro organisations and other financial institutions, payers and payees with banks, as well as a national bank with commercial banks. A simple payments system mechanism, that of exchanging currency for goods, represents direct real-time payment which, in the light of its straightforwardness and legal clarity, sets a standard of efficiency against which other payments systems may be compared.⁵⁵ Most of the other major payment mechanisms involve the transfer of deposits⁵⁶ or claims, which can be done in paper form or electronically.⁵⁷ Notwithstanding the technical variations that differentiate paper-based and electronic payment systems for transferring where a similar outcome is expected money, the financial claim of the payer is reduced and the claim of the payee is increased.⁵⁸

Therefore, the term 'payments system' has been used fairly widely to cover both clearing and settlement of payments. Clearing in a payments system involves the transmission of payment information between the payer and payee through intermediaries, that is, the transmission and recording of the instructions to make a payment.⁵⁹ Settlement, on the other hand, is the actual transfer of money to a payee's account based on payment instructions, that is, the actual transfer of some medium generally acceptable in fulfilment of the payment instruction. The act of settlement,

-

⁵³ Benjamin J Cohen, *The Geography of Money* (Cornell University Press 1998).

⁵⁴ Randall W Sifers, 'Regulating Electronic Money in Small-Value. Payment Systems: Telecommunications Law as a. Regulatory Model' (1996) 49 Fed. Comm. LJ 701; Alan Greenspan, 'Remarks on Evolving Payment System Issues' (1996) 28(4) Journal of Money, Credit and Banking 689.

⁵⁵ Ibid at 54.

⁵⁶ Maxwell J Fry, Payment Systems in Global perspective (Routledge 2012).

⁵⁷ Ibid.

⁵⁸ Ibid.

⁵⁹ David Cronin, 'Large-Value Payment System Design and Risk Management' [2011] Quarterly Bulletin 01/January 11.

therefore, discharges an obligation in respect of funds or securities, between two or more parties.

2.2.2. The Modernisation of the Payments System Prior To Mobile Payments

The modernisation of Kenya's payments system began with the automation of the Nairobi Clearing House in 1998. It began with the specific aim of improvement and 'the clearing of cheques between banks using Magnetic Ink Character Recognition (MICR) technology and electronic funds transfer (EFT) payments. ⁶⁰ This policy shift resulted in the reduction of the clearing time from a high of 14 days to the current three days. ⁶¹ Kenya also successfully launched The Kenya National Payments System Framework and Strategy Document in September 2004 and The Kenya Electronic Payments and Settlement System (KEPSS) in July 2005. KEPSS's implementation helped post-date and phase out the preceding paper-based interbank settlement system completely transforming the management of liquidity in the banking industry. ⁶² During 2008, the CBK in conjunction with Kenya Bankers Association, as previously stated, initiated other modernisation programmes which are expected to come to fruition. These include value capping, cheque truncation, GPay project, and the failure to settle mechanism. ⁶³

All of these were aimed at mitigating various risks⁶⁴ and augmenting the efficiency and effectiveness of Kenya's payments system. While the introduction of the Real Time Gross Settlement (RTGS) system was anticipated to reduce the systemic implication of

⁶³ GPay stands for Government Pay where the Kenyan government pays suppliers electronically instead of by cheque.

Role of National Payment Systems — Central Bank of Kenya (https://www.centralbank.go.ke/index.ph/2012-09-21-11-44-41/overview> accessed 23 September 2014. Central Bank of Kenya, 'NPS Modernization' https://www.centralbank.go.ke/index.php/upcoming-events/29-payment-systems?start=6 accessed 3 September 2014.

⁶² Ibid.

⁶⁴ Risks shall be discussed in Chapter 3 in Section 3.4.1. Taxonomy of Risks 97

the Automated Clearing House (ACH) and increase the stability and soundness of the payments system, there had been no major change in the volumes and values of payment instruments going through the ACH. Therefore, the ACH still remains a Systemically Important Payment System (SIPS) as opposed to being a low risk retail payments system, this changed upon the introduction of mobile payments. The modernisation process did not focus on alternative payments systems until the 'technology revolution', 65 when the convergence of the telecoms industry and the financial services industry began.

The 'technology revolution'66 began in 1994 when Africa launched its first mobile phone network. The last two decades, Kenya has undergone a transformation in information communications and technology (ICT) which has also had an important impact on Kenya's social and economic structures. As mobile phones were quickly being adapted and increasing in their sophistication in much of the developed world, Africa still had very limited access to basic mobile phones due to their cost and a lack of mobile networks. However, there are now close to 500 million mobile phone users,

⁶⁵ Sebastiana Etzo and Guy Collender, "The Mobile Phone "revolution" (2010) Africa: Rhetoric or Reality? 659–668.

See also Ken Banks, 'Mobile Telephony and the Entrepreneur: an African Perspective' (2008) 8 Microfinance Insights http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/27/51/41789311.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

⁶⁶ The term revolution, see also Banks (n 125); Vodafone, 'Africa: the Impact of Mobile Phones' Vodafone Policy Paper Series, 2 March 2005

series 2.pdf accessed 3 September 2014 3; Thomas Kalil, 'Harnessing the Mobile Revolution' (Winter 2009)

Innovations

4,

1

http://econpapers.repec.org/scripts/redir.pf?u=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.mitpressjournals.org%2Fdoi2Fpdfplus%2F10.1162%2Fitgg.2009.4.1.9;h=repec:tpr:inntgg:v:4:y:2009:i:1:p:9-23 accessed 3 September 2014.

⁶⁷ Ibid.

⁶⁸ Poverty Reduction and Economic Management Unit Africa Region (World Bank), 'Kenya at the Tipping Point? With a special focus on the ICT Revolution and Mobile Money' (3rd edn, Kenya Economic Update, December 2010).

⁶⁹ Kas Kalba, 'The Adoption of Mobile Phones in Emerging Markets: Global Diffusion and the Rural Challenge' (2008) 2 International Journal of Communication 31.

⁷⁰ James Goodman, 'Linking Mobile Phone Ownership and Use to Social Capital in Rural South Africa and Tanzania' in Vodafone, 'Africa: the Impact of Mobile Phones' Vodafone Policy Paper Series, 2 March 2005 http://www.vodafone.com/content/dam/vodafone/about/public_policy/policy_papers/public_policy_series_2.pdf 3 accessed 3 September 2014.

approximately half of Africa's total population.⁷¹ The mobile penetration rate has grown, with four out of five new mobile connections in the world being made in the developing world.⁷² In Kenya, the Kenya Posts and Telecommunications Corporation (KPTC) provided all telecommunication services up until 1998.⁷³ The KPTC was established after the East African Community (EAC) was dissolved in 1977.⁷⁴ Consequently, Kenya's Parliament enacted the Kenya Communications Act (KCA)⁷⁵ to regulate the communications sector⁷⁶ and to liberalise telecommunications. Founded on the KCA, five companies were created.⁷⁷

Established under the Postal Corporation Act of Kenya 1998,⁷⁸ the Postal Corporation of Kenya (PCK) emerged. Similarly, Telkom Kenya Ltd (Telkom),⁷⁹ was incorporated in April 1999 under the Companies Act⁸⁰ with its original intention to liberalise telecommunications in Kenya. Although the KCA did not allow a monopoly or even a duopoly in telecommunication operations, Telkom was granted a special licence

⁷¹ Jenny C Aker and Isaac M Mbiti, 'Mobile Phones and Economic Development in Africa' (2010) 24 (3) Journal of Economic Perspectives 207–232 < http://www.jstor.org/stable20799163> accessed 3 September 2014.

⁷² GSM Association Development Fund website < http://www.gsma.com/developmentfund/ accessed 3 September 2014.

⁷³ In 1999, the KPTC was divided into three separate entities: Telekoms Kenya, Kenya Postal Corporation and the Communication Commission of Kenya (CCK) the licensing and regulatory authority of the government. 'Kenya Telecom Corporation Winds Up' *Africa News Service* (29 June 1999).

East African Community, 'History of the EAC' < http://www.eac.int/index.php?option=com_content&id=44&Itemid=54 accessed 3 September 2014. The Kenya Communications Act (2009) found at http://www.ca.go.ke/. The Communications Commission of Kenya (CCK), an independent regulator of all communications services in the country, the National Communications Secretariat (NCS), a communications policy advisory think-tank within the Ministry of Information and Communications responsible for communications services. In September 2007, Telkom was also granted a mobile licence and began offering those services using code division multiple access (CDMA) 2000 technology.

⁷⁶ Tonny Omwansa, 'M-PESA: Progress and Prospects' (2009) http://www.strathmore.edu/pdf/innov-gsma-omwansa.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

⁷⁷ Ibid

⁷⁸ Postal Corporation of Kenya Act 1998 (Cap 411).

⁷⁹ Telkom Kenya is the sole provider of landline phone services in Kenya. It was previously a part of the Kenya Posts and Telecommunications Corporation (KPTC) which was the sole provider of both postal and telecommunication services.

⁸⁰ The Companies Act 1978 (Cap 486).

spanning five years up to June 2004,⁸¹ to permit Telkom to adjust to a competitive telecoms environment.⁸² Telkom was the authority for all local access, national telephone services, internet mainstay networks, and Very Small Aperture Terminals (VSATs); additionally they were responsible for the international gateway services. To this day, it is still the only nationally fixed telecommunications services operator.⁸³

Mobile phone adoption has seen tremendous growth: 'from 17,000 mobile subscribers in 1999 to 11.3 million by December 2007'. 84 Mobile phone services in Kenya had been operated as a duopoly, with Safaricom and Celtel 85 taking the lead since 2000, but now rebranded as Airtel. France Telecom acquired 50 per cent of Telkom Kenya in December 2007 and proceeded to launch its Orange 86 brand in Kenya in September 2008. Since then it is referred to as Telkom Orange, having been issued out and fervently marketed its mobile services which run on Global System for Mobile Communication (GSM) technology. In November 2008 Econet 87 was launched, bringing the total number of operators to four. 88

Furthermore, mobile subscription almost tripled from 7.34 million subscribers in June 2006 to 24.96 million subscribers in December 2010; whilst the penetration rate more than doubled from 21.6 per cent of inhabitants to 55.9 per cent over the same

-

⁸¹ Omwansa (n 76). See also, Elizabeth Martha Bakibinga, 'Regulating Market Entry in the Telecommunications Sector in an Integrated East Africa: Towards a Common Licensing Framework' (Masters Thesis, University of Oslo 2004).

⁸² Ibid.

⁸³ Omwansa (n 76).

⁸⁴ Omwansa (n 76).

⁸⁵ At the time of inception, Celtel was a telecommunications company that operated in several African countries. Originally known as 'MSI Cellular Investments', the company began operating in 1998. In January 2004, the company name was changed to 'Celtel International'. In April 2005, the company was acquired by and became a subsidiary of Zain (formerly the Mobile Telecommunications Company). At the time it was purchased by Zain in April 2005, Celtel had about 24 million subscribers in 14 African countries. On 8 June 2010 the company was purchased by Bharti Airtel. On 22 November 2010, it was rebranded as 'Airtel'.

⁸⁶ Orange is a mobile network operator and internet service provider in the United Kingdom which launched in 1993.

⁸⁷ Cellular News, 'Econet Finally Launches its Network in Kenya Published' (25 November 2008) http://www.cellular-news.com/story/34832.php accessed 3 September 2014.

⁸⁸ Omwansa (n 76).

period.⁸⁹ The number of minutes of local calls (mobile traffic) made on mobile networks increased by about 34 per cent, from 4.96 billion to 6.63 billion over the same period.⁹⁰ The reduced cost of mobile phones, innovation in the use of handsets (money transfer, internet access or payment services) and, in particular, the reduced tariff plans resulting from increased competition, have contributed to an increase in subscriptions, especially the escalation witnessed in the most recent period.⁹¹ It can be argued that the state monopoly of the KPTC has shifted from the government to a private sector oligopoly.⁹²

The government of Kenya in the Kenya Information and Communications Amendment Act of 2009,⁹³ vests the Communications Commission of Kenya (CCK) with, 'adjudicative powers in the discharge of its statutory mandate of licensing and regulating telecommunications, broadcasting and postal services within the country'. ⁹⁴ Under section 23 of the Act, the CCK exercises its mandate to ensure provision of 'quality communication services to consumers and to promoting effective competition in the provision of such services.⁹⁵

The more detailed strategic plan of the regulator aims to provide access to communication services for all by 2030.⁹⁶ The previous policy, from 2001, aimed to

⁸⁹ Ibid 85.

⁹⁰ Alexander Shih, 'The Contribution Of Mobile Telecommunication Technology To Sustainable Development In Selected Countries In Africa' (PhD Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology 2011).
⁹¹ Ibid.

⁹² Monica Kerretts, 'ICT Regulation and Policy at a Crossroads: A Case Study of the Licensing Process in Kenya' (2005) 5 [this differs from Biblio please check] Southern African Journal of Information and Communication 49, 50.

⁹³ Kenya Information and Communications Amendment Act 2009 (Cap 411a), s 5.

⁹⁴ Ibid.

⁹⁵Simphiwe Nojiyez and Jocelyn Muthoka, 'Barriers to Entry of Kenya's Telecommunication Industry: Is there a Market Slice for New Entrants?' http://reference.sabinet.co.za/webx/access/electronic_journals/jomad/jomad_v11_n1_a7.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

Gommunications Commission of Kenya, 'Strategic Plan: 2008–2013' (2008) http://www.marsgroupkenya.org/documents/documents/11806/> accessed 3 September 2014.

improve network access.⁹⁷ It also reduced Kenyan ownership in any operator or service provider to a minimum of 30 per cent.⁹⁸

2.3. Introduction of Mobile Payments in Kenya

2.3.1. Definition of Mobile Payments

The definition of mobile payments as a payment instrument has been problematic. Mobile payments can be described as a payment instrument where each payment transaction requires a mobile device to execute the transaction. Each payment instrument should meet physical, legal and regulatory standards. 99 This payment instrument requires the use of one or more banks to complete a transaction. These payments are not accomplished simply by exchanging the payment instrument between a payer and a payee, but by transferring money between the payer's bank and the payee's bank. 100 The term 'mobile financial services' refers to several financial services accessible through mobile phones. As yet, there is no unanimity globally, let alone at national levels, on the characterization of mobile financial services and the term 'electronic payments through mobile devices' is often used in place of mobile payments, thus adding to confusion over what actually transpires in the payment.

However, according to Boyd and Jacob, the term 'mobile financial services' is widely accepted and used to suggest 'a comprehensive array of financial activities that

⁹⁷ Government of Kenya, "Telecommunications and Postal Sector Statement" (2001) The Kenya Gazette CIII (77) 2675.

⁹⁸ Ibid.

⁹⁹ Robert Listfield and Fernando Montes-Negret, 'Modernizing Payment Systems In Emerging Economies' Policy Research Working Paper (1994).

^{100,} Robert Listfield and Fernando Montes-Negret, 'Modernizing Payment Systems In Emerging Economies' (1994)Policy Research Working Paper 1336 http://books.google.co.uk/books?hl=en&lr=B5b7vjuymYcC&oi=fnd&pg=PA1&dq=Listfield,+Rober %E2%98Modernizing+Payment+Systems+In t%3B+Montes-Negret,+Fernando,+ +Emerging+Economies%E2%80%99&ots=BQZESNBMYS&sig=MxNMWPVWyWLFXR3dGDHzq DXNso > accessed 3 September 2014.

consumers partake in or access using their mobile devices'. These services can be classified into three groups: mobile banking, mobile money transfer, and mobile payments. Mobile banking suggests web-based banking services accessible through a mobile phone, such as balance enquiries, interbank transfers, and payments, which has allowed for seamless transactions for consumers remotely.

2.3.2. Introduction of M-Pesa to Kenya

M-Pesa, the first mobile payments product, has its origins in Vodafone's efforts to 'understand its role in addressing international development issues' such as the Millennium Development Goals. ¹⁰⁵ The inception of M-Pesa focused on enabling microloan receipt and repayment in partnership with a microfinance institution called Faulu Deposit Taking Micro-Finance Ltd (Faulu). ¹⁰⁶ Moreover, Faulu customers realised that the service use had an unintended benefit of transferring payments from person to person rather than simply the repayment of their loans. This precipitated the need for the company to focus solely on launching the product on just three essential services: 'deposits' at the agents and 'withdrawals', money transfers and prepaid airtime

¹⁰¹ Caroline Boyd and Katy Jacob, 'Mobile Financial Services and the Under-banked: Opportunities and Challenges for M-banking and M-payments' (The Centre for Financial Services Innovation, 2007).

¹⁰² Erwin Alampay, 'Mobile Banking, Mobile Money and Telecommunication Regulations' (2010) eBusiness & eCommerce Journal 5.

¹⁰³ Timothy Waema, '2007 Kenya Telecommunications Sector Performance Review: a Supply Side Analysis of Policy Outcomes' (2007) Research ICT Africa. See also, CCK, 'Annual Report Financial Year 2010/11' (2012) http://www.cck.go.ke/resc/publications/annual_reports/CCK_Annual_Report_2011.pdf accessed 3 September 2014. According to the CCK, the transport and communications sector recorded a 5.9 per cent growth, with the total output value for the sector growing by 9.5 per cent to KES 594.6 billion in 2010. The telecommunications industry continued to post considerable growth, spearheaded mainly by the mobile telephony segment of the ICT sector.

¹⁰⁴ United States Agency for International Development (USAID) and Kenya School of Monetary Studies, 'Mobile Financial Services Risk Matrix' (United States Agency for International Development, 2010).

¹⁰⁵ Nick Hughes and Susie Lonie, 'M-PESA: Mobile Money for the "Unbanked" Turning Cellphones into 24-hour Tellers in Kenya' (2007) 2 Innovations 63.

¹⁰⁶ Ibid. Faulu Deposit Taking Micro-Finance Limited is a deposit taking microfinance institution in Kenya. It is a limited liability company duly incorporated in Kenya under the Companies Act. The company changed its name to Faulu Kenya Deposit Taking Microfinance (DTM) Limited in 2008 as part of the requirements to obtain the deposit taking licence from the Central Bank of Kenya. In May 2009, Faulu became the first registered DTM in Kenya under the Micro-Finance Act and is regulated by the CBK.

purchase.¹⁰⁷ Through the facilitation of financial services through mobile phones, this provided an entrepreneurial avenue and the creation of jobs and trade. Therefore through this investment, Faulu's borrowers were able to pay a small amount each week instead of meeting with their treasurers. This was seen as a viable solution as it reduced the risk of experimentation with new business models. Sending the treasurer with an appropriate contingent of group members for protection in order to deposit their funds in a local bank¹⁰⁸ was ultimately seen by Vodafone as an expensive process for borrowers, as it necessitated their removal from their business activities to deal with bank matters which was deemed unproductive.¹⁰⁹

This also highlighted the costly process involved in traditional bank methods when used as payment instruments. Consequently, M-Pesa illustrated a more useful system at the time for its customers than the microfinance institution Faulu. This was because Faulu's operating procedures and systems were not as integrative for users as they would have hoped. Hence, M-Pesa, the mobile money, was debuted in 2007 by Safaricom, Kenya's largest mobile network operator, with the aim of providing basic financial services. The product facilitates a variety of financial transactions through mobile phones. These functions include the checking of account balances, the ability to make 'deposits' and 'withdraw' funds, making bill and merchant payments, being able to purchase airtime and, most importantly the ability to transfer money through person to person payments. The growth of the application was impressive. M-Pesa was able to scale to 50 per cent of Kenyan adults in less than two years. The interesting the safety of the second of the s

1

¹⁰⁷ William J Kramer, Beth Jenkins and Robert S Katz, 'The Role of the Information and Communications Technology Sector in Expanding Economic Opportunity' (Harvard University 2007) < http://www.hks.harvard.edu/m-rcbg/CSRI/publications/report_22_EO%20ICT%20Final.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁰⁸ Ibid.

¹⁰⁹ Ibid.

¹¹⁰ Ibid.

¹¹¹ Hughes and Lonie (n 105).

¹¹² Ibid.

adoption rate through having 10,000 new users registering for the service daily. ¹¹³ By July 2007, there were just over 268,000 registered users. Two years later, the number had increased to 7.5 million, or 34 per cent of the adult population. Seven years ¹¹⁴ on, mobile money is widespread in Kenya but is yet to achieve the scale seen in other countries. M-Pesa was introduced as part of a medium term business strategy with the aim of building brand loyalty rather than short term financial reward. More than one in two mobile money transactions worldwide are carried out in Kenya. ¹¹⁵ M-Pesa has emerged as a powerful and innovative tool in financial inclusion and the economic development of the country. ¹¹⁶

The value of transactions increased by 4600 per cent initially and 3700 per cent later. A value of over US \$535 million has been transferred through the system since its launch. These figures, compared to commercial bank deposits and the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) figures, showed how significant mobile payments had become. The value of the M-Pesa transactions continued to increase to 0.2 per cent against bank deposits and in two years, and further increased to 4.4 per cent. By the following year, 2008, Kenya's GDP was estimated at US \$30 billion and eventually increased to US \$535 million, or 2 per cent of the year's GDP. Mobile technology has thus enabled residents of remote villages and towns to secure access to financial services previously beyond

¹¹³ Pauline Vaughan, Wolfgang Fengler and Michael Joseph, 'Scaling Up through Disruptive Business Models: The Inside Story of Mobile Money in Kenya' in Laurence Chandy and others (eds), *Getting to Scale: How to Bring Development Solutions to Millions of Poor People* (Brookings Institution 2013) 189.

¹¹⁴ The period examined in this thesis is between 2007 and 2014; see, Capital Markets Authority, 'Kenya's Financial Sector Stability Report 2011' (Issue No 3, December 2011) 38.

¹¹⁵ Ibid.

¹¹⁶ Ibid.

¹¹⁷ Ibid 39.

¹¹⁸ Mwangi S Kimenyi and Njuguna S Ndung'u, 'Expanding the Financial Services Frontier: Lessons from Mobile Phone Banking in Kenya' (Brookings, 16 October 2009) http://www.brookings.edu/~/media/research/files/articles/2009/10/16%20mobile%20phone%20kimenyi/1016 mobile phone kenya kimenyi.pdf > accessed 3 September 2014.

them.¹¹⁹ Unlike other mobile transaction schemes¹²⁰ which add a new channel to existing banking services, M-Pesa offered an alternative solution and was therefore described and understood by the Kenyan regulators as a mobile payments system. This thesis will henceforth use the term 'mobile payments system' to describe all mobile financial services and products and will refer to M-Pesa interchangeably.

At the centre of M-Pesa is a float within which customers have a unique account to hold their balances; and which is entirely separate to the pre-pay airtime credit. ¹²¹ The whole M-Pesa float is then banked with a commercial bank. In the case of M-Pesa, the Commercial Bank of Africa (CBA) has a banking contract for the M-Pesa float which creates a new entity, a trust company, formed by Vodafone. ¹²² It is through the trust company and service level agreements ¹²³ with Safaricom that the account relationships are managed, bypassing banks and going directly to the individual M-Pesa customers. To open an M-Pesa account, a subscriber requires a Kenyan national identity card. ¹²⁴ The mobile operator, Safaricom, provides the new account holder with a subscriber identity module (SIM) card that enables transactions using an application running in the SIM Tool Kit (STK) environment. Through specific M-Pesa agents, ¹²⁵ the customers can carry out mobile payments, pay cash into their accounts and make cash withdrawals.

¹¹⁹ Darian Dorsey and Katy Jacobs, 'Financial Services Trends and Innovations Trends in South Africa: Lessons for the United States' The Centre for Financial Service Innovations.

¹²⁰ 'Mobile banking' is an example of a mobile transaction scheme.

¹²¹ The use of advance payment options for mobile phone voice and data products.

¹²² Vodafone Group plc is a British multinational telecommunications company headquartered in London, United Kingdom.

¹²³ A service level agreement (SLA) is part of a service contract where a service is formally defined. For instance, internet service providers and telecommunications companies will commonly include SLAs within the terms of their contracts with customers to define the level(s) of service being sold in plain language terms. Ron Sprenkels and Aiko Pras, 'Service Level Agreements' 2 Internet NG D 7. Jahyun Goo and others, 'The Role of Service Level Agreements in Relational Management of Information Technology Outsourcing: An Empirical Study' (2009) 33(1) Management Information Systems Quarterly 8.

¹²⁴ Vodafone, 'The Transformational Potential of M-transactions' in *Moving the Debate Forward* (Policy Paper Series No 6, July 2007).

¹²⁵ Stephen Devereux and Katharine Vincent, 'Using Technology to Deliver Social Protection: Exploring Opportunities and Risks' (2010) 20 Development in Practice 367; Vodafone, 'The Transformational Potential of M-transactions' in *Moving the Debate Forward* (Policy Paper Series No 6, July 2007).

At present¹²⁶ M-Pesa's services are available only to M-Pesa account holders and certified agents, and they are not linked to the clearing system.¹²⁷ The mobile phone in use in the M-Pesa service acts as a 'virtual' bankcard.¹²⁸ A bankcard is fundamentally a memory or storage device in the customer's possession that serves two uses: the first is in the identification of the user and the other in the institution or account in which the user's funds are held.¹²⁹

This was beneficial as all this information could be stored within the mobile device, thus alleviating costly ventures that include the distribution of cards to the entire banked population which worked in the same way a smartcard would. Additionally, the SIM card is able to store the user's PIN and account details, so in essence acts as a virtual card. While Safaricom may not have had a fully articulated marketing plan, it nonetheless took a series of decisions which helped it to overcome these daunting challenges when it first introduced M-Pesa to the market. Possibly their most important achievement was that the management recognised the potential impact of M-Pesa, and committed the company to heavy investments in marketing before the proposition could be proven. Safaricom managed to develop a strong service brand for M-Pesa, which some market research has shown is even stronger than Safaricom's corporate brand – itself already a powerful brand in Kenya with a dominant share of the mobile phone market.

¹²⁶As early as 2014.

¹²⁷ Globe Telecom, 'Globe G-Cash – A Breakthrough in Mobile Commerce being a Truly Mobile Solution – Cashless and Cardless' (Presentation at the CGAP Annual Meeting 2005) < http://www.microfinancegateway.org/files/30783_file_Gcash_presentation.pdf?PH accessed 3 September 2014.

¹²⁸ Ignacio Mas and Kabir Kumar, 'Banking on Mobiles: Why, How, for Whom?' (CGAP Focus Note 48, June 2008).

¹²⁹ Ibid.

¹³⁰ A card with a chip, similar to more modern bankcards.

¹³¹ Vodafone (n 124).

¹³² A survey commissioned by Super brands Kenya and conducted by TNS Research International in 2009 rated M-Pesa number 14 in brand strength in the Kenyan market, whereas Safaricom fell below at 17 (the lowest reported in the survey).

M-Pesa easily surpassed its first-year forecasts. This quickly turned the network effects in their favour, thus changing M-Pesa into a lucrative business for many agents and retail outlets. It was then decided that for the maximum chance of acceptability in an unprepared market, Safaricom should go for a widespread national launch, and be deliberate in its messaging and marketing mix.¹³³ Safaricom was able to leverage the public goodwill which existed for the corporate brand, and which treated M-Pesa stores as valuable brand outposts. All this was supported by a service that was designed to be simple and easy to use. The growth in mobile financial services was fundamentally a result of the enabling regulatory environment that the KCA created in 1998.¹³⁴ An argument put forward by Gary Collins is that M-Pesa came into existence in a 'regulatory vacuum', ¹³⁵ and that the service started operations without the need for a banking licence. This allowed Safaricom to diligently spread its services through its existing network of agents for airtime services, ¹³⁶ making banks unable to compete. ¹³⁷ However, KCA only regulates communications services, leaving electronic commerce and mobile financial services without a regulatory framework. ¹³⁸

Despite the fact that, in 2006, the Kenya Information Communication and Technology (ICT) Policy was made available to promote electronic commerce it was the first of many fragmented regulatory tools that encompassed the regulation of mobile

¹³³ Paul Leishman, 'Is There really any Money in Mobile Money' (2010) http://www.gsmworld.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/moneyinmobilemoneyfinal63.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

¹³⁴ See Section 2.2.2. The Modernisation of the Payments System Prior To Mobile Payments at page 31.

¹³⁵ Gary Collins, 'How Over-regulation Has Stifled the Pace of Mobile Money Adoption in Africa' (Memeburn 2011) < http://memeburn.com/2011/09/how-over-regulation-has-stifled-thepace-of-mobile-money-adoption-in-africa/ accessed 3 September 2014.

¹³⁶ Bronwen A Kausch, 'Regulating Mobile Money to Create an Enabling Business Environment' (Master's Thesis, Witwatersrand, October 2012).'

¹³⁷ Erik Hersman, 'Do Banks Block Mobile Money Innovation in Africa?' (Memeburn, August 2010) < http://memeburn.com/2010/08/do-banks-block-mobile-money-innovation-inafrica/ accessed 3 September 2014.

¹³⁸ Tonny Omwansa, 'M-PESA: Progress and Prospects' Innovations (Special Edition for GSMA Mobile World Congress 2009) 107–123.

financial services at that initial stage. ¹³⁹ At the time, Kenya lacked a framework for electronic transactions, something it needed in order to participate effectively in the new internet economy. Hence the reason for the digital divide in the progression of ecommerce. The Electronic Transactions Bill of 2007 was drafted to oversee electronic commerce issues, such as recognition of electronic transactions and electronic signatures. ¹⁴⁰ However, Kenya needed an appropriate and comprehensive information legislation to address the specific details of electronic transactions, including the critical laws that should govern this particular fast growing sector; the Kenya Information and Communications Bill of 2007 had not been enacted and was still at its draft stage.

There are many stakeholders, mobile operators, merchants, banks, entrepreneurs and consumers that want to see an accurate and inclusive ICT Act enacted soon, which would enhance trust in electronic transactions and, more specifically, mobile payments. There is willingness to deal with change in partnership with commercial banks and microfinance, which have different cultures, operating environments and incentives. The CBK did not have a pre-existing regulatory framework for mobile payments; however, the national payments system regulations were under review. Therefore, the implementation of mobile payments and their regulation became increasingly important after the introduction of M-Pesa.

2.3.4. The System Design of M-Pesa

The mobile payments system in Kenya uses an MNO-led model. Mobile Network Operator Led Models requires that the MNO converts its wireless network messaging functionality into a SIM¹⁴¹ based platform in order to provide mobile payments as value

¹³⁹ Ibid.

¹⁴⁰ Ibid.

¹⁴¹ Subscriber Identity Module.

added services (VAS) under its telecommunications licence.¹⁴² This SIM card enables subscribers of Safaricom to either transfer their funds or make payments from person to person, which are settled via MNO's established agent network.¹⁴³

The transactions, both transfers and payments, are effected completely within the MNO's network using its platform, thus not requiring the subscriber to have a bank account. Safaricom, and other MNOs, safaricom, and other MNOs, safaricom, and other MNOs, safaricom, and other making under the Banking Act of 1969, safaricom, the regulatory requirements for banking under the Banking Act of 1969, safaricom, which are also said to be in transit, safaricom, safari

MNOs make use of banking privileges, by way of the trust accounts. This requirement is part of the authorisation and licensing conditions spelt out by the CBK.¹⁵⁰ Through short messaging services (SMS) technology, M-Pesa lets users make four basic types of transactions: person to person transfers; person to bank transfers; cash deposits

¹⁴² Section 2 of the Kenya Communications Regulations 2001 defines VAS as such services as may be available over a telecommunications system in addition to voice telephony service. Under the Unified Licensing Framework provision VAS fall under the telecommunications licence.

¹⁴³ Electronic money is an innovation of Safaricom, and is not regulated by either the CBK or the CCK. Parliament is yet to enact a substantive electronic transactions law. Section 83(c) of the Kenya Information and Communications Act, however, gives the CCK the regulatory jurisdiction over electronic transactions.

¹⁴⁴ USAID and Kenya School of Monetary Studies (n 104).

¹⁴⁵ Such as Telkom Kenya and Essar Telecom.

¹⁴⁶ This regulatory gap shall be explored in Chapter 4.

¹⁴⁷ Consultative Group to Assist the Poor, 'Notes on Regulation of Branchless Banking in Kenya' (2007) < http://www.cgap.org/p/site/c/template.rc/1.26.1480/ accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁴⁸ Jeremy Okonjo, Nature and Impact of Mobile Financial Services on the Telecoms Sector in Kenya' (2014) http://www.slideshare.net/JeremmyOkonjo/1-chapter-one-nature-and-impact-of-mobile-financial-services-on-the-telecoms-sector-in-kenya accessed 3 September 2014

¹⁴⁹ Ibid. As part of its risk management regulations, the CBK has required mobile network operators to hold their trust accounts in more than one bank. This came hot on the heels of the Kenyan banking sector expressing reservations that M-Pesa 'could not meet the risk management requirements associated with a large payment system network; and that it was dangerous for any institution to operate on that scale outside of regulation.'

¹⁵⁰ Ibid.

and withdrawals from agents who act as the designated outlets;¹⁵¹ they also can perform loan receipts or repayments. Customers have to register using an official form of identification.¹⁵² Cash deposits are converted into a commodity called e-float¹⁵³ that is denominated in the same units as the domestic currency.

The MNO only effects its subscriber's payment instructions through its platform, but does not perform the credit assessment that banks usually do. 154 This consequently highlights the contrasts to the bank led models where cash exchanged for electronic value is not repaid but rather remains with the customer. Agents must always have a float in a bank account so that they may offer the equivalent through M-Pesa Services and the lack of such float may precipitate liquidity risk as opposed to a credit risk to either the customer or the MNO. 155 It is unclear as to whether the customer funds in the trust account attract interest. 156 Although this pooled trust account cannot be accessed by the MNO, practice suggests that all interest earned on the pooled funds are used by the MNOs for charitable causes. This shows that there is no intermediation, a key part of the 'deposit taking' definition. 157 It is also unclear as to whether the customer deposits received by the MNO earn interest.

This uncertainty does not clarify whether the e-value created is or is not in fact a deposit. 158 Whether mobile payments constitute 'banking business' as defined in Section

¹⁵¹ These outlets are discussed in Chapter 4 as being the point of sale for agents.

¹⁵² This has proven to be an efficient way of obtaining customer details and enhancing KYC requirements. It has also contributed to M-Pesa's success in Kenya, and no other country requires its citizens to have national identity cards.

¹⁵³ See, William Jack, Tavneet Suri and Mit Sloan, 'The Economics of M-PESA' (unpublished paper 2010). On the definition of e-float, where it has been defined as stored value measured in the same unit as money. ¹⁵⁴ This causes concerns about integrity risks which shall be discussed in Chapter 3. 3.4.1.2. Integrity Risk pg. 102

¹⁵⁵ Alliance for Financial Inclusion (n 5).

 $^{^{156}}$ This presents a regulatory challenge as this clarification provides one of the keys to identifying whether deposit-taking by the MNOs occurs.

¹⁵⁷ Ibid at 153.

¹⁵⁸ Ibid.

2 of the Banking Act 2009,¹⁵⁹ which consequently suggests that they do not require or enjoy the scope of regulatory oversight expected for deposits that are used in banking.¹⁶⁰ While the bank in which the pooled funds are held, does not have prudential oversight or responsibility or involvement in the payments through the MNO system, this is set against to the convenience of mobile payments, where there are no minimum balances or services charges. This has heralded the arrival of mobile payments in the financial inclusion agenda, and the shift from informal financial systems to formally include cash in the formal financial system in this mostly cash dominant society.¹⁶¹

MNOs increased the competition for banks in Kenya through the introduction of mobile payments especially by MNOs. ¹⁶² This has resulted in the innovative integration of banking and transfers through mobile payments services which has greatly increased the access and services offered by banks to their customers as well as MNOs to their subscribers. This integration, led by the MNO model, where payments are via Safaricom, also led to the development of other products, such as M-Kopa and M-Shwari, ¹⁶³ and insurance. ¹⁶⁴ These hybrid models were in conjunction with regulated financial

¹⁵⁹ Under Section 2 of the Banking Act 2010 (Cap 488), banking business means accepting from the public money on deposit, or a current account, or employing this money by lending, investment or in any other manner for the account and at the risk of the person so employing the money. Hence, banks are from the outset licensed to provide various financial deposits and transfer services, under different technologies. See *United Dominions Trust v Kirkwood* (1966) 1 All ER 968.

¹⁶⁰ See generally, the CBK Prudential Guidelines on capital adequacy, liquidity management, proceeds of crime and money laundering, consumer protection, etc., issued under Section 33(4) of the Banking Act 2010.

¹⁶¹ James Bilodeaeau, William Hoffman and Sjoerd Nikkelen, 'Findings from the Mobile Financial Services Development Report' in the Mobile Financial Services Development Report (World Economic Forum, 2011).

¹⁶² Institute of Economic Affairs, "The State of Competition Report: Mobile Money Transfer, Agricultural Bulk Storage and Milling, and the Media Sectors in Kenya' (IEA Research Paper Series No 1/2011) http://www.ieakenya.or.ke/publications/doc_download/227-the-state-of-competition-report-mobile-money-transfer-agricultural-bulk-storage-and-milling-and-the-media-sectors-in-kenya accessed 3 September 2014. Both MNOs and banks have their limitations. While MNOs cannot undertake banking business, banks in contrast do not have any outreach costs to rural areas, compelling MNOs and banks to cooperate to form MNO-bank models.

¹⁶³ M-Shwari on the other hand allows customers to save and borrow money through their mobile phones while at the same time earning interest on the money saved. Safaricom partnered with M-KOPA Kenya Ltd in October 2012 to launch a credit sale, pay-as-you-go solar lighting solution.

¹⁶⁴ Christine Zhenwei and others, 'Mobile Applications for Agriculture and Rural Development' (World Bank, ICT Sector Unit 2011). Since Kenya is largely dependent on agro finance, mobile applications for agriculture and rural development have increased access to finance and insurance products in rural areas.

institutions¹⁶⁵ pooling strengths from both industries— the financial platform of the banks on one hand and the technological platform of the telecoms industry on the other – and hence M-Pesa thrived within the MNO-led model as opposed to other bank-led models.¹⁶⁶

These hybrid models have resulted in increased transactions where data from the CBK shows that Kshs. 1.117 trillion was transacted through mobile payments as a result of the integration with commercial banks; and by 2013, the increase was positively seen as a result of consumers transferring their funds through their mobile phones. The MNO-led models and mobile financial services that have since launched following the successful premier of M-Pesa, include M-Kesho, Mobicash, Yu-cash Orange Telkom's Orange money, Pesa-Pap Essar Telecom's, Elma, and Pesa-Connect. 168

2.4. The Enabling Environment for Mobile Payments

This part of the chapter will discuss the enabling environment for mobile payments in Kenya. An enabling environment is defined as the set of conditions which promote a sustainable curve of market development for mobile payments.¹⁶⁹ Of particular interest are the settings in which prevalent access is likely, or in essence, conditions in which mobile payments are more likely to succeed.¹⁷⁰ New markets require enablement

¹⁶⁵ USAID (n 104).

¹⁶⁶ Bank-led payments markets have middle levels of activity and a significant bank presence. These are in South Africa and Botswana where 50 per cent and 47 per cent of senders respectively used banks, and to a lesser extent Nigeria where just over 50 per cent of cash-based but also 44 per cent used bank transfers. Mobile-led payments markets with middle to higher levels of activity, are dominated by mobile transfers including Kenya Limited markets. Those dominated by cash and characterised by low levels of activity include DRC, Mali and Rwanda.

¹⁶⁷ David Mugwe and Mark Okuttah, 'Mobile Money Transfers Reach Sh1trn as banks, telcos link up' *Business Daily* (Nairobi, 31 March 2013).

¹⁶⁸ Central Bank of Kenya 'Bank Supervision Annual Report 2010' (2010) <<u>Https://Centralbank.Go.Ke/Images/Docs/Bank%20Supervision%20Reports/Annual%20Reports/Bsd2010(2).Pdf</u>> accessed 3 September 2014.

David Porteous Enabling Environment for Cell Phone Banking In Africa' http://liberationtechnologydcourse.pbworks.com/f/the+enabling+environment+for+mobile+banking+in+kenya.pdf accessed 18 September 2014.

¹⁷⁰ David Porteous, 'Mobilizing Money Through Enabling Regulation' (2009) 4(1) Innovations 75.

in the form of a combination of legal and regulatory openness,¹⁷¹ which creates the opportunity to start up and experiment with sufficient legal and regulatory certainty¹⁷² promising that there will not be indiscriminate or negative changes to the regulatory framework.¹⁷³ This gives providers the confidence to invest the necessary resources. Countries that lack the regulatory capacity may prove to have no certainty, primarily since their regulatory discretion may lead to arbitrary action.¹⁷⁴ Equally, countries with greater certainty such as those with better regulatory capacity, may not have the adequate flexibility that would allow for an enabling environment and may be far more restrictive. This is especially the case in a new market sector like mobile payments where business models are not yet stabilised. An enabling environment ensures a gradient towards better certainty and openness.¹⁷⁵

This part will first discuss the infrastructure of the financial services in Kenya as a precursor to the payment options gaps that mobile payments eventually filled. It will then move on to highlight the quality of the alternative financial services that impeded financial access to the large unbanked and under-banked population. A discussion will follow on the dominance and market share of the leading MNO, Safaricom. The

¹⁷¹ Openness refers to whether the policy, legal and regulatory environment allows for, or better still, encourages the entry of new providers and approaches. If not, there is little room for innovation to enter the market. Keith Adams, 'The Emergence of M-Commerce Promises Great Benefits but also Poses Significant Regulatory Concern' http://www.slideshare.net/keith60/the-emergence-of-m-commerce-promises-great-benefits-but-also-poses-significant-regulatory-concern>accessed 24 September 2014.

¹⁷² Certainty refers to whether the policy, legal and regulatory environment provides sufficient certainty that there will not be arbitrary changes in the future which may prejudice the prospects of entrants If not, entrants, at least those with a longer term horizon, will be discouraged from incurring the cost and risk of entry.

^{173 &#}x27;Mobile Payment and the Changing Payment Landscape in Nigeria' http://www.eppan.org/eppan_library/Creating%20an%20Enabling%20Environment%20for%20Mobile%20Payment.pdf accessed 18 September 2014.

 ¹⁷⁴Ibid, also see, Kamoyo Elias Maore, Lecturer, Gretsa University, 'Critical Literature Review On Mobile
 Banking Regulatory Overlap And Gap In Kenya' School of Business, Department of Accounting & Finance
 Management Science.

http://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke/bitstream/handle/11295/65039/ORSEA%20PROCEEDINGS.pdf?sequence=1 accessed 3 September 2014.

The David Porteous Enabling Environment for Cell Phone Banking in Africa's Shttp://liberationtechnologydcourse.pbworks.com/f/the+enabling+environment+for+mobile+banking+in+kenya.pdf> accessed 18 September 2014.

conclusion will highlight other country specific attributes that led to the adoption of mobile payments and, as a consequence, financial inclusion.

2.4.1. Infrastructure and the Quality of the Pre-Existing Financial Services

The penetration rate of financial services has been established as a key influence on the rate of adoption for mobile payments. On the one hand, the poor quality of existing traditional financial services, such as banks, promoted the adoption of mobile money services which, in comparison, offered efficiency, greater accessibility, and more convenience. On the other hand, very poor financial services infrastructure could also slow the adoption of mobile payments and services by making it more challenging for service providers and agents to adequately manage their liquidity. Renya was being served by 400 bank branches and slightly over 600 ATMs, resulting in one bank branch per 100,000 inhabitants, a ratio of 1.38. About 17 per cent of the population used formal financial services and 38 per cent of people were excluded. It can be noted that in other jurisdictions, such as Tanzania, the inadequate number of bank branches inadvertently affected the ability of Vodacom to effectively manage agent liquidity (cash) to meet user demand, thus resulting in the slower uptake of M-Pesa. Inadequate liquidity has a two-fold effect on the adoption rate of mobile payments. Firstly, it limits the provider's ability to effectively roll out mobile money schemes and services because

¹⁷⁶ Gunnar Camner and Emil Sjöblom, 'Can the Success of M-PESA be Repeated? A Review of the Implementations in Kenya and Tanzania' (Valuable bits Note, July 2009).

¹⁷⁷ Thorsten Beck et al, 'Banking Sector Stability, Efficiency, and Outreach in Kenya' World Bank Policy Research Working Papers October 2010 http://www-wds.worldbank.org/external/default/WDSContentServer/WDSP/IB/2010/10/06/000158349 2010100 6154729/Rendered/PDF/WPS5442.pdf> accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁷⁸ Where they did not use any form of formal, semi-formal or informal financial services. FSD Kenya, 'Financial Access in Kenya, Results of the 2006 National Survey' < http://www.fsdkenya.org/finaccess/documents/FinaccessReportFINALMain.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁷⁹The leading MNO in Tanzania.

¹⁸⁰ FSD Tanzania, 'Finscope National Survey on Access to and Demand for Financial Services in Tanzania' http://www.fsdt.or.tz/images/uploads/english-finscope2006.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

the geographic coverage of the agents is limited by the access to the banks that aid liquidity.¹⁸¹

Secondly, inadequate liquidity affects the quality of service provided by the agents. Due to unreliable liquidity, the agents may not be able to offer cash withdrawal services, forcing a customer to move from one agent to another in order to find one that can offer the service. This affects the quality of service provision which reduces the user's perception of the value, trust and credibility of the service provider and the services offered. Kenya, on the other hand, had a relatively more developed financial system, and this was essential to Safaricom's ability to manage the agent network liquidity needs. The level of bank branches' penetration rate was just right for the uptake of M-Pesa: neither too high to impede the demand for the mobile payments services nor too low to hinder the ability of the agents to meet their liquidity needs. Hence, agents need to convert cash deposits to e-float for customers, and the rapidity and capability to rebalance their liquidity is of importance. This may be hampered if the bank branch network is very low, which creates cash in transit risks as agents transport their cash to deposit to banks. 183

Prior to M-Pesa, alternative remittance channels, such as payment cards and bank branches, were not as popular.¹⁸⁴ The common ways of sending money in Kenya were through the post office, bus companies, sending relatives or friends, or personally travelling to make the delivery.¹⁸⁵ The post office money remittance services were perceived by many Kenyans as expensive and inconvenient due to their limited locations

¹⁸¹ International Finance Corporation, 'M-Money Channel Distribution Case – Tanzania's (IFC Report, 2010)

http://www1.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/3aa8588049586050a27ab719583b6d16/Tool%2B6.8.%2BCase%2BStudy%2B-%2BM-PESA%252C%2BTanzania.pdf?MOD=AJPERES accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁸² Ignacio Mas and Dan Radcliffe, 'Scaling Mobile Money' (2011) 5(3) Journal of Payments Strategy & Systems 298. (2010).

¹⁸³ Ignacio Mas and Dan Radcliffe, 'Scaling Mobile Money' (2011) 5(3) Journal of Payments Strategy & Systems 298.

¹⁸⁴ Although Western Union was prevalent, as a remittance method it was both expensive and inconvenient. ¹⁸⁵ Camner and Sjöblom (n 176); Morawczynski (n 27).

and higher user fees.¹⁸⁶ Moreover, the other methods of transporting cash were unsafe as one could easily be mugged. These methods were also unreliable because there was no guarantee that the money would reach the intended destination or be received within the intended timeframe. In addition, it was time-consuming as it was necessary to make plans and take time off work in order to travel to rural areas to deliver the money. These conditions facilitated the quick uptake of mobile payments in Kenya as it offered a superior service to the alternative methods.¹⁸⁷

Providing financial services to those in rural areas was not appealing to banks, mainly due to the fact that they were only interested in providing additional banking services to their existing client base, and mobile payments are simply an additional and more convenient access channel. Until now, transformational mobile money services—the use of ICTs and non-bank retail channels to extend financial services to clients who cannot be reached profitably with traditional branch-based financial services—have not given banks the right incentives to invest in these customers long term. ¹⁸⁸ In fact, potential business at the bottom of the pyramid requires the traditional banking business model to be radically reworked, while the burgeoning middle classes in developing countries are presenting a tremendous business opportunity which is both easier and fits within the current business model. Moreover, banks have traditionally obtained very little benefit commercially from selling their most profitable products to low income markets. This is because customer acquisition, channel development, and compliance are all costly for banks, yet projected returns are not encouraging. ¹⁸⁹

1

¹⁸⁶ Ignacio Mas and Anne Ng'weno, 'Three Keys to M-PESA's Success: Branding, Channel Management and Pricing' (2010) 4(4) Journal of Payments Strategy & Systems 352.

¹⁸⁷ Morawczynski (n 6 Chapter 1).

¹⁸⁸ Olga Morawczynski and Mark Pickens, 'Poor People Using Mobile Financial Services: Observations on Customer Usage and Impact from M-PESA' (CGAP Brief, August 2009).

¹⁸⁹ Simone Di Castri and Brian Muthiora, 'Mobile Money: The Opportunity for India' (MMAI/GSMA Position Paper, 13 November 2013) http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/MMAI-GSMA-on-Mobile-Money-in-India-for-RBI-Financial-Inclusion-Committee Dec13.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

As discussed, traditional categorisations whereby companies could be resolutely classified into compartments that broadly represented their core financial business¹⁹⁰ are becoming blurred. It may be noted that the nimbleness of MNOs in transforming into entities that provide transactional equivalents of bank services is such that 'branchless banking' in Africa is currently dominated by MNO-based models, using retail agents for their so-called cash-in/cash-out activities.¹⁹¹ This, states Mas, reflects the low levels of banking dispersion and the poor state of fixed communications infrastructures, and further explains the rate of acceptance of MNO-led financial services over banking services.

2.4.2. Mobile Phone Rate Penetration

The degree of mobile phone penetration in Kenya provided an enabling environment for mobile payments. This penetration rate indicated the level of familiarity of the customers with the use of a mobile phone and the potential market reach of the service. ¹⁹² Individuals with prior experience of using mobile phones were more likely to adopt mobile payments because they believed that they had the ability to use the service, compared to individuals without prior knowledge of using it. ¹⁹³ Twenty-seven per cent of the Kenyan adult population owned a mobile phone and a further 28 per cent had access to someone else's phone. ¹⁹⁴ This provided a key platform for making the service available.

¹⁹⁰ For example, commercial banking, insurance, securities trading and investment banking. Claudio E Borio and Renato Filosa, 'The Changing Borders of Banking: Trends and Implications' BIS Working Paper No 23, October 1994 http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=868431 accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁹¹ See Ignacio Mas, 'The Economics of Branchless Banking' (2009) 4(2) Innovations 57, 59.

¹⁹² Simplice A Asongu, 'How has Mobile Phone Penetration Stimulated Financial Development in Africa?' (2013) 14(1) Journal of African Business 7 http://www.cck.go.ke/resc/downloads/SECTOR_STATISTICS_REPORT_Q1_12-13.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁹³ Paul Gerhardt Schierz, Oliver Schilke and Bernd W Wirtz, 'Understanding Consumer Acceptance of Mobile Payment Services: An Empirical Analysis' (2010) 9(3) Electronic Commerce Research and Applications 209.

¹⁹⁴ FSD Kenya, 'FinAccess National Survey 2009: Dynamics of Kenya's Changing Financial Landscape' http://www.fsdkenya.org/finaccess/documents/09-06-10_FinAccess_FA09_Report.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

Safaricom was able to reach a wider population and, as of June 2012, the mobile phone penetration in Kenya stood at 75 per cent. ¹⁹⁵ This growth of mobile phones, particularly in Kenya, according to Mbiti and Weil, ¹⁹⁶ is where a tectonic and unexpected change in communications has occurred. ¹⁹⁷ From being virtually unconnected in the 1990s, over 60 per cent of Africa now has mobile phone coverage and there are now over ten times as many mobiles as landline phones in use. In 1999, only 11 per cent of the African population had mobile phone coverage, primarily in Northern (Egypt, Algeria, Libya, Morocco, and Tunisia) and Southern (Kenya and South Africa) Africa. By 2008, 60 per cent of the population (477 million) could get a signal and an area of 11.2 million square kilometres had mobile phone coverage – equivalent to the United States and Argentina combined. ¹⁹⁸ By the end of 2012, it was projected that most villages in Africa would have coverage with only a handful of countries relatively unconnected. Kenya has therefore undergone a remarkable ICT revolution.

The above information illustrates the potential of mobile phone technology to support the extension of access to financial services in developing countries. Developing regions in Africa mobile subscription levels have doubled every two years. ¹⁹⁹ There were 3.3 billion mobile phone subscriptions in the world in 2007. ²⁰⁰ About one billion people

. .

<u>017129.pdf</u>> accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁹⁵ CCK 'Quarterly Sector Statistics Report' (2012) http://www.cck.go.ke/resc/downloads/SECTOR_STATISTICS_REPORT_Q3_JU_NE_2012.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁹⁶ Isaac Mbiti and David Weil, 'Mobile Banking: the Impact of M-Pesa in Kenya' NBER Working Paper No
17129, June
2011
http://www.econ.brown.edu/faculty/David_Weil/Mbiti%20Weil%20NBER%20working%20paper%2

¹⁹⁸ Jenny C Aker and Isaac M Mbiti, 'Mobile Phones and Economic Development in Africa' 24(3) [2010] Journal of Economic Perspectives 207.

G20 Financial Inclusion Experts Group – Australian Government http://aid.dfat.gov.au/Publications/Documents/G20financialinclusion.doc accessed 18 September 2014

²⁰⁰ Estimate by the ITU, 'The World in 2014: ICT Facts and Figures' < http://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Documents/facts/ICTFactsFigures2014-e.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

in developing countries did not have a bank account but had a mobile phone,²⁰¹ and that number grew to 1.7 billion in 2012. This suggests that there is still a significant opportunity to expand financial access via mobile phones.

2.4.3. Market Share and Dominance of Safaricom

The popularity of a product influences its adoption. This is done by promoting awareness about the usefulness of the service to potential users. Safaricom was and continues to be the dominant MNO in Kenya and at the time of M-Pesa's launch²⁰² Safaricom had a market share of 80 per cent.²⁰³ This dominance enabled it to advantageously position itself against its competitors when it launched M-Pesa, mainly due to its existing subscribed users which provided a ready market for the company to quickly reach and capture a wider market base for its service.²⁰⁴

Safaricom holds the largest market share, which in the voice sector of the telecoms market and based on its subscriber base and 81 per cent²⁰⁵ by revenue, was above the threshold for presumption of dominance. Safaricom had at the outset, and continues to have, an enduring significant market power in both mobile voice and SMS services which has enabled it to behave, to a significant extent, independently of its competitors, customers and ultimately consumers. Safaricom's hegemony and associated

²⁰¹ CGAP-GSMA Mobile Money Market Sizing Quoted CGAP (2009b) [http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wpcontent/uploads/2012/06/mmu_quarterly_update.p df]

²⁰² William Jack and Tavneet Suri, 'The Economics of M-Pesa' MIT NBER Working Paper 16721 2011 http://www.mit.edu/~tavneet/M-PESA_Update.pdf> accessed 3 September 2014.

Safaricom, 'M-Pesa Statistics' < http://www.safaricom.co.ke/images/Downloads/Personal/M-PESA/m-pesa statistics - 2.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁰⁴ Kevin Donovan, 'Mobile Money in the Developing World: The Impact of M-PESA on Development, Freedom, and Domination' (Masters Thesis, Georgetown University 2011).

Nojiyeza Simphiwe, and Jocelyn Muthoka, 'Barriers to Entry of Kenya's Telecommunication Industry: is there a Market Slice for New Entrants?' Journal of Management & Administration (2013) 11(1) 136–196.
 Mark Okuttah, 'Safaricom: Telkom Targeted in New Power Rules' (*Business Daily*, 17 August 2011)
 http://mobile.businessdailyafrica.com/Corporate+News/Safaricom++Telkom+targeted+in+new+market+power+rules/-/1144450/1220104/-/format/xhtml/item/2/-/56d3a5z/- accessed 3 September 2014.

competitiveness, superior tangible and intangible assets and managerial competencies, ²⁰⁷ first mover advantage and provision of cross-network effects for commercial users, all contributed to its dominance. ²⁰⁸

Sutherland argues that this monopoly and dominance in Kenya is a result of corruption in the telecommunications industry. Although problems of corruption in telecommunications are an established global phenomenon, concerns have been expressed over the unprecedented success of Safaricom as the various other telecommunications companies have lagged behind in their entry and market penetration. Part of its success is because Safaricom was formed to boost mobile telephony by bringing in foreign expertise and capital. Telkom Kenya acquired a 60 per cent interest in Safaricom by contributing its Electronic Technical Assistance Centre (ETAC), its GSM network and its subscriber base, which was valued at US \$30 million. It then lent Safaricom US \$33 million as its portion of the US \$55 million fee that Safaricom paid for its Kenyan cellular licence. Vodafone Kenya Limited acquired a 40 per cent interest in Safaricom by contributing US \$20 million in cash.

It later lent Safaricom US \$22 million as its portion of the fee paid by the company for its initial licence.²¹³ Other operators did not experience such a smooth entry into the Kenyan market. This means that Safaricom is not likely to be dislodged from its dominant position for many years. Efforts to reduce its market share have been unsuccessful due to there being no suggestion of creating a competitive market. The complexities of issuing

²⁰⁷ Nojiyeza Simphiwe and Jocelyn Muthoka, 'Barriers to Entry of Kenya's Telecommunication Industry: is there a Market Slice for New Entrants?' Journal of Management & Administration (2013) 11(1) 136–196. ²⁰⁸ Ibid.

²⁰⁹ Ewan Sutherland, 'A Short Note on Corruption in Telecommunications in Kenya' (January 2012) http://papers.srn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1996429 accessed 3 September 2014.

²¹⁰ Ewan Sutherland, 'Corruption in Telecommunications: Problems and Remedies' (2012) 14(1) info 4. http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1937556> accessed 3 September 2014.

²¹² At that time, Kenya Post and Telecommunication Corporation.

Safaricom, 'Prospectus' (14 March 2008, revised 28 March 2008) http://www.scribd.com/doc/7088318/Safaricom-Prospectus accessed 3 September 2014.

operating licences²¹⁴ to other players and the sagas behind the privatisations and their related investments, which ought to have eroded the position of Safaricom, have not yet done so.²¹⁵ Safaricom dominates the market share amongst the other four operators in Kenya's telecommunications industry.²¹⁶ Investigations into claims of unfair advantage and its dealings in the issuing of licences to its rivals appear to have been sidestepped as a result of the culture of impunity and lack of accountability.²¹⁷

Safaricom's competitors in the banking sector had offered, at the beginning in 2007, their services only in the country's major centre. However, compared to M-Pesa the services were not as efficient. Safaricom had invested heavily in its mobile service provision and had proven itself to be reliable in its service delivery, which in turn customers had to adjust to and adopt with the three elements that their mobile services offered.²¹⁸ As the telecommunications industry is a public utility, public ownership requires strong government controls, which form a component of the industry's operations. After the liberalisation of the telecommunications sector in Kenya, the state monopoly of the KPTC shifted from the government to a private sector oligopoly. This shows that there was a shift from structuralism to neo-liberalism.²¹⁹

Despite privatisation and the introduction of competition policies and laws, Safaricom, the incumbent, is still able to dominate the telecommunications markets while

²¹⁴ Concern has been expressed by the Kenyan Parliament and the Kenyan Chapter of Transparency International (TI) over a mysterious 'investor' in Safaricom, while the Africa Centre for Open Governance (AfriCOG) has raised similar issues over an investor in Kenya Telkom which avoided the framework of the Privatisation Act.

²¹⁵Sutherland E, 'A Short Note On Corruption in Telecommunications in Kenya' [2012] Available at SSRN 1996429

Nojiyeza Simphiwe and Jocelyn Muthoka, 'Barriers to Entry of Kenya's Telecommunication Industry: is there a Market Slice for New Entrants?' Journal of Management & Administration (2013) 11(1) 136–196.
 Including Airtel, Kenya Telkom (France Telecom Group) and Yu (Essar Telecom).

²¹⁸ Ignacio Mas and Amolo Ng'weno, 'Three Keys to M-PESA's Success: Branding, Channel Management and Pricing' (2014) 4(4) Journal of Payments Strategy & Systems 352.

²¹⁹ The active role of the state in driving economic development (freeing market forces from government control, reducing taxes, divesting state-owned enterprises, deregulation of telecommunication, weakening of the state's redistributive functions). Sonny Nwankwo, 'Assessing the Marketing Environment in Sub-Saharan Africa: Opportunities and Threats Analysis' (2000) 18 Marketing Intelligence & Planning 144.

enjoying significant advantages through skewed competition and unfair business practices.²²⁰ This highlights the fact that after a seven-year oligopoly, market liberalisation, market distortions and the competitive environment continue to be skewed in favour of Safaricom compared to other entrants.²²¹ This fact goes beyond the scope of the thesis but is pertinent to mention.

2.4.4. The Regulatory Environment

A regulatory environment guarantees the laws, rules, and regulations instituted by states or governments and civil organisations in favour of business activities. The regulatory structure for telecoms, and thereby MNOs, is regulated by the Communications Commission of Kenya (herein CCK). This falls under the Ministry of Information and Communications of the Government of Kenya and is headed by the Cabinet Secretary for Information and Communication. International bodies also regulate telecommunications at a regional and global level. These bodies include the International Telecommunication Union (ITU); the African Telecommunications Union (ATU), which is a branch of the ITU that specifically deals with Africa; the Groupe Speciale Mobile Association (GSMA), which is an association of mobile operators and affiliate companies committed to supporting the standardisation, deployment and promotion of the GSM

-

²²⁰ Charles Wachira, 'Airtel Challenges Safaricom Supremacy In Money-Transfer Industry' (*Business Daily*, 4 June 2014) http://www.businessdailyafrica.com/Corporate-News/Airtel-Challenges-Safaricom-Supremacy-in-Money-Transfer-Industry/-/539550/2336696/-/12nqmmwz/-/index.html accessed 20 January 2014.

²²¹ Luca Manica and Michele Vescovi, 'Mobile Telephony in Kenya is it "Making the life better"?' (2011) 23 http://www.it46.se/projects/UNITN_ict4sd/assignments/ICT4SD_manica_vescovi.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

²²² Nojiyeza Simphiwe and Jocelyn Muthoka, 'Barriers to Entry of Kenya's Telecommunication Industry: is there a Market Slice for New Entrants?' Journal of Management & Administration (2013) 11(1) 136–196.

mobile telephone system; and the Commonwealth Telecommunications Organization (CTO). ²²³

When M-Pesa was launched there were no specific laws governing electronic or mobile payments. The applicable banking laws were antiquated or transplanted.²²⁴ However, the banking sector paid no heed to that legislative vacuum. Instead, the CBK provided tremendous support to the operation of mobile payments. Safaricom was allowed to operate independently of the existing financial services regulatory environment, thereby enabling MNOs to provide financial services alongside the traditional banking sector.²²⁵ Furthermore, the CBK quickly recognised the broad economic benefits associated with M-Pesa's introduction and played a supporting role from the beginning.²²⁶ This effectively lowered the legal hurdles. The approach of the CBK was that regulation set out to govern mobile payments operation had the ability to either promote or constrain the deployment of a mobile money service in two key ways. First, the regulations may have influenced the structure of the business model, and this determines the ability of a mobile money provider to quickly reach a wider base of clients or to scale up and increase the number of agents.²²⁷ For instance, in Nigeria the mobile money service regulators mandated three mobile money business models that excluded MNOs, which had expertise with agent network management, from being lead providers of mobile money services.²²⁸ This has greatly hampered the speed of mobile money roll-

²²³ Muriuki Mureithi, 'Evolution of Telecommunications Policy Reforms in East Africa: Setting New Policy Strategies to Anchor Benefits of Policy Reforms' (2011) 2 Southern African Journal of Information and Communication.

²²⁴ Ibid at 218. This is elaborated in the chapter detailing Kenya's regulatory capability to adopt mobile payments law.

²²⁵ Nick Hughes and Susie Lonie, 'M-PESA: Mobile Money for the "Unbanked" Turning Cell phones into 24-Hour Tellers in Kenya' (2007) 2(1–2) Innovations 63.

²²⁶ Alliance for Financial Inclusion (n 5 Chapter 1).

²²⁷ Amrik Heyer and Ignacio Mas, 'Fertile Grounds for Mobile Money: Towards a Framework for Analysing Enabling Environments' (2011) 22(1) Enterprise Development and Microfinance 30.

²²⁸ Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN), 'Regulatory Framework for Mobile Payments Services in Nigeria' http://www.cenbank.org/out/circulars/bod/2009/regulatory%20framework%20%20for%20mobile%20payments%20services%20in%20nigeria.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

out in the country because non-MNOs have to form alliances with MNOs or build up an agent network – a process that can be complex and time consuming.²²⁹

Secondly, the regulations set out may encourage or undermine the nature of the user experience in, for instance, opening a mobile money account and transacting with retail agents.²³⁰ This is best illustrated by the regulatory environment in Tanzania where the requirement to comply with the Know Your Customer (KYC) protocol has made the M-Pesa user experience more cumbersome.²³¹ The protocol mandates that agents must identify their clients with a picture ID during the registration process and in subsequent transactions. However, Tanzania does not have a national ID system and this has forced people to look for other documents that can fulfil this requirement.²³² However, Kenya has a long established national ID system which most people hold. This system was instituted by the colonial government whose aim was to radically restrict the mobility of Africans. The main intention of the policy was supposedly to keep track of the labour pool efficiently.²³³ This is not the case in other developing countries that have attempted to adopt mobile payments as users are subject to a more cumbersome registration process.

This affects the ease of opening an account and transacting with clients, thus derailing the adoption rate of the service. The regulators also set various conditions. The value of an individual transaction was limited in order to prevent money laundering, and Safaricom was also required to integrate a system to prevent money laundering, including

2

²²⁹ Ibid.

²³⁰Amrik Heyer, 'Factors Affecting Uptake of Mobile Money Services: M-Pesa in Kenya and Tanzania' (2011) http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/fertile_grounds_mobile_money55.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

²³¹ Simone Di Castri and Lara Gidvani, 'Enabling Mobile Money Policies in Tanzania' (February 2014) http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/Tanzania-Enabling-Mobile-Money-Policies.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

²³² Gunnar Camner and Emil Sjöblom, 'Can the Success of M-Pesa be repeated? A Review of Implementations in Kenya and Tanzania' (2009) < http://emil.sjoblom.com/pdf/M-Pesa implementations Ke Tz.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

²³³ David M Anderson, 'Master and Servant in Colonial Kenya' (2000) 41 Journal of African History 459.

the monitoring of suspicious transactions. Regular audits in association with the Treasury Department;²³⁴ inquiries relating to fraud and system downtimes²³⁵ of the use of the technology or the robustness of the system; end to end inspection of encryption; SIM card function business processes; hardware security, organisational security backup control; and monitoring of all processes was met with a positive response by the Kenyan population.

Banks were initially apprehensive about the proliferation of mobile payments and the competition it posed for them.²³⁶ It wasn't long before the banks grew nervous and a year later the CBK was lobbied to investigate M-Pesa.²³⁷ Kenyan banks were apprehensive about the ability of M-Pesa to meet the risk management requirements, due to the large payment system network.²³⁸ This raised concerns over the ability for such an institution to operate outside of the regulatory scope.

The CBK was seen by banks to have had a light touch approach to the way it handled the entry of MNOs in providing mobile payments. This was in contrast to the regulatory burden that is imposed on the banking industry. Initially the regulatory environment did not allow for the discharging of financial services by agents, whilst MNOs extended their services through agents, both through the withdrawal of cash and depositing of cash for the e-float value.

2

²³⁴ Within the CBK.

²³⁵ These are part of the Operational Risks that will be examined in Chapter 3.pg103.

²³⁶ Jenny C Aker and Isaac M. Mbiti, 'Mobile Phones and Economic Development in Africa' (2010) 24(3) The Journal of Economic Perspectives 207–232 http://www.jstor.org/stable/20799163 accessed 3 September 2014; Isaac Mbiti and David N Weil, 'Mobile banking: The Impact of M-Pesa in Kenya' NBER Working Paper No 17129, June 2011 http://www.econ.brown.edu/faculty/David Weil/Mbiti%20Weil%20NBER%20working%20paper%2 017129.pdf> accessed 3 September 2014.

²³⁷ Alliance for Financial Inclusion http://www.afi-global.org/

²³⁸ Mobile for Development, 'Enabling Mobile Money Transfer' (2013) http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wpcontent/uploads/2013/09/enablingmobilemoneytransfer92.pdf> accessed 3 September 2014.

Mobile payment agents²³⁹ have to obtain their float from a bank, which increased the need for providing liquidity in remote branches to facilitate agents.²⁴⁰ This increased the perception that mobile payments where in direct competition with retail banks in the remittance market. Ironically, the CBK contributed to further trust within the mobile financial services and uptake of mobile payments, through its initial response that secured trust. This response further solidified the regulatory approach which was deemed as enabling.²⁴¹ Since, M-Pesa was launched without industry regulation it provided a regulatory vacuum as its structure did not put it under the purview of the CBK, albeit submitting itself for voluntary audit and 'slight' supervision.²⁴²

The market regulatory environment held challenges to new entrants and small MNOs who were frustrated by the dominance of Safaricom. This further highlights the lack of analysis across sub-Saharan Africa and the rest of the developing world on the social, political and institutional debates on ICT regulation. The focus has largely been on the inclusivity of mobile payments without looking at the social, political, institutional and legal issues that it has brought.

2.4.5. Urbanisation

The market size for domestic remittances is facilitated when rural-urban migration results in relatively high migration flows to urban areas without compromising the economic development in rural areas.²⁴³ Therefore, urbanisation ratios affect the demand for potential domestic remittances and these depend on rural-urban migration

²³⁹ Ibid.

²⁴⁰ Ibid.

²⁴¹ Which is discussed in 2.4. The Enabling Environment for Mobile Payments at p 47.

²⁴² The regulatory vacuum and its complexities will be examined in Chapter 4: The Legal and Regulatory Challenges of Mobile Payments.

²⁴³ Aishwarya Ratan, 'Using Technology to Deliver Financial Services to Low-income Households: a Preliminary Study of Equity Bank and M-PESA customers in Kenya' (TechReport, Microsoft Research, June 2008).

patterns. About 41 per cent of Kenyans live in urban areas.²⁴⁴ This pattern of urbanisation was inherited from the British colonial institutions following independence.²⁴⁵ The term 'dual system' describes the persistent connection that urban migrants or citizens maintain with their rural dwellings where their relatives still reside, nevertheless spending a significant amount of time living and working in urban towns.²⁴⁶

There are several reasons for these sustained connections which aid the ability to 'ease the transition to urban life'. ²⁴⁷ Nevertheless, the clarification for these strong urban-rural links that most concerns this study is the financial one. Due to the stark disparity between urban and rural wages, many labourers and household breadwinners migrate from rural centres to urban areas leaving behind their families, in search of more profitable employment opportunities. As a consequence, many rural households rely on remittances from their relatives in urban centres for survival; the two societies thus become linked as a matter of economic necessity. ²⁴⁸ Kenya provides a particularly convincing case for this 'dual system'. ²⁴⁹

In Kenya, '30% of households depend on remittances for their survival', ²⁵⁰ and over 78 per cent of Kenya's population lives in rural households. As such, Kenya's consumer market had articulated a significant demand for urban-to-rural remittance services prior to the implementation of M-Pesa. In fact, the urban-to-rural population

²⁴⁴ Gunnar Camner and Emil Sjöblom, 'Can the Success of M-Pesa be repeated? A Review of Implementations in Kenya and Tanzania' (2009) http://emil.sjoblom.com/pdf/M-Pesa implementations Ke Tz.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁴⁵ William T Morgan, 'Urbanization in Kenya: Origins and Trends' (1969) 46 Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers 167.

²⁴⁶ Olga Morawczynski, 'Surviving in the 'Dual System': How M-PESA is Fostering Urban-to-Rural Remittances in a Kenyan Slum' (University of Edinburgh, Social Studies Unit Working Paper, 2008) 2 http://www.mobileactive.org/files/file_uploads/Olga_Morawczynski-M-PESA-2008.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁴⁷ Mercy Buku and Michael W Meredith, 'Safaricom and M-Pesa In Kenya: Financial Inclusion And Financial Integrity' (2013) 8 WASH. J.L. TECH. & ARTS 375 < http://digital.law.washington.edu/dspace-law/handle/1773.1/1204 accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁴⁹ Mercy W Buku and Michael W Meredith, 'Mobile money in developing countries: financial inclusion and financial integrity. Safaricom and M-Pesa in Kenya (2013) 8(3) Wash JL Tech & Arts 375.
²⁵⁰ Ibid.

ratio in Kenya struck an imbalance in the residency of those in the rural areas and those in urban areas. This imbalance generated a large demand for the domestic remittance services offered by M-Pesa.²⁵¹ This shows that the potential market size for domestic remittances is directly related to urbanisation ratios. Furthermore, these market segments, that hold domestic remittances where large rural—urban migration is adequately embedded to provide large migration flows, are not however so advanced that rural communities are left out.²⁵² This demonstrates that both the history and culture coupled with public policy have worked together to establish and maintain this demand-producing urban-to-rural population ratio.²⁵³

During Kenya's colonial period,²⁵⁴ policies²⁵⁵ were implemented in the hope of preventing the establishment of permanent urban centres. For example, in urban areas, labour was only recruited for temporary periods, wages were kept low, and only small accommodation not suitable for family living was available. As a result, 'migrant workers would oscillate between ... urban and rural areas throughout their working life'.²⁵⁶ Kenya's post-colonial government reversed these policies and sought to jumpstart economic development through a nation-wide programme of urbanisation. Subsequently, urban wages and the number of permanent residents in urban areas significantly increased. However, the impact of these policies was muted due to the significant and sustaining links maintained by urban workers to their rural homes and rural communities.

-

²⁵¹ Ibid.

²⁵² Ibid.

²⁵³ Buku and Meredith 2013 (n 247).

²⁵⁴ Kenya's colonial period was between 1895 and 1963 when it gained independence from the British. Kenya was known as the British East African Protectorate.

²⁵⁵ These policies restricted movement by Kenyans from rural areas to urban centres by introducing a restrictive pass called a *Kipandes* were used to identify the region of origin and had to be presented whenever called upon.

²⁵⁶ Amrik Heyer and Ignacio Mas, 'Seeking Fertile Grounds for Mobile Money' Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Working Paper, 3 September 2009 5–6 http://mmublog.org/wpcontent/files_mf/fertile_grounds_mobile_money.pdf. > accessed 3 September 2014.

This was enhanced by Kenya's migrants' ties with their rural homes which are especially strong due to an ethnic conception of citizenship.²⁵⁷

Such ties are often expressed through burial, inheritance, cross-generational dependencies and social insurance, even in cases where migrants reside more or less permanently in cities.²⁵⁸ Despite government policies seeking to increase urbanisation, there has not been an unsustainable drain of workers from rural areas to urban centres in Kenya. Naturally, those workers who migrated to urban centres in search of work continue to send remittances to their rural homes in order to support their relatives who still continue to dwell in the villages. This singularity is not only apparent in Kenya, as strong ties to rural homes is commonplace in many African cultures. However, what Kenya shows is that there still exists a strong demand for domestic remittance services in Kenya due to its history, culture and pattern of population growth. Additionally, this fact contributes to the financial inclusion of the Kenyan population. This is evident as a result of mobile payments due to the demographic character of Kenya's consumer population, especially now due to the mobile phone revolution and penetration rates as discussed.²⁵⁹ Consequently, the poverty levels in Kenya remain relatively high, and as a result, for any financial service to be successful, it should take into consideration the need for any service not to be prohibitively expensive.²⁶⁰

The high urbanisation rate in Kenya promoted stronger urban–rural ties which favoured higher remittances.²⁶¹ This higher rate of urbanisation is attributed to Kenya's

-

²⁵⁷ Mercy W Buku and Michael W Meredith, 'Mobile money in developing countries: financial inclusion and financial integrity. Safaricom and M-Pesa in Kenya (2013) 8(3) Wash JL Tech & Arts 375.

Also during the colonial period, the colonial government created boundaries that fostered ethnic settlements and urban areas which represented a more cosmopolitan representation of the Kenyan people. ²⁵⁸ Morawczynski, (n 162).

²⁵⁹ See, Section, 2.4.2. Mobile Phone Rate Penetration in 52.

²⁶⁰ Ibid.

²⁶¹ Amrik Heyer and Ignacio Mas, 'Seeking Fertile Grounds for Mobile Money' (3 September 2009) http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/fertile_grounds_mobile_money55.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

capitalism development ideology, and policies that encouraged urbanisation through modernising the urban areas and leaving the rural areas largely underdeveloped. ²⁶² This influenced people in the rural areas, especially the males who were predominantly the heads of households, to move to urban areas to seek work opportunities. However, the existing cultural practices, such as ancestral land inheritance, created the need for urban migrants to maintain ties with their rural homes, and these were reinforced and maintained through sending remittances. ²⁶³ This resulted in the development of a dominant urban—rural remittance corridor that influenced the greater adoption of mobile payments in Kenya.

2.4.6. Other Country Specific Factors

Developing countries have been plagued by political instability and this has often impeded the ability of foreign investors to invest in Kenya.²⁶⁴ Kenya's political and investment climate has been very important for the success of Safaricom's M-Pesa. Usually, poor political and economic certainty discourages investment in additional services; and as aforementioned, mobile payments do particularly well in extreme environments where the quality of alternatives is low.²⁶⁵ However, M-Pesa experienced a big surge in usage during the post-election violence²⁶⁶ in Kenya during January 2008,

²⁶² Marc H Ross and Thomas S Weisner, 'The Rural-urban Migrant Network in Kenya: Some General Implications' (1977) 4 American Ethnologist 359.

²⁶³ Morawczynski (n 162).

²⁶⁴ Elizabeth Asiedu, 'Foreign Direct Investment in Africa: The Role of Natural Resources, Market Size, Government Policy, Institutions and Political Instability' (2006) 29(1) The World Economy 63. ²⁶⁵ Heyer and Mas 2010 (n 261).

²⁶⁶ The 2007–08 Kenyan crisis was a political, economic and humanitarian crisis that erupted in Kenya after incumbent President Mwai Kibaki was declared the winner of the presidential election held on 27 December 2007. Supporters of Kibaki's opponent, Raila Odinga of the Orange Democratic Movement, alleged electoral manipulation. Wangui Kanina, 'Kenya's Election Seen as Badly Flawed' Reuters (Nairobi, 18 September 2008) < http://www.reuters.com/article/2008/09/18/us-kenya-election-idUSLI38786120080918> accessed 3 September 2014.

partly because it remained available and partly because customers believed that M-Pesa was less susceptible than banks to political manipulation.²⁶⁷

Countries experiencing high levels of conflict tend to have sizable diaspora creating strong remittance opportunities.²⁶⁸ The use of financial services increases with the level of education in a country. ²⁶⁹ Generally, literacy is important in the provision of mobile money services because the product delivery platform, a mobile device, requires an individual to have the basic knowledge of how to read the mobile device screen. However, the need for financial literacy is even more important because it improves access to and utilisation of financial services. As a result, users are equipped with the capacity to gauge the value of a service and to demand other value added mobile money services. Regulation should facilitate innovation by removing barriers and ensuring a level playing field, which holds that legal standards should be equal especially for diverse stakeholders who are involved in the same activity. Through the continued introduction of innovative products, an enabling environment would turn to mitigating various risks such as operational risk and improving consumer protection. This would in turn precipitate appropriate regulation as other risks become apparent. 270 It is evident that the proliferation of mobile payments hinges greatly on the effective association between a strategic, business model, especially the one that Safaricom had, and an enabling environment that takes into account specific factors in the country in which it will be launched.²⁷¹

²⁶⁷ Olga Morawczynski and Mark Pickens, 'Poor People Using Mobile Financial Services' (2009) C-Gap Brief http://www.cgap.org/p/site/c/template.rc/1.9.36723/ accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁶⁸ Supriya Singh, 'Sending Money Home: Money and Family in the Indian Diaspora' in Ajaya K Sahoo and Brij Maharaj (eds), *Sociology of Diaspora: A Reader* (Rawat 2007).

²⁶⁹ Shawn Cole, Thomas Sampson and Bilal Zia, 'Prices or Knowledge? What Drives Demand for Financial Services in Emerging Markets?' (2011) 66(6) Journal of Finance 1933.

²⁷⁰ Irene Philippi, 'An Enabling Legal Environment For Mobile Payments And Peer-To-Peer Networks' UNCITRAL International Colloquium on Microfinance, creating an enabling legal environment for microbusiness, Vienna 16–18 January 2013, accessed 18 September 2014.

²⁷¹ Jan Ondrus, Kalle Lyytinen and Yves Pigneur, 'Why Mobile Payments Fail? Towards A Dynamic and Multi-Perspective Explanation' Mobile Business (ICMB), 2011 Tenth International Conference on. IEEE,

2.5. Success of Mobile Payments: Financial Inclusion

In this part of the chapter, the discussion will focus on financial inclusion. This has been the main success of mobile payments in Kenya, although it is also recognised that there have been several other benefits that the nexus of telecommunications and financial services (mobile financial services) has brought, such as mobile agriculture, 272 mobile health²⁷³ and mobile education programmes²⁷⁴ which have expanded socioeconomic development. A definition of financial inclusion in the Kenyan context will be given, and the benefits of financial inclusion and the challenges it presents will be considered. This will be done because much of the discussions on mobile payments have tended to focus on the overall benefits of financial inclusion. Although this cannot be denied, there has been no focus on post-inclusion issues. This part will then show how mobile payments have been instrumental in including the financially excluded and will do so by explaining the shift from a microfinance perspective that focused on pro-poor financial service provision to a broader agenda of financial inclusion that reaches not only those who are on low incomes but also those who are unbanked.²⁷⁵ It will discuss why financial inclusion is important in the context of access to finance through the expansion of a mobile payments network as an instrument for financial inclusion.

It will then conclude by showing that policy emphasis on financial sector development has indeed shifted away from microfinance and towards the development of inclusive financial markets through the proliferation of mobile payments systems. As

20

^{2011.} Jan Ondrus and Yves Pigneur, 'Towards a Holistic Analysis of Mobile Payments: A Multiple Perspectives Approach' (2006) 5(3) Electronic Commerce Research and Applications 246.

²⁷² Christine Z Qiang, Masatake Yamamichi, Vicky Hausman and Daniel Altman, Mobile Applications for the Health Sector (ICT Sector Unit, The World Bank 2011).

²⁷³ Sam Wambugu 'Mobile Phones to Offer Health Sector the Kiss of Life' *Daily Nation* (Nairobi, 9 April 2011) http://technews.tmcnet.com/fixed-mobile-convergence/news/2011/04/11/5436940.htm accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁷⁴ Ibid.

²⁷⁵ Financial exclusion in Kenya is not only limited to the poor, it includes the elderly, the unemployed, the disabled etc; see, Subir Gokarn, 'Financial Inclusion – A Consumer Centric View' (VIth Narayanan Memorial Lecture, Kumbakonam, 21 March 2011).

such, regulatory frameworks must now be adjusted to accommodate this new function that mobile payments have presented both for regulators and for consumers. Most importantly, this part discusses the overall success of mobile payments as an instrument for financial inclusion, which in turn makes it systemically important to Kenya's financial system.

2.5.1. Definition of Financial Inclusion

The definition of financial inclusion at its broadest is the ability of an individual, household or group to access apposite financial services or products.²⁷⁶ Without this ability, people are often referred to as financially excluded.²⁷⁷ The proper definition of the financially excluded includes households that are denied credit in spite of their demand for it. Credit is usually a key need for those excluded, however the scope of financial inclusion covers various other financial services, such as savings, insurance payments and remittance facilities by the formal financial system to those who tend to be excluded.²⁷⁸ It also refers to access to, availability and usage of the formal financial system for all members of an economy.²⁷⁹ Financial inclusion today is about financial markets that serve more people with more products at lower costs.²⁸⁰ Few people would dispute that mobile money can be an engine of financial inclusion, and that it has the potential to reach

²⁷⁶ Learn More About Financial Inclusion – Breckland' http://www.breckland.gov.uk/content/learn-more-about-financial-inclusion accessed 18 September 2014.

²⁷⁷ Ernest Aryeetey and Machiko Nissanke, Financial Integration and Development: Liberalization and Reform in Sub-Saharan Africa (Routledge 2005). On aspects of financial exclusion and efforts generally to increase financial inclusion around the world, see Amitabh Saxena, 'Accelerating Financial Inclusion through Innovative Channels: 10 Obstacles for MFIs Launching Alternative Channels – and What Can Be Done About Them' (2009) 27 Insight; KPMG "Underserved" Market Represents Opportunity for Banks' (2011) http://www.kpmg.com/us/en/issuesandinsights/articlespublications/press-

releases/pages/underserved-market-represents-opportunity-for-banks.aspx> accessed 3 September 2014. ²⁷⁸ S Mahendra Dev, 'Financial Inclusion: Issues and Challenges' (2006) Economic and Political Weekly 4310; HT Parekh, Finance Forum Economic and Political Weekly 14 October 20. 2006

²⁷⁹ Mandira Sarma and Jesim Pais, 'Financial Inclusion and Development: a Cross Country Analysis' (ICRIER 2008) < http://www.icrier.org/pdf/Mandira%20Sarma-Paper.pdf accessed 3 September 2014. ²⁸⁰ Tilman Ehrbeck, Mark Pickens and Michael Tarazi, 'Financially Inclusive Ecosystems: The Roles of Government Today' (2012) 76 Focus note.

millions of customers, including those at the bottom of the socio-economic pyramid.²⁸¹ Other international development organisations, as well as policy-makers in developing countries, have increasingly emphasised the need to build more inclusive financial systems as an essential part of their development agendas.²⁸²

Therefore, this part discusses the prevalence and the over-emphasis on the importance of financial inclusion. As access to finance is fundamental to the sustainable development of any economy, the limited penetration and usage of the formal banking sector might therefore help in the understanding of the limited economic development of sub-Saharan Africa.²⁸³ This thesis argues that any debate centred on the importance of financial inclusion cannot exclude innovation in the industry and the examination of financial stability and integrity, coupled with the need for consumer protection, as it is with these tenets that the full realisation of financial inclusion can be sustainable. Even so, there is a lot of debate about its definition, which has had a varied meaning depending on the national context and the stakeholders involved.²⁸⁴ With regard to Kenya, especially most recently, financial inclusion has come to mean 'banking the unbanked' or 'branchless banking'.²⁸⁵ Financial inclusion brings those from an informal economy to a more formal economy. Low income inequality countries tend to have relatively high levels

²⁸¹ Claire Alexandre and Lynn Chang Eisenhart, 'Mobile Money as an Engine of Financial Inclusion and Lynchpin of Financial Integrity' (2013) 8 Wash JL Tech & Arts 285.
²⁸² Ibid.

²⁸³ Alliance for Financial Inclusion, "The AFI Survey on Financial Inclusion Policy in Developing Countries: Preliminary Findings' (2010) < http://www.afi-global.org/sites/default/files/AFISurveyPresentation 2204 0.pdf > accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁸⁴ There is a wide-ranging discourse of financial inclusion from an economic perspective; however, this thesis will not delve into those perspectives.

²⁸⁵ This part of the thesis does not wish to delve into broad macroeconomic approaches either interventionist, laissez faire or pro market activists. It is recognised that there will be a variety of government approaches. See Thorsten Beck, Asli Demirgüç-Kunt and Patrick Honohan, 'Access to Financial Services: Measurement, Impact, and Policies' (2009) 24 World Bank Research Observer 119.

of financial inclusion.²⁸⁶ This isolation of poor people from formal banking means that they do not have the opportunity to understand how banks operate.²⁸⁷

2.5.2. Mobile Payments as an Instrument for Financial Inclusion

Financial exclusion provides that formal financial services are not accessible to a population, particularly in developing countries. For example, Bilodeaeau estimates that more than 2.5 billion people do not have access to formal financial services.²⁸⁸ They attribute this to a 'lack of infrastructure, information and inadequate customer service' associated with traditional banking models.²⁸⁹ This is particularly seen in developing countries such as Kenya where the majority of the population resides in rural areas.²⁹⁰

A well-functioning financial sector and its economic development have clear linkages.²⁹¹ Financial services that function well, are essential for economic development and the access dimension of financial development has often been overlooked.²⁹² Prior to M-Pesa's launch, the average amount required to open a current bank account was US \$105, while the average cost for operating the same was US \$19 per month. This suggests

²⁸⁶ Elaine Kempson, Adele Atkinson and Odile Pilley, 'Policy Level Response to Financial Exclusion in Developed Economies: Lessons for Developing Countries' (2008) University of Bristol, Personal Finance Research Centre http://www.pfrc.bris.ac.uk/Reports/dfid_report.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁸⁷ Alice Allan, Maude Massu and Christine Svarer, 'Banking on Change: Breaking the Barriers to Financial Inclusion'

(January 2013)

Inclusion' (January 20 < http://www.barclays.com/content/dam/barclayspublic/docs/Citizenship/banking-on-change.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁸⁸ James Bilodeaeau, William Hoffman and Sjoerd Nikkelen 'The Seven Pillars of Mobile Financial Services Development' in *The Mobile Financial Services Development Report* (World Economic Forum 2011) 3–14. ²⁸⁹ Ibid.

²⁹⁰ Jeremy Okonjo, Nature and Impact of Mobile Financial Services on the Telecoms Sector in Kenya' (2014) http://www.slideshare.net/JeremmyOkonjo/1-chapter-one-nature-and-impact-of-mobile-financial-services-on-the-telecoms-sector-in-kenya accessed 3 September 2014; TS Jayne and Milu Muyanga, 'Land Constraints in Kenya's Densely Populated Rural Areas: Implications for Food Policy and Institutional Reform' (Paper presented at 86th Annual Conference of the Agricultural Economics Society, University of Warwick, 16–18 April 2012).

²⁹¹ OECD, 'Policy Framework for Effective and Efficient Financial Regulation' (2010) http://www.oecd.org/finance/financial-markets/44362818.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁹² Asli Demirguèc, Thorsten Beck and Patrick Honohan, Finance for all? Policies and Pitfalls in Expanding Access (World Bank 2008).

that by the end of a year, the minimum one would owe a bank in fee charges would be US \$123. This can be compared with the M-Pesa service where there are no fees charged for deposits or withdrawals, or monthly ledger fees.²⁹³ M-Pesa has helped to expand local economies, enhance security, help people to accumulate capital, and make transactions easier.²⁹⁴ Mobile payments have reduced the dependency on cash because its use has indirect costs and policy ramifications such as expending a large amount of energy and resources managing it, keeping it safe and transporting it.²⁹⁵

Thirdly, existing financial institutions required a minimum amount of money to remain in the account at all times, and also charged exorbitantly high monthly fees for going below the minimum requirement.²⁹⁶ Closure of the accounts for non-performance was also a common practice by Kenyan banks. These issues made the idea of operating and maintaining banking accounts unattractive and expensive for most people.²⁹⁷

Mobile payments through M-Pesa have proven to be a viable business, and the government and civil society have been able to influence the market rate of adoption by changing how they conduct transactions and pay salaries.²⁹⁸ In addition, non-governmental organisations (NGOs), development banks, and bilateral development partners have been instrumental in introducing mobile payments as part of their relief

20

²⁹³ Finmark Trust, 'Understanding the Challenges and Opportunities in Promoting Savings Among Low Income Individuals in Lesotho, Malawi and South Africa' (November 2013) http://www.finmark.org.za/wp-content/uploads/pubs/Rep Savings SA Les Mal 2013.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁹⁴ Megan Plyer, Sherri Haas and Geetha Nagarajan, 'Community-Level Economic Effects of M-PESA in Kenya: Initial Findings' (IRIS Center Report, University of Maryland, 2010) http://www.fsassessment.umd.edu/publications/pdfs/Community-EffectsMPESA-Kenya.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁹⁵ Ibid.

²⁹⁶ Asli Demirguèc, Thorsten Beck and Patrick Honohan, Finance for All? Policies and Pitfalls in Expanding Access (World Bank 2008).

²⁹⁷ Tarlok Singh, 'Does Domestic Saving Cause Economic Growth? A Time-series Evidence from India' (2010) 32 Journal of Policy Modeling 231. See also Carlos Pallordet, 'Brazil's Dearth of Domestic Savings, a Limit to Growth' (March 2012) < http://byline.timetric.com/2012/03/23/brazils-dearth-of-domestic-savings-a-limit-to-growth/ accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁹⁸ See Pallordet, ibid.

initiatives.²⁹⁹ The systemic coordination with private sector participants has also been instrumental in developing a receptive market, which has obscured the usual barriers to financial inclusion, such as market disruptions caused by armed conflict, extreme poverty and natural disasters.³⁰⁰

Policy-makers in this context have begun to pay closer attention to financial inclusion, as well as practitioners and academics who increasingly emphasise financial inclusion as a policy objective.³⁰¹ The perception of building inclusive financial systems recognises not only the goal of incorporating as many poor and previously-excluded³⁰² people as possible into the formal financial system but also assigns to mainstream financial institutions the role of reaching out to the unbanked.³⁰³

It cannot be disputed that mobile payments are financially inclusive and offer the potential for financial integration by reaching millions more customers, including those at the bottom of the socio-economic pyramid. Mobile payments have resulted in the increased need for accounts and this data has been instrumental in record keeping which dispels the anonymity often associated with integrity concerns. Fraudulent and criminal activities have been difficult to ascertain if they are in cash, 'which is anonymous, fungible and largely invisible'. This provides an adequate monitoring system as the volume of

²⁹⁹ Ibid.

³⁰⁰ Eduardo Cavallo, Andrew Powell and Oscar Becerra, 'Estimating the Direct Economic Damages of the Earthquake in Haiti' (August 2010) 120(546) The Economic Journal F298.

³⁰¹ Alfred Hannig and Stefan Jansen, 'Financial Inclusion and Financial Stability: Current Policy Issues' (2010) ADBI Working Paper Series No 259 http://www.adbi.org/working-paper/2010/12/21/4272.financial.inclusion.stability.policy.issues/ accessed 3 September 2014.

³⁰² Masahiro Kawai, Eswar Prasad, *Financial Market Regulation and Reforms in Emerging Markets* Brookings Institution Press 2011) 287; Coimbator K Pralahad, *The Fortune at the Bottom of the Pyramid* (Pearson Education Inc 2006).

³⁰³ Ibid 302 see, UN Capital Development Fund (2006)

³⁰⁴ Coimbatore K Prahalad, The Fortune at the Bottom of the Pyramid, Revised and Updated 5th Anniversary Edition: Eradicating Poverty Through Profits (FT Press 2009).

³⁰⁵ Claire Alexandre and Lynn Chang Eisenhart, 'Mobile Money as an Engine of Financial Inclusion and Lynchpin Of Financial Integrity' (2013) 8 WASH. J.L. TECH. & ARTS 285 http://digital.law.washington.edu/dspace-law/handle/1773.1/1200 accessed 3 September 2014.

cash-based transactions are shifted into electronic-based transactions equipping those charged with monitoring.

M-Pesa has seemingly allowed for a safer cash-in-transit mechanism and storage for money especially for Kenyans in rural areas. M-Pesa has minimised the long trips to banks for the payments of their utility bills. 306 This has considerably reduced the time taken out of productive activities and consequently the costs associated with this movement. 307 Mobile payments potentially increase net household savings through the facilitation of person-to-person transactions, which improve the distribution of savings within households and businesses by improving not only the person to person credit market but by increasing the average return to capital in the market producing a feedback to the level of saving. 308

Some mobile payments users use their mobile phones as a savings device despite it not being the safest method to store money.³⁰⁹ In 2009, the average savings balance of an M-Pesa account was around US \$3.³¹⁰ Moreover, M-Pesa enables rural households to effectively spread risk. If a 'risk-related effect arises', M-Pesa facilitates a quick transfer of funds.³¹¹ Instead of waiting for conditions to worsen to levels that cause long-term damage, mobile payments have enabled support networks to keep negative shocks such as medical emergencies and political instabilities manageable.³¹²

³⁰⁶ Ibid.

³⁰⁷ On average US \$3 per transaction

³⁰⁸ Mercy W Buku and Michael W Meredith (n 247). Caroline Pulver, William Jack and Tavneet Suri, 'The Performance and Impact of M-Pesa: Preliminary Evidence from a Household Survey' (2009) FSD Kenya (unpublished).

³⁰⁹ Mas & Radcliffe (n 5) 180.

³¹⁰ Ibid.

³¹¹ Ibid.

³¹² During the post-election violence in 2008, Kenyans were left stranded as a result of the closure of all financial institutions, and money transfer was hampered due to the lack of liquidity.

Through financial inclusion, mobile payments have increased the bargaining power of weaker family members, in many cases women.³¹³ This further enables remittance receivers or family members to gain financial independence previously unavailable to them.³¹⁴ Customers of mobile payments are able to confirm their statements through the application in their mobile devices and are able to deposit or withdraw cash at any agent. This has created trust through consistency; this design is paramount in the successful implementation of mobile payments as it hinges on a well distributed agent network.³¹⁵

Studies from the World Bank have demonstrated that once money, production and investment are looked at in an integrated manner, banks and non-financial intermediaries can be seen as performing complementary functions essential to the economy. This could be why non-financial institutions become more important, if not equivalent, to banks in providing retail payment services as the systems develop. The issue of the 'blurring' distinction between banks and non-banks, and the importance of non-banks has long been recognised. The participation of non-financial institutions in providing payment services can be a threat to financial institutions. Mobile payments have fundamentally transformed the way financial services are delivered in Kenya. As a consequence, MNOs have increased their products through diversifying their services

-

³¹³ See generally, the dynamics of gender and the use of mobile payments, Yaobin Lu, , Shuiqing Yang, Patrick YK Chau, and Yuzhi Cao, 'Dynamics Between the Trust Transfer Process and Intention to Use Mobile Payment Services: A Cross-environment Perspective' (2011) 48(8) Information & Management 393–403.

³¹⁴ Mercy W Buku, and Michael W Meredith, 'Safaricom and M-PESA in Kenya: Financial Inclusion and Financial Integrity' (2013) 8 WASH. J.L. TECH. & ARTS 375 (2013)http://digital.law.washington.edu/dspace-law/handle/1773.1/1204

³¹⁵ Frederik Eijkman, Jake Kendall and Ignacio Mas, 'Bridges to Cash: the Retail End of M-PESA' (2010) 34 Savings & Development 219, 225.

Biagio Bossone, 'What Makes Banks Special? A Study on Banking, Finance and Economic Development' (2000) World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 2408 http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=630780 accessed 3 September 2014.

³¹⁷ PA Frazer, Plastic and Electronic Money – New Payment Systems and Their Implications (Woodhead-Faulkner 1985) 188.

and not only providing voice and data but now financial services.³¹⁸ For example, Safaricom's M-Pesa has become integral to the network operator's entire business model given that the revenue from its mobile payments services accounts for up to 13 per cent of its annual revenue.³¹⁹ The increasing profitability of mobile payments to the entire enterprise has prompted MNOs to increase their resources in efforts to develop their market share and dominance.³²⁰ This fundamental service has become so integral in the Kenyan payment system that MNOs have now become systemically important.

2.6. Conclusion

The success of M-Pesa has become a key driver in lifting people out of poverty, and therefore it has become an important feature in Kenya's financial system. This potential has been recognised by governments, experts and donor organisations.³²¹ Central Banks in emerging economies³²² have recognised the potential economic benefit of financial inclusion and have included it in their developmental agendas.³²³ However, after integration and inclusion the challenge is how to harness this success while ensuring that the stability of the financial system is not affected.³²⁴ In addition, now that the large

³¹⁸ David Cracknell, 'Policy Innovations to Improve Access to Financial Services in Developing Countries' [2013] Center for Global Development.

safaricom Limited, 'Annual Report and Group Accounts for the Year Ended March 2012' (2012) < http://www.safaricom.co.ke/safaricom_annual_report/pdfs/Safaricom_Annual_Report.pdf accessed 3 September 2014. The size of M-Pesa's revenue is significant when Safaricom's business model, as a market leader, is put into the perspective of prohibited market practices under the Competition Act 2010.

Jeremy Okonjo, Nature and Impact of Mobile Financial Services on the Telecoms Sector in Kenya' (2014)

http://www.slideshare.net/JeremmyOkonjo/1-chapter-one-nature-and-impact-of-mobile-financial-services-on-the-telecoms-sector-in-kenya accessed 3 September 2014

³²¹ BIS Working Papers – Bank for International Settlements, http://www.bis.org/publ/work347.pdf (accessed 18 September 2014). It has even received special attention from the G20. In Seoul, in late 2010, the G20 launched the Global Partnership for Financial Inclusion, which among others things, has been tasked to implement the action plan for Financial Inclusion Principles (Access through Innovation Sub-Group of the G20 Financial Inclusion Experts Group (2010).

³²² Subir Gokarn, 'Dr Subir Gokarn takes over as RBI Deputy Governor' (April 2011) http://www.rbi.org.in/Scripts/BS PressReleaseDisplay.aspx?prid=21700 accessed 3 September 2014.
323 Penelope Hawkins, 'Financial Access and Financial Stability' (2006) (BIS 2006) www.bis.org/press/p060619.htm 3 September 2014.

³²⁴ Ibid n 316, See also, Alfred Hannig and Stefan Jansen, 'Financial Inclusion and Financial Stability: Current Policy Issues' (2010) ADBI Working Paper 259 (Tokyo: Asian Development Bank Institute) < http://www.adbi.org/workingpaper/2010/12/21/4272.financial.inclusion.stability.policy.issues/ accessed 3 September 2014.

unbanked population has been included, regulation should consider who safeguards their interests. Therefore, this thesis will determine how regulation should be applied to newly-emerging financial services, such as mobile payments, that include the financially excluded. It will also attempt to determine the trade-off between financial innovation and regulation. While the academic literature has adequately discussed the relation between financial development and economic growth,³²⁵ there has been little discussion on whether financial development implies financial inclusion.

The success of mobile payments has evidenced that through technological innovation in financial services, it has been possible to expect growth in the financial sector. Mobile payments have also changed the links between the telecoms industry and financial regulation, particularly in developing countries such as Kenya where both industries are developing. The examination of Kenya in this study is consequently important as it offers the best example of how regulators and policy-makers alike can or have the opportunity to reach a consensus on the appropriate regulatory approach that would enable further development of this new innovative payment service. The regulatory challenges shall therefore be discussed in light of financial inclusion which presents unique challenges.³²⁶

-

³²⁵ Mark Gertler, 'Financial Structure and Aggregate Economic Activity: an Overview' (1988) NBER Working Paper No 2559 http://www.nber.org/papers/w2559.pdf> accessed 3 September 2014.

³²⁶ See Alliance for Financial Inclusion (n 5 Chapter 1). At the inception of M-Pesa in Kenya in 2007, Safaricom lobbied the CBK and the CCK for authorisation to provide mobile money transfer services without a regulatory framework. The CCK's reluctance to step in as a primary regulator was probably due to its unfamiliarity with the new converged product. The CBK has used its macroprudential regulatory mandate under Section 3 of the Central Bank of Kenya Act to issue negative authorisation to the provision of mobile financial services by mobile network operators. This is by way of issuance of letters of No Objection. These issues shall be discussed in Chapter 3 and 4.

CHAPTER 3

FINANCIAL STABILITY AND INTEGRITY AFTER FINANCIAL INCLUSION THROUGH MOBILE PAYMENTS

3.1. Introduction

Chapter 2 set out the broader context of the introduction of mobile payments into Kenya's national payments system, emphasising the enabling environment and the inclusive nature that mobile payments has accorded to Kenya. This chapter considers the ramifications of financial inclusion through mobile payments, by highlighting not only the inherent risks in payments systems but the unique risks that mobile payments now introduce.

The manner in which the payment system works has importance for the financial sector as a whole, for it influences the speed, financial risk, efficiency, and reliability of domestic and international transactions.¹ It also acts as a conduit through which financial and non-financial firms, as well as other agents, affect the overall stability of financial systems.² Moreover, it influences the effectiveness of monetary policy, because of its impact on 'the transmission process in monetary management, the pace of financial deepening, and the efficiency of financial intermediation.' Banking is one of the most

¹ Roger Ferguson, 'Should Financial Stability Be an Explicit Central Bank Objective?' (Presentation at the policy panel of BIS Conference on 'Monetary Stability, Financial Stability and the Business Cycle', (2003) 18 BIS Papers 7–15.

² Wilhelmina C Mañalac, Agnes M Yap and Magno Torreja, Jr., 'Real Time Gross Settlement (RTGS) System and Its Implications' (2003) http://www.bsp.gov.ph/downloads/publications/2003/BSR2003_03.pdf accessed 3 September 2014. See generally, Andrew Crockett, 'The Theory And Practice Of Financial Stability' (1997) 144 De Economist 531; Garry J Schinasi, 'Defining Financial Stability' (EPub) (International Monetary Fund 2004) which states that there is no analytical framework for defining financial stability, but that financial stability can be assessed through the safety and soundness of a financial system.

³ Ibid, Wilhelmina Mañalac, at 25; See also, *Omotunde EG Johnson, Payment Systems, Monetary Policy and the Role of the Central Bank* (International Monetary fund 1998). However, this study does not discuss the impact of

regulated and supervised economic sectors⁴ and the strength of the global financial system is such that most, if not all, financial institutions are connected, and systemic events in other countries or other sectors of the financial world buffet virtually all such institutions.⁵ States have therefore imposed elaborate sets of interconnected regulations on many components of the financial system, including payments systems, in recognition of the fact that the ability to pay efficiently, reliably, and securely is one of the guarantees of the development of modern economies.⁶

Payments and settlement systems therefore play an important role in improving the overall economic efficiency of developed and developing nations.⁷ Kenya continues to rely heavily on cash payments in most transactions,⁸ as the country's high percentage of cash holdings as a proportion of the money supply reflects,⁹ in spite of the risk and expense of cash.¹⁰ However, the introduction of mobile payments has changed the landscape for payments systems considerably, as a shift from cash to mobile payments, while reducing the risks inherent in cash, brings new risks. Using ubiquitous electronic

-

the payment system on monetary policy; rather it will highlight its effects and the need for a stable payment system for a stable financial system in general.

⁴ Many states review banking balance sheets as often as daily, which is internationally recognised by banking principles such as those put forward by the Bank of International Settlement.

⁵ Daniel C Hardy, 'Regulatory Capture in Banking' (2006) 34 International Monetary Fund),

⁶ Leon Perlman, 'Mobile Money and Financial Inclusion' (2012) < http://www.cellular.co.za/042012-mmoney-inclusion.htm accessed 3 September 2014.

Although neither the United Nations nor any other authority provides an established convention for the designation of 'developed' and 'developing' countries or areas. 'Composition of Macro Geographical (Continental) Region' http://unstats.un.org/unsd/methods/m49/m49regin.htm#ftnc accessed 3 September 2014. I also use the words 'developed' and 'developing' for statistical convenience although they do not necessarily express a judgment about the stage reached by a particular country or area in the development process. Also see, 'United Nations Statistics Division – Standard Country and Area Codes Classifications (M49)'. <Unstats.un.org> accessed 15 January 2014.' However, the examination of Gross Domestic Product offers a universally accepted requirement for this definition.

⁸ Cash dominance refers to the preference of people to use cash as the main instrument of payment rather than other non-cash forms of payments such as cheques or bank cards. See generally, Simone Di Castri, 'Mobile Money: Enabling Regulatory Solutions' GSMA, London, United Kingdom available at http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/MMU-Enabling-Regulatory-Solutions-di-Castri-2013.pdf accessed 14 August 2014.

⁹ Central Bank of Kenya Publication, 'Kenya Payments System (Framework and Strategy)' (2004) http://www.docin.com/p-433903551.html accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁰ Cash in transit concerns where money may be stolen at the points of sale, or in transit to the bank.

channels, instead of currency or paper instruments, brings Kenya's payments system significant efficiency.¹¹

The lack of access to financial services can exaggerate inequalities around the world, as a large proportion of poorer customers in developing nations¹² lack the ability to take advantage of some crucial financial instruments,¹³ but mobile financial services, particularly mobile payments, are financially inclusive.¹⁴ The success¹⁵ of the integration of mobile payments into Kenya's national payment systems' infrastructure reflects this.¹⁶ This case suggests that addressing the link between financially inclusive payment systems and stable, integrated markets through an appropriate regulatory framework has strong potential to support financial inclusion.

Financial inclusion¹⁷ aims at drawing the 'unbanked' and 'under banked' population into the formal¹⁹ financial system, thereby giving them²⁰ the opportunity to

¹¹ Leon Perlman, 'Mobile Money and Financial Inclusion' (2012) < http://cellular.co.za/042012-mmoney-inclusion.htm accessed 3 September 2014.

¹² Ibid.

¹³ These can include seamless and efficient savings facilities and payments networks that are some of the hallmarks of developed nations. Ibid. See also, Ferguson, The Ascent of Money: A Financial History of the World (2008) 16

¹⁴ See Chapter 2 on Financial Inclusion A Resultant Success Of Mobile Payments.65

¹⁵ See Section 3.3.1. Relevance to Stability and Development.

¹⁶ Mobile financial services is the collective term for financial services provided through mobile phones or mobile phone networks as a platform for delivery. Mobile payments are now part of the varied payments instruments provided first mentioned in 2007. https://www.centralbank.go.ke/index.php/retail-payment-statistics/mobile-payments?yr=2014> accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁷ Chapter 2 Section 2.6 provides a detailed definition of Financial Inclusion. 66

¹⁸ The 'unbanked' and the 'under banked' will be used in this study to refer to persons who do not have a bank account or those who rely on alternative financial services. See, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), 'Tapping the Unbanked Market Symposium' http://www.fdic.gov/news/conferences/TUM_bio.html accessed 3 September 2013.

¹⁹ Though I use formal financial system in this case, there are informal financial systems that exist in developing countries which unbanked and under banked persons in these countries use and trust over the formal financial systems. See generally, Meghana Ayyagari and Asli Demirgüç-Kunt, 'Formal Versus Informal Finance: Evidence from China' (2007) http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTFR/Resources/Formal verus Informal Finance Evidence from China.pdf accessed 3 September 2014; Mukwanason Hyuha, Mo Ndanshau and Jonas Paul Kipokola, *Scope, Structure and Policy Implications of Informal Financial Markets in Tanzania* (African Economic Research Consortium 1993).

²⁰ Alfred Hannig, Stefan Jansen, 'Financial Inclusion and Financial Stability' (2010) ADBI Working Paper Series, No 259

http://www.adbi.org/files/2010.12.21.wp259.financial.inclusion.stability.policy.issues.pdf accessed 3 September 2014. The financially excluded which this thesis will not delve into include, those who cannot access formal financial services. As elaborated in: Amitabh Saxena, 'Accelerating Financial Inclusion through Innovative Channels: 10 Obstacles for MFIs Launching Alternative Channels – and What Can Be Done About Them' (2009) 27 http://goo.gl/BwmHi; Ahmed Dermish and others, 'Branchless and

access financial services ranging from savings,²¹ payments,²² and transfers to credit and insurance. The 2011 white paper prepared on behalf of the GPFI 'Global Standard-Setting Bodies and Financial Inclusion for the Poor: Toward Proportionate Standards and Guidance' defines financial inclusion as 'a state in which all working age adults have effective access to credit savings, payments, and insurance from formal service providers. Effective access involves convenient and responsible service delivery, at a cost affordable to the customer and sustainable for the provider, with the result that financially excluded customers use formal financial services rather than existing informal options. ²³

Financial inclusion does not require that everybody should make use of the supply, The supply of financial services, in this case either financial institutions or banks or any other financial intermediaries available, although financial exclusion suggests that those who are excluded are typically excluded from the formal financial system, they may be financially included in an informal financial system, for instance in the case of subsistence farmers in villages who do not trust banks and therefore 'bank' underneath their mattresses. Neither does it require that providers should disregard risks²⁴ and other

Mobile Banking Solutions for the Poor: A Survey of the Literature' (2011) 6 Innovations 81; KPMG Study, ""Underserved" Market Represents Opportunity for Banks,' http://goo.gl/FHzAj accessed 3 September 2014; Oya P Ardic, Maximilien Heimann and Nataliya Mylenko, 'Access to Financial Services and the Financial Inclusion Agenda around the World: A Cross-Country Analysis with a New Data Set' (2011) (The World Bank, Financial and Private Sector Development, Financial Access Team of the Consultative Group to Assist the Poor) Policy Research Working Paper No 5537, 1–55 http://goo.gl/8EZS3 accessed 3 September 2014; Thorsten Beck, Asli Demirgüç-Kunt and Ross Levine, 'Finance, Inequality, and the Poor' (2007) 12(1) Journal of Economic Growth 27–49 http://goo.gl/0Pkyi; accessed 3 September 2014; Thorsten Beck, Asli Demirgüç-Kunt and Maria M Peria, 'Reaching Out: Access to and Use of Banking Services Across Countries' (2007) 85(1) Journal of Financial Economics 234–266, available at http://www.yearofmicrocredit.org/docs/Reaching Out Sept9.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

²¹ For instance through M-Kesho which is a bank account enabling the user to send money/transfer funds between the bank account (M-KESHO) & M-PESA system (Deposit & Withdrawal) < http://www.equitybank.co.ke/index.php/self-service/mobile-banking/m-kesho accessed 3 September 2014.

²² For instance through M-Pesa.

²³ Global Partnership for Financial Inclusion. See also, Alfred Hannig and Stefan Jansen, 'Financial Inclusion and Financial Stability: Current Policy Issues' http://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/53699/1/654899762.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁴ The inherent payment systems risks, which Section 3.3. Pre-Conditions to a Safe and Sound Payment System: The Need for an Appropriate Regulatory Approach will discuss. The likelihood of the dispersion of problems derived from system participants to the financial system as a whole via payment systems has increased the importance of payment systems risks. Risks emerging from payment systems can be mainly

costs²⁵ when deciding to offer inclusive services.²⁶ Therefore regulation and policy initiatives, through an appropriate regulatory framework, should intervene to correct market failures²⁷ through ensuring financial stability²⁸ and financial integrity through such measures as consumer protection, and regulation for mobile payments should reflect these objectives.²⁹

Financial integrity, financial stability, and financial inclusion have varying definitions depending on their context.³⁰ Financial stability has a multidimensional latitude that depends on the relationship of key elements of the 'financial system and requires that the key institutions and markets in the financial system remain stable.³¹ Financial inclusion through mobile payments, the focus of this thesis, changes the

classified as credit risk, liquidity risk, and operational risk. Federal Reserve Policy on Payment System Risk http://www.federalreserve.gov/paymentsystems/psr policy.htm? > accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁵ Costs any financial provider incurs in order to scale up their services such as the cost of setting up branches or a branch network in remote areas.

²⁶ Financially inclusive services, see, Mark Pickens, David Porteous and Sarah Rotman, 'Banking the Poor via G2P payments' 58 Focus Note and also Tilman Ehrbeck, Mark Pickens and Michael Tarazi, 'Financially Inclusive Ecosystems: The Roles Of Government Today' (2012) 76 Focus Note

²⁷ The causes of market failure occur due to inefficiency in the allocation of goods and services. Although markets are imperfect, government intervention through regulation may seek to correct distortions from mobile financial services markets to improve efficiency. See *Tyler Cowen, The Theory Of Market Failure: A Critical Examination* (George Mason University Press 1988).

²⁸ Garry Schinasi, Safeguarding Financial Stability: Theory and Practice (International Monetary Fund 2005)

²⁹ Mwangi Kimenyi and Njuguna Ndung'u, 'Expanding the Financial Services Frontier: Lessons from Mobile Phone Banking in Kenya' (Brookings Institution, October. RW Ferguson, 'Should Financial Stability be an Explicit Central Bank Objective' Challenges to Central Banking from Globalized Financial Systems, International Monetary Fund, Washington DC 2008.

³⁰ As Chatain and others have documented, this exposure typically had not existed before. Financial inclusion, financial stability, financial integrity and financial consumer protection can have varying definitions depending on the context. The 2011 white paper prepared on behalf of the Global Standard-Setting Bodies and Financial Inclusion for the Poor.: 'Toward Proportionate Standards and Guidance', defines financial inclusion as 'a state in which all working age adults have effective access to credit, savings, payments, and insurance from formal service providers. Effective access involves convenient and responsible service delivery, at a cost affordable to the customer and sustainable for the provider, with the result that financially excluded customers use formal financial services rather than existing informal options.'

³¹ Alfred Hannig and Stefan Jansen, 'Financial Inclusion and Financial Stability: Current Policy Issues' (2010) ADBI working paper series, No 259 http://www.adbi.org/files/2010.12.21.wp259.financial.inclusion.stability.policy.issues.pdf accessed 3 September 2014; Andrew Crockett, 'Market Discipline and Financial Stability' (2002) 26 Journal of Banking & Finance 977.

transactions that take place,³² the consumers that use the various services,³³ the risks they encounter, and the institutions that they operate in.³⁴ While financial inclusion is a lynchpin for financial integrity,³⁵ as mobile money reduces the dependency on cash,³⁶ it creates integrity risks³⁷ through the generation of data that is useful in tracing transactions.³⁸ The question then arises, how do regulators promote financial inclusion while protecting the financial integrity and stability of the financial system, and how do these measures manifest in other regulatory domains relating to telecommunications, consumer protection, and anti-money laundering regulation? At the same time, who safeguards the payment system?

This chapter highlights some of these issues in relation to the introduction and regulation of mobile payments in Kenya and presents them as a post-financial inclusion issue. It argues that regulators need to reform their regulatory approach in developing countries, as stakeholders should not have to sacrifice financial stability and integrity to access financial services. While inclusion creates better record-keeping mechanisms that

³² Where the transactions have been steadily increasing since the inception of M-Pesa in 2007. In 2007 the transactions totalled 1.5 billion Kenya shillings; in 2014, the figure was 351 billion Kenya Shillings, https://www.centralbank.go.ke/index.php/retail-payment-statistics/mobile-payments?yr=2014> accessed 3 September 2014.

³³ Ibid at 3.

³⁴ Both financial institutions and non-financial institutions, stakeholders, MNOS, and mobile payments agents, through the convergence of the telecoms and the financial industries.

³⁵ Claire Alexandre And Lynn Chang Eisenhart, 'Mobile Money In Developing Countries: Financial Inclusion And Financial Integrity: Mobile Money As An Engine Of Financial Inclusion And Lynchpin Of Financial Integrity' (2013) 8 Wash JL Tech & Arts 285.

³⁶ Roger Ballard, 'Coalitions of Reciprocity and the Maintenance of Financial Integrity within Informal Value Transmission Systems: The Operational Dynamics of Contemporary Hawala Networks' (2005) 6 Journal of Banking Regulation 4; also, Global Financial Integrity, 'Illicit Financial Flows from Africa: Hidden Resource for Development' http://www.gfintegrity.org/storage/gfip/documents/reports/gfi-africareport-web.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

^{&#}x27;Evaluating Valerie Dias, the Integrity of Consumer Payment Systems' http://www.visaeurope.com/idoc.ashx?docid=ee00fe8c-ca32-4d75-bf90-de1ff5e7d5ae&version=-1 accessed 3 September 2014; Pierre-Laurent Chatain et al, Protecting Mobile Money Against Financial Crimes: Global Policy Challenges and Solutions (World Bank Publications 2011); Dev Kar and Devon Cartwright-Smith, Developing Financial Flows from Countries: 2002-2006' http://www.gfintegritv.org/storage/gfip/executive%20-%20final%20version%201-5-09.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

³⁸ Simone Di Castri, 'Mobile Money: Enabling Regulatory Solutions' (2013) http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/MMU-Enabling-Regulatory-Solutions-di-Castri-2013.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

allow for states to enforce Know Your Customer (KYC) rules, it also exposes mobile payments agents, banks, and consumers, to money laundering and other financial crimes.³⁹ The continued use and adoption of changing business models within the mobile financial services framework calls for a reconceptualization⁴⁰ of the borders within which mobile payments exist and the importance of a safe and sound payments system.⁴¹

Although mobile payments constitute low value payments,⁴² the exposure of financial institutions to risk from low income markets depends on the share of the revenues that they present, rather than the size of each payment,⁴³ and in Kenya, the share of mobile payments is quite significant for many businesses.⁴⁴ Many Kenyans, who previously paid for everything in cash, have adopted mobile payments as a safer way to transact.⁴⁵ Specialised microfinance institutions and large public banks with a significant impression in low-income segments in Kenya have particularly high risk exposure.⁴⁶ I challenge the view that large numbers of consumers 'that frequently transact small

³⁹ See, James Gobert and Maurice Punch, Rethinking Corporate Crime (Cambridge University Press 2003).

⁴⁰ This term refers to the redefining of conventional or traditional views or approaches to how financial services, especially innovative financial services, have been defined.

⁴¹ Claudio É Borio and Renato Filosa, 'The Changing Borders of Banking: Trends and Implications' (1994) BIS Working Paper No 23 http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Delivery.cfm/SSRN ID868431 code543654.pdf?abstractid=868431&mirid=1 accessed 3 September 2014. This paper explores the analytical questions concerned with the case for and against closer integration between different financial activities and the implications for prudential regulation and supervision. Their intention was to provide a general framework and cross-country background against which the interrelations between the various policy issues can be highlighted. This is juxtaposed against the interrelationship between the various regulatory authorities and the complexities in prudential regulation.

⁴² Michael Klein and Ignacio Mas, 'A Note on Macro-financial Implications of Mobile Money Schemes' (2012) Frankfurt School Working Paper No 188 http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Delivery.cfm/SSRN_ID2033816 code829576.pdf?abstractid=2033816& mirid=1> accessed 3 September 2014. See also Amir Herzberg, 'Payments and Banking with Mobile Personal Devices' (2003) 46 Communications of the ACM 53.

⁴³ Dale F Gray, Robert C Merton and Zvi Bodie, A New Framework for Analyzing and Managing Macrofinancial Risks of an Economy (2006) Working Paper No 07-026 http://www.hbs.edu/faculty/Pages/download.aspx?name=07-026.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

⁴⁴ Ibid, at 39. 31 per cent of Kenya's GDP is spent through mobile phones; MNOs are clearly 'Too Important To Fail'.

Financial Stability Report, 'Kenya 2012' (2012) 47 https://www.centralbank.go.ke/images/docs/kfs/financial%20stability%20report%202012.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

⁴⁶ Equity Bank is one such bank that has developed this impression on the low income segments of Kenya's population.

amounts put substantial strain on supervisory resources but pose limited systemic risk.' The proliferation of mobile payments not only imposes supervisory and oversight challenges⁴⁷ due to the large population now entering the formal financial system, but issues such as consumer protection arise due to the lack of sophistication among low income consumers, whose perception of risk is low combined with the lack of a system wide financial consumer protection safety net.⁴⁸

The lessons of the Global Financial Crisis (2007–2009) apply here.⁴⁹ The crisis brought to light the changes and reforms any financial system requires for stability⁵⁰ in the face of rapid financial innovation⁵¹ or technological advancements. Prolonged macroeconomic stability had resulted in highly complex and interconnected systems that regulators inadequately understood. Therefore, as payments systems expose the financial systems to risks, they warrant an appropriate regulatory approach such that they are able to reduce vulnerabilities in the banking and financial systems.⁵² The existing regulatory

⁴⁷ Chapter 4 discusses these challenges.

⁴⁸ Consumer Understanding of Financial Risk (FSA Consumer Reach 2004)33. Information asymmetries contribute to the justification for consumer protection. See Olga Morawczynski and Mark Pickens, 'Poor People using Mobile Financial Services: Observations on Customer Usage and Impact from M-PESA.' (2009) http://www-wds.worldbank.org/external/default/WDSContentServer/WDSP/IB/2009/09/11/000333037 2009091
1001822/Rendered/PDF/503060BRI0Box31MPESA1Brief01PUBLIC1.pdf
accessed 3 September

⁴⁹ This is the period between 2007 and 2009, as defined in John Raymond LaBrosse, Rodrigo Olivares-Caminal and Dalvinder Singh, *Financial Crisis Containment and government Guarantees* (Edward Elgar 2013). See also Anup Shah, 'Global Financial Crisis' (2013) 25 Global IssuesKevin Rudd, 'The Global Financial Crisis' (2009) The Monthly <http://www.themonthly.com.au/issue/2009/february/1319602475/kevin-rudd/global-financial-crisis accessed 3 September 2014.

⁵⁰ Prassana Gai, *Systemic Risk The Dynamics Of Modern Financial Systems* (OUP 2013); also, Prasanna Gai and Sujit Kapadia, 'Contagion in Financial Networks' (2007) contagioninfinancialnetworks.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

⁵¹ Dan Awrey 'Complexity, Innovation and the Regulation of Modern Financial Markets' (2012) 2(2) Harvard Business Law Review 235; Dan Awrey, 'Regulating Financial Innovation: A More Principles-based Alternative?' (2011) 5(2) Brooklyn Journal of Corporate, Financial and Commercial Law 273, although this term is open to interpretation, however; see William Silber, *Towards a Theory of Financial Innovation* (Lexington Books 1975).

⁵² Piet Clement, 'The Term 'Macroprudential': Origins And Evolution' (2010) 6 BIS Quarterly Review 59; Claudio Borio And Christopher J Green, 'Implementing The Macroprudential Approach To Financial Regulation And Supervision' Ben Bernanke, 'Implementing A Macroprudential Approach To Supervision And Regulation' (2011) < http://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/speech/bernanke20110505a.pdf > accessed 3 September 2014.

framework cannot characterise the abrupt non-linear adjustments and systemic effects that may exist at the payments systems level,⁵³ as the research on new macroprudential frameworks that screens instruments,⁵⁴ markets, and institutions,⁵⁵ reflects.

It should recognise the potential for instability arising from the financial system, whether from what Claudio (2009) calls 'excessiveness in cycles' or from spill overs through interconnectedness. Additionally, in accordance with a study from the Bank for International Settlements (BIS), two types of externalities drive systemic risk: joint failures of institutions and the fact that the undercurrents of the financial system and of the real economy are mutually reinforcing. The former reflects institutions' common exposures at a single point in time, either through, for example, the mobile payments agents, or through procyclicality. The latter increases the amplitude of booms and busts and undermines stability in both the financial sector and the real economy. The research also shows that each financial institution's marginal contribution to systemic risk correlates

⁵³ IMF, 'Singapore: Financial System Stability Assessment, including Reports on the Observance of Standards and Codes on the following topics: Banking Supervision, Insurance Regulation, Securities Regulation, Payment and Settlement Systems, Monetary and Financial Policy Transparency, and Anti-Money Laundering' (2004) http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/scr/2004/cr04104.pdf accessed 3 September 2014; 'Trade-offs and Synergies between Financial Inclusion and Financial Stability' (7 Aug. 2014)

http://www.adbi.org/workingpaper/2010/12/21/4272.financial.inclusion.stability.policy.issues/tradeoffs.and.synergies.between.financial.inclusion.stability/ accessed 3 September 2014.

⁵⁴ Alfred Hannig and Stefan Jansen, 'Financial Inclusion and Financial Stability: Current Policy Issues' (2010) ADBI Working Paper Series, No 259 http://www.adbi.org/files/2010.12.21.wp259.financial.inclusion.stability.policy.issues.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

⁵⁵ Stijn Claessens, Swati R Ghosh and Roxana Mihet, 'Macro Prudential Policies to Mitigate Financial Vulnerabilities in Emerging Markets' (2014) 155 http://www.worldbank.org/content/dam/Worldbank/document/Poverty%20documents/EMERGING-WB-CH05-155-178.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

⁵⁶ Claudio E Borio and Mathias Drehmann, "Towards An Operational Framework For Financial Stability: "Fuzzy" Measurement And Its Consequences' (2009) Working Paper No 284
http://www.bis.org/publ/work284.htm accessed 3 September 2014.

⁵⁷ Procyclicality refers to the tendency of financial variables to fluctuate around a trend during the economic cycle. Increased procyclicality, thus, simply means fluctuations with broader amplitude such as the fluctuation of gross domestic products. Jean-Pierre Landau, Procyclicality: What It Means And What Could Be Done (2009) Also see, Claudio Borio, Craig Furfine and Philip Lowe, 'Procyclicality of the Financial System and Financial Stability: Issues and Policy Options' BIS papers 1 http://www.bis.org/publ/bppdf/bispap01a.pdf accessed 3 September 2014, which explains that procyclicality refers to the tendency of financial variables to fluctuate around a trend during the economic cycle.

with its size in a nonlinear way. Therefore, smaller institutions contribute disproportionately less risk than larger institutions.⁵⁸ Since no regulatory body oversees or supervises small institutions' activities, especially in Kenya as a result of a fragmented regulatory authority, the effects are offset causing small institutions to pose certain unique risks that make consumers vulnerable.

To illuminate these points, I will first construct a contextual framework for the placement of payments systems in the overall financial infrastructure in Kenya and then examine the inherent risks⁵⁹ that they present to the financial system, which the regulatory approach and framework that Kenya has adopted since the inception of mobile payments does not adequately address. I will discuss the preconditions of a safe and sound payment system, which a financial regulator should safeguard, exploring the unique risks that mobile payments systems present through a multi-layered analysis of the risk exposures at every level of the mobile payment from the MNOs to the banks to the agents to the consumers, where these risks impinge on the financial stability and integrity⁶⁰ of Kenya's financial system. An appropriate regulatory framework for mobile payments will involve balancing different objectives such as stability and financial integrity and the protection of consumers.

3.2. Kenya's Payment System Structure: An Overview

Kenya has a large wholesale and retail value payment system, where value is transferred via the Real Time Gross Settlement System (hereafter RTGS). The Kenya

⁵⁸ Alfred Hannig and Stefan Jansen, 'Financial Inclusion and Financial Stability: Current Policy Issues' (2010) ADBI Working Paper Series, No 259 http://www.adbi.org/files/2010.12.21.wp259.financial.inclusion.stability.policy.issues.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

⁵⁹ The probability of a loss arising out of circumstances or existing in an environment in the absence of any action to control or modify the circumstances.

⁶⁰ This thesis uses market integrity narrowly, to encompass anti-money laundering initiatives and efforts to counter the financing of terrorism where a broader concept would also cover transparency and government elements.

Electronic Payment and Settlement System (hereafter KEPSS) was launched in July 2005 and the Government Domestic Debt Central Securities Depository, where the operation of other securities settlement systems occurs.⁶¹ All these are under the greater ambit of the Automated Clearing House (ACH) which handles retail-based payments.

Under the RTGS system, both the processing and the final settlement of funds transfers' instructions take place continuously (i.e. in real time) from one bank to another, on a transaction-by-transaction basis, assuming the sending participant has sufficient covering balance or credit (settlement limit). The payment is taken as final and irrevocable. This mitigates systemic settlement risk inherent in large value net settlements, 62 but a bank's obligations can accumulate during the day if it doesn't have the funds or credit capacity to make its payments instantly. Therefore if a large number and value of transfers are delayed, the initial impact could resemble that of a settlement failure.

No statutory power regulates mobile payments,⁶³ but the Central Bank Act (CBK Act)⁶⁴ as amended in 1996 gave the National Payment System Division (NSPD) of the CBK certain implicit powers to oversee and regulate the payments systems to safeguard the integrity, effectiveness, efficiency, and security of the payments system. NPSD conducts periodic examinations relating to system integrity and the technical and risk

⁶¹ Central Bank of Kenya, 'Presentation to the Board of Directors of Domestic Regulators July 2009' (2009) http://goo.gl/6cK6D accessed 3 September 2014.

⁶² Kenya Electronic Payment and Settlement System (KEPSS) < https://www.centralbank.go.ke/index.php/2012-09-21-11-44-41/kepss accessed 3 September 2014.

⁶³ The National Payments System Act was enacted in 2013, but the Act is not fully operational as of this writing. Payment Systems in Kenya 2003 page 1Central Bank Publication, although the CBK published a framework and strategy document on the payment system in 2013 that mooted a draft National Payment System Bill. In the absence of any payment laws, the Payment Systems Division has the authority to ask for information from non-bank payment service providers, but it does not have the power to inspect them. The National Payments System Act was enacted in 2013, but the Act is not fully operational as of this writing.

⁶⁴ The CBK considers oversight of payment systems to be one of its core functions. CBK (2009c) Section (4).

management infrastructure (including back-up facilities) of payment systems.⁶⁵ This covers both large value (wholesale) and retail value payment systems including the bank's own internal systems.⁶⁶ It therefore subscribes to the BIS' Core Principles for Systemically Important Payment Systems (hereafter CPSIPS) and deals with system registrations; system assessments; regulations and incentives; policy dialogue; monitoring; analysis and surveys; as well as contact with market players.

3.3. The Need for an Appropriate Regulatory Approach through the Examination of the Pre-Conditions to a Safe And Sound Payment System

A sound payment system requires sound and effective regulation and supervision. As it protects and maintains the confidence of the stakeholders – the MNOs, the agents, and the consumers – and therefore plays an effective role in the overall economic development. The safeguarding and stability of the financial system should be the focus of Kenya's regulatory authorities. This includes the market infrastructure, which would strengthen the resilience of individual financial institutions. This would sustain the ability of Central Banks to regulate and supervise financial institutions in a periodic manner through the promotion of responsible fair dealings in the interest of consumers all in regard to financial stability. ⁶⁷

,

National Payments Systems Framework (August 2004) http://www.docstoc.com/docs/83536188/nps framework accessed 3 September 2014. This involves requisitioning selected information from system participants or through regular off-site data reporting by participants and system operators and onsite inspections or online monitoring of real time payment and settlement flows and of risk levels across the payment systems.

⁶⁶ The Kenyan Payment System consists of Electronic Payment Systems, ATM networks (maintained by large banks), KenSwitch (maintained by some small and medium banks), PESA point (maintained by a private provider linked to one commercial bank), Remittances (commercial banks licensed to send/receive domestic/foreign remittances), the Post Office Savings Bank (receives foreign remittances), Western Union (uses agents) sends and receives.

^{67 &#}x27;Safeguarding the Stability of the Financial System' http://www.bnm.gov.my/files/publication/fsbp/en/06_Safeguarding_the_Stability_Financial_System.pdf accessed 18 September 2014.

This requires taking key trends affecting the financial landscape into consideration, including the inherent risks in the payments system and the particular risks of a mobile payments system. The increasing size and complexity of the institutions involved in the mobile payment systems in Kenya, particularly MNOs, where there are higher levels of financial services innovation, complicates the task.

As mobile financial services in general continue to evolve and develop towards even more convergence, the legal standing and accountability of MNOs must be enshrined in law. Kenya must establish a competitive and vibrant operating environment that protects the interests of consumers, who are the most vulnerable stakeholders, through reconceptualising the greater role of development financial institutions (DFIs) in financial inclusion. Furthermore, addressing potential risks to financial stability and market integrity through timely and appropriate regulatory measures will protect the entire system. Whether this calls for raising the standards of corporate governance, risk management, and control functions or through imposing certain requirements on MNOs, a safe and sound payment system will eventually lead to a safe and sound financial system.

3.3.1. Relevance to Stability and Development: Financial Inclusion, Stability and Integrity A Nexus.

Financial inclusion aims at drawing the unbanked population into the formal financial system, giving those who are excluded the opportunity to access financial

⁶⁸ Ibid.

services ranging from savings, payments, and transfers to credit and insurance.⁶⁹ While finance contributes to economic development and poverty reduction,⁷⁰ financial sector policies in developing countries have now evolved over the past decades to encourage the offering of financial services to the poor, and microfinance institutions, credit unions, and savings cooperatives have made considerable progress in the last two decades.⁷¹ This has caused the absolute number of savings accounts worldwide to exceed the global population. However, half of the world's adult population—2.5 billion people—has no access to savings accounts or other formal financial services.⁷² Both voluntary exclusion and unfavourable risk-return characteristics may preclude a household or a small firm, despite unrestrained access, from using one or more of the services.⁷³

69

⁶⁹ Alfred Hannig and Stefan Jansen, 'Financial Inclusion and Financial Stability: Current Policy Issues' Working Paper Series, http://www.adbi.org/files/2010.12.21.wp259.financial.inclusion.stability.policy.issues.pdf accessed 3 September 2014; Thorsten Beck, Asli Demirgüc-Kunt and Maria S Martinez Peria, 'Banking Services for Everyone? Barriers to Bank Access and Use Around the World' (2008) 22(3) World Bank Economic Review 397-430 http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1318112 accessed 3 September 2014; John Caskey, Clemente Duran and Tova M Solo, "The Urban Unbanked in Mexico and the United States' World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No 3835 <http://wwwwds.worldbank.org/external/default/WDSContentServer/WDSP/IB/2006/01/26/000016406 2006012 6162730/Rendered/PDF/wps3835.pdf> accessed 3 September 2014.

⁷⁰ Alberto Chaia et al, 'Half the World is Unbanked' (2011) http://financialaccess.org/sites/default/files/110109%20HalfUnbanked_0.pdf accessed 3 September 2014; Also, Tushar K Das, 'Financial Inclusion and Regulation in India' (2012) http://eldis.org/vfile/upload/1/Document/1306/FIRI2.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

⁷¹ Stijn Claessens, 'Access to Financial Services: A Review of the Issues and Public Policy Objectives' (2006) World Bank Research Observer 207-240 <http://wwwwds.worldbank.org/servlet/WDSContentServer/WDSP/IB/2005/05/15/000090341 20050515132903/ Rendered/INDEX/wps3589.txt > accessed 3 September 2014; Asli Demirgüç-Kunt, Thorsten Beck and Patrick Honohan, Finance for All? Policies and Pitfalls in Expanding Access (World Book Publications 2008) http://books.google.co.uk/books/about/Finance for All.html?id=GYMP9miaK5cC> September 2014; Gary Dymski and Pamela Friedman, 'Banking the Unbanked: Helping Low-Income Families Build Financial Assets' (2005) http://text.123doc.vn/document/1148313-banking-the- unbanked-helping-low-income-families-build-financial-assets-pptx.htm> accessed 3 September 2014; Deon Glajchen, A Comparative Analysis of Mobile Phone-Based Payment Services in the United States and South Africa' (PhD Dissertation, Northcentral University, 2011).

⁷² Alberto Alberto Chaia et al, 'Half the World is Unbanked' (2011) < http://financialaccess.org/sites/default/files/110109%20HalfUnbanked_0.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

⁷³ Alfred Hannig, and Jansen Stefan (n 1 Chapter 1); Gary Dymski, 'Banking Strategy and Financial Exclusion: Tracing the Pathways of Globalization' (2005) 31 Revista de Economia, 1–29, 107–143 http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=901834 accessed 3 September 2014. FDIC, 'FDIC National Survey of Unbanked and Underbanked Households December 2009' (2009) http://www.fdic.gov/householdsurvey/2009/executive summary.pdf accessed 3 September 2014; FDIC, 'FDIC Advisory Committee on Economic Inclusion: Strategic Plan' (2010) http://www.fdic.gov/about/comein/finalPlan.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

This exclusion does not necessarily warrant policy intervention, but policy initiatives should aim to correct market failures and to eliminate non-market barriers that smaller actors encounter.⁷⁴

As a policy objective, financial services to the unbanked has piqued the interests of academics, governments, practitioners and policy-makers alike. Building inclusive financial systems identifies the need to integrate the unbanked and financially excluded into formal financial systems, but also requires that financial institutions should reach out to those who are excluded. As a result, countries like Kenya have embraced financial inclusion an important policy goal that complements the traditional pillars of monetary and financial stability, as well as other regulatory objectives such as consumer protection and financial integrity. To

Not all policy reflects scholars' changing views on household behaviour with respect to financial services. Low-income households rely on various financial instruments in their daily management of cash flows and risks, and in their efforts to build assets through saving.⁷⁷ Studies that ask poor households to keep financial diaries⁷⁸ show that a key challenge faced by low-income household is the irregularity of their cash flows.⁷⁹

⁷⁴ Asli Demirgüç-Kunt, Thorsten Beck and Patrick Honohan, Finance for All? Policies and Pitfalls in Expanding Access (World Book Publications 2008) http://books.google.co.uk/books/about/Finance for All.html?id=GYMP9miaK5cC accessed 3 September 2014; Gary Dymski and Pamela Friedman, 'Banking the Unbanked: Helping Low-Income Families Build Financial Assets' (2005) http://text.123doc.vn/document/1148313-banking-the-unbanked-helping-low-income-families-build-financial-assets-pptx.htm accessed 3 September 2014; Deon Glajchen, 'A Comparative Analysis of Mobile Phone-Based Payment Services in the United States and South Africa' (PhD Dissertation, Northcentral University, 2011); Alfred Hannig and Stefan Jansen, 'Financial Inclusion and Financial Stability: Current Policy Issues' (2010) ADBI Working Paper Series, No http://www.adbi.org/files/2010.12.21.wp259.financial.inclusion.stability.policy.issues.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

⁷⁵ Asli Demirgüç-Kunt, Thorsten Beck and Patrick Honohan, Finance for All? Policies and Pitfalls in Expanding Access (World Book Publications 2008.

⁷⁶ What Is Financial Inclusion? – Asian Development Bank Institute, http://www.adbi.org/working-paper/2010/12/21/4272.financial.inclusion.stability.policy.issues/what.is.financial.inclusion/ (accessed September 24, 2014.

Tushar K Das, 'Financial Inclusion and Regulation in India' (2012) http://eldis.org/vfile/upload/1/Document/1306/FIRI2.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

78 Ibid.

⁷⁹ 'The Financial Diaries project is a year-long household survey that examines financial management in poor households' in South Africa. Data are 'captured into a specially designed Access Database that

The international poverty line of \$2 a day, which is an average, demonstrates a highly variable flow that requires dynamic management to smooth consumption and reduce vulnerability to various shocks, such as health crises, political instability, as well as the ability to cope with major life cycle events. Due to the influence of asymmetric information between financial institutions and consumers, shocks to the system that dramatically worsen information problems cause financial instability because financial intermediation between savings and productive investment opportunities breaks down. A deteriorating financial sector or non-financial balance sheets in particular, threaten financial inclusion. Consequently, specialised microfinance institutions are most prone to these risks, as are large public banks that have developed a significant footprint in the low-income segments.

Regulators have paid more attention to issues of consumer protection, especially with respect to low-income clients, and to reputational risks as a result of the large number of customers who are involved if a particular institution fails, rather than the overall macroeconomic effects that this proliferation of mobile payments have on the whole financial system. In Kenya's context, the mobile payments system increases the risk as large numbers of clients that frequently transact small amounts represent an under managed source of risk. While others point out that these groups represent a nominal share of overall financial sector resources, in Kenya, as information technology

produces customised diary questionnaires for each household, as well as a system of reports that allows for continuous data surveillance.' Asian Development Bank. The overall aim of the project, which is funded by the Fin Mark Trust, the Ford Foundation, and the Micro Finance Regulatory Council, is to improve understanding of how poor people manage their finances. See <www.financialdiaries.com>.

Tushar K Das, 'Financial Inclusion and Regulation in India' (2012) http://eldis.org/vfile/upload/1/Document/1306/FIRI2.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

⁸¹ Andrew Crockett, 'Market Discipline and Financial Stability' (2002) 26 Journal of Banking & Finance 977. General Manager of the Bank for International Settlements and Chairman of the Financial Stability Forum, at the Banks and Systemic Risk Conference, Bank of England, London, 23–25 May 2001<http://www.bis.org/speeches/sp010523.htm accessed 3 September 2014.

⁸² Andrew Crockett, 'Market Discipline and Financial Stability' (2002) 26 Journal of Banking & Finance 977.

⁸³ UN Capital Development Fund (2006).

proliferates, the supervisory challenges of large numbers of transactions become unmanageable. These challenges strain governments' resources; in addition the share of the revenue of the mobile payments market has increased over time.

The BIS, for example, emphasises the importance of joint failures of institutions and the reinforcing nature of the financial system and the real economy. They also argue that small financial institutions contribute a disproportionately small risk to the overall system, and that since mobile payments only involve small institutions, the system cannot pose a large risk to Kenya's economy. However, Safaricom, Kenya's largest MNO, cannot be regarded as a small institution given its dominance of the mobile payments market⁸⁴ as its importance to the mobile payments system makes it 'too-important-to fail'.⁸⁵ This chapter uses the term 'too important to fail' instead of 'too big to fail' to emphasise that the size of a bank, typically measured by the value of its assets, does not capture other important reasons why its failure might create havoc. Those reasons include its connections with other financial institutions (interconnectedness), the difficulty of its resolution (complexity), and a lack of substitutes for the services it provides. Like a large bank, it poses systemic risk exposure.

Values that are transferred through mobile payments, which may be in transit on deposit⁸⁶ through trustee accounts,⁸⁷ have shifted the dynamics of distributed risk. This is through conversion of consumer risk into a concentrated systemic risk.⁸⁸ This has caused regulators globally to react in disparate ways to this risk, leading to inconsistent operating

⁸⁴ See Chapter 2 Section Enabling Environment for Mobile Money adoption. Pg 46

⁸⁵ See FSB (2010). http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfsr/2014/01/pdf/c3.pdf accessed 3 September 2014. The size, interconnectedness, complexity, and no substitutability of SIBs are by themselves sources of externalities in the absence of any government protection, as the risks imposed by SIBs to the economy are not well reflected in the equity or bond prices of those institutions.

⁸⁶ The characterization of deposits has been expounded in Chapter 4.4.5.4. The Definition and Placement of Agents 184.

⁸⁷ Trustee accounts have been explained in the context of mobile payments in Chapter 4.

⁸⁸ Mobile-Financial.com, 'Mobile Financial Services Risk Matrix' (2010) http://mobile-financial.com/blog/mobile-financial-services-risk-matrix accessed 3 September 2014.

environments for MNOs. This is not to say that a global standard should be applied, since the success of mobile payments varies from country to country as has been evidenced. However, a lack of standardisation means that inconsistencies in the operating environment place limits on the range of services that can be provided, which are not predicated on underlying risks. ⁸⁹ The increasing number of customers who use mobile payments and transact small values creates risks at institutional levels; though small, their volumes are ever increasing which requires action by the CBK to implement consumer protection issues.

Although as mentioned, financial inclusion is a lynchpin for financial integrity, ⁹⁰ financial inclusion also raises concerns about market integrity. The implementation of the Financial Action Task Force (FATF) standards in relation to Kenya's mobile payments system requires a risk-based approach similar to that employed by regulators of institutions serving low-income clients, because of the direct correlation between financial inclusion and financial integrity. However, these strict requirements, such as customer due diligence through restrictive Know Your Customer (KYC) rules may limit the outreach potential. National regulations could either exclude people who cannot provide certain proofs of identity or impose restrictive costs of compliance on financial institutions. ⁹¹ In Kenya, KYC rules have not presented any real challenge, at least at the adoption level, ⁹² but mobile payments have created an accessible avenue for money laundering and other financial crimes. They provide a convenient and swift means to transfer illicit funds that law enforcement cannot contain and regulators cannot mitigate.

Q

⁸⁹ Ibid.

Olaire Alexandre and Lynn Chang Eisenhart, 'Mobile Money as an Engine of Financial Inclusion and Lynchpin of Financial Integrity' (2013) 8 Wash. J.L. Tech. & Arts 285
http://digital.law.washington.edu/dspace-law/handle/1773.1/1200 accessed 3 September 2014.

⁹¹ Financial Action Task Force, Annual Report (2008) http://www.fatf-gafi.org/media/fatf/documents/reports/2008%202009%20ENG.pdf accessed 10 September 2014.

⁹² Chapter 2 discusses the enabling environment for mobile payments where the National Identification Cards issued by the government encouraged the adoption of mobile payments and ensure KYC rules were observed.

Financial inclusion through mobile payments is an ideal goal for developing countries. The imposition of unique risks threatens the development of a more inclusive financial service as this indicates one of the post-financial inclusion issues. Furthermore, stability and integrity as a broad concept encompasses different aspects of finance and the financial system infrastructure, institutions and markets, where all actors, private and public, contribute optimal components of the financial system which includes the legal frameworks for financial regulation, supervision and surveillance.⁹³ Ensuring stability, integrity, and a safe and sound payment system requires an understanding of these inherent payment system risks.

3.4. Inherent Payments System Risks: A Taxonomy of Risks

Payments systems are coming under increased regulation,⁹⁴ reflecting governments' Increasing recognition of the stability risks⁹⁵ inherent in payment systems,⁹⁶ through the acknowledgement that markets fail to protect consumer participants in the payment system⁹⁷ and the need to facilitate access to payments in the interests of increased competition, particularly in retail payments.⁹⁸ All payments systems raise a similar set of risks, and regulatory agencies tend to address them in particular ways.⁹⁹ The payments

⁹³IMF, 'Defining Financial Stability' (2004) < http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2004/wp04187.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

The Financial Conduct Authority calls for inputs on payments systems regulation http://www.fca.org.uk/static/documents/psr-call-for-inputs.pdf accessed 3 September 2014. Also see the FCA Thematic review 'Mobile Banking and Payments.' FCA, 'TR13/6 – Mobile Banking and Payments' (2013) http://www.fca.org.uk/news/tr13-6-mobile-banking-and-payments accessed 3 September 2014.

⁹⁵ Olivier De Bandt and Philipp Hartmann, 'Systemic Risk: A Survey' (2000) ECB Working Paper No 35 http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=258430 accessed 3 September 2014.

⁹⁶ Dirk Schoenmaker, Peter M Garber and David Folkerts-Landau, 'The Reform of Wholesale Payment Systems and its Impact on Financial Markets' (1996) IMF Working Papers 96/37 http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=882937 accessed 3 September 2014; David Folkerts-Landau, 'Systemic Financial Risk in Payment Systems' (1990) IMF Working Paper No 90/65 http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=884911 accessed 3 September 2014.

⁹⁷ Andrew Crockett, 'Market Discipline and Financial Stability' (2002) 26 Journal of Banking & Finance 977.

⁹⁸ Ibid.

⁹⁹ Benjamin Geva, Collections and Payment Transactions: Comparative Study of Legal Aspects (Oxford, Oxford University Press 2001).

system is one of the first places where financial stress is manifested, when firms in financial difficulty fail to meet their payment obligations.¹⁰⁰ Similarly, disruptions in the payments system result in disruptions in aggregate economic activity. Instability in the payments system is more threatening than instability in deposits, because the large volume of mobile payments occurring daily, the speedy movement of the funds, and the unfamiliarity with the clearing process causes concern.¹⁰¹

Payment systems, due to their nature, involve limited possible legal and regulatory approaches. ¹⁰² Any form of payment involves some risk to one or more of the participants in the payment. ¹⁰³ Risks come about primarily because many payments involve implicit or explicit extension of credit, where a lag occurs between the occurrence of a transaction and the time that settlement occurs ¹⁰⁴ and because multiple parties ¹⁰⁵ handle the payment instruments, ¹⁰⁶ any of whom could fail to perform their function and execute funds delivery. ¹⁰⁷ In other words, the risks in the payment system arise from institutional interdependency in the payment process that creates different risks among parties in the payments system and from timing delays between the transaction and the final settlement of the associated payment. The central bank has consequently assumed these roles for the relevant currency, which transfers funds between accounts held within it by the

¹⁰⁰ Bruce J Summers, *The Payment System: Design, Management, And Supervision* (International Monetary Fund 1994).

¹⁰¹ Darryl Biggar, 'An Increasing Role for Competition in the Regulation of Banks' (2005) http://123doc.vn/document/1257133-an-increasing-role-for-competition-in-the-regulation-of-banks-doc.htm accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁰² Rhys Bollen, Best Practice In The Regulation Of Payment Services' (2010) < http://researchbank.rmit.edu.au/eserv/rmit:7919/RhysBollen.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁰³ Ibid.

Allen N Berger, Diana Hancock and Jeffrey C Marquardt, 'A Framework for Analyzing Efficiency, Risks,
 Costs, and Innovations in the Payments System' (1996) 28(4) Journal of Money, Credit and Banking 696.
 In this case, the various mobile payments system stakeholders and actors.

¹⁰⁶ In this case, mobile phone devices are payment instruments.

¹⁰⁷ Jeffrey C Marquardt, 'Payment System Policy Issues and Analysis' The Payment System: Design, Management, and Supervision.

commercial banks, known as 'settlement in central bank money' which guarantees unconditional and irrevocable settlement. Therefore, the payment lag and involvement of multiple parties distinguishes between the risks borne in the payment process itself and other underlying risks in the financial system. A payment system will provide unsatisfactory risk protection if it is deficient in security, reliability, timeliness, certainty of value, or accuracy. Increasing the values and volumes of payment transactions tests these measures of the robustness of a payment system, as do long payment lags.¹⁰⁸

Inappropriate design may be exacerbating risk in the system. The volume of transactions per period, the average transaction value, the length of the payment cycles, and the payment system design constitute the main factors influencing the gravity of risks in payments and settlement transactions. Financial institutions in Kenya have increasingly argued that the payment system presents risk in one or more of these dimensions. The governor proudly reported that on 23 November 2011, Parliament had 'finally' passed the National Payments System Bill 2011. The bill is designed to regulate and supervise the payment systems and payment service providers in Kenya.

In efforts to address the challenges, debates have centred on how financial institutions can ensure that risks are mitigated.¹¹¹ They have also tended to focus on the role of the CBK as the sole regulator. Therefore regulations have not adequately addressed the new mobile payments system as a creator of risk; in this system there is often a lag between the time of a transaction and the settlement.¹¹² Kenyans who have

¹⁰⁸ Settlement periods or intraday delays.

¹⁰⁹ Maxwell J Fry, Payment Systems In Global Perspective (Routledge 2003) 13.

¹¹⁰. The bill will enable Kenya's payment system to comply with the Bank for International Settlement core principles and give the central bank enhanced legal and regulatory powers over the payment systems', said Ndung'u. http://www.nbt.tj/files/Fin_mon/draft_01.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

^{111 &#}x27;A Guide to Risk in Payment Systems Owned and Operated By the CPA' < http://www.cdnpay.ca/imis15/pdf/pdfs_publications/Risk_Guide.pdf>. Specificity to the particular payment instrument risks, such as electronic payment or mobile payments.

¹¹² Allen N Berger, Diana Hancock and Jeffrey C Marquardt, A Framework for Analysing Efficiency, Risks, Costs, and Innovations in the Payments System' (1996) 28(4) Journal of Money, Credit and Banking 696–732.

come to rely on this new payment system for their basic financial needs and for business purposes are at risk will be handled securely and efficiently.

M-Pesa is a telecom-led¹¹³ model that offers full liquidity and security outside of the banking system, which demonstrates that a payments system can operate independently of a banking system, ¹¹⁴ and offer liquidity and maturity transformation, which makes banks less critical for the financial system as a whole. ¹¹⁵ Splitting off this public good aspect of banking—the payments and safe custody functions ¹¹⁶—strengthens the system. However, M-Pesa still places its trust account in a bank. This highlights the interconnectedness between the MNOs and the banks. It further clarifies the nature of financial regulation in developing countries and sheds important light on the real sources of market failure and regulatory requirements in developed countries as well. Most economic transactions in market economies involve an exchange of goods, services, or securities for money where money largely consists of bank liabilities and, hence, the role of payments systems is to effect the transfer of bank liabilities among those who transact. ¹¹⁷

Economies depend on the systemic stability of the payments system, ¹¹⁸ which in turn fuels the whole economy. As Kenya has modernised its payments systems, ¹¹⁹

-

¹¹³ Where the telecommunications operator owns the customer.

¹¹⁴ Michael Klein and Colin Mayer, 'Mobile Banking and Financial Inclusion – The Regulatory Lesson' (2011) World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No.5664 http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1846305 accessed 3 September 2014.

¹¹⁵ Douglas W Diamond and Raghuram G Rajan, 'Liquidity Risk, Liquidity Creation and Financial Fragility: A Theory of Banking' (1999) NBER Working Paper No w7430 http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=227588 accessed 3 September 2014.

¹¹⁶ Klein and Mayer Supra note 115.

¹¹⁷ The evolution of modern banking systems received strong impetus from the demand for an efficient mechanism to facilitate the payments flows needed to sustain a growing economy through the transfer of securities among buyers and sellers. Ian Kessler and John Purcell, 'Performance Related Pay: Objectives and Application' (1988) 2 Human Resource Management Journal 16.

¹¹⁸ Elisabeth Wentworth, 'Direct Debits, Consumer Protection and Payment System Regulation – Issues of Policy and Reform' (2002) 13 JBFLP 77 at 77; cf Jane Winn, 'Symposium: Clash Of The Titans: Regulating The Competition Between Established And Emerging Electronic Payment Systems' (1999) 14 Berkeley Technology Law Journal 675 at 678 where the author breaks up the four risks into liquidity, finality, transaction risk and systemic risk.

¹¹⁹ As discussed in Chapter 2 Section 2.2.

economic activity and trade have increased in response to increased industry competition, ¹²⁰ and the convergence of the telecommunications industry with the financial system has spurred innovation to create inclusive retail payments systems such as mobile payments. The sheer size of the average daily values of the transactions of mobile payments¹²¹ has induced policy-makers, central banks, and researchers to give more attention to mobile payments system risks as well as to look at the ways in which their costs can be reduced and efficiency increased. The advent of new non-financial industry stakeholders, ¹²² like MNOs and mobile payment agents, through other mobile financial services, ¹²³ has produced new threats and new opportunities for banking and monetary systems.

Mobile payments systems have positioned developing countries to compete globally through their now inclusive nature; as a result the need for their analysis has increased. This is particularly because overall economic performance hinges on the completion of transferred funds.¹²⁴ This effectiveness establishes trust in the financial systems, particularly banking systems in developing countries where a lack of trust impedes access to financial services. These efforts face a potential trade-off between the safety and efficiency of a payment system¹²⁵ as well as a trade-off between risk on the one hand and speed and cost on the other. Therefore risk is defined by the BIS as 'the possibility of something bad happening at some time in the future; a situation that could

¹²⁰ Competition from other retail payments systems such as electronic payments, debit cards and cheques.

¹²¹ Central Bank of Kenya, 'Transactions valued at 341 Billion Kenya Shillings in February 2014' < https://www.centralbank.go.ke/index.php/rate-and-statistics/rtgs-2?yr=2014> accessed 3 September 2014.

¹²² The stakeholders are MNOs, banks, agents and consumers in general.

¹²³ M-Kesho, M-KOPO, M-Shwari, all of which are mobile financial services and products introduced by Safaricom and Equity Bank.

Bangko Sentral Ng Pilipinas, 'Payment Systems' http://www.bsp.gov.ph/financial/payment_payment.asp accessed 3 September 2014.

¹²⁵ Bangko Sentral Ng Pilipinas, 'The Payments Systems and the Financial Stability' (2014) http://www.cnb.cz/en/public/media-service/conferences/speeches/download/racocha-paym-syst_090704.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

be dangerous or have a bad result'. For payment systems it identifies 'credit risk, liquidity risk, operational risk and systemic risk'. The failure of one participant in a financial market to settle its required obligation could potentially cause a chain reaction of settlement failures, which could have serious ramifications for the financial system.

3.4.1. Taxonomy of Risks

3.4.1.1. Systemic Risk

Monetary authorities consider the prevention of systemic risk as their raison d'etre since systemic crises impose real costs. ¹²⁷ Payment systems do not use legal tender; ¹²⁸ rather they use a complex fabric of claims on private organisations which usually distribute in the same manner as legal tender. Regulators emphasise the safety and soundness of participants in the payment system due to the large amount of payments made, especially on the reliance of the creditworthiness of third parties. Contagion would occur through the failure at one point in the payment system, which would cause disruption throughout the economy, causing unforeseen losses for those parties who are not prepared. If liquidity is affected, a contraction in the level of economic activity would occur. 129

Consequently, regulators should evaluate not just the degree to which participants in the payment system observe the system rules, but also the likelihood that a party will fail to meet its obligations to other parties. Further, regulators should require systems to have the ability to withstand the failure of a major participant; in this case, if an MNO, the platform and services provider winds up, or fails as an institution, the real costs would

¹²⁶ Committee on Payment and Settlement Systems, 'A Glossary of Terms used in Payments and Settlement Systems' (2003) < https://www.bis.org/publ/cpss00b.pdf > accessed 3 September 2014.

¹²⁸ Ibid.

¹²⁷ Claudio EV Borio and Paul Van den Bergh, The Nature And Management Of Payment System Risks: An International Perspective (Bank For International Settlements Basle 1993) 30.

¹²⁹ Jane Kaufman Winn, 'Clash of the Titans: Regulating the Competition between established and emerging Electronic Payment Systems' (1999) 14 Berkeley Technology Law Journal 675.

be to the economy through the ripple effects within the payment system itself. 130 Payments systems within banking systems, such as cheque clearing systems and fund transfers systems, have been subject to higher level of government oversight than payments systems outside the banking systems; as reliance on mobile payments systems increases, this poses a threat to the safety and soundness of a financial system. ¹³¹ The pace of financial innovation has intensified with banks such as Equity Bank developing their own mobile payment system, the first bank-led mobile payment system of its kind in Kenya. This increases the interconnectedness of the payment system and therefore creates the risk of contagion in case of financial instability. 132

Central banks therefore have a particular interest in limiting systemic risk in Large-Value Funds Transfer Systems (LVTS), with a significantly higher aggregate value of transactions and exposures than those in retail funds transfer systems.¹³³ However, various stakeholders in Kenya and institutions increasingly rely on retail funds transfers, increasing their systemic risk. The debate about regulation of and intervention in banking and payment systems has typically disregarded the presence of non-financial institutions such as MNOs in the system.¹³⁴

Similarly, strategic interaction between members of the payments system presents systemic risk. Agents operating within the system make strategic decisions in an environment of uncertainty. For instance, facing concerns over the solvency of another participant, a bank may withhold payments to that participant. This may, in turn, affect

¹³⁰ Ibid.

¹³¹ Ibid at 131. Also banks face similar risk in foreign currency markets; obligations denominated in different currencies will not be settled at the end of the trading day if there are significant differences between operating times of the payment systems.

¹³² Borio and Van den Bergh (n 130).

¹³³ Yoris A Au and Robert J Kauffman, 'The Economics of Mobile Payments: Understanding Stakeholder Issues for an Emerging Financial Technology Application' (2008) 7 Electronic Commerce Research and Systems' Applications 141. **'**An Overview Real Time Gross Settlement http://www.sbp.org.pk/rtgs/ArticleRTGSGeneral.pdf accessed 18 September 2014. 134 Ibid.

the participant's bank's capacity to settle its own obligations. The overall flow of liquidity within the system breaks down, imposing settlement delays and liquidity costs on other participants. If the whole payment system or a substantial part of it ceases to function, it poses a threat to the whole payment system, in which case the operability of the entire financial system and real economy could be at risk.

Technology, bank, or market may cause systemic risk in a payment system—a major participant bank might experience an information systems insolvency, or the settlement of transactions might cause a crash in a market. As system volumes and degrees of integration increase, payment transactions become more centralised and international linkages increase, systemic risks pose an increasing threat. The failure of the settlement institution itself also poses a systemic risk. The cost of a systemic disruption can be high. The domino effect can expand into an overall systemic crisis and endanger the operation of the entire financial system. Central banks have sought to limit systemic risk in Finland, Denmark, and Canada through such measures as the Core Principles for Systemically Important Payment Systems, ¹³⁶ and these measures have made the possibility of contagion caused by exposures in these systems relatively low. ¹³⁷ A study of Sweden

-

¹³⁵ Ágnes Lublo, 'Topology of the Hungarian Large-value Transfer System' (2006) MNB Occasional Papers 57. For general studies on systemic risk stemming from netting systems, see BIS, 'Report on Netting Schemes' (1989) http://www.bis.org/cpmi/publ/d02.pdf accessed 3 September 2014; James McAndrews and George Wassillie, 'Simulations of Failure in a Payment System' (1995) Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia Working Paper 95-19 http://econpapers.repec.org/paper/fipfedpwp/95-19.htm accessed 3 September 2014; Paolo Angelini, G Maresca and D Russo, 'Systemic Risk in the Netting System' (1996) 20(6) Journal of Banking & Finance 863; C Borio and P Van den Bergh, 'The Nature and Management of Payment System Risks: An International Perspective' (1993) BIS Economic Paper No 36 http://www.bis.org/publ/econ36.pdf accessed 3 September 2014 1–86. For a comparison on gross and net settlement systems see Xavier Freixas and Bruno Parigi, 'Systemic Risk, Interbank Relations and Liquidity Provision by the Central Bank' (1998) Economics Working Papers 440 http://www.econ.upf.edu/docs/papers/downloads/440.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

¹³⁶ See BIS (2001)

¹³⁷ See Harri Kuussaari, 'Systemic Risk in the Finnish Payment Systems: an Empirical Investigation' (1996) Bank of Finland Discussion Paper; Morten Bech, Bo Madson and Lone Natorp, 'Systemic Risk in the Danish Interbank Netting System' (2002) Danmarks National Bank Working Paper No 8 http://www.docin.com/p-92340430.html accessed 3 September 2014; Paul Millar and Carol A Northcott, 'The CLS Bank: Managing Risk in Foreign Exchange Settlement' (2002) Financial System Review http://www.bankofcanada.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/02/fsr-1202-miller.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

also finds these regulations effective, but points out that foreign exchange exposures nonetheless present systemic risk.¹³⁸

3.4.1.2. Integrity Risk

The contemporary convergence of mobile technology and financial services setting gives safeguarding the integrity of the payment system particular significance; ¹³⁹ market participants and relevant regulatory authorities (such as central banks) should increase risk management procedures. Moreover, the spectacular growth of mobile payment transactions, which brings unbanked consumers in large numbers into the financial system, has highlighted the importance of regulation. Payments systems pose integrity risks; these channels permit money laundering, the financing of terrorism, and other financial risks, and risks grow as payments grow. Therefore regulation should promote accessible, efficient, and secure payment flows

The Financial Action Task Force (FATF) has set international anti-money laundering (AML) and counter-terrorist financing (CTF) standards to address financial crime, and an increasing number of countries have adopted them. Conversely, interpretations of these standards were negating initiatives to provide practical and appropriate financial services to consumers;¹⁴⁰ for instance, due diligence requirements and the application of Know Your Customer (KYC) rules did not include the

_

¹³⁸ Martin. Blavarg and Patrick Nimander, 'Inter-Bank Exposures and Systemic Risk' (2002) Sveriges Riksbank Economic Review 2, 19–45.

¹³⁹ C Borio and P Van den Bergh, 'The Nature and Management of Payment System Risks: An International Perspective' (1993) BIS Economic Paper No 36 http://www.bis.org/publ/econ36.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁴⁰ Hennie Bester et al., 'Implementing FATF Standards in Developing Countries and Financial Inclusion: Findings and Guidelines' (2008) World Bank First Initiative, Final Report http://www.cenfri.org/documents/ AML/AML CFT%20and%20Financial%20Inclusion.pdf.d> accessed 3 September 2014.

unbanked.¹⁴¹ This therefore became an important developmental issue, as the impact of stringent AML/CTF requirements on financial inclusion strategies in developing countries became increasingly clear.¹⁴² The FATF responded with published reports on applying its Risk-Based Approach to new payment methods including mobile money,¹⁴³ and issued guidance on financial inclusion policies generally.¹⁴⁴ Equally, the challenges posed by AML/CFT requirements for financial inclusion compelled the FATF Guidance to suggest that there exists a need to 'take account of the nexus between financial integrity and financial inclusion and the cross-reinforcement between these two objectives'.¹⁴⁵

3.4.1.3. Liquidity Risk

Liquidity is the ease with which an asset can be bought or sold for money. The ease of making a payment at a given time determines a payment system's liquidity. Liquidity risk is a risk of a settlement not being realised at the desired time but at an unspecified time in the future, and the risk that such a failure will adversely affect the liquidity of the intended recipient. The providers of payment services absorb liquidity pressures by committing themselves to effect payments through particular channels, ¹⁴⁶ thereby

Symposium: Mobile Money in Developing Countries: accessed 22 September 2014.

¹⁴² Jennifer Isern, David Porteous, Raul Hernandez-Coss and Chinyere Egwuagu, 'AML/CTF Regulation: Implications For Financial Service Providers That Serve Low-Income People' (2005) http://siteresources.worldbank.org/EXTAML/Resources/396511-

^{1146581427871/}AML implications complete.pdf> accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁴³ FATF, 'FATF Report On New Payment Methods' (2006) http://www.fatf-gafi.org/media/fatf/documents/reports/Report%20on%20New%20Payment%20Methods.pdf accessed 3 September 2014; FATF, 'Money Laundering Using New Payment Methods' (2010) http://www.fatf-

gafi.org/media/fatf/documents/reports/ML%20using%20New%20Payment%20Methods.pdf> accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁴⁴ FATF, 'FATF Guidance On Anti-Money Laundering And Terrorist Financing Measures And Financial Inclusion' (2011) http://www.fatf-gafi.org/media/fatf/content/images/AML%20CFT%20measures%20and%20financial%20inclusion.pdf

> accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁴⁵ Ibid.

¹⁴⁶ Borio and Van den Bergh (1994) supra note 149 page 31, although the central bank can eliminate liquidity risk from the system by standing ready to supply the settlement medium without limit. This however would shift credit risk to the Central Bank to a degree which would depend on the terms on

concentrating liquidity risk. In the process they normally take on credit risks their customers would otherwise have absorbed—the risk that participants will not be paid in full for an outstanding claim. The difference between a credit and liquidity risk is that credit risk refers directly to the possibility of a loss, while liquidity risk refers to a cash-flow shortfall. While the payer enters into a transaction with the intention of paying, insolvency or liquidity problems prevent the payment. Concentrating this risk, payment systems incur sizable intra-sectoral exposures, which raise the potential for chain defaults among payment intermediaries.

A well-designed Large-Value Payment System (LVPS) usually allows users to access their funds when necessary and upon demand through intraday credit. A Rapidity hinges on liquidity of the system. This is evident in liquid payment systems where payments can be made at any time, at the convenience of the payee, despite the payee's current balance and the central bank. Unlimited intraday borrowing at little to no cost would eventually create a completely liquid system; it would also increase risk of credit default as users at one point may not be able to meet their obligations from the credit issuing institution causing a liquidity risk. This shows that the risk is highly dependent on how likely users are to default on their obligations. Hence a compromise exists between liquidity and settlement risk, where default would not occur if there were no risks in the system. This would only occur if only risk-free transactions were enabled which is entirely impractical.

which funds were granted. This grant would require the Central Bank to institute the terms through which 'trust' accounts are set up in conjunction with MNOs.

^{&#}x27;A Guide to Risk in Payment Systems Owned and Operated by the CPA' http://www.cdnpay.ca/imis15/pdf/pdfs_publications/Risk_Guide.pdf?bcsi_scan_B895EDBE82A47962=PGBDY/ >accessed 18 September 2014.

¹⁴⁸Antoine Martin, 'Recent Evolution of Large-Value Payment Systems: Balancing Liquidity and Risk' (2005) < http://www.kansascityfed.org/PUBLICAT/ECONREV/Pdf/1Q05mart.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁴⁹ Ibid.

¹⁵⁰ Ibid.

¹⁵¹ Ibid.

Liquidity is hence linked to the costs of payment delays and the cost of liquidity. 152 These delays could be due to various reasons, such as banks delaying payments until adequate funds to settle have been received. 153 Liquidity ensures that other users are able to receive their payments early, issue them late in order to save liquidity, while increasing costs to other users due to the delay. 154 Where there are delays due to liquidity shortfalls, users would have to seek substitute and expensive payment options. Virtually every payments system involves liquidity risk, whether as a precipitating risk or the outcome of one of the other risks. Consequently, lack of liquidity always appears to be one of the principal precursors to and consequences of the inability of a participant to settle. However, settlement risk includes both credit and liquidity risks. One of the best ways of mitigating settlement risk is a safe and efficient payments system, based on internationally accepted law, standards and practices combined with the financial health (i.e., assets) of participating financial institutions.

3.4.1.4. Operational Risk

Operational risk is the 'risk of direct or indirect loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes', peoples or systems and from external events. 155 This refers to the possibility of costly errors in the information system, administration or organisation of a payment transfer system, or when outsiders misuse or access such a system without authorisation. Operational risk arises from the potential loss due to significant deficiencies

¹⁵² Ibid

¹⁵³ Ibid.

¹⁵⁴ Ibid.

¹⁵⁵ This definition of operational risk (BIS 1997a) seems too narrowly focused. The operational risk can also relate to human error, natural disasters, or system design flaws (Bank of Canada 1997a). Therefore, it refers to the reliability and integrity of the payment system with respect to the processing of payments.

in the payment system's reliability or integrity. According to the BIS, operational risks relate to computers and telecommunications systems break-downs;¹⁵⁶ they may arise from attacks on the system or the payment instrument and from consumers' misuse. Inadequate design or inadequate implementation can also be the reason for this risk,¹⁵⁷ as can deficiencies in the information system, from internal control or from human error.¹⁵⁸ Even the most modern system incurs risk from human error.¹⁵⁹ Failing to manage operational risk may create loss to the consumer, damages confidence in the financial system, and makes the system susceptible to financial crime.¹⁶⁰ Operational risk can increase with new technology designed for faster payment services, increasing in complexity; and using open networks such as the internet, makes security and system design less stable.¹⁶¹

Operational risk such as security risk, access risk, and authentication problems are potentially tremendous. The security of a payment system can be compromised externally or internally. An outsider, such as a hacker, ¹⁶² operating through the internet to gain access, might retrieve and use the information on the system. Employees might also abuse the system to commit fraud. The system's design, implementation, and maintenance also have a great impact on users as technology develops. As complexity and sophistication increase, users' expectations increase. When interruptions and breakdowns occur in the

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision Consultative Document http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbsca07.pdf accessed 24 September 2014.

Bank of International Settlements, 'A Glossary of Terms Used in Payments and Settlement Systems' (2003) http://www.bis.org/publ/cpss00b.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁵⁹ The way the service provider manages its employees can be a major source of operational risk. A poorly serviced provider of a payment system may find that the availability of its employees or its ability to replace them can influence its ability to recover from interruptions to the continuity of its operations. See Financial Services Authority, 'Operational Risk Systems and Controls' (2002) Consultation Paper No 142 at 14 http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/financialstability/fsc/Documents/FSAConsultationPaper142.pdf 3 September 2014.

¹⁶⁰ Ibid.

¹⁶¹ John Hawke Jr, 'Risk Management for Electronic Banking and Electronic Money Activities' (1998) International Monetary Seminar Paris 92.

¹⁶² A 'hacker' is a person who gains unauthorised access to computer systems and networks. See *The New Penguin Dictionary of Computing* (n 62) 217. See 'Operational Risk Systems and Controls' (n 149) 4 and 8.

system, they can erode public confidence. With the rapid development in technology, retail payment service providers, be they financial institutions or non-financial institutions, may rely on external parties to implement, operate, or support their systems. While outsourcing can be beneficial in terms of expertise and cost, it can also increase operational risk. There are also concerns of inadequacy of due diligence, poor documentation of rights and responsibilities and weak ongoing management practices employed in conjunction with outsourcing activities. Some banks or industries have underestimated the cost savings from outsourcing and have unrealistic timetables and potential disruption of operations in association with outsourcing of system breakdowns. Because outsourcing depends on drafting quality contracts for work, it can also lead to impaired quality of performance of outsourced activities.

Prior to the Basel II¹⁶⁶ reforms to banking supervision, operational risk was principally an enduring category for risks and uncertainties. These were seen as challenging to quantify, insure, and manage in orthodox ways. ¹⁶⁷ ICT systems and their manual support operations, as well as manual payment transfer processes, incur information system risks. As the use of cash declines in Kenya, information transfers come to dominate payment transfers. The heavy dependence on ICT systems in Kenya underlines the importance of these risks.

Awareness of operational risk has increased sharply in recent years, partly due to the sizable losses a number of large financial institutions have suffered over the past

_

¹⁶³See Setsuya Sato and John Hawkin, `Electronic Finance: An Overview of the Issues' (2001) BIS Paper No 7 http://www.bis.org/publ/bppdf/bispap07.htm accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁶⁴ See R Harris, 'Does Outsourcing Reduce Operational Risk?' on Operational Risk Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago September 2001 at 6. Available at

http://www.chicaofded.orw/bankinginformation/files/operationalriskarchieve2001outsource.ndt accessed July 2005.

¹⁶⁵ See 'Operational Risk Systems and Controls (N149) 16.

¹⁶⁶ The Basel Accord, set of principles for effective banking supervision.

¹⁶⁷ Michael Power, 'The Invention Of Operational Risk' (2005) 12 Review of International Political Economy 577.

decade as a result of weaknesses in internal controls. The financial consequences of these rare events are extremely damaging. Further, a severe operational problem within a single financial institution can create problems for important parts of the financial system architecture. When the Bank of New York (BONY) suffered a 28-hour computer malfunction that prevented it from carrying out its securities-related activities BONY had to borrow more than \$20 billion from the Federal Reserve's discount window. Due to BONY's key role in clearing US dollar securities, other financial institutions were left with a corresponding excess of cash. Their efforts to dispose of this surplus temporarily drove the federal funds rate down by about 300 basis points. A similar situation arose following 11 September 2001 as problems contributed to liquidity disruptions and problems in securities markets in the United States. In another example, a fire in New York left the Federal Reserve Bank of New York without power for six days in 1990, which placed severe demands on operations and backup facilities.

Operational risk in the financial infrastructure can also spill over into international markets. In April 2000, a software problem caused trading on the London Stock Exchange (LSE) to stop for almost eight hours. The London International Futures Exchange, which uses spot prices obtained from the LSE to value futures contracts, was also affected. A number of investors sold European shares, and prices on European exchanges fell, in response.¹⁷⁰

Nor were the events of 11 September 2001 restricted to US securities markets. The terrorist attacks ultimately affected the entire financial infrastructure in the United States and parts of the infrastructure in Canada and other countries. LVPS around the

¹⁶⁸ K McPhail, 'Managing Operational Risk in Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Systems' (2005) Bank of Canada, Working Paper 2/2003 < http://www.bankofcanada.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/02/fsr-0603-mcphail.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁶⁹ Ibid.

¹⁷⁰ Ibid.

world remained open during that period and the financial architecture functioned remarkably well under the circumstances, but the settlement of bond transactions in the United States was severely disrupted and dislocations in US payment systems contributed to severe liquidity problems at some institutions. Major stock exchanges in the United States and Canada closed. The two largest electronic interbank trading systems for foreign exchange transactions, Reuters and EBS, also closed for a short time due to an overload of backup systems. In Canada, domestic financial institutions' concern that disruptions in US payment systems would prevent timely payments altered the flow of payments in the LVPS. On a smaller scale, operational problems of these types occur in Kenya when the payment system becomes unavailable. Customers lose access to their funds and payments cease. Network outage is very common in Kenya, either through the system breaking down or sabotage of the platform through which MNOs provide their services.

As well as a problem that damages the ability of a stakeholder to operate its business, or a direct or indirect loss from failed internal processes, persons, systems or external events, operational risk can also result from human error, natural disasters or system design flaws, which are not impossible.¹⁷¹ Therefore, operational risk refers to the reliability and integrity of the payment system with respect to the processing of payments. Any system malfunction because of information technology or mechanical failure, including unreliable electricity supply, or failures in the national communications infrastructure, presents operational risk. Mobile payments for instance, have the possibility of developing failures for various reasons, such as malware, privacy violations, and malicious applications, all of which present operational risks.¹⁷²

¹⁷¹ Bank of Canada 1997(a).

Jake Akervik, 'Mobile-Payments-Emerging-Markets' (2013) < http://www.slideshare.net/jakervik/akervik-mobilepaymentsemergingmarket accessed December 2013. Other vulnerabilities include, violations relative to application collection and distribution of data, wireless carrier infrastructure, payments infrastructure/ecosystem SMS vulnerabilities, hardware and operating system vulnerabilities.

Any system reliant on technology may experience technology failure. Reliable and safe payment systems depend on a legal framework and basic social infrastructure to ease these operational risks, and regulators and consumers alike should be concerned about operational risk.

On 28 October 2012, Safaricom's servers collapsed. This led to the suspension of M-PESA services. Coinciding with the end of the month, this crash caused disruption to the millions of Kenyans who operate outside of the normal banking system who depended on the service to pay bills at the end of the month. This crucial role in the lives of those at the bottom of the sector pyramid of Kenya's financial services market calls for internal procedures or governmental intervention to mitigate operational risks. This risk is not limited to the unbanked. Retail stores such as supermarkets have seen a significant increase in transaction volumes because they allow customers to use Safaricom. Equity Bank the second largest M-Pesa agent after Uchumi Supermarket, which, was the first Kenyan supermarket to include mobile payments in its point of sale solution. The growth significantly since it became the leading M-Pesa agent in Kenya in terms of transaction volumes. Additionally, suspension of the service hit both entities. These impacts call for better protection of Safaricom's servers, or a more robust back-up server for the firm's money transfer business.

Regulators should also address operational risk related to human error, or problems with hardware, software, or communications systems that are crucial to settlement. A cash position manager's mismanagement can cause a bank to face a shortage of funds. A bank's computer system can break down, preventing a bank from sending

¹⁷³ 'Safaricom Should Consider M-Pesa Fall Back Servers' The Standard Newspaper (Nairobi, 29 October 2012)

_

at < http://www.standardmedia.co.ke/?articleID=2000069486%3E> accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁷⁴ One of Kenya's largest banks with concentration within the low income markets.

receiving payment orders to and from the payment system, and financial crimes, illicit activities, natural disasters, and terrorist attacks can all cause operational risk.

Managing operational risk is intimately linked to security and business continuity. Reducing risk in these areas demands the implementation of coordinated and harmonised end-to-end procedures. Security and availability must therefore extend to all components, staff procedures, IT hardware and software, telecommunications, power, and cooling supply at the central system, at subcontractors, and at each participant in the system. Most systems insist on technical and process qualification tests before a participant can operate live. 175

The increased data collection associated with this transparency also poses a different species of operational risk. Mobile money users in Kenya are vulnerable to governmental or corporate abuse of the data generated by mobile transactions, as well as to cyber security attacks from outside. Privacy breaches and malware could completely erode users' trust in the payment system, reversing adoption trends and eliminating potential gains.¹⁷⁶

Here again outsourcing poses a threat as unregulated third parties without clear lines of accountability and oversight undermine operational risk management. For instance Safaricom's servers, are located abroad, through the UK-based company Vodafone, which operates the servers that support the MNO's platform, and they are not subject to regulation in Kenya.¹⁷⁷

¹⁷⁵ Dominique Rambure and Alec Nacamuli, Payment Systems: From The Salt Mines To The Board (Palgrave Macmillan 2008) 58.

¹⁷⁶ Uganda Law Commission Cautions on Mobile Money Regulation, http://www.africa-uganda- business-travel-guide.com/uganda-law-commission-cautions-on-mobile-money-regulation.html> accessed 3 September 2014.

Nikolaos Zacharopoulos, 'Money

to go' (2012)

Financial Director

Feature http://www.financialdirector.co.uk/financial-director/feature/2140175/money accessed 3 September Stakeholders, processes and technologies would have to be cautiously developed to make security an intrinsic element of all mobile payment systems. The New laws will have to protect the system from financial crime by authorised users. Regulation should require each organisation involved in the chain of the transaction data to protect the data while in its custody. Two-factor authentication is one measure to provide effective identity protection for the consumer and higher identity assurance to the agent. Similarly, the merchant side should offer the same type of assurance to the consumer.

These issues characterise a larger set of issues regarding reliability of identities and credentials for mobile payments. This shared transaction system is conducive to abuse by fraudsters using both technological and criminal attacks if regulators fail to establish the appropriate protection mechanisms and accountability controls throughout the mobile payment system.

However, few mobile financial services are reaching scale as internal and external factors, which range from the level of ventures, business strategies and organisational structures and the level of mobile phone penetration and competition and other regulatory architecture, usually impinge the uptake of mobile payments.¹⁷⁹ These factors though are determinate of a successful mobile payment system; regulation appears to impose the biggest challenge.¹⁸⁰

Operational risks, as I use the term, also include technology risk, security risk, and legal risk. Technology risk occurs when technological investments do not produce the

¹⁷⁸ Ibid.

Mobile Money – GSMA http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/MMU-Enabling-Regulatory-Solutions-di-Castri-2013.pdf accessed 18 September 2014.

¹⁸⁰ Claire Pénicaud, 'State of the Industry: results from the 2012 Global Mobile Money Adoption Survey' (2012) GSMA, London, United Kingdom <http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2013/03/MMU_Results-from-the-2012-Global-Mobile-Money-Adoption-Survey.pdf accessed 3 September 2014. .

expected cost savings in economies of scale and scope.¹⁸¹ Measures to increase payment system design and efficiency incur this risk. Security risk refers to illegal access to payment information or funds by a third party.¹⁸² Breaches can create financial loss, risks to privacy, fraud, or negligence. Legal risk exists when uncertainties or gaps in the legal framework for payments or payment systems impose liquidity or credit risk on participants.¹⁸³ These uncertainties or misinterpretations have implications for legal enforceability of parties' rights and obligations with regard to the payments.¹⁸⁴

3.4.1.5. Reputational Risk

Public opinion is critical in ensuring that trust is maintained in any payment system. Reputational risk is the risk of significant negative public opinion. These opinions are a result of lasting negative public image or the loss of confidence in the overall operations of a financial institution or a non-bank that provides retail payments. Although difficult to quantify, the loss of confidence in a system may exceed the direct associated financial loss. Reputational risk can arise for instance through unwanted publicity from a cyberattack on a financial institution's online banking network, thus affecting the confidence of users of the financial institution's payment system. Institutions have become

. .

¹⁸¹ Anthony Saunders, 'Banking and Commerce: An Overview of the Public Policy Issues' (1994) 18 Journal of Banking & Finance 231.

Mobile Money GSMA, http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/MMU-Enabling-Regulatory-Solutions-di-Castri-2013.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁸³ 'Risk Management Framework for Microfinance Institutions' (2000) http://www.ruralfinance.org/fileadmin/templates/rflc/documents/1126266387218 A risk management framework for MFIs.pdf > accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁸⁴ Canadian Payments Association, 'A Guide to Risk in Payment Systems Owned and Operated by the CPA' (2005) < http://www.cdnpay.ca/imis15/pdf/pdfs_publications/Risk_Guide.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁸⁵ BIS, 'Risk Management for Electronic Banking and Electronic Money Activities' (1998) 7 < www.bis.org/publ/bcbs35.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁸⁶ Ibid.

progressively aware of the significance of reputational risk. For instance, PriceWaterhouseCoopers (PWC) ranked reputational risk as the largest risk they face, preceding both credit and operational risk. However, almost two-thirds of those institutions surveyed failed to appreciate the existence of an appropriately resourced compliance function as a key mitigator of operational risk.¹⁸⁷

3.4.2 Summary of Risks

In summation, payments systems present inherent risks, and the specific design of mobile payments have particular risks due to the use of mobile devices to access bank accounts, access stored value or prepaid products, and to buy products. This complex design presents new dimensions to the landscape of non-cash payments, where the MNO's position in the payment arena has now shifted from being simply third party service providers to the lead, in direct contract with their users. As such, they increasingly seek to provide payment services on their own.

This complex design introduced an array of regulatory and legal issues, especially risks, as a result of the unorthodox roles played by the parties and the complexity of the legal relations in the market place. This would first require a proper understanding of the issues before a resolution is arrived at. The mobile payment mechanism operates and the actual role of each participant. Mobile payments do not represent a single system;¹⁸⁸ rather multiple players and providers operate to make mobile payments possible.¹⁸⁹ These

PriceWaterhouseCoopers in conjunction with the Economist Intelligence Unit, 'Compliance: A Gap in the Heart of Risk Management' (2003)

< http://www.sum2.us/images/PWCcompliancenadriskmgmt.pdf > 8.

¹⁸⁸ Chapter 2 discusses the mobile payment system stakeholders in detail.

¹⁸⁹ Multiple providers would come in if the Kenyan mobile payments scheme made interoperability possible. At present interoperability has been stalled due to the competitive market for MNOs.

players include MNOs, banks (which effect the payment on behalf of the debtor and remit the funds to the creditor), and a settlement agent that discharges the obligation. There are also various designs to the execution of mobile payments. For instance a payment failure using a messaging-based approach may not necessarily cast doubt upon a proximity provider. However, this separation of mobile payment failure from the larger financial system of the country presents distinctive risks. Therefore, while other governments provide some level of insurance on bank deposits, in Kenya no standard exists requiring insurance for 'deposits' in mobile payment systems. ¹⁹¹

3.5. Unique Risks In Mobile Payment Systems: A Multi-layered Analysis

This part of the chapter aims to identify the areas in which risks may arise in the mobile payments system. It aims to show the risks at the most basic, yet fundamental parts of the mobile money system, which, if left ignored, could lead to systemic, liquidity, and integrity risks. These risks affect all participants in the mobile payment system—the MNOs, the banks, the agents, and the consumers. Examining Anti-Money Laundering (AML) issues and fraud reveals integrity risk, while examining technological standards that would be applicable to the MNOs either through standardisation or industry self-regulation reveals operational risk; and examining trust in the lower segment users of mobile payments, a result of either operational risk or integrity risk,

¹⁹⁰ Jarkko Vesa, Mobile Services In The Networked Economy (IGI Global 2005).

¹⁹¹ Paul Golding, Next Generation Wireless Applications: Creating Mobile Applications In A Web 2.0 And Mobile 2.0 World (John Wiley & Sons 2008); Stijn Claessens, Thomas Glaessner and Daniela Klingebiel, 'Electronic Finance: Reshaping the Financial Landscape Around the World' (2002) 22 Journal of Financial Services Research 29.

¹⁹² CGAP, 'Agent Networks' < http://www.cgap.org/topics/agent-networks accessed 3 September 2014.

193 Chapter 5 examines the regulatory strategies.

reveals reputational risk. Legal risk and integrity risks may be the most important risk categories for mobile payments.¹⁹⁴

The impact of each type of risk differs, especially in the context of new financial services. The actors in a given mobile payment transaction alter the degree of a particular risk; the type of electronic retail payment instrument used and the payment scheme also affects the degree of risk.¹⁹⁵ This section will use a multi-layered approach to analyse the risk exposure at the different levels of the mobile payment system. It will critically assess these risks as presented by the Mobile Financial Services Risk Matrix,¹⁹⁶ a study carried out by the Kenya School of Monetary Studies, that contributed to the clarity of the systemic and consumer risks involved in mobile payments and the choices most usually obtainable for addressing those risks. The interconnection between the participants¹⁹⁷ in mobile payments and their inherent risk exposures¹⁹⁸ and obligations to each other is an important area to be examined. I highlight the risks at every level to reveal a cumulative effect of a precipitous loss of value of aggregate mobile wallet holdings, which is due not only to the complexity of mobile payments within the financial system but also to the cross-border context and the speed of these transactions.

This study, (Mobile Financial Services Risk Matrix)¹⁹⁹ identifies several transaction stages, any one of which, if compromised, could halt a transaction.²⁰⁰ This

4

Financial Services Expansion' (2010

¹⁹⁴ These risks are identified as most important and even though these risks result from a single problem, several methods of remedy may be required to address each of the risks.

¹⁹⁵ For example, even if the payment instrument is electronic money, different degrees of risk exist, depending on the type of scheme in which it operates.

¹⁹⁶ This section is based on GSMA, 'Mobile Financial Services Risk Matrix' (2010) < http://mobile-financial.com/blog/mobile-financial-services-risk-matrix accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁹⁸ Section page, Titled, the Nature of payment systems risks.

¹⁹⁹ Mobile-Financial.com, 'Mobile Financial Services Risk Matrix' (2010) 71 http://mobile-financial.com/blog/mobile-financial-services-risk-matrix accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁰⁰ Maria C Stephens, 'Promoting Responsible Financial Inclusion: A Risk-based Approach to Supporting Mobile

<a href="http://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/documents/public comments/ftc-host-workshop-mobile-payments-and-their-impact-consumers-project-no.124808-561018-00012%C2%A0/561018-00012-payments-and-their-impact-consumers-project-no.124808-561018-00012%C2%A0/561018-00012-payments-and-their-impact-consumers-project-no.124808-561018-00012%C2%A0/561018-00012-payments-and-their-impact-consumers-project-no.124808-561018-00012%C2%A0/561018-00012-payments-and-their-impact-consumers-project-no.124808-561018-00012%C2%A0/561018-00012-payments-and-their-impact-consumers-project-no.124808-561018-00012%C2%A0/561018-00012-payments-and-their-impact-consumers-project-no.124808-561018-00012%C2%A0/561018-00012-payments-and-their-impact-consumers-project-no.124808-561018-00012%C2%A0/561018-00012-payments-and-their-impact-consumers-project-no.124808-561018-00012-payments-and-their-impact-consumers-project-no.124808-561018-00012-payments-and-their-impact-consumers-project-no.124808-561018-00012-payments-and-their-impact-consumers-project-no.124808-561018-00012-payments-and-their-impact-consumers-project-no.124808-561018-00012-payments-and-their-impact-consumers-project-no.124808-561018-payments-and-their-impact-consumers

^{82712.}pdf> accessed 3 September 2014; Mobile-Financial.com, 'Mobile Financial Services Risk Matrix'

multi-layered analysis of the mobile payments system's risks identifies how regulators can establish an appropriate regulatory framework while protecting the financial system as a whole and, more importantly, protect consumers. I identify these levels as the MNO level, bank level, agent level and the consumer level.

3.5.1. Risks at the Mobile Network Operator Level

An MNO is a telecommunications company that provides and extends the wireless network messaging functionality to provide payment services that enable customers to remit funds that are settled through its own established agent network.²⁰¹ Individual payment transactions occur entirely within the MNO and do not require the service user to have a bank account.²⁰² The funds in transit—paid in by the remitter but not yet withdrawn by the recipient—are in principle on *deposit* ²⁰³ in a segregated account with one or more banks (Trust Account in Kenya), and so are within the formal financial system.²⁰⁴ Since the service provider is only executing client payment instructions and is not performing the credit evaluation and risk management function of a bank, these services do not, according to the Banking Act CAP 488,²⁰⁵ constitute 'banking'. The Act describes 'banking' as accepting money from members of the public on deposit repayable on demand or at the expiry of a fixed period or after notice. It also describes it as accepting

^{(2010) 71 &}lt; http://mobile-financial.com/blog/mobile-financial-services-risk-matrix accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁰¹ Joy Malala, 'Consumer Protection For Mobile Payments In Kenya: An Examination Of The Fragmented Legislation And The Complexities It Presents For Mobile Payments' (2014) Kenya Bankers Association, Centre For Research On Financial Markets And Policy Working Paper Series No 7 http://www.kba.co.ke/workingpaperseries/img/pdf/Working%20Paper%20WPS-07-13.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

Mobile-Financial.com, 'Mobile Financial Services Risk Matrix' (2010) 71 http://mobile-financial.com/blog/mobile-financial-services-risk-matrix accessed 3 September 2014. The M-Pesa can operate with the mobile device serving as a store of value. Chapter 4 Part II.

²⁰³ Joy Malala (2014) (n 208) 16.

²⁰⁴ Joy Malala (2014) (n 208) 20.

²⁰⁵ The Banking Act 1969 s 2 (a) (1).

money from members of the public on current account and payment on and acceptance of cheques; and the employing of money held on deposit or on current account, or any part of the money, by lending, investment, or in any other manner for the account and at the risk of the person so employing the money. Therefore, the MNOs in principle, seem to have avoided the level of regulatory oversight needed for the 'deposits' held in banks. The depository bank, then, has no involvement in or responsibility for payments through the MNO's system. The MNOs provide the infrastructure and communications service, while providing agent oversight and quality control. However, this thesis questions whether this oversight and control is sufficient for mobile payments.

Kenya's best known MNO is Safaricom which, although it provides telecommunication services, is also a *de facto* mobile money issuer. As no law or regulation in Kenya establishes²⁰⁶ MNO as a distinct type of institution that provides financial services, Although the National Payment System Act 2011, describes non-financial institutions that provide payment services as 'payment providers' it still does not offer clarification as to their functions and the CBK's mandate in their oversight.

Safaricom could begin operating pursuant to a 'No Objection' letter agreement with the Central Bank of Kenya;²⁰⁷ it enjoys freedoms other financial services providers do not.²⁰⁸ Through M-Pesa, Safaricom operates under an MNO-led²⁰⁹ payment model, with the MNOs offering mobile payment services as a means to add value to their core

²⁰⁶ Joy Malala (2014) (n 208) 23.

²⁰⁷ This refers to the Letter issued to Safaricom by the Central Bank of Kenya authorising its operations after a risk assessment was conducted in February 2007. Alliance for Financial Inclusion, 'Enabling Mobile Money Transfer: The Central Bank of Kenya's Treatment of M-Pesa' (2010) < http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-

<u>content/uploads/2013/09/enablingmobilemoneytransfer92.pdf</u>> accessed 3 September 2014. Also Bankable Frontier Associates LLC, 'Enabling Mobile Money Transfer – The Central Bank of Kenya's Treatment of M-PESA' (2010) Alliance for Financial Inclusion.

²⁰⁸ See Chapter 2 on the introduction of mobile payment systems.

²⁰⁹ This model requires the MNO, rather than a financial institution, to provide the payment functions and technology.

communications services.²¹⁰ This presents legal challenges that this study highlights in Chapter 4, and imposes risks to stakeholders. The MNO incurs both operational risk and technological risks through its own system as a private institution, and through the introduction of funds that are not properly screened.

For instance, the MNO or a subsidiary holds customer funds in a prepaid account. In some jurisdictions, even if the MNO assumes the bulk of the financial risk and operational responsibility of offering the service, a partner bank formally holds the licence. If the funds are post-paid, the MNO has effectively provided short-term credit or payment service to its customers, in the same way as some third-party payment card schemes.²¹¹

Agents are generally not employees of the MNO and thus are related only through contractual arrangements. ²¹² If an MNO fails to adequately train and supervise agents and super agents who act on its behalf, these agents may damage the MNO's business reputation with both the public and regulators. For instance, if agents fail to meet the required regulatory AML responsibilities, depending on the division of responsibilities where AML procedures may be carried out by agents. If roles are not clearly stipulated and enforced, the CBK will impose capacity challenges. ²¹³ MNO-led models concentrate transaction activities in the hands of one or only a few large telecommunications institutions, which is not only a function of the 'first mover' establishing market dominance, but also of the telecom industry players' already well-established and

_

²¹⁰ This means that aside from providing data and voice functions in their capacity they provide mobile financial services.

²¹¹ Joy Malala (2014) (n 208) 25. Also in this model, the issuer (having the relationship with the cardholder) and the acquirer (having the relationship with the merchant) is the same entity. This means that there is no need for any charges between the issuer and the acquirer. Since it is a franchise set-up, there is only one franchisee in each market, which is the incentive in this model. There is no competition within the brand; rather the competition is with other brands.

 $^{^{212}}$ These contractual arrangements are likened to franchise agreements; however they tend to favour the MNO rather than the agent.

²¹³ Regulatory capacity is explored in Chapter 4.

expansive mobile phone user networks; this concentration introduces systemic risk. Moreover, MNOs tend to concentrate trust accounts in one, or a few, large commercial banks, leading to a concentration risk of the account.²¹⁴

Safaricom, the dominant MNO, for instance, holds its customer funds in Commercial Bank of Africa.²¹⁵ Furthermore, Safaricom's dominant position in Kenya means that it creates a large institution in itself by virtue of the nature of the transactions, being low value, high volume transactions, and those undertaking the transactions, who are a large population of previously unbanked and under banked, which increases the inherent risks of the transactions themselves.²¹⁶ MNOs should train and supervise through policies and procedures that are acceptable to regulators, which should be standardised to avoid the flagrancy of their misconduct, but this has not yet occurred.

Moreover, high concentration of risk leads to systemic risk within the broader economic context in which the mobile financial services take place. Figures reported by Safaricom as of January 2014 include US \$320 million per month in person-to-person transfers.²¹⁷ On an annualised basis, this is equivalent to roughly 10 per cent of Kenyan Gross Domestic Product (GDP).²¹⁸ Furthermore, 27²¹⁹ companies are using M-Pesa for bulk distribution of payments. Since the launch of the 'bill pay' function in 2009, hundreds of companies are using M-Pesa to collect payments from their customers. Utility companies such as the electric and water companies have more than 20 per cent of their millions of

²¹⁴ Maria C Stephens, 'Promoting Responsible Financial Inclusion: a Risk-based Approach to Supporting Mobile Financial Services Expansion' (2011) 27 Banking and Finance Law Review 329 In this case the trust account which is discussed in Chapter 4.

²¹⁵ This is a commercial bank in Kenya.

²¹⁶ Joy Malala, 'Consumer Protection For Mobile Payments In Kenya: An Examination Of The Fragmented Legislation And The Complexities It Presents For Mobile Payments' (2014) Kenya Bankers Association , Centre For Research On Financial Markets And Policy Working Paper Series No 7 http://www.kba.co.ke/workingpaperseries/img/pdf/Working%20Paper%20WPS-07-13.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

²¹⁷ İbid.

²¹⁸ Ibid.

²¹⁹ Although these figures are from 2009.

customers pay through M-Pesa.²²⁰ These figures point to the systemic importance of payment institutions,²²¹ which have served as the transactional channel through which a significant portion of Kenya's GDP-associated financial and economic funding flows.²²² I estimate M-Pesa's role in relation to Kenya's overall GDP will only increase.²²³

However, the unravelling or forced unwinding of large numbers of illegitimate mobile payment transactions could have the opposite effect on economic growth and financial stability.²²⁴ To the extent that M-Pesa has captured the global imagination as a successful MNO-led model, its hypothetical fall could lead to a negative effect for many MNO-led models, causing a domino effect if several MNOs' business strategies and operations are intertwined.²²⁵ In summary, MNOs should pose no greater risk than banks. MNOs do not necessarily face inherent higher Money Laundering/Finance of Terrorism (ML/FT) risks than banks through mobile payments, nor do they pose inherently higher ML/FT threats than banks. The risks and threats are largely influenced by the risk mitigation measures, namely effective risk-based regulations and supervision. So if MNOs are not appropriately regulated, the risk of illicit flows through these MNOs increases, independent of the risk that is inherent to payments. The lack of appropriate regulation has highlighted that risks are not related to the types of transactions but to the weakness in the supervisory regimes and supervision applicable to MNOs. Those wishing to hide illicit financial flows are likely to seek unsupervised entities to reduce their chance of detection.²²⁶

_

²²⁰ Ignacio Mas and Dan Radcliffe, 'Mobile Payments go Viral: M-PESA in Kenya' (2010) 353–369 <a href="http://siteresources.worldbank.org/AFRICAEXT/Resources/258643-1271798012256/M-PRICAEXT/Resources/258643-127179801256/M-PRICAEXT/Resources/258643-127179801256/M-PRICAEXT/Resources/258647801256/M-PRICAEXT/Resources/25864780179801256/M-PRICAEXT/Resources/258647801

PESA Kenva.pdf > accessed 3 September 2014.

²²¹ Ibid.

²²² Ibid.

²²³ See Chapter 2 on the enabling environment for mobile payments systems.

²²⁴ Joy Malala, (2014) (n 208) 26.

²²⁵ Maria C Stephens, 'Promoting Responsible Financial Inclusion: A Risk-Based Approach To Supporting Mobile Financial Services Expansion' (2011) 27 Banking and Finance Law Review 329.

²²⁶ Joy Malala (2014) (n 208) 28.

3.5.2. Risk Exposure at the Bank Level

In the mobile payment context, banks offer banking services via the mobile device. They hold the e-float on behalf of the MNOs and handle cross-border transactions while managing foreign exchange risk. Foreign exchange risk is that which exists when a financial transaction is denominated in a currency other than that of the base currency of the company. Foreign exchange risk also exists when the foreign subsidiary of a firm maintains financial statements in a currency other than the reporting currency of the consolidated entity. The risk is that there may be an adverse movement in the exchange rate of the denomination currency in relation to the base currency before the date when the transaction is completed. In Kenya, banks whose primary function is to take deposits for distribution in loans and other permitted investments dominate retail payments. These banks are well-positioned to employ risk management programmes that ensure regulatory compliance, and they have an important role as the mobile payment system deposits funds in bank accounts held by the mobile network operator in exchange for e-float.

To diversify their risk, MNOs hold such deposits in different banks. These accounts impose no restrictions of access on MNOs, and the banks face no special reserve requirements with regard to the MNOs' deposits. Similarly, no regulation compels the MNO to give notice of their purpose to withdraw large quantities of cash at whatever time, since the Central Bank treats these trusts like current account deposit in terms of regulatory policy. This absence of particular regulation makes the framework within

²²⁷ See Chapter 2 System Design of Mobile Payments.

²²⁸ The stored value of the payment.

²²⁹. See generally Maurice D Levi, *International Finance: Contemporary Issues* (4th edn, Routledge 2005).

²³⁰ See Generally, Cynthia Merritt, 'Mobile Money Transfer Services: The Next Phase in The Evolution of Person-To-Person Payments' (2011) 5 Journal of Payments Strategy & Systems.

which mobile payments currently operate vulnerable, as the MNOs and the banks have no legal obligation to fulfil expectations.²³¹

The bank imposes the most identifiable risks that mobile payments systems incur, since the bank holds all deposits from the mobile money chain, including consumer funds. This forms a concentration of risk as the trust account expands; this may have a material impact on the trustee bank's balance sheet, particularly for those trust funds on deposit with the trustee bank.²³² Most MNOs use a single bank to hold the trust fund, for instance Safaricom uses one bank, Commercial Bank of Africa.²³³ Therefore a successful MNO's trust fund could become significant to the point of representing a funding concentration risk for the trustee bank, which would therefore be vulnerable to systemic risk. The trust account would also be vulnerable to liquidity risk, should the volume of items in transit through the MNO's system suddenly reduce, for example because of losing market share to other MNOs, changes in regulations, an MNO's decision to diversify its own risks, or through civil disturbances that cause a flight to cash.²³⁴

The Central Bank of Kenya typically limits risk concentrations as a normal part of its supervisory activities, but it is unclear presently in the regulation whether this process includes funds held in trust.²³⁵ Regulators typically impose this key prudential requirement to ensure that a customer's funds are available on demand. This means that funds held in trust would require the MNO, according to Brian Muthiora, 'to maintain liquid assets

²³¹ Ibid.

Mobile-Financial.com, 'Mobile Financial Services Risk Matrix' (2010) 71 http://mobile-financial.com/blog/mobile-financial-services-risk-matrix accessed 3 September 2014.

²³³ Ibid.

²³⁴ This civil disturbance reflects political instability in a state such as the post-election violence in Kenya after the disputed 2007 general elections.

Trust accounts are accounts in which a <u>bank</u> or <u>trust company</u> (<u>acting</u> as an <u>authorised custodian</u>) <u>holds funds</u> for specific purposes.

equal in value to the amount of money issued electronically. One common approach is to require entities to ring-fence assets²³⁷ and hold them in a bank account in several prudentially regulated banks. All funds are then backed in the pooled accounts. These requirements would be more stringent than those imposed on deposit-taking financial institutions, which typically mandate only small amounts to be deposited to guarantee potential depositor claims. ²³⁸

The trust account in a prudential bank imposes legal uncertainty and risks, especially in the event of bank insolvency. The stored value in the funds is usually matched to the float in the bank account.²³⁹ The money on deposit is the float held against customers' mobile money accounts.²⁴⁰ The MNO should ring-fence this money from the custodial bank's other assets to protect it from bankruptcy and shareholder claims. However, only minimal legal guidance dictates how capital reserves and/or deposit insurance should and can protect the trust account contents, how banks in distress would handle insolvency or how such proceedings would evolve.²⁴¹ This lack of legal clarity leaves many stakeholders within the mobile money transfer ecosystem exposed.

Concerns with managing risk concentrations may restrict bank's interests in providing trust services. The financial institution which holds the trust account for the MNO takes on reputational risk in the event of the MNO's mismanagement of the trust account or mismanagement of its payment system.²⁴² Although the MNOs claim that the

_

²³⁶ Brian Muthiora, 'Ring-fencing and Safeguard of Customer Money' (2014) http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/kenyas-new-regulatory-framework-for-e-money-issuers accessed 3 September 2014.

²³⁷ Ring-fencing occurs when a portion of a company's assets or profits are financially separated without necessarily being operated as a separate entity. This might respond to regulation, protect assets from financing arrangements, or segregate funds into separate income streams for taxation purposes.

²³⁸ Joy Malala, (2014) (n 208) 29.

²³⁹ Maria C Stephens, 'Promoting Responsible Financial Inclusion: A Risk-Based Approach To Supporting Mobile Money Transfer Services Expansion' (2011) 27(1) Banking And Finance Law Review. ²⁴⁰ Ibid.

²⁴¹ Ibid.

²⁴² Mobile-Financial.com, 'Mobile Financial Services Risk Matrix' (2010) 71 http://mobile-financial.com/blog/mobile-financial-services-risk-matrix accessed 3 September 2014.

funds held in trust are not invested, if this claim is false and the trust funds are invested in instruments that do not conserve their value, the liability coverage provided by the trust assets may become inadequate, potentially leading to a crisis in confidence in the service.

3.5.3. Risks at the Agent Level

Mobile payment agents²⁴³ introduce risks at various levels. Their use can trigger integrity, operational, reputational, and legal risks. Agents are engaged in a large variety of activities including, in particular, cash-in and cash-out services. Their principal role is to accept and distribute cash,²⁴⁴ in principle providing cash-in and cash-out services from the customer's mobile device. In this role, the agents serve as branches²⁴⁵ for the MNOs and act as the point of sale for the customer relationship.²⁴⁶ As the conduit between the MNO and the consumer, the agent holds responsibility for account opening, customer due diligence, and KYC compliance.²⁴⁷

Mobile payment agents are non-bank entities such as retailers (either the MNO's own retail centre or retailer such as a small store) that manage customer registration and liquidity requirements for the mobile money users, on behalf of the MNOs.²⁴⁸ They offer services such as disbursements of loans or micro-loans approved by banks or by a microfinance institution. Agents also effect bill payments and transfers; take deposits and

-

²⁴³ See Chapter 4 for a discussion on the challenges of defining an agent in the mobile payments context.

²⁴⁴ Cynthia Merritt, 'Mobile Money Transfer Services: The Next Phase in the Evolution of Person-To-Person Payments' (2011) 5 Journal of Payments Strategy & Systems. Disbursement of cash poses a cash in transit risk where the vulnerability to theft is apparent.

²⁴⁵ While agents are not literally bank branches, for 'branchless banks' they function as such. CGAP and DFID define branchless banking as the delivery of financial services outside conventional bank branches using information and communications technologies and non-bank retail agents, for example, over cardbased networks or with mobile phones. See, CGAP, 'Scenarios for Branchless Banking in 2020' (2009) http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2009/10/Scenarios-for-

Branchless-Banking-in-2020.pdf> accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁴⁶ Joy Malala, (2014) (n 208) 30.

 ²⁴⁷ Cynthia Merritt, 'Mobile Money Transfer Services: The Next Phase in the Evolution of Person-To-Person Payments' (2011) 5 Journal of Payments Strategy & Systems 143.
 ²⁴⁸ Ibid.

loan payments; allow inquiries on account balances or recent movements of funds; and open savings accounts, loans, and debit cards (all with approvals from the bank). MNOs may contract them as well to do additional outsourcing functions. In all then, the agent may offer frontline customer service; facilitate bank transactions through its balance sheet; transform cash in-the-till into money-in-the-bank and *vice versa*; and may need to go to the bank from time to time to rebalance its cash in the till versus its money²⁴⁹in a collateral or trust bank account.²⁵⁰

In many areas agents' primary business is separate from their agent function, as pharmacies, mobile airtime sale kiosks, lottery kiosks, post offices or grocery stores. Stores providing services to illiterate customers who require assistance are likely to benefit from repeat business. However, the agent may not always act in the best interests of the consumer, for example, insisting that a moderate sum of money be sent in one or two tranches, each of which costs the same set commission. Agents already selling prepaid airtime vouchers may be ideally suited, in terms of technical proficiency and financial literacy, to transition to providing more comprehensive mobile financial services using the same basic infrastructure. Although agents conduct customer due diligence, this action is limited to requiring a postal address and is insufficient to protect the system. All agents go through a vetting process for AML purposes. Agents also bear responsibility for reporting suspicious transactions in accordance with AML and Combating the Financing of Terrorist on behalf of the MNO they represent;²⁵¹ the agent is the MNOs' interface between the cash flow and the consumer.²⁵² To facilitate purchases and sales of e-float, mobile network operators maintain and operate an extensive network of over

-

²⁴⁹ This money forms the liquidity shortfall.

²⁵⁰ Usually the bank assigned to hold the telecommunications company funds.

²⁵¹ Safaricom's website details agent requirements: Safaricom, 'M-Pesa Agents' < http://www.safaricom.co.ke/personal/m-pesa/m-pesa-agents accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁵² Malala Joy (2014) (n 208) 31.

96,000 agents across Kenya. 253 Registered mobile payment users are able to make deposits and withdrawals of cash (i.e., make purchases and sales of e-float) at an agent outlet, which receives a commission on a sliding scale for both deposits and withdrawals.²⁵⁴

This network of local agents enlarges the MNOs' reach to rural areas in order to achieve a higher level of penetration in those markets²⁵⁵ that lack a physical bank or branch network. This financially inclusive element of the mobile payment system is one of its main advantages; it essentially enables a branchless payment system, which is outside the traditional bank-led business model.

The number of mobile payments agents in Kenya has grown in response to demand. While Safaricom store revenues depend on the number of transactions they facilitate, 256 and they have been careful to control the number of M-Pesa retail agents, as of 30 December 2013, 96,000 M-Pesa agents operated in such diverse sectors as supermarkets, fuel stations, and Safaricom dealers.²⁵⁷ As a report from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation describes, there are 'over five times the number of M-Pesa outlets in Kenya than the total number of bank branches, post offices, and automated teller machines (ATMs).²⁵⁸

The agents hold e-float balances on their own mobile phones, purchased either from the MNOs or obtained from customers, and they maintain cash on their premises. Agents consequently face an inventory management challenge as they have to forecast

128

²⁵³ According to 2013 figures from Safaricom < <u>www.safaricom.co.ke</u> > accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁵⁴ Cynthia Merritt, 'Mobile Money Transfer Services: The Next Phase in the Evolution of Person-To-Person Payments' (2011) 5 Journal of Payments Strategy & Systems Registration and deposits are free and most other transactions are priced based on a tiered structure to allow even the poorest users to be able to use the system at a reasonable cost. Transaction values are typically small, ranging from USD 5 to USD 30.); Mas & Radcliffe (2010) at 170 ('[Retail] stores are paid a fee by Safaricom each time they exchange [cash for M-PESA credit] on behalf of customers.').

²⁵⁵ Joy Malala (2014) (n 208) 32.

²⁵⁶ Claire Alexandre, Ignacio Mas and Daniel Radcliffe, 'Regulating New Banking Models to Bring Financial Services to All' (2011) 54 Challenge 116.

²⁵⁷ Ignacio Mas and Dan Radcliffe, 'Mobile Payments go Viral: M-PESA in Kenya' (2010) 353–369 http://siteresources.worldbank.org/AFRICAEXT/Resources/258643-1271798012256/M- PESA Kenya.pdf > accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁵⁸ Ibid.

customer needs through various assessments, particularly for their net e-float needs, all of which usually require the maintenance of security for their operations. ²⁵⁹ Their function is to provide cash-in and cash-out transactions which hinge on the sufficient liquidity of the float at the agent outlets. 260 Mobile money liquidity and cash liquidity therefore pose key risks in mobile money deployment and in their examination of risks.²⁶¹ Various models exist through which agent networks operate. MNOs have evolved into two-tier structures with master agents who manage liquidity as the liaison between themselves and the individual stores, or sub-agents under their management framework. The master agent buys and sells cash from the MNO, makes it available to the sub-agents, and distributes agent commissions.²⁶² 'Super Agents' who now characterise a new model, perform the functions of the aggregator of the second model which allows for the integration of the MNO with banks without it being a bank-led model. Other developments in this vein include users with accounts at certain commercial banks being able to transfer funds between those accounts and their mobile payment accounts. The agent network positioning exposes the payment system's agents to some risks; they occupy a sensitive position in the payment cycle of mobile services: the loading of cash payments, the point of redemption or pay-out, and also the sellers of the handsets themselves, which can be used to make payments.²⁶³

_

²⁵⁹ Ibid.

²⁶⁰ George Obera, 'Safaricom Marks 5 Years amidst Agent Liquidity Concerns' http://www.mobilepaymentstoday.com/blogs/safaricom-marks-5-years-amidst-agent-liquidity-concerns/ accessed 22 September 2014.

²⁶¹ Joy Malala (2014) (n 208) p33.

²⁶² Ibid, also see, Lennart Bångens and Björn Söderberg, 'Mobile banking- Financial services for the unbanked?' (2008)

http://spidercenter.org/polopoly_fs/1.146036.1378747792!/menu/standard/file/Mobile%20banking%20-%20financial%20services%20for%20the%20unbanked.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁶³ Marina Solin and Andrew Zerzan, 'A Mobile Money: Methodology For Assessing Money Laundering And Terrorist Financing Risks' (2010) GSMA Discussion Paper http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/amlfinal35.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

Agents are therefore in a position to falsify records or ignore suspicions of financial crime; they may also be a point of weakness if they do not perform their roles in a diligent manner.²⁶⁴ In particular, agents present systemic, integrity, liquidity, and operational risks if not properly supervised as their use often triggers various risks. Among them include operational, technological, legal and reputational risks. Agents engaged in limited activities such as those registering users present fewer risks in comparison to those who are engaged in a larger variety of activities such as the disbursement of funds.²⁶⁵

Agents earn money on customer registration, and their agreements with the MNO or bank usually give them additional bonuses for securing customers who regularly use the service. They may also receive a payment for each transaction. In spite of the range and complexity of services agents provide, regulators have not typically given agents significant attention. Rather, they require MNOs to oversee agents by assigning to MNOs ultimate liability for the actions of their agents. As both MNOs and banks continue to extend the reach of their services through the use of these agents to expand branchless banking, this may represent a significant vulnerability. 268

Liquidity for mobile payments transactions are provided by the agents²⁶⁹ who typically provide liquidity with revenues from other business activities, which include

²⁶⁴ Business Daily, 'Banks lose Sh1.7 Billion to fraudsters in 3 Months' *Business Daily* (25 November 2010) < http://www.businessdailyafrica.com/Kenyan-banks-lose-Sh1-7bn-to-fraudsters-in-3-months/-/539552/1059808/-/4kmod8/-/index.html accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁶⁵ Kate Lauer, Denise Dias and Michael Tarazi, 'Bank Agents: Risk Management, Mitigation, and Supervision' (2012) 75 Focus Note

²⁶⁶ In this case the Central Bank of Kenya. See Chapter 4 on discussion on the regulatory authority of payments systems.

²⁶⁷ Chapter 4 explains this in detail; the legal and regulatory challenges in the legal definition of agents does not clarify to whom they are accountable. Recent amendments to the agent regulations of the Central Bank of Brazil reflects this principle, which now provides that agents dealing with credit and leasing be trained and certified on relevant technical matters, applicable regulations, the consumer protection code, ethics, and ombudsman duties.

²⁶⁸ Louis De Koker, 'Client Identification And Money Laundering Control: Perspectives On The Financial Intelligence Centre Act 38 of 2001' 4 Journal Of South African Law 715–746.

 $^{^{269}\,\}mathrm{Liquidity}$ in the form of cash from the transferred funds.

selling airtime. They receive commissions for transactions from withdrawals, much like ATMs,²⁷⁰ and hold balances on their own mobile phones. The agent's liquidity management system contains airtime balances and cash on premises.²⁷¹

The system allows agents to take cash that will prove to be counterfeit from customers. Customers may use the agent network to pass counterfeit notes into the money supply, and agents may use this money for payments. With no oversight by any regulatory authority to provide a mechanism for reporting, retrieval, and criminal investigation of suspected counterfeit notes obtained from a mobile payment agent, agents represent a risk to the system. Mobile payment agents currently cannot guarantee the same integrity assurance that bank branches have in assessing the authenticity and integrity of the currency they receive.

Given that agent networks are meant to act as bank branches, regulation should set parameters for training material for use by both MNOs and their agents.²⁷² The training should also be modelled on bank teller training and provided commensurate to the perceived risk.²⁷³ However currently, MNOs do not offer this training for their subscribed agents.²⁷⁴ Additionally, MNOs such as Safaricom claim to provide AML/CFT/Fraud training programmes, to institute and monitor agent compliance commensurate with perceived risk. Although these programmes may lead to reporting, no verification mechanism exists. Since these counterfeit currencies would be rejected if deposited in the agent's bank account, reporting would lead to a financial loss to the agent. Passing counterfeit currency, whether as cash outs to e-payments or as change on trade

²⁷⁰ Joy Malala (2014) (n 208).

²⁷² GSMA, 'Mobile Financial Services Risk Matrix' (2010) < http://mobile-financial.com/blog/mobile-financial.com/blog/mobile-financial-services-risk-matrix accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁷³ The consumer's subjective expectation of suffering a loss in pursuit of a desired outcome"see, Yi-Shun Wang and others, 'Determinants of User Acceptance of Internet Banking: An Empirical Study' (2003) 14 International Journal of Service Industry Management

²⁷⁴ The training is only limited to AML/CFT and given once during the registration period.

purchases, is a criminal issue for the police, not a regulatory issue.²⁷⁵ However, MNOs should provide agent training to facilitate identification of issues, investigation, and apprehension of counterfeiters.²⁷⁶ Agents have not been offered any form of assistance to mitigate counterfeiting risks.

The risk of passing counterfeit currency represents an agent using access to a financial system to manipulate balances for their own financial gain. Although MNOs are responsible for their own internal security as a cost of doing business, which may threaten the financial viability of the service, agents' malfeasance may pose a systemic impact which could affect customers. Requiring MNOs to obtain fraud insurance to protect against insider threats and maintain 1:1 e-money reserve requirement in their trust account would significant mitigate the risk. ²⁷⁷ In addition, regulators should have the authority to arrest and prosecute agents who have committed fraud. Any required fraud insurance may not be available or may price MNOs out of entrance into the market.

Risks at the agent level occur at various levels; firstly the agent is vulnerable to theft, due to the nature of dealing with cash;²⁷⁸ most agents in Kenya hold their cash at their business premises and have no safety measures, such as safes or strong rooms, to store their cash, which leaves them vulnerable to thefts. If an agent is robbed, as they hold large sums of cash within their point of sale, they do not have the same security protocols that bank branches have, yet they perform financial services in much the same way as cashiers. This risk may be heightened if the volume of cash/e-money required

²⁷⁵ Louis De Koker, 'The Money Laundering Risk Posed By Low Risk Financial Products In South Africa: Findings And Guidelines' (2009) 12(4) Journal Of Money Laundering Control 323–339.

²⁷⁶ Ibid.

²⁷⁷ Ibid.

²⁷⁸ Katharine Vincent and Tracy Cull, 'Cell Phones, Electronic Delivery Systems And Social Cash Transfers: Recent Evidence and Experiences From Africa' (2011) 64 International Social Security Review 37.

follows a predictable remittance cycle, requiring a higher than normal cash-in-hand position.²⁷⁹

The agent may be forced to transfer all or part of its e-money inventory to the robber or other party. ²⁸⁰ While agents that are also merchants may find that accepting e-money as payment for goods and services reduces the need for cash in hand, and therefore the risk of robbery, agents' contracts with MNOs do not clearly outline the responsibility for cash security, ²⁸¹ and where the agent is 'deposit' collecting, the cash in the till may be the customers', which imposes the need for greater security measures. No regulatory requirement calls for agents to have insurance or for MNOs to insure them against theft. While an insurance requirement may constitute a barrier to entry for agents, this failure poses risks to the system. Regulation might also require MNOs to provide or reimburse agents if sufficient evidence is given for robberies. This liability for the safety of their cash also poses a barrier to entry for agents, while at the same time creates a moral hazard for thieves.

Agents also pose slight but significant liquidity risks if they become unable to perform cash-out transactions and individuals or larger groups protest. KYC/CDD policies, insufficient cash in hand to meet occasional heightened demand, or system or network outages,²⁸² which can prevent KYC/CDD and transaction verification, all might result in such barriers.²⁸³ This verification only requires that customers show their National Identification to the agent which may not be a sufficient form of identification.

25

²⁷⁹ Ibid.

²⁸⁰ Ibid.

 $^{^{281}}$ The agency agreement between the agents and the MNOs shall also be referred to as the 'contract' between the MNOs and the agents.

²⁸² As a result of operational risks as discussed in this chapter.

²⁸³ See Roger Clarke, 'Human Identification in Information Systems: Management Challenges and Public Policy Issues' (1994) 7(4) Information Technology & People 6–37; Louis De Koker, 'Client Identification and Money Laundering Control: Perspectives on the Financial Intelligence Centre Act 38 of 2001' (2004) 4 Journal of South African Law 715–746.

Such events pose a reputation risk to MNOs. In 2007 during Kenya's post-election violence, when agents were unable to receive the necessary float from banks, and many agents in Kenya became unable to make cash-out payments, customers could not retrieve their funds in remote areas; this created a widespread panic, supporting the need for regulation. Failure of receipt of funds from an agent (if, e.g., an agent has a credit line to help manage its liquidity) due to robbery of the agent or agent theft, poses a credit risk. All agents have an account with a contracting bank, but if cash in the till does not allow the agent to provide the cash customers require, panic can ensue.

In sum, MNOs do not have guidelines for the operations of their agents. Kenya's 2010 agent regulations²⁸⁴ take a different approach, specifying that the bank is responsible for determining, based on an assessment of risk, the services that any particular agent should provide. However, MNOs have not made the necessary clarifications. The situation calls for an account agreement or regulatory requirement that stipulates access requirements and service level agreements for agents, similar to having capital requirements for banks; however this creates an added barrier to entry for agents, considering the nature and characteristics of those who become agents.²⁸⁵

The M-Pesa system, for example, has complex contractual relationships across the entire system. These include the agent agreement between Safaricom and the agent, which contains a declaration of trust that favours all M-Pesa account holders. It also includes a management agreement; commercial bank agreement; a customer terms and conditions agreement; and an agent network manager agreement. Again, depending on

²⁸⁴ Central Bank of Kenya Agent Guidelines 2010

²⁸⁵ Mobile-Financial.com, 'Mobile Financial Services Risk Matrix' (2010) < http://mobile-financial.com/blog/mobile-financial-services-risk-matrix accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁸⁶ Tilman Ehrbeck and Michael Tarazi, 'Putting the Banking in Branchless Banking: Regulation and the Case for Interest-Bearing and Insured E-money Savings Accounts, Consultative Group to Assist the Poor' (2013) http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/puttingthebankingin90.pdf accessed 3 September 2014; World Economic Forum, 'The Mobile Financial Services Development Report 2011' (2011) http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_MFSD_Report_2011.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

the regulatory regime, users would obtain these services directly from an MNO without any direct contractual relationship with a prudentially licensed and supervised financial institution.²⁸⁷ Their contractual relationship would be with the MNO who in turn may have contractual relationships with the cash-in/cash-out agents who accept and pay out cash on the MNO's behalf.

The reputational risks described above may precipitate negative perceptions if and when there are system failures. This would reverse all trust previously fostered amongst consumers. Agent-related AML/CFT lapses that result in either the banks or the agents themselves being used for financial crimes may result in the public associating the bank with criminals. Although the Kenyan public has undoubtedly become accustomed to such system failures, regulators should address Safaricom's accountability and whether insulation of dominant MNOs such as Safaricom from reputational risk would be worthwhile, although this may create a moral hazard within MNOs as they are systemically important payment providers.

3.5.4. Risks at the Consumer Level

The 'consumer' is the mobile payment user. Mobile payment users have generated robust demand in Kenya, and the system's success reflects their adoption of and trust in the system, whereas payment instruments that were introduced to the market without an expressed demand from the consumers in the past have failed to grow the way mobile payment systems have, and the market environment in Africa and other developing

²⁸⁷ Timothy Lyman, Mark Pickens and David Porteous, 'Regulating Transformational Branchless Banking: Mobile Phones and Other Technology to Increase Access to Finance' (2008) < http://goo.gl/YboX0 accessed 3 September 2014.

Mobile-Financial.com, 'Mobile Financial Services Risk Matrix' (2010) < http://mobile-financial.com/blog/mobile-financial-services-risk-matrix accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁸⁹ Ibid

²⁹⁰ Anecdotal inferences from discussions with Kenyans about their use with mobile payment agents.

countries is generally not consumer oriented.²⁹¹ Research on consumer protection and dissatisfaction for less sophisticated consumer segments in developing countries is sparse.²⁹² It is even sparser in mobile payments and retail banking service issues.²⁹³ This part of the chapter discusses the risks identified at the consumer level; Chapter 4 will further expound on the complexities these risks impose on consumers due to a fragmented regulatory framework.

Consumers bear more risks in mobile payments systems than in other payments systems, which typically place more risk on the financial institution.²⁹⁴ Kenyans access mobile payments by presenting their National Identification²⁹⁵ cards, which all adult citizens in Kenya receive. This offers universality,²⁹⁶ but in turn exposes consumers to account fraud, if for instance their National Identity card is stolen and used to open a mobile payment account.²⁹⁷ This creates further challenges as this risk has multiple implications: their identity could be used to access other services, and the account could also be used for fraudulent transactions made in their name. Consumers may be unable to access mobile services because an account using their identity has already been established fraudulently.

²⁹¹ Joy Malala (2014) (n 208) 33. See also Timothy Lyman, Gautam Ivatury and Stefan Staschen, 'Use Of Agents In Branchless Banking For The Poor: Rewards, Risks, And Regulation' (2006) 38 Focus Note http://www.cgap.org/sites/default/files/CGAP-Focus-Notes-Use-of-Agents-in-Branchless-Banking-for-the-Poor-Rewards-Risks-and-Regulation-Oct-2006-Chinese.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁹² Ibid.

²⁹³ Denise Dias and Katharine McKee, 'Protecting Branchless Banking Consumers: Policy Objectives and Regulatory Options' (2010)<http://wwwwds.worldbank.org/external/default/WDSContentServer/WDSP/IB/2014/05/01/000469252 2014050 1092816/Rendered/PDF/704750BRI0CHIN00Box377351B00PUBLIC0.pdf> accessed 3 September 2014; Laura Brix and Katharine McKee, 'Consumer Protection Regulation in Low-access Environments: (2010)Opportunities to Promote Responsible Finance' Focus accessed 3 September 2014; CGAP, 'Agent Management Toolkit' (2011) http://www.cgap.org/publications/agent-management- toolkit > accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁹⁴ GSMA, 'Mobile Financial Services Risk Matrix' (2010) < http://mobile-financial.com/blog/mobile-financial-services-risk-matrix accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁹⁵ Ibid.

²⁹⁶ Ibid.

²⁹⁷ Maria Stephens and Lisa Dawson, 'A Risk Based Approach to Regulatory Policy and Mobile Financial Services' (2011) Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta America Centre http://www.frbatlanta.org/documents/news/conferences/11consumer-banking-dawson_stephens-pres.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

These risks are 'actual' because Kenyan National Identification records do not provide verifiable details of an individual that can be used to trace or track them in the event of a fraudulent event.²⁹⁸ They do not contain a physical address, or biometric documentation that could be used to dispel any fraudulent activity; they only contain fingerprints and the name of the holder.²⁹⁹

This creates a challenge in ensuring KYC/CDD are maintained to an international standard,³⁰⁰ as different biometric options have varying associated costs, (e.g., voice recognition tends to be inexpensive as it can occur over the phone, whereas fingerprinting and retinal scans are expensive in both technical capacity and infrastructure). This remains the remit of the Kenyan state, rather than the MNOs or the CBK; consumers who cannot prove their identity will have difficulty accessing mobile payment services.³⁰¹ This risk became prominent in May 2012, when it emerged that employees of Telco MTN Uganda had stolen around US \$3.5 million from an account used to store cash which had been incorrectly sent through its e-money service.³⁰²

²⁹⁸ GSMA, 'Mobile Financial Services Risk Matrix' (2010) < http://mobile-financial.com/blog/mobile-financial-services-risk-matrix accessed 3 September 2014.
http://mobile-financial.com/blog/mobile-financial.com/blog/mobile-financial-services-risk-matrix accessed 3 September 2014.

³⁰⁰ FATF, 'Guidance on the Risk-based Approach to Combating Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing: High Level Principles and Procedures' (2007) < http://www.fatf-gafi.org/media/fatf/documents/reports/High%20Level%20Principles%20and%20Procedures.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

³⁰¹ Louis De Koker, 'Anonymous Clients, Identified Clients and the Shades In Between: Perspectives on the FATF AML/CFT Standards and Mobile Banking' (2009) 27th Cambridge International Symposium on Economic Crime 4; See also, Bill Maurer, 'Due Diligence and "Reasonable Man", Offshore' (2005) 20(4) Cultural Anthropology 474-505; FATF, 'Global Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing Threat Assessment: A View of How and Why Criminals and Terrorists Abuse Finances - The Effect of This Abuse and the Steps to Mitigate These Threats' (2010)< http://www.fatfgafi.org/media/fatf/documents/reports/Global%20Threat%20assessment.pdf> accessed 3 September 2014.

³⁰² Andrew James Lake, 'Risk Management in Mobile Money, Observed Risk and Proposed Mitigants for Mobile Money Operators' (2013) http://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/37a086804236698d8220ae0dc33b630b/Tool+7.1.+Risk+Management.pdf?MOD=AJPERES accessed 3 September 2014; Finextra, 'Ugandan Telco Says Employees Stole \$3.5m In Mobile Money Fraud' *Finextra* (28 May 2012) http://www.finextra.com/news/fullstory.aspx?newsitemid=23759 accessed 3 September 2014.

Consumers incur liquidity risks because, for instance, an agent who owes funds is unable to meet its payment obligation on time, which potentially adversely affects the liquidity position of the recipient of funds at the time the funds are due, and when their agents have insufficient e-float.³⁰³ High cash-out requests due to special events, including public events, and disturbances such as the 2008 post-election violence in Kenya,³⁰⁴ or loss of public confidence, precipitate these events.³⁰⁵ Consumers seeking to avoid this risk may decide to use super agents,³⁰⁶ usually banks or larger institutions or companies such as supermarkets with the capacity to have large reserves of e-float, as opposed to individual agents. This further highlights the importance of account providers, in this case agents who are responsible to customers for providing cash-out services in a timely manner, having contingency plans to deal with liquidity crises, which may be subject to regulatory review.

Loss of funds due to bank or MNO failure remains a critical risk for consumers. Because regulation does not require MNOs or banks to legally segregate³⁰⁷ trust accounts from the general pool of bank assets available to satisfy creditors, the bankruptcy process may raid these accounts.³⁰⁸ Even if an institution technically segregates the trust account,³⁰⁹ there may not be a rapid procedure for transferring funds held in trust to

³⁰³ Reserve Bank of India, 'Report of the Technical Committee on Enabling Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) in Payment System Applications' (2014) http://www.rbi.org.in/scripts/PublicationReportDetails.aspx?UrlPage=&ID=759 accessed 3 September 2014.

³⁰⁴ GSMA, 'Mobile Financial Services Risk Matrix' (2010) < http://mobile-financial.com/blog/mobile-financial-services-risk-matrix accessed 3 September 2014.

³⁰⁵ Ibid

³⁰⁶ Although super agents who provide physical cash distribution to individual agents are not necessarily able to manage cash stocks effectively as that may not be their core business.

³⁰⁷ GSMA, 'Mobile Financial Services Risk Matrix' (2010) < http://mobile-financial.com/blog/mobile-financial-services-risk-matrix accessed 3 September 2014; Also see Chapter 4, The Legal Definition of Deposits.

³⁰⁸ İbid

³⁰⁹ Funds segregation is common in the trading of futures industry, which helps protect customer capital in the event of a brokerage bankruptcy and in this case the bankruptcy of an MNO. Managed Futures Today, 'Safeguarding Customers through Segregated Funds' (2011) < http://www.managedfuturestodaymag.com/safeguarding-customers-through-segregated-funds accessed 3 September 2014.

another trustee, preventing access to the funds.³¹⁰ This creates by far the greatest risk to consumers as these funds receive no legal protection. Trust funds holding the value of items in transit are legally segregated from the trustee's own assets in bankruptcy, but no legislation enforces this segregation. The trust accounts should be divisible so that the risks are spread and transferable in case of a failure or insolvency.

Furthermore, pooled deposits within a trust account can create a funding concentration risk which would not protect individual customers if trust is impaired,³¹¹ which Chapter 4 discusses in further detail. This concentration of risk suggests that if the deposits are not fully protected under bank closing or insolvency or deposit guarantee rules, consumers, who are often unaware of their risks, are particularly vulnerable. For example, the only deposit insurance available in Kenya is at the account level, and if the trust account is viewed as a single account, rather than many, the cap would be insignificant compared to the size of the trust account.³¹² Since no law clarifies the status of the funds held in the bank, the value of trust funds invested may be reduced by a decline in market value of the investments, as significant and unusual outflows could present the trust with liquidity difficulties if investments cannot be unwound.³¹³

Consumers are at risk of not being able to seek redress, especially when they are unable to dispute a transaction or account charge efficiently. Consumers are not able to resolve disputes with an MNO or to seek recourse to the regulatory authority to arbitrate a dispute because of the weak and/or non-existent measures available,³¹⁴ if they initiate a transaction on their own phones, or if an agent makes a transaction on behalf of a

³¹⁰ Ibid.

³¹¹ GSMA, 'Mobile Financial Services Risk Matrix' (2010) < http://mobile-financial.com/blog/mobile-financial-services-risk-matrix accessed 3 September 2014.

³¹² Ibid.

³¹³ Similarly, the European Union Money Directive stipulates that all EMIs must safeguard funds received from customers so that, in the case of insolvency, the funds will be protected from other creditors' claims and can be repaid to customers.

³¹⁴ GSMA, 'Mobile Financial Services Risk Matrix' (2010) < http://mobile-financial.com/blog/mobile-financial-services-risk-matrix accessed 3 September 2014.

customer.³¹⁵ A lack of financial literacy by the unbanked and the under banked means that users are able to ask others to use this service.³¹⁶ While MNOs can provide an efficient dispute resolution process and clearly publish their service standards to minimise the cause of disputes, the regulator has not been able to define consumer protection for error resolution, in terms of responsibilities, time frames, and liabilities, all of which leaves consumers vulnerable.

A lack of consumer protection raises the cost for consumers, which would in turn create a barrier to adoption; however, this would only be the case for those consumers who are aware of the problem, which many payment users are not.³¹⁷ Additionally, the CBK may not have the capacity to handle complaints or disputes, which puts additional burden on the regulator. The only incentive for resolving customer disputes will be customer retention and reputation, which will be stronger in competitive environments.

Another, more minimal, risk that consumers face is government taxation. The Kenyan government may decide to tax transactions to raise funds and meet its financial obligations, which would increase the marginal cost of each transaction. The high volume of transactions in the mobile payment system presents an opportunity for government. If governments decide to institute a transaction tax on mobile payment system transactions, they will raise the marginal cost of each transaction to consumers (as MNOs would pass this cost along), thus pricing out many of the consumers that the system most benefits. Low cost largely drives the high adoption rate of mobile payments in most communities, and the benefits of expanding access to financial services. Any transaction

³¹⁵ This is because in many rural areas in Kenya many consumers do not have their own phones.

³¹⁶ John Tatom and David Godstead, 'Targeting the Unbanked-Financial Literacy's Magic Bullet?' (2006) University Library of Munich, MPRA Paper 4266 http://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/4266/1/MPRA paper 4266.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

The East African, 'Safaricom Raises M-Pesa Tariffs as Kenya Slaps Telcos with New Tax' http://www.theeastafrican.co.ke/news/Safaricom-raises-MPesa-tariffs/-/2558/1681810/-/view/printVersion/-/gvug2l/-/index.html accessed 3 September 2014.

tax will reduce the system's volume, and the consumers that leave the system will be the poorest, as they are the most price-sensitive.³¹⁹

Lastly, the lack of network interoperability³²⁰ prevents consumers from transacting with desired parties. Although this hindrance is beyond the scope of this thesis, it is worthwhile to mention that dominant players have closed loop networks with no capability to transfer funds between account holders with different MNOs' payment networks due to lack of interoperability. Since the first MNO to enter, Safaricom, has limited competition amongst other providers, this creates a pricing issue for those less able to afford other means of payments.³²¹

3.6. Conclusion

In this chapter, I have shown that disturbances that disrupt the payment system can have profound ramifications across the economy. Payments systems represent the connective tissue of all financial and real economic activity, as the ability to settle transactions and the confidence that the counterparties will do likewise, underpins it. Therefore payments systems can be a key channel for the transmission of shocks across institutions and markets even when they are not the original source. From this perspective, payment systems, and particularly mobile payment systems, deserve particular attention, given that they lie at the heart of the settlement process. Furthermore, this lack of clarity in the legal framework can be a source of risk in its own right, to the extent that it creates uncertainty about or leads to incorrect perceptions of exposures to potential losses. Therefore regulators, particularly the Central Banks, must take an active interest in

³¹⁹ Ibid.

³²⁰ See Chapter 4 for a further analysis of this challenge.

³²¹ Ibid.

³²² Borio and Van den Bergh (1994) supra. p 29.

³²³ Ibid.

payment systems to safeguard the soundness and smooth functioning of the financial system.³²⁴

Regulators need to examine the risks in mobile payment systems in order to ensure an effective financial system, protect consumers, and establish an appropriate regulatory framework for mobile payments. The extensive growth³²⁵ of mobile payments in Kenya makes this imperative. Given the unintended³²⁶ benefit of increasing public involvement³²⁷ in the formal financial system—including expansion of savings accounts in the banks extending systemic exposure nevertheless³²⁸—by converting widely distributed consumer risk into a concentrated systemic risk, the system presents an important vulnerability³²⁹ to the Kenyan economy, given the value of the 'float'³³⁰ in transit on 'deposit', ³³¹, through 'trustee accounts'.³³² The Central Bank does not exclusively provide these payments, but as a prudential regulator it should do so, as a means of mitigating risk. The purpose of this chapter is to contribute to these debates and to promote well balanced regulatory approaches, as scholars do not always clearly understand international standards. Ill-designed and overly rigid (AML/CFT) regulatory frameworks create conditions that favour informal financial channels, which undermine the very objectives of AML/CFT efforts and hamper the progress of financial inclusion.

-

³²⁴ Borio and Van den Bergh (1994) Supra...p22.

³²⁵ Chapter 2 described the proliferation and success of M-PESA in Kenya.

³²⁶ As in Chapter 2, 'unintended' here reflects the fact that mobile payments through M-PESA were introduced with a micro-financial aim and the shift from its originally intended use to a major payment system has become financially inclusive.

³²⁷ This public involvement refers to the large population of the unbanked as described in Chapter 2, into the financial realm.

³²⁸ The 'intermediaries' here are the commercial banks and financial institutions. Banks,, building societies credit unions, financial advisers or brokers insurance companies' collective investment schemes, pension funds.

³²⁹ GSMA, 'Mobile Financial Services Risk Matrix' (2010) < http://mobile-financial.com/blog/mobile-financial-services-risk-matrix accessed 3 September 2014.

³²⁹ Ibid.

³³⁰ The balance of e-money or physical cash or money in a bank account that an agent can immediately access to meet customer demands to purchase cash in or sell cash out electronic money.

³³¹ Deposit in the context of mobile payment transactions is defined as cashing in at the mobile payments agents.

³³² The trustee account is the bank that holds the mobile money and payments in trust. Rasheda Sultana, 'Mobile Banking: Overview of Regulatory Framework in Emerging Markets' (2009) http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1554160 accessed 3 September 2014.

The most vulnerable stakeholders are exposed to risks they may not recognise, either through perceived risks or risks to retail payments. Risks that affect consumers are not readily addressed even in the form of guidelines that spell out the nature and types of risks involved. 333

In conclusion, while mobile financial services in general, and mobile payments in particular, have been very inclusive, consumers who are the most vulnerable segment of the mobile payment system need to be protected through the examination of the gaps in the legal and regulatory framework that have left consumers vulnerable. Chapter 4 will discuss the legal and regulatory challenges in regulating mobile payments. The reliance of a financial system for its stability on the soundness of institutions, the stability of markets, the absence of shocks³³⁴ and minimal volatility has been pointed out, along with the difficulty of achieving these through individual private actions and unfettered market forces alone. In addition the chapter has pointed to the public sector's role in fostering financial stability as opposed to private collective action, making way for the private sector to achieve an optimum result on its own to take a proactive role necessary to achieve the full private and social benefits of finance.

To create the required regulatory framework requires a discussion of the preconditions to a safe and sound payment system. This should occur either through the examination of macroprudential or microprudential requirements. A further examination of who safeguards the payment system should be enhanced, which this thesis will attempt to highlight in Chapter 4 through the analysis of the role of the financial regulator. Despite the fact that the improvements in payment methods and payment innovations have

³³³ Maria C Stephens, 'Promoting Responsible Financial Inclusion: A Risk-Based Approach To Supporting Mobile Financial Services Expansion' (2012) 27 Banking and Finance Law Review 329.

³³⁴ Garry J Schinasi, 'Defining Financial Stability And Establishing A Framework To Safeguard It' (2011) 15 Central Banking, Analysis, and Economic Policies Book Series. International Monetary Fund http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2004/wp04187.pdf accessed 22 September 2014).

contributed to more efficient trade and economic specialisation, both at national and international levels, bringing about financial inclusion, I have argued that regulators need to have complementary policy objectives that strike a balance between strict KYC controls and lax regulation. Given the lack of compromise between financial inclusion and financial integrity, payment systems such as mobile payments have a significant role in enhancing systemic risk, as they have become a significant component of the national payment system of Kenya, and therefore have the potential to generate and transmit systemic disturbances to the financial sector.³³⁵

-

Ryan Hahn, 'Payment Systems and Systemic Risk' (2008) The World Bank http://blogs.worldbank.org/psd/payment-systems-and-systemic-risk accessed 3 September 2014.

CHAPTER 4

THE LEGAL AND REGULATORY CHALLENGES IN THE CURRENT REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

4.1. Introduction

Regulation is a phenomenon that is, according to Karen Yeung, 'notoriously difficult to define with clarity and precision because it's meaning and the scope of its inquiry are unsettled and contested.' As a result, there has been a lot of discomfort amongst regulators² attached to mobile financial services and how best to regulate mobile payments. Financial regulators have the mandate to preserve the stability of the financial system, to ensure financial integrity and resilience, while encouraging innovation and financial inclusion. These functions exist in tension with each other as sustaining financial stability requires bearing down on risks, which can limit innovation. As a result, there has been a lot of discomfort amongst regulators attached to mobile financial

¹ Bronwen Morgan and Karen Yeung, An Introduction to Law and Regulation: Text and Materials (Cambridge University Press 2007) 3.

² Vivienne Lawack-Davids, 'The Legal and Regulatory Framework of Mobile Payments in South Africa: a Trade-off?: Analyses' (2012) 24 SA Mercantile Law Journal= SA Tydskrif vir Handelsreg 77.

³ Neil McEvoy, 'Capabilities of Mobile Operators from the Perspective of a Financial Regulator' in GSMA 'Mobile Money for the Unbanked' (Annual Report, July 2009); see also Paul Makin and Consult Hyperion, 'Regulatory Issues Around Mobile Banking' (2010) The Development Dimension ICTs for Development Improving Policy Coherence: Improving Policy Coherence 139; Paul Tucker, 'The Debate on Financial System Resilience: Macroprudential Instruments' 22 (Barclays annual lecture, London, 22 October 2009).

⁴ See Chapter 3: Financial Stability and Integrity after Financial Inclusion the Mobile Payment Risks.

⁵ Ibid.

⁶Andreas Dombret, 'Resilient Banks – Essential Building Blocks of a Stable Financial System' (2013) < http://www.bis.org/review/r130925b.pdf accessed 3 September 2014; financial resilience has been stressed as the ability for a financial system to overcome shocks to its system. This is especially after the Global Financial Crisis. See, Jean-Claude Trichet, 'Financial System Resilience' Systemic Risk: The Dynamics of Modern Financial Systems' (2011) 101; See also Kartik Anand et al, 'A Network Model of Financial System Resilience' (2013 85 Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization 219.)

⁷ See Chapter 2 Section 2.6 the 'mass market' in most developing countries is comprised of low-income, unbanked people who make up the majority of the population; see, Claudia McKay and Mark Pickens, 'Branchless Banking Pricing Analysis' (2010) < http://www.slideshare.net/CGAP/branchless-banking-pricing-analysis-2010> accessed 3 September 2014; See Chapter 2 Section 2.5. Title Success of Mobile Payment: Financial Inclusion. Page 60.

⁸ See Chapter 3 Section Titled Inherent Risks to the Payment System.

⁹ Vivienne Lawack-Davids, 'The Legal and Regulatory Framework of Mobile Payments in South Africa: a Trade-off?: Analyses' (2012) 24 SA Mercantile Law Journal= SA Tydskrif vir Handelsreg 77.

services and how best to regulate mobile payments.¹⁰ In Kenya, the convergence¹¹ of telecommunications and financial services has caused a convergence in policies amongst regulatory authorities¹² who have different objectives,¹³ which has led to regulatory and legal challenges. Furthermore, the lack of a specific payments systems law¹⁴ that addresses mobile payments specifically, coupled with the fragmentation¹⁵ of the consumer protection laws, where the Consumer Protection Act 2012, does not have specific provisions for financial service consumers and was enacted six years after the introduction of mobile payments. This further highlights the complexities of regulation and innovation and also highlights the fact that new products have been a catalyst for the adoption of new laws in Kenya. This has impeded the development of appropriate regulation that would address the needs of consumers in mobile financial services¹⁶ (a problem that goes beyond mobile payments). Regulators have prioritised innovation over

-

¹⁰ Neil McEvoy, 'Capabilities of Mobile Operators from the Perspective of a Financial Regulator' in GSMA 'Mobile Money for the Unbanked' (Annual Report, July 2009); see also Paul Makin and Consult Hyperion, 'Regulatory Issues Around Mobile Banking' (2010) The Development Dimension ICTs for Development Improving Policy Coherence: Improving Policy Coherence 139; Paul Tucker, 'The Debate on Financial System Resilience: Macroprudential Instruments' 22 (Barclays annual lecture, London, 22 October 2009). ¹¹ Jeremmy Okonjo, *Nature and Impact of Mobile Financial Services on Regulation of Mobile Telecoms in Kenya* (2014) Chapter 1, where convergence has been described as the amalgam of different regulatory authorities with the mobile financial services ecosystem, where the telecommunications industry and the financial services industry are converged to provide mobile payments. See, Colin R Blackman, 'Convergence Between Telecommunications and other Media. How should Regulation Adapt?' (1998) 22 Telecommunications Policy 163.

¹² I discuss the regulatory authorities under Section 4.2.2.Regulatory Authorities.

¹³ The objectives of the CCK are different from Central Bank objectives. Communications Authority of 'Strategic Kenya, Plan' http://www.cck.go.ke/resc/publications/strategic_plan/CCK_3rd_Strategic_Plan 2013 26 13.pdf accessed 2014; 3 September Central Bank of Kenya, http://www.cck.go.ke/regulations/downloads/ICT_policy_guidelines_July_2013_FV3_-

⁵th July 2013.pdf> accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁴ At the time of writing this chapter, the National Payments Systems Act had not been enacted. However it has now as of 2014 been enacted though not enforced. National Payments System Act 2011.

¹⁵The fragmentation of consumer protection, where fragmentation suggests that there is no consolidation in the approach to consumer protection for financial services. See, Andreas Fischer-Lescano and Gunther Teubner, 'Regime-Collisions: the Vain Search for Legal Unity in the Fragmentation of Global Law' (2004) 25(4) Mich J Int'l L 999.

¹⁶Agencies that exclusively cater to consumers in the financial system. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) is an <u>independent agency of the United States government</u> responsible for <u>consumer protection</u> in the <u>financial sector</u>. http://www.consumerfinance.gov/ accessed 3 September 2014.

regulation. Further, regulatory overlap,¹⁷ inertia¹⁸ and arbitrage¹⁹ have obstructed the efficiencies of these authorities and led to duplicative and conflicting regulation, which is inefficient and unduly burdensome for regulators.²⁰ This in turn inhibits more rational regulation and contributes to random selection of regulatory priorities as well as inconsistencies in regulatory authorities' approach.²¹

Still, these challenges have not overtly piqued the interests of prudential authorities in Kenya who should be concerned with the potential of mobile financial services in general which have come to bypass Central Banks and governments in the issuing of money and the control of payments systems.²² The very indication of funds, be it electronic or otherwise, being taken by an entity financial or otherwise, immediately raises 'deposit-taking'²³ This term deposit taking has always been the determining factor or identifier of what a bank this terms that could prompt some prudential oversight or

-

¹⁷ See Title Regulatory Overlap on page 56.

¹⁸ See Title Regulatory Inertia on page 65.

¹⁹ See Title Regulatory Arbitrage on page 59.

²⁰ Todd S Aagaard, 'Regulatory Overlap, Overlapping Legal Fields, and Statutory Discontinuities' (2011) 29 Virginia Environmental Law Journal 237.

²¹ Stephen G Breyer, Breaking the Vicious Circle: Toward Effective Risk Regulation (Harvard University Press 1993).

The BIS, for example, published five reports on e-money between 1996 and 2001, the ECB (and its predecessor, the European Monetary Institute (EMI)), operating between 1994 and 1997) published two reports (1994 and 1998) and a security framework for e-money issuers (2002). The German government amended the German banking law in 1997 requiring e-money issuers to become banks – from Malte Krueger, 'Offshore e-Money Issuers and Monetary Policy' (2001) 6 First Monday 10 http://firstmonday.org/ojs/index.php/fm/article/view/894/803> accessed 3 September 2014.

²³ E Gerald Corrigan, 'Are Banks Special?' (1983) Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis 1982 http://www.minneapolisfed.org/pubs/ar/ar1982a.cfm accessed 3 September 2014: a discussion on deposit taking institutions should be specifically regarded as banks.

analogy with common law views of banks and deposits,²⁴ which Kenyan regulation, or at least its approach to mobile payments regulation, has at present not addressed.²⁵

Clarification in statute for stored value payments²⁶ has been lacking. The term 'deposit', as well as the position of Mobile Network Operators (MNOs) (although they provide payments through stored value²⁷) in relation to the 'business of banking' as defined by the Banking Act, Cap 488,²⁸ are unclear in Kenyan law.²⁹ Allowing MNOs to bypass laws applying to banks allows them to operate without clear legal accountability, which puts the payment system and the stakeholders at legal risk.³⁰ Clarification would give the Central Bank of Kenya (CBK), the main financial regulator in Kenya, the clear mandate it requires in the new converged environment.

This chapter therefore advances the discourse on the need for stability integrity and inclusion described in Chapter 3, arguing that Kenyan regulators should focus on clarifying the law and reconceptualising the changing nature of today's financial activities

²⁴ Leon Perlman, 'Legal and Regulatory Aspects of Mobile Financial Services' (2012) < http://uir.unisa.ac.za/bitstream/handle/10500/13362/thesis perlman lj.pdf?sequence=4 accessed 3 September 2014. Where Leon states that, 'Any inapplicability of the deposit analogy to a large category of SVPs would mean that utilising bank-type deposit rules and principles for SVPs would be nugatory. Important in this consideration is the nature of the initial contract between the system operators and the user insofar as use of the funds handed over to the system operator or his agent, as well as the legal and prudential nature of the issuer.'

²⁵ See Brian Muthiora, 'Reinventing the Wheel: ''Pass Through'' Deposit Insurance Coverage for Mobile Money in Kenya' (2014) < http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/reinventing-the-wheel-pass-through-deposit-insurance-coverage-for-mobile-money-in-kenya accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁶ BIS (1996157. To use a helpful taxonomic analogy, the term stored value payments is used in this thesis to describe the 'family' of systems or devices that store value electronically.

²⁷ The CPSS defined 'stored value' products as: '... prepaid payment instruments in which a record of funds owned by or available to the consumer is stored on an electronic device in the consumer's possession, and the amount of stored "value" is increased or decreased, as appropriate, whenever the consumer uses the device to make a purchase or other transaction, Committee on Payment and Settlement Systems (CPSS), 'Security of Electronic Money' (1996) 3 < http://www.bis.org/publ/cpss18.htm accessed 3 September 2014. The user simply uses an appropriate device or system to obtain access to the value and associated payment facilities through computer and telecommunications links.

²⁸ Banking Act CAP 488 Laws of Kenya.

²⁹ See Section 4.5.3 Titled The Definition of Deposits Pg. 175

³⁰ Roger McCormick, *Legal Risk in the Financial Markets* (Oxford, Oxford University Press 2010), where he discusses legal risk as the risk or loss to an institution which is primarily caused by: (a) a defective transaction; or (b) a claim (including a defence to a claim or a counterclaim) being made or some other event occurring which results in a liability for the institution or other loss (for example, as a result of the termination of a contract); or (c) failing to take appropriate measures to protect assets (for example, intellectual property) owned by the institution; or (d) change in law.

in Kenya. I envision a role for both the CBK and the industry regulator, Communications Commission of Kenya (CCK), and regulatory capabilities and capacities³¹ for the effective regulation of mobile payments for each. The approaches to regulation prioritise the interests of consumers, the most vulnerable stakeholders.³²

The arguments are structured in three parts. The first part will analyse the regulatory framework in place before the National Payments System Act of 2011 (NPSA), which is still in the process of implementation, examining the functions and roles of the regulatory authorities and the CBK as a regulator of all retail payments systems under the law.³³ The legal and regulatory challenges of applying the provisions of the Banking Act CAP (488)³⁴ to a Mobile Network Operator (MNO) provide a rationale for special provisions under the NPSA for mobile payments. As I will show, the gaps between the theory and the practice of financial regulation in regards to mobile payments create a special regulatory arbitrage that affects its application and prescription to the mobile payment system.³⁵ Part two assesses how the current legal framework affects the regulatory authorities' roles and functions in the payments system. Part three discusses how these challenges impact consumers and the inadequacy of the current regulation in relation to their oversight and protection. Part four discusses the normative regulatory approach³⁶ the CBK has taken in relation to mobile payments; it also assesses its adequacy

³¹ See Section 4.6.3, Regulatory Capacity.

³² Stakeholders in the payment system, everyone that has an interest in the payment system as was stated in Kenya Payment System, Framework and Strategy (2004) < http://www.docin.com/p-433903551.html accessed 3 September 2014.

³³ Section Regulation of Mobile Payments Prior to the National Payment Systems Act 2011.

³⁴ Banking Act, CAP 488 Laws of Kenya.

³⁵ See Section 4.6.3., Regulatory Arbitrage.pg,195.

³⁶ This alludes to a an ideal standard or base model of regulation; J. Luis Guasch and Pablo Spiller, 'The Challenge of Designing and Implementing Effective Regulation: A Normative Approach and an Empirical Evaluation' (2012) The World Bank http://www.wds.worldbank.org/external/default/WDSContentServer/WDSP/IB/2012/07/17/000386194 2012071 7015947/Rendered/PDF/710190WP0Publi0ing0and0implementing.pdf> accessed 3 September 2014. See, generally, Bronwen Morgan and Karen Yeung, An Introduction to Law and Regulation (Cambridge University Press 2007); Colin Scott, Regulation in the Age of Governance: the Rise of the Post Regulatory State (Edward Elgar Publishing 2004).

and appropriateness to regulate mobile payments based on regulatory strategies it might apply, and particularly its appropriateness to protect consumers.³⁷

PART I

4.2. An Examination of the Current Regulatory Framework for Mobile Payments Systems

In Kenya, financial services regulation is characterised by fragmented or multiplicity of regulatory authorities that oversee different subsectors of the financial system,³⁸ in a system with regulatory gaps, overlaps, inconsistency of regulations, and differences in operational standards.³⁹ Since mobile payments exist in a converged regulatory space between the telecommunications industry, which provides the platform for the operation of the technology, and the central banks, which regulate financial services functions, mobile financial services readily fall through regulatory gaps.⁴⁰

³⁷ The idea of fragmentation generally comes from the idea of a lack of normative or institutional hierarchy that addresses the regulatory concerns in a structured way; instead, there exists a lack of consolidation of the regulatory instruments which leads to inconsistencies in their application. See, Radha Upadhyaya, 'Analyzing the Sources and Impact of Segmentation in the Banking Sector: a Case Study of Kenya' (PhD, University of London, School of Oriental and African Studies, 2011), where she addresses rather elaborately the state of fragmentation in Kenya's financial services sector regulation. Also fragmentation exists in other areas of law such as in international law as was discussed in Martti Koskenniemi et al, 'Fragmentation of International Law? Postmodern Anxieties' (2002) 15 Leiden Journal of International Law 553.

³⁸ Nzomo Mutuku, 'Case for Consolidated Financial Sector Regulation in Kenya' (2008) Retirement Benefits Authority http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1837354 accessed 3 September 2014.

³⁹ Ibid.

⁴⁰ Section 4.2. The current regulatory framework looks at Kenya's legal institutional arrangements as a precursor to mobile payments' inception and the regulatory environment through which mobile payments operated.

Because the NPSA has only recently taken effect,⁴¹ the Banking Act of 1989 (CAP 488),⁴² the Banking Act of 1969, banking in Kenya was regulated under a piece of legislation from the colonial era, namely, the Banking Ordinance. The 1969 Act allowed the Minister of Finance to license banks and non-bank financial institutions. It also gave the CBK the responsibility of inspecting all financial institutions. The Banking (Amendment) Act, 1985 changed the licensing regime, such that the CBK did so with the Minister's approval. A series of bank failures in Kenya led to the Deposit Protection Fund (DPF) in 1986. For a comparative and historical perspective on banking in East Africa the Bills of Exchange Act of 1882, the Companies Act (Cap 486) of 1978, the Building Society Act (Cap 489) of 1989 and the Cheques Act of 1957 provide a relevant legal framework.⁴³ Kenya has some 169 institutions in the formal banking sector. There are about 43 commercial banks,⁴⁴ about 123 foreign exchange (hereafter forex) bureaus,⁴⁵ several but few mortgage finance companies, and six licensed deposit taking microfinance institutions.⁴⁶ The Central Bank, holds the primary financial system regulator's mandate,

⁴¹ Kenya enacted NPSA in 2011 but public consultations about it began in October 2013 and it became operational on 1 of August 2014, its implementation is still underway.

⁴² Arnaldo Mauri, 'The Currency Board and the Rise of Banking in East Africa' University of Milan Economics, Business and Statistics Working Paper. The National Payment System Framework Strategy. 2004. Financial services regulation in Kenya is characterised by fragmented or a multiplicity of regulatory authorities that oversee different subsectors of the financial system. I focus specifically on the current regulatory framework in which mobile payments have been operational in advancing my arguments.

⁴³ CBK, 'Payment System in Kenya' (2003) 1 < http://www.centralbank.go.ke/downloads/nps/nps%20old/psk.pdf accessed 3 September 2014. Laws relating to the use of cheques are based on English law, primarily the Cheques Act of 1957 and the Bills of Exchange Act 1882.

⁴⁴ Schedule 1, Central Bank of Kenya Act of 1966, Cap 491 Laws of Kenya; Central Bank of Kenya, 'Introduction to Financial System' http://www.centralbank.go.ke/financialsystem/banks/Introduction.aspx accessed 3 September 2014: Out of the 44 banks, 31 are locally owned; 13 are foreign owned. Out of the 31 local banks, three comprise banks with significant government state corporations shareholding (National Bank of Kenya is 70.6 per cent government owned; Consolidated Bank is 77 per cent government owned; Development Bank of Kenya is 100 per cent government owned); 27 are commercial bank which include Equity Bank, among the largest banks in East Africa.

Retirement Benefits Authority, Registered Schemes' http://www.rba.go.ke/media/docs/schemes/Registered-Schemes.pdf accessed 3 September 2014. Central Bank of Kenya, 'Deposit Taking Microfinance Institutions' accessed 3 September 2014: these are Faulu Kenya DTM Ltd; Kenya Women Finance Trust DTM Ltd; Remu DTM Ltd; SMEP DTM Ltd; UWEZO DTM Ltd; Rafiki DTM – with a total of 54 branches nationwide between them. Ndung'u (2009) op cit note 2. In 2007,

which includes the regulation of banking, payments and financial services, as prescribed under the Central Bank Act (Cap 481) 1966.⁴⁷ Section 4 of the Act states that the CBK's, core mandate is to

"formulate and implement monetary policy directed at achieving and maintaining stability in the general level of prices, by fostering the liquidity, solvency and proper functioning of a stable market-based financial system, and will support the economic policy of the Government, including its objectives for growth and employment."

Before the drafting of the National Payment Systems Draft Bill, there were two divisions charged with the regulatory oversight of payments systems,⁴⁹ the National Payment System Division and the Banking Supervision Department (herein BSD) which oversaw banking activities until 2011. The BSD oversaw commercial banks as well as non-bank financial institutions, mortgage finance companies, building societies, foreign exchange bureaus, deposit-taking microfinance institutions and credit reference bureaus.⁵⁰ The BSD licensed financial institutions under the Banks Act, and had a broad mandate in policy and surveillance.⁵¹ Along with the National Payment System Division, it dealt with the development of legal and regulatory frameworks and effectively ensured the stability and efficiency of accessing financial services through supervision of their

an estimated 19 per cent of the adult population had access to formal financial services through banks, with 8 per cent served by MFIs and SACCOs. See CGAP (2010b) op cit note 2.

⁴⁷ The CBK was established in 1966 under the Central Bank Act (Cap 481) 1966. Central Bank of Kenya (CBK),

^{&#}x27;Central Bank of Kenya: Background Information' (2011) < http://goo.gl/FnLsy accessed 3 September 2014.

⁴⁸ CBK, 'CBK Mandate' (2009) < https://www.centralbank.go.ke/index.php/cbk-objectives accessed 3 September 2014.

⁴⁹ Njuguna Ndung'u, 'Mobile and Agency Banking in Kenya', Address by Prof Njuguna Ndung'u, Governor of the Central Bank of Kenya, at the Technical Cooperation among Developing Countries Programme on 'Mobile and Agency Banking in Kenya' (2013) Kenya School of Monetary Studies http://www.bis.org/review/r130515c.pdf?frames=0 accessed 3 September 2014.

⁵⁰ CBK, 'Oversight of Payment System in Kenya: Policy Framework' (2009) < http://goo.gl/OIu0D> accessed 3 September 2014.

⁵¹ CBK (2009) op cit note 43.

financial conditions, either through institutions or through examining their compliance. They regulated payments systems.⁵² Mobile payments had no specific industry regulatory oversight;⁵³ however, mobile payment systems were generally regulated through ad hoc supervision by both the CCK and the CBK.

4.2.1. The Regulatory Authorities

As previously stated, there is very little analysis or attention paid to the role of the CCK in regulating mobile payments. While the CBK certainly has primary authority, the Kenya Information and Communications Act 1998 classifies mobile payment systems such as M-Pesa as Value Added Services (VAS) in the context of telecommunications.⁵⁴ In addition, mobile financial services including mobile payments systems are modelled into essentially electronic transactions regulated by the CCK.⁵⁵

4.2.1.1. The Central Bank of Kenya

Article 231 of the Constitution of Kenya 2010⁵⁶ and Section 3 of the Central Bank Act⁵⁷ establish the CBK. The CBK's mandate calls for formulating monetary policy, promoting price stability, issuing currency, and formulating and employing policies to promote stability and the establishment, regulation, and supervision of efficient and

153

⁵² Leon Perlman in his PhD thesis (n 25) provides the term regulatory *lacuna* as the gaps in regulatory frameworks that present a regulatory dilemma and deems them problematic in the regulation of mobile financial services.

⁵³ This was actually an enabling environment, See Chapter 2, Section 2.4.4.pg 46.

⁵⁴ Jeremmy Okonjo, 'Chapter 2: the Impact of Convergence of Mobile Telecoms and Financial Services on Regulation of Mobile Telecommunications' (2014) http://www.slideshare.net/JeremmyOkonjo/2-chapter-two-impact-of-convergence-of-mobile-financial-services-on-regulation-of-mobile-telecoms-in-kenya accessed 3 September 2014.

⁵⁵ Provisions are made for the recognition of electronic transactions in Kenya as part of the Kenya Information and Communications Act, Part VIA.

The Constitution of Kenya 2010, <http://www.kenyalaw.org:8181/exist/kenyalex/actview.xql?actid=Const2010 accessed 3 September 2014

 $^{^{57}\,\}mathrm{The}$ Central Bank of Kenya Act 1966, amended 2010.

effective payment and settlement systems. The CBK licenses, regulates, and supervises banking and microfinance businesses and regulates and supervises payment systems and payment service providers.⁵⁸ Therefore the CBK has core regulatory oversight of mobile payments.

Kenya's Central Bank is typical in that it has three monetary policy imperatives, ⁵⁰ and has a natural monopoly over issuing legal tender. Some scholars, however, believe that monetary policy and the Central Bank monopoly is trite and artificial, that money is a commodity and that therefore 'no institution is required to regulate the supply of money on a free market'. The premise is based, however, more on the use of gold as the standard of determining a currency's unit of account. However, mobile money and electronic money generally deplete this monopoly. While some national or supra-national governments give their Central Banks general monopoly rights too many fiscal systems, the CBK has monopoly rights to interest rates, the creation of policies for money supply, legal tender issuance, banknotes, and the oversight of the integrity of national payments systems. ⁶¹ Several developing countries have recognised the importance of payment systems and have made amendments to their legislation to extend their central bank's powers to verse the systems, a model the CBK might follow. ⁶² The Bank of International Settlement (BIS) also concurs that payment systems are of great importance. ⁶³ The

⁵⁸ Jeremmy Okonjo, (n 55). It is unclear whether payment services providers in the context of mobile payments includes electronic money. However there is a clear distinction in my view of the two.

⁵⁹ Leon J Perlman (2012) (n 25); see, John B Taylor, 'The Monetary Transmission Mechanism: an Empirical Framework' (1995) The Journal of Economic Perspectives 11460–11478; and see, François Gianviti, *Current Legal Aspects of Monetary Sovereignty* (2004)

http://www.imf.org/external/np/leg/sem/2004/cdmfl/eng/gianvi.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

⁶⁰ Such as the European Union government.

⁶¹ Ibid at 65.

⁶² The Federal Reserve of the US has updated its legislation to include mobile payments under regulation Z that ensures financial integrity and Nigeria has updated their Payments Systems Law, The Regulatory Framework for Mobile Payments in Nigeria, http://www.bu.edu/bucflp/files/2012/01/Regulatory-Framework-for-Mobile-Payment-Systems-in-Nigeria.pdf accessed 22 September 2014.

⁶³ Committee on Payment Systems, 'Core Principles for Systemically Important Payment Systems' Bank of International Settlements 2001. See also David Sawyer and John Trundle, 'Core Principles for Systemically Important Payment Systems' (2001) 8 Financial Stability Review http://www.bis.org/cpmi/publ/d43.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

Central Bank of Kenya also controls the National Payments System (hereafter NPS) through the reduction of systemic risk as discussed in Chapter 3.⁶⁴ The CBK examines risks within Kenya's banks to ensure against panic among participants or within the banking and payments system by examining deposits.⁶⁵ The Central Bank also has oversight over payment mechanisms,⁶⁶ facilitating the interchange of payments in settlement of debt between banks, and by extension, others engaged in payment services.⁶⁷ Thus national payment systems are at the core of the modern Central Bank's role, with the Central Bank acting to supply money for settlement of payments.⁶⁸

4.2.1.2. The Communications Commission of Kenya

CCK⁶⁹ is the independent regulatory authority for Kenya's communications industry. It licenses and regulates telecommunications, including radio communication, postal and courier services. It also issues licenses to internet service providers and other industries; its purview extends beyond unlicensed frequencies. Its role extends to the development and coordination of policies and strategies for the development and operation of telecommunications services in Kenya. Its establishment in 1999, was

-

⁶⁴ See Chapter 3

⁶⁵ The payment services component allows transportation of value along a payment system.

⁶⁶ The BIS sees this oversight as promoting the smooth functioning of payment systems and to protect the financial system from possible 'domino effects' which may occur when one or more participants in the payment system incurs credit or liquidity problems. The oversight of payment systems is aimed at a given system (e.g. a funds transfer system) rather than at individual participants. See CPSS, 'Payment and Settlement Systems in selected Countries' (2003) http://www.bis.org/publ/cpss54.pdf accessed 3 September 2014; Fraser (1994)

⁶⁷ Leon J Perlman (2012) (n 25). Historically, this monopoly oversight and involvement evolved because of disparate mechanisms of payment that led to market inefficiencies and weaknesses in payment, and the need for an efficient mechanism of payments.

⁶⁸ In 1998, the US Federal Reserve stepped in to preserve the financial markets when it filled a payment void left by the multibillion dollar failure of the Long-Term Capital Management hedge fund. See Kevin Dowd, 'Too Big to Fail?: Long-Term Capital Management and the Federal Reserve' (1999) Cato Briefing Paper No 52 < http://www.cato.org/pubs/briefs/bp52.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

⁶⁹ Although at the time of writing this chapter the Regulatory Authority for Communications was the CCK, this title has changed to the Communications Authority of Kenya. However, this chapter shall continue to refer to the Authority as the CCK rather than the CAK for fluidity.

welcomed after the Kenya Post and Telecommunication Corporation (KP&TC), was divided into CCK, the Postal Corporation of Kenya (PCK) and Telkom Kenya.⁷⁰

The Kenya Communications Act No. 2 of 1998 established the CCK, which came into existence in 1999. The Act unbundled the Kenya Postal and Telecommunications Corporation (KP&TC) into five separate entities: the Communications Commission of Kenya (CCK), which is the regulator; the National Communications Secretariat (NCS), which serves as the policy advisory arm of the government on all matters pertaining to the information and communications sector; the fixed-line operator, Telkom; the Postal Corporation of Kenya (POSTA); and a Communications Appeals Tribunal.⁷¹ In recognition of the rapid changes and developments in technology which have blurred the traditional distinctions between telecommunications, information technology (IT) and broadcasting, the Kenya Communications (Amendment) Act 2009 improved the regulatory space and jurisdiction of CCK, and successfully transformed it to a converged regulator.

The CCK regulates all MNOs, the oldest of which is Safaricom Limited, which was established in 1997 as a fully-owned department of the KP&TC.⁷² Forty per cent of Safaricom shares were transferred to Vodafone Kenya Limited, which sold 25 per cent of its own shareholding while 10 per cent of Safaricom's shares – to Mobitelea Ventures Limited, a company resident in Guernsey in the United Kingdom. The CCK took over the KP&TC's 60 per cent of shares.⁷³

⁷⁰ Africog, 'Cause for Public Concern on the Telkom Privatization and Safaricom IPO' < http://www.africog.org/reports/Cause%20for%20Public%20Concern%20over%20safaricom%20IPO.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

⁷¹ Alice Munyua and Muriuki Mureithi, 'Kenya' (2007) Global Information Society Watch (GISW) 2007 Report http://www.globaliswatch.org/en/node/500 accessed 3 September 2014.

⁷²Africog, 'Cause for Public Concern on the Telkom Privatization and Safaricom IPO' < http://www.africog.org/reports/Cause%20for%20Public%20Concern%20over%20safaricom%20IPO.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

4.3. Functions, Roles and Powers of the Central Bank of Kenya in Regulating Mobile Payments

The central bank has the responsibility of overseeing the payment systems in the country. The CBK, as the financial regulator, has taken the lead to shape and implement the regulatory framework for mobile payments systems. Since mobile financial services have developed and evolved, and continue to do so, the role of the central bank has to be re-examined in order to strike a balance between protecting the interests of the public (the consumers) and over burdening the central bank to the exclusion of its main roles. The CBK has recognised that it must regulate new mobile financial services but has not provided specific regulation for stored value payments, on the logic that a rigorous regulatory framework would stifle innovation and impede the development of new technologies in the delivery of financial services, and therefore frustrate efforts to include the unbanked and under banked. I argue that this failure leaves the mobile payment system vulnerable to risks.

⁷⁴ Committee on Payment and Settlement Systems, 'Policy Issues for Central Banks in Retail Payments' (2003) Bank for International Settlements 1–3.

⁷⁵ The Central Bank is the financial sector regulator.

⁷⁶ Through its National Payments Systems Division, though initially very reluctantly. See Section titled Lack of Political Will to Regulate Leading to Regulatory Inertia

⁷⁷ The development of mobile financial services in general have morphed to include various financial products, such as M-Kopo, M-Kesho.

⁷⁸ See generally Michael E Levine and Jennifer L Forrence, 'Regulatory Capture, Public Interest, and the Public Agenda: Toward a Synthesis' (1990) Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization 167; Joseph E Stiglitz, *The Role of the State in Financial Markets*, vol 21 (Institute of Economics, Academia Sinica 1993).

⁷⁹ Oliver James, 'Regulation Inside Government: Public Interest Justifications and Regulatory Failures' (2000) 78 Public Administration 327.

⁸⁰ In fact, for those in Uganda, Rwanda, Tanzania, South Africa and Nigeria, African countries modernising their payments systems to include mobile financial services due to its efficiency and inclusiveness. See. The Africa Competitiveness Report (2013)

http://www.worldbank.org/content/dam/Worldbank/document/Africa/Report/africa-competitiveness-report-2013-main-report-web.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

⁸¹ Central Bank Governor, Njoroge Ndung'u interviewed by Simon Di Castri on the Test and Learn Approach to banking the unbanked. Simone di Castri, 'A Conversation with Professor Njuguna Ndung'u, Governor of the Central Bank of Kenya, on the critical policy issues around mobile money' (2013) http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/a-conversation-with-professor-njuguna-ndungu-governor-of-the-central-bank-of-kenya-on-the-critical-policy-issues-around-mobile-money accessed 3 September 2014.

⁸² At the time of writing this thesis the law was enacted but was not enforced and was not in effect.

The mobile payment system has equipped non-financial institutions and non-banks to provide financial products and services that are not under the purview of the financial regulatory authority, the CBK. This has complicated the regulation of MNOs; I argue this definition as a payment system provider has been problematic and that the CBK needs to extend its reach in its regulatory roles and functions. The need to protect the public from risk without over-regulating imposes a regulatory challenge. Haldwin et al. States discuss the four-fold challenge central banks face in developing countries: flimited capacity, limited commitment, limited accountability, and limited fiscal efficiency. Limited capacity refers to the state's structural and resource limitations in the face of well-resourced private interests; limited commitment refers to the frequent failure of governments of developing countries to fulfil their promises; limited accountability refers to the lack of expectation of transparency; and limited fiscal efficiency refers to the general poverty of such governments, and therefore their limited capacity to pay for infrastructure services. Such governments are vulnerable to capture as well as arbitrary or biased decision-making.

These challenges make reform all the more necessary; and further the regulatory framework, which should be applicable to exclusive provision of mobile payments, should permeate different ministries⁸⁹ within the Kenyan government. The development of mobile payments has made it imperative that the CCK and the CBK have clearly

⁸³ See Part II, Overall Regulatory Challenges for the Regulatory Authorities in Regulating Mobile Payments. Regulatory Capacity.

⁸⁴ This overregulation would create a rigid market, stifling flexibility of operation and development.

⁸⁵ Robert Baldwin, Martin Cave and Martin Lodge, *Understanding Regulation: Theory, Strategy, and Practice* (Oxford University Press 2011) 415.

⁸⁶ Antonio Estache and Liam Wren-Lewis, 'Toward a Theory of Regulation for Developing Countries: Following Jean-Jacques Laffont's Lead' (2009) Journal of Economic Literature 729; Jean-Jacques Laffont, Regulation and Development (Cambridge University Press 2005).

⁸⁷ Ibid at 84, one of the key aspects is how to deal with populations that are unlikely or unwilling to pay for services rendered especially in electricity.

⁸⁸ See Levine and Forrence (n 80); Ernesto Dal Bó, 'Regulatory Capture: a Review' (2006) 22 Oxford Review of Economic Policy 203.

⁸⁹ The Ministry of Finance and the Ministry of Information Communication and Technology, <<u>www.govermentofkenya.com</u>> accessed 3 September 2014.

defined roles.⁹⁰ Kenya at present does not have a single regulator for its non-financial institutions that perform financial functions.⁹¹ This calls for a clear definition of the two entities' roles and functions under separate legislation as a necessary precursor to enforcement. Relevant regulatory authorities would have to ensure a workable relationship and prevent regulatory overlaps and conflicts, and that the regulatory functions protect consumers.

Section 4 A (i)d of the Central Bank of Kenya Act requires the CBK to formulate and implement policies to promote the establishment, regulation, and supervision of efficient, effective payment, clearing, and settlement systems. ⁹² It also calls on the CBK to ensure smooth implementation and transmission of monetary policy objectives and public confidence in the domestic financial system. ⁹³ The CBK already has the specific mandate to establish a clearing house in order to facilitate the clearing of cheques and other credit or payment instruments for the banking institutions and other financial institutions, ⁹⁴ and the NPSA strengthens the CBK's role with respect to mobile payment systems. ⁹⁵ The CBK however has no wide powers that cover the regulation of non-financial institutions, as Kenya lacks a consolidated financial sector regulator. ⁹⁶ This then calls for regulatory oversight of non-financial institutions in the payment systems arena by the CBK and not just the industry regulator the CCK.

⁹⁰ See, Committee on Payment and Settlement Systems Secretariat, "The Contribution of Payments Systems to Financial Stability" (2000) Bank for International Settlements.

⁹¹ Ibid at n 39, Mutuku (2008)

⁹² Central Bank of Kenya, 'Role of National Payment Systems' < http://www.centralbank.go.ke/index.php/role-of-national-payment-systems accessed 3 September 2014. The Central Bank's overall objective as provided under Section 4 A (i)d of the Central Bank of Kenya Act is to formulate and implement such policies as to best promote the establishment, regulation and supervision of efficient, effective payment, clearing and settlement systems. Available at Central Bank of Kenya, <www.cbk.co.ke accessed 3 September 2014.

⁹³ Central Bank of Kenya Act 1966.

^{94 &#}x27;National Payment Systems in Kenya' (2003) published by the Central Bank of Kenya.

⁹⁵ The National Payment Systems Division was created for these purposes.

⁹⁶ See Mutuku (2008) (n 39); Francis Mwega, 'The Competitiveness and Efficiency of the Financial Services Sector in Africa: A Case Study of Kenya' (2011) 23 African Development Review 44.; Simon P Nkeri, 'The Case For Consolidated Regulation Of Financial Conglomerates In Kenya' (2014)

The CBK must examine its resources which would include adequate personnel to be responsible for various regulatory tasks or the overall microprudential oversight. Their involvement would not only include monitoring the provider of the retail payment services, but also in gathering and analysing the information on the payment instruments, including issues related to the market and development of mobile payments products. and the concerns of a rigid regulatory framework for an evolving payment system to assess whether it can realistically take on this regulatory role. The CBK would have to discern the various risks that might arise and assess how these risks can be properly managed. This would include oversight over the acceptable degree of risks. The systemic nature of the payments system has to be examined, as the failure of one participant may have systemic implications. This would mean that various risks such as integrity risks and reputational risk would have to be considered in order to restore confidence amongst consumers and the financial stability of the payment system as whole.

For the CBK to accommodate all these issues, it has to expand its ambit and reach into what it monitors. As mobile payments grow, they increasingly affect the stability of the financial system. The Committee on Payment and Settlement Systems of the BIS has made comprehensive reviews of retail payments. Previously concentration was placed on the payment instruments themselves and how they were settled, but recently focus has shifted to the implications of retail payments, especially innovative ones that have proven

⁹⁷ 'Implications for Central Banks of the Development of Electronic Money' Bank for International Settlements See, 'Innovations in Retail Payments and the BIS Statistics on Payment and Settlement Systems' (2008) IFC Bulletin 31.

⁹⁸ Ibid, Marc Hollanders

⁹⁹ Ibid. This acceptability of risks means that not all risks can be prevented or mitigated as all payment systems are inherently risky. See Chapter 3, Inherent Risks In the Payment System.

¹⁰⁰ See Chapter 3.

¹⁰¹ See Chapter 3.

¹⁰² See Chapter 2, Section 2.5, Success of Mobile Payments: Financial Inclusion. Tommaso Paedoa-Schioppa, 'Policy Issues for Central Banks in Retail Payments (2003) Committee on Payment and Settlement Systems (n 58). I also discuss extensively the relationship between financial stability and the payment system.

financially inclusive on central banks. Therefore, to fulfil its regulatory role, the CBK has to keep abreast of the development of new and innovative retail payments systems. New alternatives for payment will likely continue to develop, and the CBK's role in protecting consumers is crucial.¹⁰³

To date, the CBK has allowed mobile payment systems to develop without its oversight; it particularly allows MNOs to act independently.¹⁰⁴ Consumers, ill-equipped by scant knowledge and understanding of payment systems, do not understand the risks and the CBK's neglect leaves them vulnerable.¹⁰⁵ The introduction of M-Pesa¹⁰⁶ has brought about an explosion in mobile payments; the CBK should give significant attention to the design and operation of and oversight of payment systems, acknowledging its contribution to the stability of the financial system.¹⁰⁷ The development of the financial system and its concurrent technological demands should recognise the ensuing regulatory challenges, as the monitoring and the operation of the payment system will affect the smooth implementation of monetary policy and stability of the financial system.¹⁰⁸

The CBK would therefore have to examine all these schemes, but it may not have the appropriate resources. This are identified as the regulatory capacities, since as a developing country Kenya may not have all the necessary expertise on issues related to

-

¹⁰³ Harry Leinonen, 'Developments in Retail Payment Systems' (2001) Bank for International Settlements Information Press & Library Services CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland 61.

¹⁰⁴ Regulatory strategies, see, Anthony Ogus, 'Rethinking Self-regulation' (1995) 15(1) Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 97.

¹⁰⁵ The CPPS recommends an efficient and mature market for the industry to be able to develop retail payments systems on its own accord. See Paedoa-Schioppa (2003) (n58) 13–15. However, MNOs cannot be assessed as mature markets, since their introduction into the Kenyan telecommunications market and their efficiency is debatable. See Chapter 3, Section on Operational Risks.

¹⁰⁶ Ibid107 See Chapter 3.

¹⁰⁸ Objectives of the CBK under Section 4 of the Central Bank Act 1966.

¹⁰⁹ See Chapter 4 on Section Regulatory Capacity. See also Rosa M Lastra, *Central Banking and Banking Regulation* (Financial Markets Group, London School of Economics and Political Science 1996) where the argument was put forth that the central banks in developing countries are frequently the only organisations that can be referred to due to a lack of an independent financial services regulator such as the PRA in the UK.

mobile money, especially where the Central Bank is relied upon for the safety and soundness of its payment systems. The NPSA has not provided a comprehensive regulatory framework. The Further, externalities of a comprehensive regulatory framework may discourage innovation due to the compliance costs and the rigidity of the regulation instituted. Arguably, mobile payments should rely on market discipline to shape their regulation. However, I argue the CBK should offer guidance to the industries for the complete development of mobile payments and mobile financial systems due to their significance in the Kenyan retail payment system.

The CBK has been very enabling and flexible to date. It has been lax in installing the regulatory framework and has adopted a 'test and learn' approach to regulate mobile payments. Both market needs and consumer needs should drive the legal and regulatory framework on mobile payments; active participation by user groups to incorporate their specific requirements and circumstances, including consumer finance groups, through public consultations should guide the CBK's actions. Public consultation should become a norm for legal and regulatory changes affecting mobile payment systems.

As discussed in Chapter 2,¹¹⁵ the CBK has taken a piecemeal approach to regulating mobile payments. Various issues related to the implementation and the dichotomy and clarification of the status of MNOs and agents were left and continue to be unresolved. While the enactment of the NPSA does not necessarily indicate such

¹¹⁰ Section Part III the Challenges In The Enforcement of National Payment Systems Act 2011.

¹¹¹ Julian R Franks, Stephen M Schaefer and Michael D Staunton, 'The Direct and Compliance Costs of Financial Regulation' (1997) 21 Journal of Banking & Finance 1547.

¹¹² See Section on the theoretical considerations in regulating mobile payments, titled Market Discipline page; Anthony I Ogus, Regulation: Legal Form and Economic Theory, vol 152 (Clarendon Press 1994); See also, Baldwin, Cave and Lodge (2011) (n 87).

¹¹³ This term was used by Njuguna Ndungu the Central Bank Governor, in his interview with Simone Di Castri (2013) (n 83).

¹¹⁴ The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau in the US provides an appropriate regime in which public and private mechanisms for accountability can be sought by consumers, unlike the Consumer Protection Act 2012 in Kenya that does not assess the right of consumers who use financial services.

¹¹⁵ See Chapter 2, Section Enabling Environment of Mobile Payments, Lack of a Regulatory Framework, page, 47.

deficiencies, currently, without better public consultations¹¹⁶ and with the liberal framework of mobile money the regulatory framework may become haphazard.¹¹⁷

The regulatory capacity of the CBK is an important aspect to assess. Developing¹¹⁸ countries often embrace legal transplantation,¹¹⁹ shaping their legislation by adapting the legal frameworks of developed¹²⁰ countries, either through the continuation of post-colonial legal frameworks or through transplantation from elsewhere.¹²¹ However, such transplantation must reflect the CBK's regulatory capacity with respect to mobile payments.¹²²

It is important to note that there is a hierarchy in the formation of laws in Kenya. The Constitution¹²³ is the supreme law, and any law or custom in conflict with it is null and void to the extent of its inconsistency.¹²⁴ The second tier of the hierarchy is statute law or Acts of Parliament, which are published in the Official Gazette. Laws transplanted

¹¹⁶ The first such public consultation was called on 18 October 2013, seven years after the inception of mobile payments.

¹¹⁷ Without transparency, a haphazard regulatory framework may be instituted.

¹¹⁸ There is no established convention for the designation of 'developed' and 'developing' countries or areas in the United Nations system. United Nations, 'Composition of Macro Geographical (Continental) Region' (2013) < http://unstats.un.org/unsd/methods/m49/m49regin.htm#ftnc> accessed 3 September 2014. The designations 'developed' and 'developing' are intended for statistical convenience and do not necessarily express a judgment about the stage reached by a particular country or area in the development process also see, United Nations, 'United Nations Statistics Division: Standard Country and Area Codes Classifications (M49)' (2012) < http://unstats.un.org/unsd/methods/m49/m49.htm> accessed 3 September 2014.

The term 'legal transplant' was coined by scholar Alan Watson to indicate the moving of a rule or a system of law from one country to another as maintained by Watson, transplantation is the most fertile source of legal development. Alan Watson, Legal Transplants: An Approach to Comparative Law (University of Georgia Press 1974); Alan Watson, Legal Transplants: An Approach to Comparative Law (2nd edn, University of Georgia Press 1993).

¹²⁰ Investment climate advisory services of the World Bank Group, 'Better Regulation For Growth Governance Frameworks And Tools For Effective Regulatory Reform Regulatory Capacity Review Of Kenya' (2010) < https://www.wbginvestmentclimate.org/uploads/Kenya.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

¹²¹ Eugene Cotran, 'The Development and Reform of the Law in Kenya' (1983) 27 Journal of African Law 42, 42–61.

¹²² Holger Spamann, 'Contemporary Legal Transplants – Legal Families and The Diffusion of (Corporate) Law' (2009) Brigham Young University Law Review 6, 1813

¹²³ The current constitution was adopted in 1963 and amended in 1999. Kenya has been involved in a constitutional review process for the last three years, the population having rejected the latest amendments in a referendum in 2007

¹²⁴ Article 3 of the Constitution of Kenya.

from the UK or India make up the third tier. The fourth source is decree law. ¹²⁵ The fifth is case law, comprising judgments from the Court of Appeal and the High Court, which serve as precedents for lower courts. The fifth source of law is customary law. ¹²⁶ The sixth source is Islamic customary law. Finally, international treaties and conventions are a source of domestic law as long as they have been ratified by Parliament. ¹²⁷

The CBK does not grant MNOs operating licences that allow for the provision of mobile payments; the CCK has this power. So regulation should begin by clarifying the distinction between the CCK and the CBK. A consensus has not yet been reached over the best way to address this interconnection and convergence of the telecommunication service and the financial service they offer¹²⁸ and the varying institutional and capacity constraints will have to be reframed for Kenya. The scope of formal financial systems is severely limited, and poses an even greater set of conceptual and practical challenges, which shall be discussed. The scope of formal financial systems which shall be discussed.

The regulatory measures currently adopted and under consideration form part of the CBK's regulatory capacity. While Kenya seeks to ensure financial regulators' political independence, it also avoids overregulation generally. Instituting effective regulatory

¹²⁵ Decrees may be issued under the name of the President, but have to be submitted to Parliament for ratification at its next session.

¹²⁶ Richard L Abel, 'Customary Laws of Wrongs in Kenya: An Essay in Research Method' (1969) The American Journal of Comparative Law 573.

¹²⁷ Yash Ghai, 'Law, Development And African Scholarship' (1987) 50 The Modern Law Review 750.

¹²⁸ Niall Hayes and Chris Westrup, 'Context and the Processes of ICT for Development' (2012) 22 Information and Organization 23; Alliance for Financial Inclusion, 'Enabling Mobile Money Transfer: The Central Bank of Kenya's Treatment of M-PESA' (2010) http://www.afi-global.org/en/phoca-publications-case-studies accessed 3 September 2014. At the inception of M-PESA in Kenya in 2007, Safaricom lobbied the Central Bank of Kenya (CBK) and the Communications Commission of Kenya (CCK) for authorisation to provide mobile money transfer services, without a regulatory framework. The CCK's reluctance to step in as a primary regulator was probably due to its unfamiliarity with the new converged product. The CBK has hinged on its macroprudential regulatory mandate under Section 3 of the Central Bank of Kenya Act, to issue negative authorisation to the provision of mobile financial services by MNOs. This is by way of issuance of letters of No Objection.

¹²⁹ These capacity constraints include the lack of unified financial regulation and the fragmentation of the legislation as articulated by Mutuku (2008) (n 39).

¹³⁰ Financial Sector Regulation and Reforms in Emerging Markets Hayes and Westrup. Hayes, N. and C. Westrup (2012), 'Context and the Processes of ICT for Development', Information and Organization.

structures in developing countries does not only include the technical design of the most appropriate regulatory instruments, it is also concerned with the quality of supporting regulatory institutions and their capacities.¹³¹ Therefore, this part will briefly show how regulation has evolved over time, from simply adopting foreign or international models and legal transplantation, towards creating models unique to local circumstances to accommodate mobile payments and the challenges they bring.¹³²

Regulatory capacity is an important aspect in a discussion of Kenya's legal development in efforts to reconcile innovation and regulation¹³³ to offer an enabling regulatory environment.¹³⁴ Examining Kenya's regulatory capacity requires considerable attention to be paid to regulatory design and operations regarding the creation of particular institutional devices or making resources available to allow strengthened regulatory capacity.¹³⁵ Therefore, regulatory reform in infrastructure industries such as telecommunications is at the heart of changing doctrines of development. ¹³⁶

The CBK's powers should prescribe and define the best practices and guidelines under which mobile payments should operate. For instance, I argue in favour of limiting the funds that are stored in mobile accounts and on the amount they can spend for transactions per transaction and per day. The CBK should require KYC (Know Your

¹³¹ Jillian Hossein, Colin Kirkpatrick and David Parker, 'Creating the Conditions for International Business Expansion: The Impact of Regulation on Economic Growth in Developing Countries - A Cross-Country Analysis' (2003) http://ageconsearch.umn.edu/handle/30554> accessed 3 September 2014.

¹³² Bede C Opata, 'Transplantation and Evolution of Legal Regulation of Interconnection Arrangements in the Nigeria Telecommunications Sector' (2011) 14 Int'l J Comm L & Pol'y 1, also this phenomenon shall further be discussed in Chapter 5: Mobile Payments Regulation an Appropriate Approach.

¹³³ This dichotomy between 'innovations versus regulation' has been previously discussed in Chapter 1; it is also described as the Regulatory Dilemma by Leon Perlman in Legal Aspects of Mobile Financial

¹³⁴ See Chapter 2.The enabling regulatory environment has been used in various literature on mobile payments to describe the conducive conditions that have allowed mobile payments in Kenya to prosper, those have not been restrictive. This is also discussed in the first chapter as an altogether enabling environment which has contributed to mobile payment success in Kenya.

¹³⁵ Antonio Estache and Liam Wren Lewis, Toward A Theory Of Regulation For Developing Countries (American Economic Association 2009).

¹³⁶ Baldwin, Cave and Lodge (2011) (n 87).

Customer) regulations to apply when subscribers register for the service. ¹³⁷ It should also require MNOs to address security issues and possibly to pay interest on mobile accounts. Enforcement would require regular reports from the MNOs in order to assess and detect anomalies. The CBK should impose the management of float accounts through a guarantee of deposits which backs the float with real money in the bank. ¹³⁸ This offers protection against the misuse of customer money, and protection to customers in the event of an MNO bankruptcy. The CBK should conduct regular and unscheduled audits to ensure MNOs follow the regulations. ¹³⁹ The CBK should also be able to process the data collected from the various MNOs to perform studies on the local economy.

4.4. Functions, Roles and Powers of the Communications Commission of Kenya in Mobile Payments

The Kenya Communications Act, the Kenya Communications (Amendment) Act 1 of 2009, ¹⁴⁰ and the Kenya Communications Regulations ¹⁴¹ govern telecommunications in Kenya. The Communications Commission of Kenya, telecommunications regulator alongside the National Communications Secretariat, is housed in the Ministry of Information Communication. ¹⁴² The Communications Act gives the CCK the right to issue regulations ¹⁴³ guided by the provisions of the relevant statutes. Its regulatory

1

¹³⁷ Ismael Levergne, 'Mobile Payment Regulation – the Regulator's Role' (2012) < https://www.gfg-group.com/mobile-payments/2012/08/mobile-payment-regulation-the-regulators-role/ accessed 3 September 2014.

¹³⁸ Ibid.

¹³⁹ Safaricom has to send audit reports to the CBK on a monthly basis.

¹⁴⁰ The Kenya Communications Act 2 of 1998, *Kenya Gazette Supplement* No 64, 9 November 1998 at 219–220, available at http://goo.gl/98dpL accessed 3 September 2014. For a critical analysis of the Act, see Rebecca Wanjiku, 'Kenya Communications Amendment Act (2009): Progressive or Retrogressive?' (2009) http://www.apc.org/en/system/files/CICEWAKenya20090908 EN.pdf> accessed 3 September 2014. The Kenya Communications (Amendment) Act 1 of 2009 http://www.cck.go.ke/ accessed 3 September 2014. The Kenya Communications Act 2 of 1998 is now known as the Kenya Information and Communications Act 2 of 1998.

¹⁴² Perlman, (2012) although the Ministries were renamed after the 2013 General Election in 2013. ¹⁴³ Ibid.

mandate encompasses telecommunications, broadcasting, and information technology.¹⁴⁴
The terms and conditions allow for licensees to set up telecommunications systems and provide telecommunications services to third parties.¹⁴⁵ Their Unified Licensing Framework is technology and service neutral. It is structured as follows:

- (i) Network Facilities Provider,
- (ii) Application Service Provider, and
- (iii) Content Service Provider. An entity may be issued with multiple commercial licences, provided that it maintains separate accounts for each licence. With respect to content, licensees under this category provide 'contents services material, information services and data processing services'. 146

Licensing, a form of regulatory power, especially applied to the telecommunications sector has legal, economic, and social foundations. This power has the main objective of balancing public and private interests by controlling the benefits and disadvantages of a telecommunications market. In Kenya, mobile telephony and related services has fundamental for social, financial inclusion. The CCK selects applicants to provide telecommunications services, imposes conditions on licensees, and has the power to withdraw licences. Peculiar Specific objectives of authorisation and licensing

¹⁴⁴ Ibid at 99.

¹⁴⁵ Ibid at 144

¹⁴⁶ Ibid at 144, See also, Communications Commission of Kenya (CCK), 'Market Structure – Telecommunications: Unified Licensing Framework' (2011) http://www.cck.go.ke/licensing/telecoms/market.html accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁴⁷ Jeremmy Okonjo, 'Impact of Convergence of Mobile Financial Services on regulation of Mobile Telecoms in Kenya' (2014) http://www.slideshare.net/JeremmyOkonjo/2-chapter-two-impact-of-convergence-of-mobile-financial-services-on-regulation-of-mobile-telecoms-in-kenya accessed 3 September 2014; Monica Kerrets, 'ICT Regulation and Policy at a Crossroads: a Case Study of the Licensing Process in Kenya' (2004. The Courts have also appreciated the nature of telecommunications services as public goods. For example, in *Observer Publications Limited v Campbell Mickey Mathew and Others* (2001) 10 BHRC 252, a case from the Commonwealth Caribbean, which dealt with constitutional provisions relating to freedom of expression similar to those of the Constitution of Kenya of 1969, the Privy Council held that 'The airwaves are public property whose use has to be regulated and rationed in the general interest.'

¹⁴⁸ Jenny C Aker and Isaac M Mbiti, 'Mobile Phones and Economic Development in Africa' (2010) Working Paper 211 < http://www.cgdev.org/files/1424175 file Aker Mobile wp211 FINAL.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁴⁹ Licensing powers are vested and operationalised by Sections 5 and 25 of the Kenyan Information and Communications Act, Cap 411A, Laws of Kenya.

include revenue collection,¹⁵⁰ regulation of market liberalisation, market entry, public notification through transparency requirements, quality of service control, and regulatory enforcement.¹⁵¹ Other objectives include allocation of scarce resources¹⁵² and vesting of proprietary rights,¹⁵³ and licensing as a form of competition regulation that allows market entry ¹⁵⁴

Through this liberalisation, MNOs have entered mobile payments with minimal oversight, expecting to recoup investment in a sub-optimal market.¹⁵⁵ The CCK initially restricted the telecommunications market to a duopoly; the two main MNOs, Safaricom and Airtel, invested their profits into infrastructure services such as M-Pesa,¹⁵⁶ developing mobile payments players.¹⁵⁷

The CCK also regulates competition within the telecommunications services, although the Competition Act 2012 covers this in part. Section 23(2) (b) of the Kenya Information and Communications Act¹⁵⁸ extends to mobile financial services, which have thoroughly integrated into the voice and data service provision. As James Bilodeaeau,

¹⁵⁰ CCK's total estimated income for the year 2012/2013 is Kshs. 6.22 billion. See Communications Commission of Kenya, 'Annual Report Financial Year 2011/12' (2013) http://www.marsgroupkenya.org/documents/documents/11776/ accessed 3 September 2014.

Leon Perlman, 'Legal and Regulatory Aspects of Mobile Financial Services' (2012) < http://uir.unisa.ac.za/bitstream/handle/10500/13362/thesis_perlman_lj.pdf?sequence=4 accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁵² Ismael Lavergne, 'Mobile Payment Regulation, The Regulators Role' < https://www.gfg-group.com/mobile-payments/2012/08/ accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁵³ Ben Sihanya, 'Infotainment and Cyber Law in Africa: regulatory benchmarks for the third Millennium' (2000) Bernard Sihanya (2000) "Infotainment and Cyber law in Africa: Regulatory Benchmarks for the Third Millennium," 10 Transnational Law and Contemporary Problems, pp. 583- 640 suggests that licensing allocation should be based on an applicant's ability to deliver services.

¹⁵⁴ Statutory frameworks for competition, especially by way of licensing, are integral to the realisation of the freedoms of speech, expression and the media, under Articles 33 and 34 of the Constitution.

¹⁵⁵ Jeremy Okonjo (2014) (supra note 154); Ivan Mortimer-Schutts, 'The Regulatory Implications of Mobile and Financial Services Convergence' (2007) in 'The Transformational Potential of M-Transactions: Moving the Debate Forward' (2007) The Policy paper Series No. 6 http://www.vodafone.com/content/dam/vodafone/about/public_policy/policy_papers/public_policy_series_6.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁵⁶ Market restriction regulatory policies as an impediment to freedoms of speech, are considered.

¹⁵⁷ See James Bilodeaeau, William Hoffman, and Sjoerd Nikkelen, 'Findings from the Mobile Financial Services Development Report' (2011) the Capco Institute Journal of Financial Transformation.

¹⁵⁸ Section 23(2)(b) of the Kenya Information and Communications Act provides that the Commission shall 'maintain and promote effective competition between persons engaged in commercial activities connected with Telecommunication services in Kenya in order to ensure efficiency and economy in the provision of such services and to promote research and development in relation thereto'.

contend, however, competitiveness does not necessarily guarantee high adoption rates.¹⁵⁹ In fact, Kenya, Tanzania, and Ghana, all of which have high mobile financial service adoption levels, lack highly competitive markets.¹⁶⁰ With the opening up of the market to two additional players, Telkom Kenya¹⁶¹ and Essar,¹⁶² the market dynamics have changed. This has driven Safaricom, the dominant MNO, to be more careful in its infrastructure investments, as its market shrinks.¹⁶³ Hence the CCK must carefully wield its licensing powers to promote competition, while also guaranteeing the growth of the telecom sector.¹⁶⁴

In summation, it is inevitable and imperative that in the mobile financial services environment, regulators should begin to rely on the market for self-discipline to an acceptable extent, since markets develop faster than the regulators can regulate. The correction of information asymmetry is a central role of regulators. ¹⁶⁵ In adherence with Systemically Important Payments Systems (SIPS), the CBK has to issue regulations related to the management of credit and liquidity risks by MNOs. The failure of the CBK to issue regulations and to identify certain polices pertaining to various matters on mobile payments in conjunction with the enforcement of NPSA poses the question of whether the CBK is ready to formulate a comprehensive regulatory framework for the service, as at present, the NPSA is not being applied.

1

¹⁵⁹ Ibid

¹⁶⁰ James Bilodeaeau, William Hoffman, and Sjoerd Nikkelen, 'Findings from the Mobile Financial Services Development Report' (2011) the Capco Institute Journal of Financial Transformation.

¹⁶¹ Communications Commission of Kenya, 'Annual Report Financial Year 2008/09' (2010) < http://www.marsgroupkenya.org/documents/documents/11776/ accessed 3 September 2014.
¹⁶² Ibid.

¹⁶³ PricewaterhouseCoopers, 'Telecoms in Africa: Innovative and Inspiring' (2012) 17(1) Communications Review 100

¹⁶⁴ Mike Nxele and Thankom Arun, 'Regulatory Impact on the Development of the Telecommunications Sector in East Africa: a Case Study of Kenya' (2005) Working Paper Series No 99 http://econpapers.repec.org/RePEc:ags:idpmcr:30598 accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁶⁵ Andrew Crockett, 'Market Discipline and Financial Stability' 26 Journal of Banking & Finance 977. See the balance of market discipline in bank regulation 'In International Seminar- the Breakdown of Public & Private Law Dichotomy in Commercial and Financial Law (n208)81.103-105.

4.5. An Examination of the Legal and Regulatory Challenges in the Current Regulatory Framework

Kenya's Financial Institutions Supervision Department is responsible for the prudential regulation of banks and deposit-taking microfinance institutions. The Department's primary concern is whether the MNOs such as Safaricom are stretching or even breaking the rules of the business of banking. However, the National Payment System (NPS) Division of the Central Bank and NPS Department, which emphasises the integrity, effectiveness, efficiency, and security of the payment system, views the MNOs as payment service providers. However, the MNOs

While the NPS Division appeared more willing than the Financial Institutions Supervision Department to permit experimentation with mobile payments, ¹⁶⁸ due to the lack of a payment systems law at inception, the NPS Division has lacked the necessary tools, such as the specific regulations, to supervise the payment system. ¹⁶⁹ I offer some pertinent legal and regulatory aspects that present challenges in the effective regulation of mobile payments—specifically, challenges that exist in the statutes and the statutory instruments that defines the regulatory space¹⁷⁰ in which they have been operating.

¹⁶⁶ Notes on Regulation of Branchless Banking in Kenya – GSMA 2007 http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wpcontent/uploads/2012/06/kenyanotesonregulation-branchlessbanking2007.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

167 Ibid.

¹⁶⁸ Ibid 169. In its August 2004 strategy paper on the Kenya payment system, the CBK stated that it 'is encouraging the population to move to non-cash payment instruments such as payment cards and

electronic money.' Kenya Payment System (Framework and Strategy) (2004) (n 23). Vii, which further alludes to the lax approach the CBK initially had.

169 CGAP, 'Notes on Regulation of Branchless Banking' (2012)

CGAP, Notes on Regulation of Branchiess Banking (2012)
http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wpcontent/uploads/2012/06/kenyanotesonregulation-branchlessbanking2007.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁷⁰ Broadly speaking the space in which mobile payments operate. Colin Scott, 'Analysing regulatory space: fragmented resources and institutional design' (2001) in Maurice Sunkin (ed), *Public Law* (Sweet and Maxwell, 2014) 329–353.

4.5.1. The Banking Act (CAP 488)

The Banking Act of 1969¹⁷¹ regulated banks in Kenya, until the passage of the 1989 Banking Act replaced it,¹⁷² while the 2006 Microfinance Act regulates microfinance institutions. The Banking Act of 1989, like many laws around the world, requires banks to have a valid licence from the CBK,¹⁷³ and defines banks as companies that carry on, or propose to carry on, banking business in Kenya, including taking deposits from the public.¹⁷⁴

Section 2(1) defines a 'banking business' as:

- '(a) the acceptance from members of the public of money on deposit repayable on demand or at the expiry of a fixed period or after notice;
- (b) the acceptance from members of the public of money on current account ¹⁷⁵ and payment on and acceptance of cheques; and
- (c) the employment of money held on deposit or on current account, or any part of the money, by lending ...'

Further, a 'financial business' is defined as: 176

171

¹⁷¹ The Banking Act 1989 (Cap 488).

¹⁷² Prior to the Banking Act of 1969, banking in Kenya was regulated under a piece of legislation from the colonial era, namely, the Banking Ordinance. The 1969 Act allowed the Minister of Finance to license banks and non-bank financial institutions. It also gave the CBK the responsibility of inspecting all financial institutions. The Banking (Amendment) Act 1985 changed the licensing regime, such that the CBK did so with the Minister's approval. A series of bank failures in Kenya led to the Deposit Protection Fund (DPF) in 1986. For a comparative and historical perspective on banking in East Africa, see Arnaldo Mauri, 'The Currency Board and the Rise of Banking in East Africa' (2007) Working Paper No 10 http://econpapers.repec.org/paper/milwpdepa/2007-10.htm accessed 3 September 2014.

Banking Act, Section 2 – Kenya Law Resource Centre, 'Statutory definitions of a bank' http://www.kenyalawresourcecenter.org/2011/07/statutory-definitions-of-bank.htm accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁷⁵ Current account means an account maintained by a bank for, and in the name of, or in a name designated by, a customer of the bank into which money is paid by or for the benefit of such customer and on which cheques and other bills of exchange may be drawn by, and transfers and other banking transactions made on the instructions of, the customer. Banking Act, Section 2.

¹⁷⁶ Ibid.

'(a) the acceptance from members of the public of money on deposit repayable on demand or at the expiry of a fixed period or after notice; and

(b) the employing of money held on deposit or any part of the money, by lending,

investment or in any other manner for the account and at the risk of the person

so employing the money ...'

A 'financial institution' in turn is defined in Section 2 as:

'A company, other than a bank, which carries on, or proposes to carry on,

financial business and includes any other company which the Minister may, by

notice in the Gazette, declare to be a financial institution for the purposes of the

Act.'

Section 3 restricts the carrying on of 'banking business' in that no one shall:

'(a) transact any banking business or financial business or the business of a

mortgage finance company unless it is an institution which holds a valid licence;

(b) unless it is a bank and has obtained the consent of the Central Bank, use the

word "bank" or any of its derivatives or any other word indicating the transaction

of banking business, or the equivalent of the foregoing in any other language, in

the name, description or title under which it transacts business in Kenya or make

any representation whatsoever that it transacts banking business;

(c) unless it is a financial institution or mortgage finance company and has

obtained the consent of the Central Bank, use the word "finance" or any of its

derivatives or any other word indicating the transaction of financial business or

172

¹⁷⁷ Banking Act 1989, Section 3.

the business of a mortgage finance company, or the equivalent of the foregoing in any other language, in the name, description or title under which it transacts business in Kenya or make any representation whatsoever that it transacts financial business.'

4.5.1.1. Challenges in the Application of the Current Regulatory Framework for Mobile Payments Systems

The definitions in the Banking Act 1989 are problematic in relation to the specific regulatory needs of mobile payments, as they seem to create a gap in which MNOs can carry out 'banking business', without the preconditions and prerequisites that banks in Kenya need. ¹⁷⁸ Although non-bank financial institutions may provide financial services local currency and payment services—without carrying out banking business as defined, 179 the regulatory framework does not clarify what MNOs are in so far as they are defined as telecommunications companies that accept funds from the public. The only reference to such institutions is as 'payment service providers'. 180 There should be a compulsion to adequately define these institutions. To avoid MNOs taking advantage of these regulatory gaps, regulators' understanding of the scope and concept of banking business as the Act defines it has changed and may continue to change as a result of innovation in the financial services industry.

In fact, the concept of banking business has changed dramatically since the 17th century when the precursor to the modern banking system appeared as money deposited with goldsmiths. 181 Historically, banking business has included the exchange of goods for

¹⁷⁸ Ragnar Gudmundsson, Kethi Ngoka-Kisinguh and Maureen T Odongo, 'The Role of Capital Requirements on Bank Competition and Stability: The Case of the Kenyan Banking Industry' (2013) http://www.kba.co.ke/workingpaperseries/img/pdf/Working-Paper-WPS 05 12[2].pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

^{179 &#}x27;CGAP Annual Report 2007' (2007) < http://www.cgap.org/sites/default/files/CGAP-Annual-Report-Dec-2007.pdf > accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁸⁰ National Payment Systems Bill at the time in 2009.

¹⁸¹ Rhys Bollen, 'Recent Developments in Mobile Banking and Payments' (2009) Journal of International Banking Law and Regulation 454; Alan L Tyree, Banking Law in Australia (Butterworths 1998).

economic advantage through providing a 'deposit', ¹⁸² as well as the provision of loans to third parties based on the depositors, and the safekeeping of the goods. ¹⁸³ What would be regarded as modern banking emerged from the activities of organisations that collected savings from the general public in Europe during the mid-1800s, when large limited liability commercial banks were established in many countries. ¹⁸⁴

Deposit taking activities have increased gradually into account transfer-based payments, slowly replacing currency and coin, since the mid-1800s. Banks today encompass a wide range of financial institutions and activities that provide services beyond payments, deposit taking and loan activities. Primarily as intermediaries between depositors and borrowers. In that sense they manage assets and liabilities between customers. This channelling of funds between borrowers and savers is known as financial intermediation. In some contexts intermediation may also be taken to mean the taking of loans from one party and loaning the values out fractionally to others at a higher interest rate. This may rather be described as bank intermediation. The process of creating a new asset (a loan) from liabilities (deposits) with different characteristics is called asset transformation. Financial intermediation could also be a synonym for payment intermediation, where the bank acts as a means to allow one person to pay another. Usually, though, this is simply known as 'payment' rather than intermediation as the latter term has a lending and credit connotation. Although banks have always asserted responsibility over providing credit, and handling deposits as well as cash and cheques,

-

¹⁸² In the 17th Century when merchants turned to goldsmiths to provide – or 'deposit' – their valuables for safekeeping and for pecuniary advantage, Henry D Macleod, *The Theory and Practice of Banking*, vol 1 (Longmans, Green, Reader, & Dyer 1866 2012) < http://books.google.co.uk/books/about/The Theory and Practice of Banking.html?id=JKkyAQAAMAAJ accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁸³ The law merchant' (lex mercatoria).

¹⁸⁴ Ibid.

¹⁸⁵ Humphrey et al (1996) (n1).

¹⁸⁶ See Shelagh Heffernan, *Modern Banking in Theory and Practice* (John Wiley and Sons 2003) at 15; Richard Apostolik, Christopher Donohue and Peter Went, *Foundations of Banking Risk: An Overview of Banking, Banking Risks, and Risk-Based Banking Regulation* (Wiley Finance 2009) at 5.

through technology, banks have now entered into increasingly profitable, albeit risky territories.¹⁸⁷ These risky territories are now becoming increasingly complex and the boundaries of their business are becoming unclear.

Furthermore, these territories may include niche markets and generalist banks that offer anything from bespoke payment, deposit, and lending services, to real estate services, stock brokering, derivative trading, life insurance, and even telecommunications and mobile products.¹⁸⁸ The definition of what activities constitute banking has been problematic, due to the different existing business models. These models encompassed merchant banks, clearing banks, cooperative banks, mutual banks, and building societies as policy imperatives. In the context of mobile payments systems it creates, as Leon Perlman states, a *lacuna* between banking law and banking practice.¹⁸⁹

These variants beg the question of whether an entity whose main venture is not banking can be classed as a 'bank' engaged in the 'business of banking'. Despite the fact that common law definitions of what constitutes a bank usually fill any statutory gap, this definition remains elusive. Still, as transformational technological advances such as mobile payments bring traditional banking services into the home, the local store, or the mobile handset, previously held notions of a physical bank with branches dealing with customers physically to provide core retail banking services are out of date. This should give rise to a reconceptualization of the traditional form of banking, *vis-á-vis* modern ways of advancing financial inclusion. Consumers who use mobile payments for functions

1

¹⁸⁷ Claudio E Borio and Renato Filosa, 'The Changing Borders of Banking: Trends and Implications' (1994) BIS Working Paper No 23 < http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=868431 accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁸⁸ See FNB Connect, a division of FNB Bank that offers fixed and mobile services to anyone, not only to bank customers.

¹⁸⁹ Perlman suggests that just as in Salmon J in *Woods* v *Martins Bank* [1959] 1 QB 55 at 56 said: '[T]he limits of a banker's business cannot be laid down as a matter of law. The nature of such business must in case be a matter of fact, and, accordingly cannot be treated as if it were a matter of pure law. What may have been true of the Bank of Montreal in 1918 is not necessarily true of Martins Bank in 1958.'

¹⁹⁰ Joan Wadsley and Graham Penn, Law Relating to Domestic Banking (2nd edn, Sweet and Maxwell 2000) 91. ¹⁹¹ Ibid at 89.

other than person to person payments perceive their mobile payments as accounts, just as bank customers perceive banks.¹⁹²

Nevertheless this examination of the meaning of 'bank' and the activities that constitute banking is an important one. Adequate regulation of MNOs requires clarifying their activities and their status in law. The customer—bank relationship creates a fiduciary duty to ensure the safety of customer funds through deposit guarantees. Banks' important place in the financial system and unique privileges, which are both legal and practical, ¹⁹³ especially at the prudential level, justify their being the most regulated and supervised of economic sectors. Regulation ensures the safety and soundness of the financial system as a whole.

On the other hand, definitions embedded in statutory instruments may not always provide accurate answers. New financial models challenge the views of what constitutes a bank, as an institution, and what constitutes banking business, especially in the context of mobile payments. As MNOs engage in a plethora of pseudo banking activities, reconceptualization of what banks are is necessary.

Around the world, the understanding of bank and bank activities continues to change.¹⁹⁴ At the same time, different countries define these terms differently¹⁹⁵ and an

Dawson, 'A Risk- Based Approach to Regulatory Policy and Mobile Financial Services' (2011) Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta, Americas Center http://www.frbatlanta.org/documents/news/conferences/ accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁹² Cynthia Merritt, 'Mobile Money Transfer Services: The Next Phase in the Evolution of Person-to-Person Payments' (2011) 5 Journal of Payments Strategy & Systems 143; See also Maria Stephens and Lisa

¹⁹³ Ibid Cynthia Merritt, 'Mobile Money Transfer Services: The Next Phase in the Evolution of Person-to-Person Payments' (2011) 5 Journal of Payments Strategy & Systems 143;

¹⁹⁴ The relationships that are created beyond the traditional core activities of intermediation, such as investment advice and safe storage of value, can be described as *sui generis*.

¹⁹⁵ See, for example, the Australian case *Commissioners of the State Savings Bank of Victoria* v *Permewan Wright and Co Ltd* (1914) 19 CLR 457 (hereafter *Permewan*) where on the issue of the 'business of banking', Issacs J (at 470, 471) said that: 'The essential characteristics of the business of banking ... may be described as the collection of money by receiving deposits on loan, repayable when and as expressly or impliedly agreed upon, and the utilisation of the money so collected by lending it again in such sums as are required.'

As Tyree points out, this 'reservoir' definition does not require current accounts or payment facilities. Further, the purported 'bank' was not acting as a 'banker' since it neither allowed funds to be drawn upon

entity's reputation may influence its determination as a bank.¹⁹⁶ Common law views of banking as they stand provide some insight, but I emphasise that MNOs ingeniously escaped being classified as banks through the regulatory gap affixed to the statutory interpretation of the Banking Act. My inquiry will examine what and how institutions that provide financial services remain outside of the full application of the Banking Act in the Kenyan context.

The examination of banking as a construct of law has been fairly recent. Its evolution originates from English law which has been both transplanted¹⁹⁷ and incorporated into Kenyan law over time.¹⁹⁸ The tapestry of laws and precedent that comprises a common law view of banking includes municipal banking legislation, while secondary law—such as banking law and precedents in other, similar jurisdictions—is used by Kenyan jurists to elucidate Kenyan law where it may be unclear, underdeveloped, or not developed at all on certain points.¹⁹⁹

Legal transplantation from English law to Kenyan law has shown the overarching influence of English law in the development of commercial and private law aspects as a result of the post-colonial transition.²⁰⁰ However, since English law has no allencompassing definition of banking for financial intermediation²⁰¹ or clarification of what

by cheque nor did it collect cheques. See Alan Tyree, "The Business of Banking' http://austlii.edu.au/~alan/business-of-banking.html accessed 3 September 2014.

¹⁹⁶ EP Ellinger, E Lomnicka and RJA Hooley, *Ellinger's Modern Banking Law* (5th edn, Oxford University Press 2011) 69

¹⁹⁷ Ibid at 62.

¹⁹⁸ Common law views of banking and what is termed the 'business of banking' additionally, are based on judicial decisions, the evolution of business processes and the influence of related statutes which are constantly changing due to external factors. Leon Perlman (2012) (supra note 25); Sandra Joireman, 'Inherited Legal Systems and Effective Rule of Law: Africa and the Colonial Legacy' (2001) 39(4) Journal of Modern African Studies 571.

¹⁹⁹As Schulze correctly points out, because of the multifaceted nature of banking, banking law depends on the application of various fields of law and a large number of other pieces of legislation that apply indirectly to banking law.

²⁰⁰ The post-colonial period provided the opportunity for states to adopt their own legislation; however, the adoption of colonial legal regimes was common place.

²⁰¹ Financial intermediation, See, Ross Levine, Norman Loayza and Thorsten Beck, 'Financial Intermediation and Growth: Causality and Causes' (2000) 46 Journal of Monetary Economics 31.

constitutes 'business of banking', ²⁰² neither does Kenyan law. Therefore, as RST Chorley acknowledged 40 years ago, with respect to British law 'to construct a definition which would embrace the whole of it is manifestly impossible'. ²⁰³ Therefore Kenya has to take a practical approach to defining the core 'business of banking', ²⁰⁴ and it has done so by categorising characteristics that constitute banking business. ²⁰⁵

While the law has generally held that 'deposits' are part of the 'business of banking', whether stored value payments are deposits remains unclear, a question that leaves the lines between traditional bank participants and non-banks such as MNOs blurred.²⁰⁶ The fact that MNOs' activities involve services from a pool of user funds complicates the question. Primarily around transactional services sourced from a pool of user funds which may be conducted by non-bank entities, even though some of their activities and relationships with customers may resemble those of licensed banks.

4.5.2. The Definition of 'Deposits'

Deposit taking by banks is subject to an interventionist and regulatory regime, ²⁰⁷ and jurisdictions around the world use deposit taking as a decisive indication of the

²⁰⁴ Others indicate that core services which banks are said traditionally to provide are deposit collection, payment services and loan underwriting. See Richard Apostolik, Christopher Donohue and Peter Went, Foundations of Banking Risk: An Overview of Banking, Banking Risks, and Risk-Based Banking Regulation, vol 507 (Wiley 2009). See also Rhys Bollen, 'What is a Deposit (And Why Does It Matter)' (2006) 13 eLaw J.

²⁰² See, William S Holdsworth, A History of English Law, vol 1 (Methuen 1922); Maximilian J Hall, Banking Regulation and Supervision: A Comparative Study of the UK, USA and Japan (E. Elgar 1993).

²⁰³ Robert Chorley, Law of Banking (6th edn, Sweet and Maxwell 1974) at 23.

²⁰⁵ While statutory definitions may buttress the common law definitions, the common law definition has been criticised as being overly restrictive when it hones in on precise mechanisms; see Eliahu Ellinger, Eva Lomnicka and Richard Hooley, 'Ellinger's Modern Banking' (Oxford University Press 2006) at 73.

²⁰⁶ Borio and Filosa (1994) (n 195).

²⁰⁷ Alan Tyree, "The Business of Banking' (2008) < http://austlii.edu.au/~alan/business-of-banking.html accessed 3 September 2014; Benjamin Geva, 'Bank Collections and Payment Transactions: A Comparative Legal Analysis' (Oxford University Press 2001).

'business of banking', a definition that extends outside of banks—a deposit taking entity need not be a bank. ²⁰⁸ If MNOs are taking deposits, the law requires them to apply deposit protection regimes, which has consequences for customers and MNOs alike. Further, there is no *de minimus*²⁰⁹ measure of deposits; even small amounts deposited or paid into an account of sorts may trigger deposit analogies, even if an entity's main business—based on aggregate transactions and profit—is not financial intermediation. MNOs' primary business is telecommunications services, but common law has not held that this disqualifies it from providing banking services or being regulated as such. In a general context and statute have determined the understanding of 'bank', 'banker', and the 'business of banking'. The common law and primary statutory definitions of banking in domestic law are 'complementary'; where the common law proclaims that a 'bank' is an entity engaged in the 'business of banking', a statute may provide the important detail by defining exactly what the 'business of banking' entails. ²¹⁰

Section 16(1) of the Banking Act of 1989 requires a valid licence to invite or accept deposits; Section 16(2) defines a 'deposit' as:

'[A] sum of money paid on terms under which it will be repaid, with or without interest or a premium, and either on demand or at a time or in circumstances agreed by or on behalf of the person making the payment and the person receiving it; and which are not referable to the provision of property or services or the giving of security.'²¹¹

-

²⁰⁸ Ibid

²⁰⁹ Ana Carvajal et al, *The Perimeter of Financial Regulation* (International Monetary Fund 2009). See also AAMC Task Force on Financial Conflicts of Interest in Clinical Research, Protecting Subjects, Preserving Trust, Promoting Progress II: Principles and Recommendations for Oversight of an Institution's Financial Interests in Human Subjects Research' 78 Academic Medicine 237 Also, Louis De Koker, 'Identifying and Managing Low Money Laundering Risk: Perspectives on FATF's Risk-based Guidance' (2009) 16 Journal of Financial Crime 334.

²¹⁰ See similarly in relation to the effect of e-money on the business of banking and the traditional core activities WG Schulze, 'Smart Cards and e-Money: New Developments Bring New Problems' (2004) 16(4) SA Mercantile Law Journal at 712.

²¹¹ Section 16(3) says that for the purposes of Section 16(2) money is paid on terms which are able to be referred to the provision of property or services or to the giving of security if, and only if, it is paid by way

A business is a deposit taking business if:²¹²

- '(a) in the course of the business money received by way of deposit is lent to others; or
 - (b) any other activity of the business is financed, wholly or to any material extent, out of the capital of or the interest on money received by way of deposit.²¹³

The law further specifies that an entity that does not accept deposits on a day-to-day basis, but only accepts them on particular occasions, is not a deposit taking business, whether or not it sells debentures or other securities.²¹⁴ Additionally, to qualify as 'banking business', an entity must accept money on current accounts²¹⁵ and cheques from members of the public, and employ the money or any part of the money held on deposit or on current account by lending. These criteria suggest that an entity must not only take deposits but must also lend funds in order to be captured by the statute. Another provision states that a deposit taking business must:

(a) receive money by way of deposit in that business which is lent to others via 'intermediation', ²¹⁶ or

the Case of M-PESA' (2009) http://www.afi-global.org/sites/default/files/publications/GPF Gerald Nyaoma.pdf> accessed 3 September 2014.

of advance or part payment under a contract for the sale, hire or other provisions of property or services, and is repayable only in the event that the property is, or services are not, in fact sold, hired or otherwise provided; if it is paid by way of security for the performance of a contract or by way of security in respect of loss which may result from the non-performance of a contract; or it is paid by way of security for the delivery up or return of any property whether in a particular state of repair or otherwise. In terms of Section 16(4), a 'deposit' does not include a sum paid by the Central Bank or by an institution or the persons mentioned in Section 54; or a sum which is paid by a person to an associate of that person.

²¹² According to the Banking Act 1969 Amended (2009).

²¹³ To determine whether deposits are accepted only on particular occasions, the CBK looks at the frequency of those occasions and to any characteristics distinguishing them from each other; Section 16(8) Banking Act.

²¹⁴ Section 16(6) of the Banking Act 1989.

²¹⁵ Banking Act 1989, Section 2 – Interpretation 'Current Account'.

²¹⁶ Intermediation is a term used by the CBK to describe this process. See, for example, Gerald Nyaoma, 'Mobile Payments regulatory Framework Perspectives in Kenya' (2010) http://www.efina.org.ng/media-centre/events/workshops/mobile-payments-regulatory-framework-dissemination-workshop/forcedownload/91 accessed 3 September 2014; Gerald Nyaoma, 'Regulating Mobile Money: the Case of M-PESA' (2009) http://www.afi-payments-regulatory-framework-dissemination-workshop/forcedownload/91 accessed 3 September 2014; Gerald Nyaoma, 'Regulating Mobile Money: the Case of M-PESA' (2009)

(b) finance activities of the business, to a material extent, out of the capital of or the interest on money received by way of deposit.²¹⁷

Hence, if

- (a) customer funds received are not loaned to others, and
- (b) any interest received from those user deposits or the capital itself is somehow used to finance the business, the business may not qualify as a deposit taking entity.

The CBK's in-house counsel investigated²¹⁸ M-Pesa and concluded it was not engaging in banking business. M-Pesa accepts repayable funds from the public, but, CBK concluded, Safaricom structured its services in such a way that it effectively fell outside the definitions of 'banking business', 'financial business' and 'deposit'. As a 'transaction' and 'financial business', M-Pesa:

- '(a) accepted from members of the public money on deposit repayable on demand or at the expiry of a fixed period or after notice; and also
- (b) employed this money held on deposit or any part of the money, by lending, investment or in any other manner for the account and at the risk of the person so employing the money'. ²¹⁹

The CBK concluded that agents' practice of depositing their float into an M-Pesa account in a commercial bank mitigated any solvency risk, as required by section (b). According to CBK's legal opinion, holding the (deposited) funds in a pooled trust account at a commercial bank that it could not access to fund its business via the capital and/or

²¹⁷ To determine whether deposits are accepted only on particular occasions, the CBK looks at the frequency of those occasions and to any characteristics distinguishing them from each other; see Section 16(8) of the Banking Act.

²¹⁸ AFI's Mobile Financial Services Working Group, 'Mobile Financial Services: Mobile-enabled Crossborder Payments' (2008) Alliance for Financial Inclusion. See also Mark Pickens, David Porteous and Sarah Rotman, 'Banking the Poor via G2P payments' (2007) 58 Focus Note; Ananda Fanon and Ismail Ateya, 'A Preliminary M-Payment Model for M-Commerce in Kenya' (2008) http://www.strathmore.edu/pdf/ictc-08/m-payment.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

²¹⁹ Kenya Law Resource Centre, 'Statutory Definitions of a Bank' http://www.kenyalawresourcecenter.org/2011/07/statutory-definitions-of-bank.htm accessed 3 September 2014.

the interest, , meant that Safaricom avoided engaging in a 'banking business'. ²²⁰ MNOs often place consumer funds used to prefund the value in mobile money in pooled accounts (also called master or reservoir accounts) with prudentially supervised banks, where the trust which is set up for safety and soundness holds accounts. The commercial bank holds the value and remains distinct from the MNO, although both entities monitor the value increments or decrements.

Safaricom engaged the CBK from the pilot stage to avoid designation as a deposit taking entity; the then-Acting Governor of the CBK issued a letter of 'No Objection' to the commercial launch of the service in February 2007. The letter indicated that if M-Pesa began to operate bank accounts its status might change. It required Safaricom to, 'put appropriate measures in place to safeguard the integrity of the system and protect customers against fraud, loss of money, and loss of privacy,' to implement measures to protect the quality of service,' to guard against money laundering, to keep proper records and supply them to regulatory authorities in formats as may be required from time to time, and to observe all existing laws governing its relationship with its agents and customers.'223

These requirements related to operational risk, AML/KYC, systemic risk, consumer protection, agency risks²²⁴ and avoiding insolvency.

²²⁰ To determine whether deposits are accepted only on particular occasions, the CBK looks at the frequency of those occasions and to any characteristics distinguishing them from each other; see Section 16(8) of the Banking Act

²²¹ AFI (2010a) op cit note 2 at 4 ; see also Mark Pickens, David Porteous and Sarah Rotman (2007) (n 222); Fanon and Ateya (2008) (n 222).

²²² Safaricom (2011a).

²²³ See criteria by CBK in Nyaoma (2010) (n 220).

²²⁴ Risks brought on due to the exposure from mobile payments agents as discussed in Chapter 3Multi-layered Analysis of the Risks at Every Level of the Mobile Payments Ecosystems

At M-Pesa's inception, the funds paid in were in specie,²²⁵ that is, at CBK's insistence²²⁶ M-Pesa placed them in a special trust company, the not-for-profit M-Pesa Trust Company Limited.²²⁷ The Commercial Bank of Africa held the pooled account, from which²²⁸ any interest pooled accrues to the trust company, rather than to Safaricom or its users. Safaricom would cover any claims from fault by the trust company or by Safaricom,²²⁹ and through a fractional reserve model would offer a justification for instituting a deposit protection scheme, while M-Pesa (and its agents) earn revenue on fees for withdrawals, deposits and transfers.²³⁰ Over time, Safaricom has begun to use trust accounts²³¹ with two commercial banks that pool client funds;²³² it uses interest earned on these accounts as part of its corporate social responsibility programme, and thereby avoids taxes on the interest.²³³ There has always been a lack of clarity about where mobile money actually resides, who controls it and who can profit from the idle funds or float while they are sitting in the trust account.

²²⁵ Hugh Rockoff, 'The Free Banking Era: A Reexamination' (1974) Journal of Money, Credit and Banking 141

²²⁶ Protecting Customer Funds in Transformational Branchless Banking, CGAP (2007) (supra note 186) op cit note 2 at 5.

²²⁷ It is not clear whether the funds from this trust company are invested by banks, however all interests earned are used as part of Safaricom's Corporate Social Responsibility.

²²⁸ A commercial bank in Kenya that holds the mobile payments funds from M-Pesa operations.

²²⁹ Ignacio Mas and Amolo Ng'weno, 'Three Keys to M-PESA's Success: Branding, Channel Management and Pricing' (2010) < http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1593387> accessed 3 September 2014,

²³⁰ It did, however, recently open up the opportunity to earn interest using a conventional bank account in an agreement with Equity Bank. Their M-Kesho scheme is a full savings account issued by Equity Bank, but marketed as an 'M-Pesa Equity account'. M-Kesho accounts pay interest, but they do not have a limit on account balances and are linked to limited emergency credit and insurance facilities. Unlike its regular equity account holders who can only transact at the bank's 140 branches, Equity's M-Kesho customers will be able to transact at any of the 17,000 retail outlets that accept M-Pesa. 'M-KESHO in Kenya: A New Step for M-PESA and Mobile Banking' http://www.financialaccess.org/blog/2010/05/m-kesho-kenya-new-step-m-pesa-and-mobile-banking accessed 28 September 2014

²³¹ CGAP, 'Update on Regulation of Branchless Banking in Kenya' (2010) https://www.cgap.org/sites/default/files/CGAP-Regulation-of-Branchless-Banking-in-Kenya-Jan-2010.pdf accessed 3 September 2014; see also, Eliahu P Ellinger, Eva Lomnicka and Christopher Hare, Ellinger's Modern Banking Law (Oxford University Press 2011).

²³² Ibid, Commercial Bank of Africa and Kenya Commercial Bank.

²³³ CGAP, 'Notes on Regulation of Branchless Banking in Kenya' (2012) CGAP Focus Note http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wpcontent/uploads/2012/06/kenyanotesonregulation branchlessbanking 2007.pdf > accessed 3 September 2014.

As such, M-Pesa is not designed as a savings mechanism earning interest. Furthermore, since M-Pesa is not regulated as a bank, the NPSA has not yet come into effect, ²³⁴ M-Pesa acted as a payments system, with users able to make payments and money transfers from their balance. The CBK's decision to allow, enable ²³⁵ and support M-Pesa at the time precipitated furious lobbying by the licensed banks to the Kenyan Ministry of Finance on the basis that M-Pesa was an 'unlicensed bank' and should be shut down. ²³⁶ The CBK's January 2009 audit of M-Pesa declared it safe ²³⁷ on the basis that the average balance on M-Pesa accounts at around US \$3 could not pose any systemic risk to the financial system; as stated in Chapter 3, this is a questionable finding. ²³⁸

4.5.3.1. Challenges in Its Application to the Current Regulatory Framework of Mobile Payments

From an economic point of view, a deposit is an entitlement to receive the credit standing to a customer's account.²³⁹ In common law, *Foley v. Hill*²⁴⁰ (hereafter *Foley*) established the legal view of a deposit, as it was found that an entity taking deposits is to be the debtor of the person making the deposit.²⁴¹ Central banks seek to assist banks and provide stability before they reach crisis point through mandatory monitoring and

²³⁴ At the time of writing this chapter, there was no payment systems act.

²³⁵ The CBK says that an enabling approach requires purposeful and continued engagement to understand and facilitate innovations that can improve financial inclusion. See Nyaoma (2010) (n 220).

²³⁶ Daily Nation, 'Michuki: Probe Cash Transfer' (2008) < http://www.nation.co.ke/News/-/1056/500454/-/u0kd67/-/index.html accessed 3 September 2014.

²³⁷ Ignacio Mas and Amolo Ng'weno (2010) (n 233)

²³⁸ Ibid. Although this figure has increased exponentially as the metamorphosis of M-Pesa has advanced to encompass various needs the average transaction continues to increase and the figures should not be seen as minimal.

²³⁹ Geva (2001) (n 211) 61; S v Kearney 1964 (2) SA 495 (A) at 503.

²⁴⁰ Foley v Hill [1848] 2 HL C 28 at 45 (hereafter Foley).

²⁴¹ As per Lord Cottenham LC. In Scotland the relationship was described by MacKay L in *Royal Bank of Scotland* v *Skinner* 1931 SLT 382 at 384, OH as such: 'After some fluctuation of opinion, it is now well settled that the relationship of customer and banker is neither a relation of principal and agent nor a relation of a fiduciary nature, trust, or the like, but a simple relation – it may be one-sided, or it may be two-sided – of creditor–debtor. The banker is not, in the general case, the custodian of money. When money is paid in, despite the popular belief, it is simply consumed by the banker, who gives an obligation of equivalent amount.'

mandatory periodic reporting requirements.²⁴² This ensuring of systemic soundness ultimately provides consumer protection.²⁴³

Therefore, deposits in a banking context usually imply intermediation, where the deposit taking entity will lend out the value received at a higher rate of interest than it pays to the depositor. However Tyree asks the question, whether a business which accepts deposits but does not make any loans (or a business that provides loans but does not accept deposits), is carrying on 'banking business'?²⁴⁴ He argues that taking funds and lending are not necessarily siren indications of deposit taking and intermediation leading to the 'business of banking', especially where it may relate to a closed, private entity of participants. As Safaricom only accepts funds from its subscribers, Tyree's view suggests it should not be subject to regulation as a bank, although Ellinger²⁴⁵ takes a different view, indicating parallels between banks and mobile financial services systems. These scholars suggest the existence of room for the reconceptualising of the concept of a 'deposit', which would facilitate the rethinking of the nature of deposits and their role in Kenya's mobile payments system.

In a mobile payments context, the stored value payment, or float, is taken from the public and converted into a stored value account. The value received and exchanged for the 'store of value' could amount to a deposit and therefore trigger a prudential deposit regime or the alternative law precepts of deposit and banking.²⁴⁶ Because Kenyan law refers obliquely only to customers and banks, what constitutes a bank and the 'business of a bank' are couched in functional descriptions of the activities of an entity.

²⁴² Ibid at note 230.

²⁴³ Consumer protection issues will be discussed in Part III of this chapter.

²⁴⁴ Alan L Tyree, *Banking Law in Australia* (Butterworths 1990); Tyree (2008) (n 205) 17. In the Australian case of *Australian Independent Distributors Ltd* v *Winter* (1964) 112 CLR 443 (for example, the court held that the society was not carrying on the business of banking when it lent to its members for the purpose of acquiring land or buildings. In fact, none of the society's money was used for making loans.

²⁴⁵ Eliahu P Ellinger, Eva Lomnicka and Christopher Hare, *Ellinger's Modern Banking Law* (Oxford University Press 2011).

²⁴⁶ Ibid.

For example, whether the entity takes in monies in a process that can amount to its being legally described as a deposit, and if the associated activity can be seen as the licence-requiring activity of 'deposit taking', remains unclear.

Given the multitude of prospective service providers involved in taking funds from the public as in mobile financial services, the bespoke legal and commercial categorisations of companies by their core financial business are becoming blurred.²⁴⁷ Service providers in mobile financial services may take redeemable and non-redeemable funds and may ultimately be classed as 'banks'.

Further complicating MNOs' position, the 'usual characteristics of a bank are not its sole characteristics'. A deposit is not a payment; a deposit of funds gives the depository the right to use the depositor's money until it is called for by the depositor or another authorised person, while a payment occurs when a debtor transfers funds to a creditor to extinguish an existing debt.

MNOs' focus on taking user funds not for intermediation purposes, but primarily and narrowly for payments, speaks to the broader issue of defining, or in this context redefining, the traditional concepts of the 'business of banking' and the 'deposit'. Establishing appropriate regulation therefore remains complicated; the question arises, do mobile payments or any other mobile financial service schemes amount to deposit taking, and, if so, is this deposit taking carrying out the business of banking, and does it therefore give rise to the normal incidences of the bank—customer relationship? The fact that the primary business of the entity is to provide telecommunications becomes irrelevant.

-

²⁴⁷ Borio and Filosa (1994) (supra note 195).

²⁴⁸ Denning J in UDT

As a 'deposit' becomes a transient source for the primary use of making payments, the 'business of banking' is becoming so stratified that continuing to consider the 'deposit' as we know it as a crucial signal of the 'business of banking' may become irrelevant. It is also unclear how mobile money is to be secured, should there be a run on the commercial bank that holds the trust account, or should an MNO become insolvent.²⁴⁹ Kenyan law should address these questions. Just as the CBK dictates the amount of capital reserves banks that hold other people's money must maintain if they use those funds to make profit, and the legal terms of those accounts, it should address the legal question, as to whether giving value to someone else through a mobile device can be seen as a public good.²⁵⁰ Ultimately, taking redeemable money from the public resembles public deposits very closely;²⁵¹ strict prudential requirements should protect such funds. Adequate supervision, systemic stability and deposit protection usually apply to electronic value issued by banks in exchange for deposited funds, and exempting MNOs from such prudential regulation raises concerns about the adequate protection of the prepaid money that is in essence customer funds. ²⁵²

There is no global definition of mobile money and electronic money (e-money) suitable for use.²⁵³ Kenya has not defined mobile money or stored value in relation to mobile payments, and this poses a legal challenge.²⁵⁴ Mobile money provides a storage

-

²⁴⁹ Brad Pasanek and Simone Polillo, *Beyond Liquidity: The Metaphor of Money in Financial Crisis* (Routledge 2013) 117.

²⁵⁰ Julia Elyachar, 'Next Practices: Knowledge, Infrastructure, and Public Goods at the Bottom of the Pyramid' (2010) 24 Public Culture 109. Where the question was raised as to whether payments infrastructure should be treated as a 'bien commun' public good.

²⁵¹ Catia Batista, Felix Simione and Pedro C Vicente, 'International Experiences of Mobile Banking Regulation' (2012)

²⁵² Ibid.

²⁵³ Mobile Money Association of India (MMAI) and GSMA Submission to the Reserve Bank of India's (RBI) Committee on Comprehensive Financial Services for Small Businesses and Low-Income Households. MMAI/GSMA, 'Mobile Money: the Opposition for India' (2013) http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/MMAI-GSMA-on-Mobile-Money-in-India-for-RBI-Financial-Inclusion-Committee Dec13.pdf accessed 3 September 2014. ²⁵⁴ See the proposed ruling made by the US Department of the Treasury's FinCEN indicating that they wish to rename 'stored value' as 'prepaid access' and define that term, in order to address regulatory gaps that they say have resulted from the proliferation of prepaid innovations since the original Federal Reserve

facility for electronic value in the form of an account that offers a mechanism for transactions to take place electronically; for many customers, this represents their first 'account'. While existing banking regulation in Kenya does not clearly define whether the issuing of mobile money constitutes retail deposit taking and whether this type of business can be undertaken by anyone except for a licensed and regulated financial institution, authorities have allowed the MNOs to exist in an ambiguous regulatory framework.²⁵⁵

The CBK should have consultations to remove the legal uncertainty to avoid sudden market-jeopardizing changes in its regulatory treatment of MNOs.²⁵⁶ Regulatory uncertainty deprives consumers of a public mechanism for redress or legal accountability for wrongdoing by Safaricom; consumers may not be fully aware of the private mechanisms for seeking redress when a crisis arises.²⁵⁷ This highlights the lack of coordination between the CBK and MNOs in attaining appropriate regulation, as CBK is not a conduct-of-business regulator and would therefore not have the resources to deal with consumer complaints.

Ownership of the funds does not mean that the beneficiaries have a customerbanker relationship with the banks that hold the trust funds; therefore they have no

Board rules were formulated, because if these gaps are not addressed, they believe there is increased risk for the use of prepaid access as a means to further ML. For the proposed rules and their rationale, see also William Jack, Tavneet Suri and Robert Townsend, 'Monetary Theory and Electronic Money: Reflections on the Kenyan Experience' (2010) 96(1) Economic Quarterly at 84, available from http://www.richmondfed.org/publications/research/economic quarterly/2010/q1/pdf/townsend.pdf accessed 3 September 2014. This effective parallel currency has triggered concerns amongst national governments and central bankers that these new paradigms may *inter alia* provide loopholes that may frustrate global efforts to combat ML and TF.

²⁵⁵, As in South Africa, agency-related risks are not regulated separately. Common law principles of agency make any bank or non-bank using retail agents liable for their agents' actions. Rules on AML/CFT don't apply because existing provisions have a loophole—they apply only to banks.

²⁵⁶ In the meantime, the risks presented by Safaricom's M-Pesa mobile payments service are, at least from a practical perspective, significantly mitigated by the involvement of the United Kingdom's Department for International Development, which co-financed the initiative's pilot phase.

²⁵⁷ Rosa M Lastra and Heba Shams, 'Public Accountability in the Financial Sector', in Eilis Ferran and Charles AE Goodhart (eds), Regulating Financial Services and Markets in the 21st Century (Hart Publishing, 2001) 165–188, at 170–71.

entitlement to a protected deposit by the bank.²⁵⁸ Brian Muthiora claims that without intermediation or interest, and as the funds are ring fenced²⁵⁹ and not available for operations,²⁶⁰ customer funds protection is unnecessary. He further argues that a 'pass-through' deposit insurance coverage for mobile money, which Kenya has borrowed in its newly enacted but not yet operationalised, Kenya Deposit Insurance Act 2012,²⁶¹ for omnibus custodial accounts holding pooled funds underlying stored value cards, represents sufficient protection.²⁶²

4.5.4. The Definition and Placement of Agents

MNOs and banks have extended their reach and their services through agents²⁶³ as the point of sale.²⁶⁴ The agents are commonly known as 'outlets',²⁶⁵ 'correspondents' (particularly in Brazil), and 'retail partners' or 'cash merchants'.²⁶⁶ This chapter will use 'agents', the colloquial term which MNOs²⁶⁷ use, which highlights the fact that as a commercial term, agent may not necessarily be an accurate legal descriptor.²⁶⁸ Agents take cash funds from the public, often in a non-'bricks and mortar'²⁶⁹ setting, and convert them into an electronic store of value mobile money.²⁷⁰ Agents do not always transact

²⁵⁸ Brian Muthiora, 'Reinventing the Wheel: ''Pass Through'' Deposit Insurance coverage for Mobile Money in Kenya' (2014) < http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/reinventing-the-wheel-pass-through-deposit-insurance-coverage-for-mobile-money-in-kenya accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁵⁹ Ibid.

²⁶⁰ These funds are reportedly not reinvested by the banks, however this remains unclear.

²⁶¹ Kenya Deposit Insurance Act 2012.

²⁶² Ibid, 257.

²⁶³ As explained in Chapter 2, Section 2.3.4. Page. 34.

²⁶⁴ Timothy Lyman, Gautam Ivatury and Stefan Staschen, 'Use of Agents in Branchless Banking for the Poor: Rewards, Risks, and Regulation' (2006) 38 Focus Note [page?].

²⁶⁵ Ibid at 189.

²⁶⁶ Ibid.

²⁶⁷ Safaricom < http://www.safaricom.co.ke/ accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁶⁸ Which therefore poses a legal challenge in its regulation as agents are often confused with agency banking or third party outsourcing as Leon Perlman suggests, See, Leon Perlman, 'Legal and Regulatory Aspects of Mobile Financial Services' (PhD, University of South Africa 2012).

²⁶⁹ A traditional 'street-side' business that deals with its customers face to face in an office or store that the business owns or rents. The local grocery store and the corner bank are examples of 'brick and mortar' institutions. Brick and mortar businesses can find it difficult to compete with web-based businesses because the latter usually have lower operating costs and greater flexibility.

²⁷⁰ This will also be referred to as 'float'.

business in person. In many areas agents may primarily be chemists, airtime sellers or grocers.²⁷¹ These are financial institutions that provide services to illiterate customers who require assistance and are likely to benefit from repeat business. However, the agent may not always act in the best interests of the consumer, for example, insisting that a moderate sum of money must be sent in one or two tranches, each of which costs the same set commission.

Section 8(1) of the Banking Act 1989 requires CBK²⁷² approval for any opening or relocating of bank branches—any premises, other than their head offices, at which an institution transacts business inside or outside.²⁷³ No regulations explicitly govern outsourcing of functions by banks,²⁷⁴ but this requirement would potentially change MNOs' business a good deal if the CBK deemed them a bank and considered agents and super-agents bank branches.²⁷⁵

In April 2010, the CBK issued new agent banking guidelines²⁷⁶ that allowed banks to engage a wide range of retail outlets for transaction handling (cash-in and cash-out) and product promotion (receiving account applications, though applications must be approved by a bank staff member).²⁷⁷ This enabled banks to start utilising the M-Pesa

²⁷

²⁷¹ 'Mobile Banking: Agents as Mediators' | CGAP http://www.cgap.org/blog/mobile-banking-agents-mediators? accessed 28 September 2014.

²⁷² Section 8(1) of Banking Act Cap 488.

²⁷³ The Banking Act 1995 < https://www.imolin.org/doc/amlid/Kenya_Banking%20Act%201995.pdf accessed 3 September 2014. Banking Act, Section 2 – Interpretation. This may affect the functioning of agents.

²⁷⁴ CGAP (2007) (supra note 186) op cit note 2 at 7.

²⁷⁵ Ibid.

²⁷⁶ Specifically through the, 'Guideline On Agent Banking' (2000) < http://www.bu.edu/bucflp/files/2012/01/Guideline-on-Agent-Banking-CBKPG15.pdf accessed 3 September 2014. See also African Development Bank Group, 'Fostering Financial Inclusion with Mobile Banking' (2013) < http://www.afdb.org/en/news-and-events/article/fostering-financial-inclusion-with-mobile-banking-12125/ accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁷⁷ CGAP, 'M-KESHO in Kenya' (2010) http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/m-kesho-in-kenya accessed 3 September 2014; Central Bank of Kenya, 'CBK/PG/15: Guidelines on Agent Banking' (2010) http://www.bb.org.bd/aboutus/regulationguideline/psd/agentbanking_banks_v13.pdf accessed 3 September 2014. The guidelines define an agent as 'an entity that has been contracted by an institution and approved by the Central Bank to provide the services of the institution on behalf of the institution in the manner specified in this Guideline'. It also defines an 'outlet' as being 'an agent's place of business directly responsible to the Head Office, used for carrying out a commercial activity of the agent but does not include a mobile unit'. See, 'Guideline on the Appointment and Operations of Third Party

platform and associated network of M-Pesa outlets as a channel to access new customers. M-Pesa was encroaching on banking business,²⁷⁸ and Safaricom's non-bank status and consequent free hand in creating an agent network, proved useful for banks. As of August 2011, M-Pesa had 23,000 agents²⁷⁹ to facilitate customer registration and so-called cash-in/cash-out facilities;²⁸⁰ by 2014 it had 43,000.²⁸¹ The CBK only approves outsourcing by banks to agents on a case-by-case basis;²⁸² permitting them to have agents that are not their physical branches as required by Section 8(1) of the Banking Act 1989 has changed the landscape.²⁸³ Provisions that relate *inter alia* to AML/KYC and consumer protection do not necessarily apply to these agents.²⁸⁴

The lack of registration of M-Pesa agents by the CBK highlights the gaps through which these guidelines are to be prescribed and applied to mobile payments as such action requires approval by the CBK itself. Customers have discovered a legal workaround by using M-Kesho²⁸⁵ accounts alongside M-Pesa accounts; customers cannot use M-Pesa agents to deposit funds directly into M-Kesho accounts, even though the interface is the same as that for M-Pesa, and therefore most deposit separate funds into their M-Kesho account. This offers a clear example of regulatory arbitrage as any customer with dual M-Pesa and M-Kesho accounts will deposit funds into his M-Pesa account via an M-Pesa

Agents' http://www.bu.edu/bucflp/files/2012/01/Deposit-Taking-Microfinance-Circular-No.-1-Guideline-on-the-Appointment-and-Operations-of-Third-Party-Agents-by-DTMs-consumer-protection-related.pdf accessed 28 September 2014.

²⁷⁸ Ibid. See Chapter 2, The Enabling Environment for Mobile Payments. Page 46

²⁷⁹ Safaricom (2011) figures as provided in 2011 from the Safaricom website <<u>www.safaricom.co.ke</u>> <u>accessed</u> 2 November 2011. Cash agents get incentives for registering customers and a share of commission on remittances so as to compensate them for being bypassed for the purchase of airtime voucher recharges by subscribers

²⁸⁰ Safaricom list the following as the duties of an agent: register M-Pesa customers; deposit cash into registered customers' M-Pesa accounts; process cash withdrawals for registered M-Pesa customers; process cash withdrawals for non-registered M-Pesa customers; Customer Education Compliance with Safaricom AML & KYC Policy; compliance with Safaricom business practices; branding of their outlets as per provided guideline.

²⁸¹ Safaricom, <<u>www.safaricom.co.ke</u>> accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁸² CGAP (2007) (supra note 186).

²⁸³ CBK (2010) (supra note 134).

²⁸⁴ Section 2 of the Banking Act 1989 as amended.

²⁸⁵ This includes interest-bearing accounts.

agent, and then transfer funds to the M-Kesho account following confirmation via SMS that the deposited funds are available.²⁸⁶

4.5.4.1. Challenges in Applying the Current Regulatory Framework to Mobile Payments

Financial service providers' liability for the acts of their agents is a fundamental principle of regulation.²⁸⁷ The CBK has not held MNOs accountable for agents' actions, which complicates its task of protecting customers. Relying on the CBK to monitor agents directly imposes significant supervisory costs; leaving it to consumers will have social costs, and low income customers will bear the brunt.²⁸⁸ Avoiding this situation need not impose unreasonable burdens on MNOs by creating unlimited liability or require a new regulatory framework; appropriate regulation should require MNOs to apply effective risk management systems, and ensure redress for consumers when agents do them harm.

However, Safaricom, as a principal, claims to be liable for the acts of their agents and have claimed they have taken appropriate steps to ensure that they comply with the law at all times including due diligence and operational compliance. In this regard they carry out appropriate vetting of agents at the recruitment stage. They are also engaged in ongoing training programmes that cover AML and operational compliance, which incorporate periodic checks and sanctions for non-compliance which can entail

²⁸⁶ Banks that could not have the same type of loose affiliation as banking agents such as those used in MFS are now able to do so within the parameters of the CBK 'Agent Guidelines' issued in 2010 in terms of the Banking Act.

²⁸⁷ Ibid.

Denise Dias and Kate McKee, 'Protecting Branchless Banking Consumers' (2010) < http://www.cgap.org/publications/protecting-branchless-banking-consumers accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁸⁹ Joy Malala, Interview with Mercy Buku, 'An Interview With Senior Manager Money Laundering Reporting At Safaricom' (2014).

termination.²⁹⁰ While this may be possible for Safaricom due to its resources, the same cannot be guaranteed for all other MNOs.

While some policy-makers have proposed that the mobile payment system industry is too new to create market conduct rules, I argue that the CBK should set minimum business practice standards and establish providers' liability for agents' compliance with regulation that should cut across all MNOs as compliance requirements. Appropriate regulation should also account for mechanisms for consumer protection to include fostering a level playing field that enables competition and sound market development. Jurisdictions such as the Reserve Bank of India, Malaysia, Indonesia, and the Philippines have created rules for e-money issued by non-banks that have focused on specific regulation for agents who process mobile money, and thereby addressed a regulatory vacuum; Kenya should follow suit.²⁹¹

In Mexico, regulation gave express powers to the banking supervisor over agency schemes set up by banks, allowing the direct inspection of agents if necessary.²⁹² In contrast, Kenya has not established a legal authority that regulates mobile payments. Due to this, overlapping or unclear authority has created opportunities for undesirable business practices and reduced the effectiveness of supervision; agents can question the jurisdiction of either the CCK or the CBK in relation to one another,²⁹³ a problem that coordination and cooperation might address.

Agents provide the network through which mobile payments are executed—the bridge to cash and channels for financial inclusion. Given the reliance on agents by

²⁹⁰ Ibid.

²⁹¹ BIS, 'Financial Sector Regulation for Growth, Equity and Stability' (2012) BIS Papers No 62 http://www.bis.org/publ/bppdf/bispap62.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

²⁹² Ibid.

²⁹³ The Communications Commission of Kenya, for example, recently issued substantial consumer protection regulations with implications for mobile payment services (Kenya Gazette, 23 April 2010).

customers, MNOs require regulation, but their legal status poses a challenge; without legal accountability²⁹⁴ for agents, customers and agents themselves become vulnerable.²⁹⁵ It also facilitates circumvention of conduct-of-business rules and laws governing finance. While agents and MNOs use service level agreements,²⁹⁶ these agreements do not create an agent–principal relationship in the true legal sense. Regulation should require MNOs to address consumer claims in instances where agents have contravened the law, including fraudulent circumstances.²⁹⁷

MNOs and banks pay agents by the transaction. Agents offer front line customer services and intermediate bank transactions, through banks' balance sheets, by transforming the cash in the till into money in the bank, and vice versa. This requires them to go to a bank from time to time to rebalance their cash in the till versus money in collateral or trust bank account. ²⁹⁸ Liquidity is a key factor for their functioning; agents must have enough cash in hand to provide consistent cash-out facilities, as failing to pay a customer at any one time can create a negative feeling in a community and threaten the adoption of mobile financial services, including mobile payments. ²⁹⁹

Profiting by giving mobile payments thus suggests agents should be accountable but also makes them vulnerable. Some models include a hierarchy of agents; for example, in the hybrid MNO-bank model, the MNO is the agent of a bank, and its airtime kiosks (that provide cash-in/-out services) may be sub-agents authorised to open accounts (although their contracts may not name them as such). This interconnectedness creates

²⁹⁴ Bill Maurer, Taylor C Nelms and Stephen C Rea, "Bridges to Cash': Channelling Agency in Mobile Money' (2013) 19 Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute 52.

²⁹⁵ The principle of accountability is explored in Chapter 5.

²⁹⁶ Safaricom Ltd has one with M-Pesa agents.

²⁹⁷ For instance it should not evade or avoid responsibility in instances where agents access customers' information and withdrawals are made from these accounts.

²⁹⁸ CGAP, Focus Note, 'Banking through Networks of Retail Agent' (2008) http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/fn47 d 24.pdf > accessed 3 September 2014. This may trigger liquidity risks as is assessed in Chapter 3.

²⁹⁹ An agent business can be set up so that neither the customer nor the bank needs to incur settlement risk.

systemic risks and therefore offers a justification for a clear definition of the mobile payments agent in law and through an appropriate regulatory framework. Moreover, some super-agents provide (cash) liquidity to agents in the street, and these super-agents are primarily banks who outsource their services to reach a wider market. The proliferation of agents puts cash in transit at risk and provides opportunity for fraud and theft, against which MNOs do not provide insurance to consumers as a bank would.

Many agents enter the mobile payments system with experience selling prepaid airtime vouchers, which gives them the technical proficiency and financial literacy the position requires. Current regulations also impose a vetting process for AML purposes on them.³⁰² However, MNOs have designed this process, and it has lower standards than a prudential authority would provide. Although appropriate technology, supported by an agreement between the agent and its MNO that specifies how the technology is to be used,³⁰³ ensures some trust, a contract based on the MNO's liability for agents' actions would provide greater protection. A series of interrelated contracts—MNO–agent, agent–super-agent, MNO–super-agent, MNO–bank, customer–MNO, customer–bank—would provide superior protection.³⁰⁴ However, this would clearly create burdensome compliance costs, inconsistencies, and further barriers to entry for new MNOs or agents.

Part I of this chapter has assessed the main arguments in statute for the clear definition and codification of the various terms used in the regulation of payments

-

³⁰⁰ Most Kenyan banks now provide liquidity as super agents.

³⁰¹ See Chapter 3.

This vetting process is done through the special arrangement and agreement they have with their respective MNOs. For instance Safaricom has AML M-Pesa Agent Requirements. Safaricom, 'M-PESA Agent Requirements' (2010)

http://www.safaricom.co.ke/images/Downloads/Resources Downloads/mpesa agent requirements - for external use.pdf> accessed 3 September 2014.

³⁰³ This agreement is called the service level agreement.

³⁰⁴ For a general overview of the agent ecosystem, see also Mark Pickens, 'Understanding What Drives Profits for Agents: M-pesa' (2009) http://www.experientia.com/blog/understanding-what-drives-profits-for-agents-m-pesa/>[22 September 2014.

systems and the challenges they present in its application to mobile payments, especially in their practical application. Part II will assess the overall regulatory challenges authorities face in relation to mobile payments.

PART II

4.6. Overall Regulatory Challenges for the Regulatory Authorities in Regulating Mobile Payments

The regulatory agencies' main objective in regulating MNOs should be to protect consumers from operators reducing output to increase prices on low quality services. 305 They should also protect the unbanked and under banked and ensure that the money pooled in the mobile money system does not introduce systemic risk. The convergence of industries involved in mobile payment systems complicates regulation, and regulatory agencies should prioritise their objectives to address challenges such as regulatory overlap, conflict, inertia, and arbitrage.

4.6.1. The Inconsistencies in Regulation That Result From Multiple Regulatory Authorities

Kenya's government supported a telecommunications monopoly for years; the industry now has oligopolies.³⁰⁶ As change continues, regulatory reform is necessary. Distinct markets now have coalesced across their old boundaries with investments from private sector participants,³⁰⁷ resulting in nascent markets and stakeholders, and continued legal and regulatory challenges.

³⁰⁵ Colin Blackman (1998) (n11) 170.

³⁰⁶ Raymond U Akwule, 'Telecommunications in Kenya: Development and policy issues' (1992) 16(7) Telecommunications policy 603–611.

³⁰⁷ PwC, 'Telecommunications Industry: Overview of the Sector in Kenya' (2009) http://www.pwc.com/ke/en/industries/telecommunications.jhtml%3E accessed 3 September 2014.

The liberalisation of the markets has changed telecommunications in Kenya a good deal, including the successful partial privatisation of Telkom Kenya Ltd, 308 the Kenyan government's 25% stake in Safaricom Ltd through a public listing 309, and the launch of fourth mobile operator Econet Wireless Kenya, 310 which also resulted in the introduction of international telecommunications companies such as Vodafone, France Telecoms and Essar Communications through their investments in Safaricom Limited, Telkom Kenya Limited and Econet Limited respectively. MNOs such as Safaricom have focused on network expansion and nationwide coverage; Safaricom's reach and stability exceeds that of the Kenyan government. The Kenyan government has found little reason to regulate quality or prices in this highly competitive telecommunications sector.

Traditionally, the CBK regulates financial services and the CCK regulates telecommunications; these two industries have traditionally been separated, and therefore the two regulating authorities have had minimal overlapping functions. The risks to consumers, MNOs, and banks clearly require the need for a re-examination of the preconditions to safety and soundness for a payment system in this new converged space.³¹¹ Industry players want predictable regulation; the diffuse or uncertain regulatory authority constitutes a risk to their business. But the interdependence of the agencies and operators who potentially have authority to regulate mobile payment systems complicates the picture, as does the perception that they are subject to political pressures. ³¹²

Telkom Kenya, 'History of Telkom Kenya' (2011) http://www.telkom.co.ke/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=60&Itemid=95> accessed 3 September 2014.

³⁰⁹ Ibid, this listing was done in May 2008.

³¹⁰ Ibid, which was also achieved in November 2008.

³¹¹ See Chapter 3, 3.3. The Need for an Appropriate Regulatory Approach through the Examination of the Pre-Conditions to a Safe And Sound Payment System page. 87

³¹² Baldwin, Cave and Lodge (2011) (n 90) also, the importance of Safaricom to the general economy creates a regulatory challenge in that it creates amongst regulators and industry stakeholders the need to remove regulatory barriers that facilitates gains for all involved. See also, Tony Prosser, *Nationalised Industries and Public Control: Legal, Constitutional and Political Issues* (Blackwell 1986) where Tony Prosser analyses the links between public law and the study of politics and administration.

4.6.2. Regulatory Overlaps

Regulatory overlap has two dimensions. On the one hand, two regulatory authorities can offer a check and balance on one another. If one fails to regulate an important area, the other may do so.³¹³ Regulatory overlap occurs where, for example, the CCK and the CBK exercise licensing regulatory jurisdiction over the mobile financial service aspect of MNOs. This overlap results in regulatory conflict, where, for example, each regulator provides conflicting licensing guidelines, one for the operation of financial services and the other for the telecommunications platform that facilitates financial services.³¹⁴ This is manifest in the existence of both the CCK and CBK, a result of the liberalisation of the telecoms industry.³¹⁵

While the CBK has been more proactive in taking regulatory responsibility over mobile financial services, including authorisation of MNOs,³¹⁶ the CCK has taken a passive role in the face of possible regulatory overlap.³¹⁷ Regrettably, the financial sector and the telecommunication sector both lack provisions for dealing with regulatory overlap.³¹⁸ This calls for the coordination between the two regulators through a possible Memorandum of Understanding (MoU)³¹⁹ that would address regulatory overlaps. The Constitution of Kenya 2010, through Article 10, requires state structures such as

³¹³ Jeffrey Carmichael and Michael Pomerleano, *The Development and Regulation of Non-bank Financial Institutions* (World Bank Publications 2002); Clive Briault, 'The Rationale for a Single National Financial Services Regulator' (2000) Co-sponsored by the European Commission and the World Bank (A European Borrowers Network Initiative) 211.

³¹⁴ Central Bank of Kenya licensing guidelines are informed by very different legislative and policy considerations, as compared to Communications Commission of Kenya guidelines. See Chapter 3 for an in depth discussion of the regulatory philosophies of the two regulators.

³¹⁵ The WTO's instruments such as Articles II and III of the Trade Related Investment Measures (TRIMs) and the Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property and Services (TRIPS) emphasise that cyberspace and infotainment business ought to be liberalized.

³¹⁶ Alliance for Financial Inclusion (2010) (supra note 134).

³¹⁷ International Telecommunications Union, '11th Global Symposium for Regulators: Armenia City, Columbia: Chairman's report' (2011) http://www.itu.int/ITU-D/treg/Events/Seminars/GSR/GSR11/pdf/GSR11 Chairmanreport en.pdf> accessed 3 September 2014.

³¹⁸ Instances of regulatory overlap are dealt with administratively and politically, at Cabinet level, consultations, rather than through legal structures.

³¹⁹ This coordination of functions shall be explained in Chapter 5 in the discussion of the themes around the regulatory approach to mobile payments.

regulators to refer to such documents, prior to applying their regulatory functions.³²⁰ Regulatory overlap is not conducive to promoting innovation and investments, due to the costs of regulatory compliance and the fear of multiple penalties from multiple regulators.³²¹ A real risk of coordination failure arises due to the different regulators such as bank supervisor, payment regulator, telecommunications regulator and perhaps competition regulator.

4.6.3. Regulatory Arbitrage

The traditional regulatory structure defines regulator jurisdiction by institutional groups. Thus for example, a banking regulator regulates banks, but not quasi-banking products provided by microfinance institutions, such as lines of credit and loans. This permits regulatory arbitrage wherein a financial institution can choose among different regulators by altering its corporate form or its institutional label, to seek the regulator that imposes the smallest regulatory burden. A new, unregulated institution that avoids or minimises regulation can put the stability of the whole financial system at risk.

When regulation does not yet exist for interconnected services or in conglomerates that could fall under the supervision of more than one regulator, regulatory arbitrage³²³ raises problems.³²⁴ Regulatory arbitrage is a challenge when regulation is not in place, particularly for services offered under the supervision of more than one regulator. Choosing the best regulator or the one that has the least regulation

³²⁰ The Supreme Court in Re The Matter of the Interim Independent Electoral Commission [2011] Constitutional

Application No. 2 (unreported) underscored the importance of independent State organs to cooperate with each other in discharging their mandates.

³²¹ Nzomo Mutuku (2008) (supra note 40)

³²² Ibid.

³²³ Martha Garcia-Murillo and Ian MacInnes, 'The Impact of Technological Convergence on the Regulation of ICT Industries' (2002) 5(1) International Journal on Media Management 57–67.

Jérôme Bezzina and Mostafa Terrab, 'Impacts of New Technologies in Regulatory Regimes: an
 Introduction' (2005) The World Bank

regulatory regimes.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

may not be the best option for the industry as a whole.³²⁵ Market players have encouraged mobile payments to capitalise on regulatory loopholes by circumventing unfavourable regulations. While regulatory arbitrage is a form of market innovation,³²⁶ it should only be encouraged to the extent that it helps industry respond appropriately to the inherent risk of unregulated markets, as discussed in Chapter 3. This gap in the regulatory framework allows particular business initiatives, and allocates various rights and responsibilities in their operations, without attracting investments commensurate with the opportunities mobile payment schemes provide.³²⁷

Licensing the hybridised institutions and unregulated private sectors could close these loopholes. Innovation is a challenge for regulation as this fragmentation undermines consumer protection; it may also be characterised as a risk to the market. Then Minister for Finance, Hon. John Michuki, ordered a brisk audit of M-Pesa in 2009 in response to this risk, 328 stating that he 'did not think M-Pesa . . ., if left unregulated would end well. 329 The contribution in regulation through the CCK and its licensing mandate over MNOs as service providers, brings forth misunderstanding through the absence of regulatory coordination between the two regulatory authorities, which enhances the need for their coordination and further contributes to the arbitrage.

-

³²⁵ Ibid.

³²⁶ Atul K Shah, 'Regulatory Arbitrage Through Financial Innovation' (1997) 10(1) Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal 85–104. The author refers to regulatory arbitrage as 'creative compliance'. He notes that while creative compliance may not be illegal, it undermines the spirit of regulation, and makes the regulatory framework appear weak and ineffective.

³²⁷ See Jeremmy Okonjo (2014) (supra note 56).

³²⁸ Ibid.

³²⁹ Ibid.

4.6.4. Regulatory Inertia

In regulatory inertia, a regulator with inferior power, such as the CCK in relation to the CBK, is reluctant or unwilling to impose any regulation on a particular industry.³³⁰ This inertia may in turn precipitate regulatory arbitrage, which did occur as M-Pesa sought regulation by the CCK to avoid the more intrusive CBK, although neither had much idea how to regulate M-Pesa,³³¹ though both rightly hesitated to impose barriers that impede innovation in financial services.³³² Further, the state's partial ownership of Safaricom encouraged flexibility.³³³ This inertia has manifested itself in the development of measures to address competition issues in mobile payments such as interoperability in Kenya.³³⁴

4.6.5. The Political Interference with the Collective Will To Regulate

Political considerations can compromise the promotion of the public interest through beneficial regulation;³³⁵ government incentives in the private sector in Kenya potentially make the government reluctant to intervene in the regulation of private companies. Mobile payments have faced intense scrutiny from other financial institutions, but the government had in the beginning little will to regulate the systems.³³⁶ Although making MNO supervision immune to interference by government in order to foster faster

_

³³⁰ See Consultative Group to Assist the Poor, 'Notes on Regulation of Branchless Banking in Kenya' (2007) < http://www.cgap.org/p/site/c/template.rc/1.26.1480/ accessed 3 September 2014. Consultations were held between both the CBK and the CCK, however not assigning the regulatory roles. However, the CBK under Section 3 of the Central Bank of Kenya Act and was facilitated by way of a No Objection Letter.

³³¹ International Telecommunications Union (2011) (n 323). See also, Alliance for Financial Inclusion. (2010 http://www.afi-global.org/) (n 134).

³³³ Loretta Michaels, 'Better than Cash: Kenya Mobile Money Market Assessment' (2011) USAID http://nethope.org/assets/uploads/Kenya-Mobile-Money-Assessment.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

See CGAP, 'Notes on Regulation of Branchless Banking in Kenya' (2007) http://www.cgap.org/sites/default/files/CGAP-Regulation-of-Branchless-Banking-in-Kenya-Jan-2010.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

³³⁵ Anat R Admati and Martin Hellwig, 'Good Banking Regulation Needs Clear Focus, Sensible Tools, and Political Will' (2011) Working Paper http://www.gsb.stanford.edu/sites/default/files/research/documents/AdmatiHellwigGoodReg021412 accessed 3 September 2014.

uptake of mobile payments and increase and enable innovation for the purposes of financial inclusion has some advantages, the interests of the public require the involvement of governments in case MNOs run into difficulties.³³⁷

In summary, there exist regulatory inadequacies for a regulatory framework for mobile payments. This discussion has made it clear that an appropriate regulatory framework that focuses on consumer protection has a strong justification. The Kenya Information and Communications Act 2013 does not expressly provide for mobile payments. The CCK has surrendered the regulatory mandate of mobile payments to the CBK, as it is clear that Kenyan telecoms regulations have not addressed the regulatory issues adequately. However some telecoms aspects must remain under the purview of telecoms regulators. There needs to be a coordination of functions through a Memorandum of Understanding between the CCK and the CBK. Part III analyses the impact of these challenges on consumers.

PART III

4.7. THE IMPACT OF THE REGULATORY CHALLENGES ON CONSUMERS

The Global Financial Crisis³³⁸ revealed the importance of financial consumer protection.³³⁹ Difficulties determining the amount of client monies an entity holds in a pooled account, and who should receive money from that pool, precipitated the Global Financial Crisis in relation to Lehman Brothers International Europe (LBIE).³⁴⁰ While the cause of potential confusion certainly differs—Safaricom's size may not resemble

³³⁷ Anat R Admati and Martin Hellwig (2011) (n 341).

³³⁸ Global Financial Crises of 2007–2008.

³³⁹ Consultative Group to Assist the Poor (2010) This CGAP Focus Note includes a tool to help regulators start assessing consumer protection concerns in their own markets and options to address them.

³⁴⁰ Christopher Leonard, 'Client Assets and Money in the Post-Lehman World' November, 2010 http://www.bingham.com/Publications/Files/2011/10/Client-Assets-and-Money-in-the-Post-Lehman-World accessed 28 September 2014.

LBIE's, which was one of the largest investment banks operating in the United States, prior to its collapse—but the case suggests the urgency of regulation to protect Kenya's financial stability, given that MNOs' trust accounts could be subject to the same confusion.

Low income customers with low levels of financial literacy were particularly vulnerable to misconduct,³⁴¹ making consumer protection a key objective of all financial regulators,³⁴² requiring an effective and standardised regulatory framework. Low income groups who gain access to financial services through mobile phones are the most vulnerable to financial shocks, and an indication of a post-financial inclusion, as they are at a higher risk of losing their savings whenever a bank fails or if the MNO fails.³⁴³ Consumer protection will increase consumer confidence in the financial system, which in turn mitigates risks to the financial system, and builds trust and confidence in the adoption of inclusive services, thereby broadening and diversifying banks' deposit reserve. I therefore argue that regulatory agencies must protect these groups post-financial inclusion.

The concept of a weaker party is usually used in legal literature to refer to consumers as a justification for their protection. Disparities in the consumer–supplier relationship in mobile payments systems leave consumers 'weaker' than their contracting

³⁴¹ Ibid.

³⁴² See for example: United Kingdom, 'Financial Services and Markets Act 2000: Chapter 8', The National Archives <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2000/8/pdfs/ukpga_20000008_en.pdf. accessed 14 May 2014; 'Financial Services Act 2012', The National Archives http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2012/21/pdfs/ukpga_20120021_en.pdf. accessed 17 May 2014. 343 Brian Muthiora, 'Reinventing the Wheel: ''Pass Through'' Deposit Insurance coverage for Mobile Money in Kenya' http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/reinventing-the-wheel-pass-through-deposit-insurance-coverage-for-mobile-money-in-kenya. See also, Samuel G Hanson, Anil K Kashyap and Jeremy C Stein, 'A Macroprudential Approach to Financial Regulation' (2011) Journal of Economic Perspectives 3.

partners, the professionals, and unable to protect their interests due to inferior bargaining power.³⁴⁴ Legislation has already recognised the concept of vulnerability.

As Peter Cartwright asserts, we know that vulnerable consumers exist, even if we may disagree in terms of how to identify them, and about how their interests might best be addressed. Consumers have few options and this forms a theory for the protection of consumers, as they have few purchases and contract on terms set by increasingly large and powerful companies such as Safaricom Ltd. Second, companies are able to exploit significant information and sophistication disparities in their favour. However, economists no longer regard exploitation theory as valid, because it fails to account for competition between companies, and the resulting limits on companies' bargaining power. Rather, economists argue for consumer protection because consumers know less about products and contracts than professionals do, an asymmetry that applies to mobile payments. While they contend that free markets protect consumers, they posit a restrictive role for regulation. Law should ensure that the markets function as freely as

³⁴⁴ Giesela Ruhl, 'Consumer Protection in Choice of Law' (2011) 44 Cornell International Law Journal. http://www.lawschool.cornell.edu/research/ILJ/upload/Ruhl-final.pdf accessed 28 September 2014; Hugh Beale, *Inequality Of Bargaining Power* (Jstor 1986), (1986) 6 Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 123; John Kenneth Galbraith, *The New Industrial State* 213–220 1971); Friedrich Kessler, 'Contracts Of Adhesion: Some Thoughts about Freedom Of Contact' (1943) 43 COLUM. L. REV. 629, 632, 640–641 (1943); Spencer N Thal, 'The Inequality of Bargaining Power Doctrine: The Problem Of Defining Contractual Unfairness' 8 Oxford Journal of Legal Studies.

³⁴⁵ Peter Cartwright, 'The Vulnerable Consumer Of Financial Services: Law Policy And Regulation' [2011] Research Paper – Nottingham University of Business School.; T Wilhelmsson, 'The Informed Consumer v the Vulnerable Consumer in European Unfair Commercial Practices Law – A Comment' in G Howells, A Nordhausen, D Parry and C Twigg-Flesner (eds), *Yearbook of Consumer Law* (Ashgate 2007) 211 at 213. ³⁴⁶ Ibid (n 350).

³⁴⁷ Giesela Ruhl, 'Consumer Protection in Choice of Law' (2011) 44 Cornell International Law Journal 569 http://www.lawschool.cornell.edu/research/ILJ/upload/Ruhl-final.pdf>

³⁴⁸ Schaffer Haupt, and Alan Schwartz, 'Legal Implications of Imperfect Information in Consumer Markets', (1995) 151 Journal of Institutional & Theoretical Economics 31, 35–36 (1995) (F.R.G?.); Fernando Gomez Pomar and Nuna Garupa, Max Weber Lecture: 'The Economic Approach to European Consumer Protection Law' (21 November 2007).

³⁴⁹ Ibid, at 260.

³⁵⁰ Ibid

Peter Cartwright, 'Law, Theory, and Policy in the UK, <UK http://bilder.buecher.de/zusatz/23/23331/23331195 inha 1.pdf accessed 28 August 2014.

possible. In imperfect markets, the law should intercede to address the imperfections or failures, although the distinction between market and social goals are not always clear. ³⁵²

In the context of mobile payments, consumers are people who use communication services or products offered by a licensee including telecommunications and mobile financial services. Through improved efficiency, regulation offers transparency, competition, and access to retail financial markets. Some Consumer protection is of particular importance in Kenya, where financial education levels are generally low and information flows constrained. Since mobile payments provide one of the largest mass-market service provisions—as of May 2013 there were 10.5 million active mobile payment users in Kenya Fegulations offer the most beneficial strategy for safeguarding financial consumer protection in Kenya. The CBK, the Insurance Regulatory Authority, of the Ministry of Finance might lead regulatory reforms to the current regulations, by improving financial consumer protection.

The CBK has been watchful, but has, however, seemingly offer a piecemeal approach in its oversight and guidance since the inception of mobile payments.³⁵⁷ However, this oversight and guidance has not been as exhaustive and extensive as it ought to be. The CBK and Safaricom³⁵⁸ have addressed emerging challenges in the introduction of mobile

2

³⁵² Ibid.

^{353 &#}x27;Global Survey On Consumer Protection And Financial Literacy' < 30 September 2014 Alliance for Financial Inclusion (2010), 'The 2010 AFI Survey Report on Financial Inclusion Policy in Developing Countries' 354 A situation in which one party in a transaction, has more or superior information compared to another. In this situation, Safaricom or any other MNO is considered to have more information than its consumers due to it not being a public entity, but a private company with its strict non-disclosure practices.

³⁵⁵ Annual Report from Safaricom. <<u>www.safaricom.co.ke</u>>. accessed 12 July 2014

³⁵⁶ IRA (Insurance Regulatory Authority). The Insurance Regulatory Authority of Kenya is the sole authority charged with regulation and supervision of the insurance industry. It ensures compliance by insurance/reinsurance companies, protects consumers and promotes a high degree of security for policyholders.

³⁵⁷ Consumer Protection Diagnostic Study, FSD Kenya, http://www.fsdkenya.org/pdf_documents/11-02-22_Consumer_diagnostic_study.pdf. Accessed 30th July 2015. See also, Bankable Frontier Associates LLC (2010), 'Enabling Mobile Money Transfer – The Central Bank of Kenya's Treatment of M-PESA', Alliance for Financial Inclusion.

³⁵⁸ The market-leading MNO.

payments, but these consumer protection measures that exist are as yet not codified in law. MNOs³⁵⁹ have the responsibility to inform their consumers, and in turn consumers have a responsibility to inform themselves. This would be similar to the caveat emptor principle, where the purchaser assumes the risk that the product might be either defective or unsuitable to his or her needs. This rule is not designed to shield sellers who engage in fraud or bad faith dealing by making false or misleading representations about the quality or condition of a particular product. It merely summarises the concept that a purchaser must examine, judge, and test a product considered for purchase themselves.

However, this can be difficult for low-income customers due to limited awareness, knowledge, and skills to assess products' appropriateness, costs, and risks. Policy-makers and regulators should therefore ensure that consumer protection measures meet the needs of the poor or inexperienced consumers.³⁶⁰

The most informed consumers are able to protect themselves from risks.³⁶¹ This increases the transparency of the credit risk assumed by the financial system and lowers monitoring costs for financial supervisors.³⁶² Consumer protection addresses the imbalance of power information and resources between consumers and their financial

³⁵⁹ Here the term 'providers' will also be used to mean MNOs as is used in the legislation.

^{360 &#}x27;Consumer Protection Regulation in Low-Access Environment Opportunities to promote Responsible Finance' <a href="http://www.cgap.org/sites/default/files/CGAP-Focus-Note-Consumer-Protection-Regulation-in-Low-Access-Environments-Opportunities-to-Promote-Responsible-Finance-Feb-

^{2010.}pdf> accessed 28 September 2014; Laura Brix and Katharine McKee, 'Consumer Protection Regulation in Low-access Environments: Opportunities to Promote Responsible Finance' 60 Focus Note <

http://www.ruralfinance.org/fileadmin/templates/rflc/documents/74560_Focus_Note_60_en.pdf>acce_ssed_14 March 2014.

Sue Rutledge, Improving Protection in Financial Services for Russian Consumers' (2009) http://aisel.aisnet.org/cais/vol27/iss1/29 accessed June 2013, Shalini Chandra, Shirish C Srivastava and Yin-Leng Theng, 'Evaluating the Role of Trust in Consumer Adoption of Mobile Payment Systems: An Empirical Analysis' 27 Communications of the Association for Information Systemshttp://aisel.aisnet.org/cais/vol27/iss1/29 accessed June 2013.

³⁶² Sue Rutledge, 'Improving Protection in Financial Services for Russian Consumers' (2009) http://aisel.aisnet.org/cais/vol27/iss1/29 accessed June 2013.

service providers, which highlights a typical market failure.³⁶³ The information asymmetry may prove costly for customers who seek more information on financial products. Moreover, complex financial services or products may not always be easily understood, even when MNOs have disclosed and shared all the information necessary.³⁶⁴ Thirdly they promote efficiency and transparency of retail financial markets. This empowerment, a necessary source of market discipline, would eventually sway the MNOS to offer better products and services, rather than take advantage of poorly informed consumers. ³⁶⁵

The current regulatory framework leaves Kenyan consumers to find out for themselves what protections apply to each competing new payments system. The new integration of mobile telecommunications companies and the financial industry provides Kenya with an opportunity to harmonise and extend consumer protections for mobile payments. However, two things should occur immediately; firstly, the CCK should publicly commit to including consumer protection in their mandate. Secondly, MNOs should include in their contracts with agents the consumer rights the law already guarantees for debit and credit cards. The CBK should ensure to the extent possible that existing consumer protections are applied to new payment methods, by reforming its legislation to extend the Consumer Protection Act 2012, to financial services and products. The CBK should ensure to the extent possible that the consumer protection are applied to new payment methods, by reforming its legislation to extend the Consumer Protection Act 2012, to financial services and products.

-

³⁶³ Susan Rutledge, 'Consumer Protection and Financial Literacy: Lessons from Nine Country Studies'. (2010) < http://siteresources.worldbank.org/CROATIAEXTN/Resources/301244 1272903536699/Importance vol1.pdf > accessed 28 September 2014.

³⁶⁴ Ibid.

³⁶⁵Geraint G Howells and Stephen Weatherill, Consumer Protection Law (Ashgate Publishing Ltd. 2005).

³⁶⁶ Connie Prater, 'Going Mobile? Link Payments To Credit Cards For Best Protection' 2012, http://www.foxbusiness.com/personal-finance/2011/04/21/going-mobile-link-payments-credit-cards-best-protection/ accessed 28 September 2014.

³⁶⁷ Harmonisation here refers to the need for a consolidation of legislation due to the fragmentation that existed before the Consumer Protection Act .Clive Briault, *The Rationale For A Single National Financial Services Regulator*, vol 2 (Financial Services Authority 1999).

³⁶⁸ Mark MacCarthy and Gail Hillebrand, 'Mobile Payments Need Strong Consumer Protections' *American Banker Tuesday*, 10 August 2010 http://defendyourdollars.org/posts/211 mobile_payments_need_strong_consumer_protections > accessed 28 September 2014.

Consumer protection can reduce information asymmetries to protect end-users' interests with respect to financial services.³⁶⁹ It can contribute to improved efficiency, transparency, competition, and access to retail financial markets.³⁷⁰ Consumer financial protection can contribute to an increase in consumer education, ensuring that transparency is maintained.³⁷¹

In addition to regulatory policy focusing on the structure of the market and its infrastructure, payment instruments require adequate protection of users. In particular, and in addition to transparency requirements, Know Your Customer guidelines, and observance of AML regulation, the protection of users' funds and their traceability are a must, as well as protection from any risk arising from the use of electronic means and the intermediation of non-financial agents. Indeed, regulating service providers to level the playing field will guarantee competition and competitiveness, and provide a general understanding of consumer protection and an adequate consideration of the role of agents. Regulators in Kenya, however, have adopted a watch and learn regulatory approach regarding the electronic money industry and non-banks providing these services.

Protecting consumers from fraud or exploitation by providers with significant market power ensures minimum disclosure and quality standards for consumers who expect confidence in the financial system. Other measures include instituting Conduct of

-

³⁶⁹ Information asymmetry creates an imbalance of power in transactions which can sometimes cause a market failure resulting from moral hazard, and information monopoly. Most commonly, information asymmetries are studied in the context of principal—agent problems. John O Ledyard, 'market failure'" *The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics* (2nd edn, 2008).

³⁷⁰ Ibid supra note John O Ledyard.

³⁷¹ Maria Chirati, Mulaguti, 'Access To Finance, Retail Payments And An Enabling Legal Environment' (2013) http://www.uncitral.org/pdf/english/colloquia/microfinance-2013/16 01/MC Malaguti January 16.pdf > accessed 28 September 2014.

³⁷² Biagio Bossone and Massimo Cirasino, 'The Oversight of the Payments Systems: a Framework for the Development and Governance of Payment Systems in Emerging Economies' July 2001, The World Bank and CEMLA.

Business rules,³⁷³ competition policies, ombudsman schemes, minimum disclosure and contracting standards, consumer education surveillance, and enforcement measurements. The achievement of mobile financial services facilities' access to payment and banking transactions, especially for areas without established physical bank branches, is important. It may also involve certain risks. The inability of consumers to assess their own risks, such as the loss of their funds, may impair these objectives. Standardisation can enhance transparency and consumers' ability to compare offers and enforce minimum levels of quality. These contingency arrangements should be in place in the case of a technical or operational failure of the mobile payment service.

4.7.1. Lack of a Cohesive Consumer Protection Regulation

Mobile payments existed before there was a cohesive policy and regulatory framework that had a market-wide consumer protection law or authority. There is currently no specific consumer protection law in Kenya that deals specifically with financial services.³⁷⁴ Instead, a number of fragmented provisions,³⁷⁵ guidelines³⁷⁶ and acts³⁷⁷ address mobile financial services issues directly and indirectly. In the absence of the above law, transactions disputes are handled according to the contracts between MNOs and their parties, primarily their agents. An amalgam of indirect, but not easily applicable or effective provisions of telecommunications law relating to telecommunications billing, and CBK rules relating to agents guide these issues.³⁷⁸

While the Banking Act 1969 gave authority to the CBK to regulate banking activities, including safeguarding the 'interest of consumers', it did not define the specific

209

³⁷³ Similar to the Financial Conduct Authorities, Conduct of Business rules.

³⁷⁴ Although the Consumer Protection Act 2012 does not address the needs of users of financial services.

³⁷⁵ Section 3 of the Communications Act 2012, allows the CCK to resolve disputes between a consumer and a service provider; a service provider and another service provider; or any other persons as may be prescribed under the Act. This may ostensibly bring M-Pesa under the jurisdiction of the CCK if there is any dispute as to charges, billing or general loss of value in the prepaid stored value account.

³⁷⁶ CBK Agent Guidelines.

³⁷⁷ Ibid at 383 and the Competition Act 2012.

³⁷⁸ Ibid.

mandate for consumer protection. Subsequent law has clarified some issues, such as when the Banking Regulations of 2006 prescribed procedures such as the increase of banking and other fees.³⁷⁹ However, the law leaves preventing unlawful, misleading, and comparative advertising and implementing remedy mechanisms to individual banks. The CBK should offer microprudential regulation for mobile payments to address these issues.

The CBK's 2010 'Agent Guidelines' provide direct consumer protection provisions, although these relate more to formalities in the transaction process than any dispute resolution facilities. For example, s9.2 of the 'Agent Guidelines' provides the following minimum requirements:

- (i) Institutions shall establish mechanisms that will enable their customers or users to appropriately identify their agents and the services provided through such agents.
- ii) Agents shall issue receipts for all transactions undertaken through them. Institutions shall provide their agents with equipment that generates receipts or acknowledgements for transactions carried out through agents. In this regard, electronic receipts or acknowledgements are permissible.
- iii) Where an agent acts as a receiver and deliverer of documents, an acknowledgement shall be provided for all documents received or delivered by the agent to or from the customer.
- iv) A channel for communication of customer complaints to the institution shall be provided. Institutions shall provide dedicated customer care telephone lines for lodging complaints by their customers. The customers can also use this telephone line to

2014.

CBK

(2010)

³⁷⁹David Asher, 'Kenva Financial Consumer Protection Slidshare' http://www.slideshare.net/Daniel Asher/kenya-financial-consumer-protection accessed 12 September

http://www.bu.edu/bucflp/files/2012/01/Guideline-on-Agent-Banking- CBKPG15.pdf> accessed 28 September 2014.

verify with the institution, the authenticity and identity of the agent, its physical location and the validity of its agent banking business.

- v) Institutions shall establish a complaints mechanism and shall ensure proper communication of this mechanism to their customers.
- vi) All customer complaints shall be addressed within a reasonable time and in any case not later than thirty days from the date of reporting or lodging the complaint with the institution. Institutions shall keep record of all customer complaints and how such complaints are redressed.
- vii) An agent shall have signs that are clearly visible to the public indicating that it is a provider of services of the institution with which it has an agency contract. The agent shall not however represent to the public that it is an institution.
- viii) In the provision of agent banking services, institutions shall use secure systems that ensure customer information confidentiality.
- ix) The customer should be made aware of the fact that he is not supposed to carelessly store his PIN and other critical information or share such information with other parties including agents.
- x) An institution may establish contact centres to facilitate easy communication between a customer and the institution.'381

Therefore, Kenya's inherent problem is a lack of coherence in consumer protection and market oversight, related to the split in responsibility between the CCK and the CBK. Having two regulatory regimes for what most consumers perceive as a single product or service can result in different rights, and a divergence in protection for

³⁸¹ The Reserve Bank of Fiji has adapted these guidelines in their prescription of Agency Guidelines http://www.reservebank.gov.fj/docs2/Banking%20Supervision%20Policy%20Statement%20No%2018-Agent%20Banking%20Guidelines%201.pdf accessed 24th June 2014

personal and small business consumers.³⁸² Mobile payment users may not fully understand which regulations apply to a payment transaction and how these differ, depending on the payment method and platform used, the parties involved in the payment transaction, and the nature of the product purchased. Banks, MNOs and agents not only operate under different regulatory bodies but also operate under different sets of regulations. This creates confusion over consumers' redress rights and which entity to turn for a payment-related problem.

Further, consumer protection regulation practices do not match the written guidelines, partly because no law establishes the regulations or the authority to regulate the sector. The CBK and the MNOs have operated by asserting that the regulatory structure shall be clarified in time, through the operationalization of a payments systems law. This lack of formality has implications for consumers, who have no regulatory body to which to appeal. As consumers continue to use mobile payments for uses other than for person to person payments, and increasingly in the way a bank customer would use a current account, supporting service providers in the mobile payment system are building and deploying new services based on the mobile payments platform. Banks, for example, link physical bank accounts with mobile accounts, with some even enabling providers to link subscribers' funds to their bank accounts; this innovation serves customers but also makes them vulnerable.

-

The government's latest thinking on consumer credit reform http://www.nortonrosefulbright.com/knowledge/publications/33932/the-governments-latest-thinking-on-consumer-credit-reform accessed 25th June 2014.

³⁸³ 'Consumer Protection Diagnostic Study', Kenya (2011) < http://www.fsdkenya.org/pdf documents/11-02-22 Consumer diagnostic study.pdf. At the time of writing this chapter, this clarification was not forecasted.

³⁸⁴ Examples include KOPOKOPO LTD, a service that provides a low-cost software service for mobile money repayment mechanisms for small and medium sized enterprises.

4.7.2. Protection of Consumer Funds

Due to their wide and existing customer base, MNOs are well placed to reach customers with affordable financial services. The MNOs' existing customer base, marketing capabilities, physical distribution and infrastructure, and experience with high volume/low value transactions makes this possible. While the usual categorisation of payment values defined by a national prudential regulator is high value and low value and which then results in the requisite regulatory focus, a third category, micro-payments, can be added to the broad canvas of payment values, lest its growing importance be quenched in the broad 'low value' definition. When MNOs receive funds from the public, even for payments, rather than for saving, this arguably represents accepting public deposits. Strict prudential requirements and supervision protect deposits in banks, and the loss of funds in the absence of such requirements will create distrust in the payment system, causing serious consequences for public confidence in financial systems. I argue the regulatory agencies should treat MNOs and the funds they receive from the public through mobile payments as deposits, subject to the same oversight as bank deposits, and the same implicit guarantee by governments.

The regulatory gaps allow MNOs to bypass the full range of prudential oversight afforded to banks. Customers increasingly use the money collected by MNOs as a short-term savings mechanism, and MNOs deposited the funds in joint trust accounts at several

As discussed, which is an activity almost always reserved for prudentially regulated financial institutions, such as commercial banks. 'CGAP Nonbank E-Money Issuers' http://www.cgap.org/publications/nonbank-e-money-issuers%3E. accessed 30th July 2014.

³⁸⁶ The term 'bank' as used in this chapter refers to any supervised and prudentially regulated financial services institution that is commonly, but not always, a bank.

³⁸⁷ Denise Dias Katherine Mckees, 'Protecting Branchless Banking Consumers: Policy Objectives and Regulatory
Options'

http://siteresources.worldbank.org/FINANCIALSECTOR/Resources/PReadingProtectingBranchless .pdf> No 64 September 2010.

³⁸⁸ 'Consumer Protection Diagnostic Study: Kenya, Financial Sector Deepening'. January 2011 Simone di Castri and others, 'Consumer Protection Diagnostic Study: Financial Consumer Protection in Kenya' SSRN Journal.

[.] ³⁸⁹ Ibid.

commercial banks for the benefit of the customers. However, in the event of insolvency customers have no means to claim trust assets;⁵⁹⁰ they have no recourse when and if the bank or an MNO becomes insolvent. Not considering electronically stored value bank to be deposits creates these complexities.³⁹¹ The mobile payment system must clarify the status of electronically stored value; regulations require banks to maintain liquid assets as accounts with a prudentially regulated bank, and MNOs have been maintaining them as other 'safe assets', such as government securities, which are not always liquid.³⁹² This leaves client assets unsecured. While either a safety net or a deposit insurance scheme might offer some protection,³⁹³ I posit that a deposit insurance scheme, where the government implicitly guarantees the protection of consumer funds in accordance with the current regulatory structure, despite the fact it has no legal responsibility, would best serve consumers.

Protecting consumer funds implies ensuring that funds are available to meet customer demands for cashing out electronic value. Such measures typically include restrictions on the use of such funds.³⁹⁴ There should be a diversification of floats across several financial institutions.³⁹⁵ Regulators might require MNOs to offer guarantees by

accessed 13 September 2013.

³⁹⁰ Geoffrey Irungu, January 2014, Business Daily, The Daily Nation Kenya, 'Banks Raise Alarm over Mobile Cash Deposit Insurance – Money' http://www.businessdailyafrica.com/mobile-cash-deposit-insurance/-/539552/2157770/-/dgm4ojz/-/index.html%3E accessed 28 September 2014.

³⁹¹ Ibid.

³⁹² 'International Experiences of Mobile Banking Regulation – IGC' http://www.theigc.org/sites/default/files/Batista%20and%20Vicenter. Safe assets 'deposit' provide challenges in the regulation of mobile payments because of their legal ambiguity definitions and their ambiguity.

³⁹³ This assessment would be after a proper definition of what the electronically stored value is and what banks would consider it to be, thus making it easier to offer the same protection as they would other legally defined 'deposits'.

³⁹⁴ Requirements that such funds be placed in their entirety in bank accounts or government debt.
395 Mobile Financial Services: Basic Terminology (2013)
http://www.afiglobal.org/sites/default/files/publications/mfswg gl 1 basic terminology finalnewnew
__pdf. Michael Tarazi and Paul Breloff, 'Nonbank E-Money Issuers: Regulatory Approaches to Protecting
Customer Funds' 63 Focus Note http://www.cgap.org/gm/ document-1.9.45715/FN_63_Rev.pdf>

way of liquid assets to match the electronic funds available. ³⁹⁶ They might require assets to be isolated and held in a bank account. Kenya's regulators should ensure that funds deposited are done so in prudentially regulated banks, which further ensures that they are safeguarded. ³⁹⁷ These requirements may be more stringent than those imposed on deposit taking financial institutions, which typically must only keep a small portion of total deposits in liquid assets. ³⁹⁸ Regulation should require that trust accounts be safeguarded from institutional risks, though not discussed here. These may involve claims from creditors when bankruptcy occurs. It is suggested that a trust account will be resold and offer safeguards, especially through the fiduciary agreement instituted. ³⁹⁹

If Safaricom, for example, were to become insolvent, these failings could lead to complications and delay in distribution, and place consumer funds at risk of set-off and consequential diminution. This is because the CBK treats Safaricom not as a monopoly, but rather as an entity with multiple dominant positions. Market dominance, which is a stricter concept than market power, is typically defined by two conditions, a relatively high market share, which Safaricom has, and significant barrier to entry into the relevant markets occupied by the dominant firm. The first condition holds in all markets where Safaricom is active. The second may hold in the agent market, but not in the mobile telephony market, due to the Communications Commission of Kenya's action.

³⁹⁶Simon di Castri, 'Mobile Money: Enabling Regulatory Solutions' [2013] GSMA London United Kingdom http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/MMU-Enabling-Regulatory-Solutions-di-Castri-2013.pdf accessed 28 September 2014.

³⁹⁷ Ring-fencing and Safeguard of Customer Money' http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/programmes/mobile-money-for-the-unbanked/safeguard-of-customer-money. Slightly different approaches have been taken by regulators which, rather than requiring the entire e-money float to be held in a bank, have allowed it to be invested in low-risk securities such as government bonds.

³⁹⁸ Tarazi and Breloff Simone Di Castri, 'Mobile Money: Enabling Regulatory Solutions' GSMA, London, United Kingdom http://www_gsma_com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/MMU-Enabling-Regulatory-Solutions-di-Castri-2013 pdf> 'Customer funds are usually pooled and held by the bank(s) in the name of the issuer'.

Trust is a common law concept, in Congo mechanisms are put in place to for consumers to recover their funds in the event of a provider failure.

Additionally, MNOs who may be operating on a regional scale, or international scale, may become increasingly interconnected and possibly cause disruptions if they experience problems, in the wider financial markets. The channels of risk distribution may be less visible in the mobile money value chain than in traditional banks, which raises the risk. Further, mobile payments have turned into a 'shadow' banking system, 400 undermining government supervision and the comprehensive regulation of traditional banking. Regulation should ensure that in protecting consumer funds, MNOs maintain liquid assets equivalent to the total value of the customer funds collected. 401

The MNOs' use of a pooled trust account has created the presumption that the MNO is the legal owner of the funds, which makes customer funds vulnerable to creditors. The Deposit Protection Fund Board⁴⁰² states that it 'only protects deposits in current accounts savings accounts and fixed deposit accounts.³⁴⁰³ However, funds held in trust are treated 'as distinct from individually owned accounts, and therefore insured separately.³⁴⁰⁴ This however does not offer clarity over whether mobile money is covered under the deposit protection fund board, as regulators have been reluctant to clarify and define these perimeters.

Regulation of banking activities sometimes includes caps are on interest rates, restrictions on product cross-subsidisation, and regulation of pricing policies. Contracting standards, such as a requirement that agents validate the authenticity of documents or

_

⁴⁰⁰ This phrase has been used on several occasions to define the parallel, informal banking system that mobile payments have afforded.

⁴⁰¹ Supra note, Batista, Simione and Vicente, 'International Experiences of Mobile Banking Regulation – IGC'. That is the total value of electronic value issued and outstanding, also known as the 'e-float'.

⁴⁰² DPFB is a corporation established under Section 36 of the Banking Act, Chapter 488 of the Laws of Kenya as a deposit insurance scheme to provide cover for depositors and act as a liquidator of failed member institutions https://www.centralbank.go.ke/index.php/deposit-protection-fund accessed 28 September 2014.

⁴⁰³ Ibid.

 $^{{}^{404}\,}Deposit\,Protection\,Fund\,-\,Central\,Bank\,of\,Kenya}\,{<} \underline{http://www.centralbank.go.ke/index.php/deposit-protection-fund}>$

signatures, might also apply to mobile payment schemes.⁴⁰⁵ However, these kinds of measures can easily inhibit innovation. Operators and regulators together need to review limitations that may pose unnecessary constraints.

Apart from the insolvency of the MNO or the bank in which the MNO deposited customer funds, illiquidity of either entity threatens consumer funds. Further, technical difficulties such as a lack of connection or a system outage, fraudulent agents, unexpected or unauthorised agents not clearly disclosed to the customers, all threaten mobile payment users. Customers whose Personal Identification Numbers have been stolen, wrongfully accessed, shared, or used to conduct unauthorised transactions or for commercial purposes may not be able to use mobile payment systems under the current lack of regulation. The case calls for alternative and complementary measures to protect consumer funds.

MNOs should be compelled by the regulators to protect consumer funds by demanding that they maintain the equivalent of the outstanding electronic value issued in a bank account. This ensures that the funds are maintained on a low risk category. They also should require that MNOs use the funds for purposes other than withdrawals and transfers, cash-in/cash-out services. The same should be required for trust account holders. Liquid assets might be accounts held with prudentially regulated banks, though 'safe assets', such as government securities, although they may not always be as liquid as bank accounts, would also serve.

Although this is a more stringent requirement than deposit taking financial institutions function under, it reflects a fundamental difference among banks, MNOs and

^{405 &#}x27;The Transformational Potential of M – Transactions' http://www.vodafone.com/content/dam/vodafone/about/public policy/policy papers/public policy series 6.pdf. Moving the debate forward The Policy Paper Series, Number 6, July 2007 406 Ibid at Dias and McKee (n 300).

⁴⁰⁷ The float, being the total value of electronic value issued and possibly outstanding.

their respective business models. 408 This is since banks normally are able to intermediate capital, loaning funds out, which is something that non-banks such as MNOs do not do. However, aside from their telecommunications services, they obtain revenue from transaction charges and distribution of airtime. 409

The lack of clarification over who owns the funds MNOs hold in trust accounts should lead to increased scrutiny. Since the definition of the deposits is equally unclear, the uncertainty over whether the funds are owned by the customers forming individual accounts, or whether the pooled trust account is held for benefit of the customers as a whole, would result in the prohibition of MNOs from guaranteeing the funds as a security or offering protection against creditors in the case of the MNO's insolvency. The EU E-Money Directive (2009), for instance, requires contracts to state clearly and prominently the conditions of redemption, including any fees, and limits charges on redemption to a few specific circumstances. This would mean ensuring a deposit protection scheme, or in this case a stored value protection scheme.

Deposit protection schemes play an important role in protecting consumers by providing compensation should an entity such as the agents or MNOs fail to meet its obligations to them. Arguably, consumer protection was the main motivation behind the creation of the original deposit protection scheme. The importance of safeguarding deposits cannot be overstated, as the US model suggests. In the United States, all funds placed in an insured depository institution are considered insured. The US model employs a 'pass-through' protection scheme for each customer, up to the insurance

4

⁴⁰⁸ Michael Tarazi and Paul Breloff, 'Nonbank E-Money Issuers: Regulatory Approaches to Protecting Customer Funds' (2010). 63 Focus Note http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/fn63rev.pdf accessed 28 September 2014.

⁴⁰⁹ Ibid.

⁴¹⁰ Dias and McKee 2010.

^{411 &#}x27;Payment, Clearing and Settlement Systems in the CPSS Countries' http://www.bis.org/publ/cpss105.pdf accessed 20 October 2013.

⁴¹² Di Castri 2013.

limit. Developed countries typically have deposit protection; the United States for instance has characterised stored value funds as deposits and therefore clarifies their position in law which requires that deposit insurance covers such deposits. Prudential requirements aim to maintain the integrity of the institution's capital and a certain level of liquidity. They are intended to mitigate credit and liquidity risks and might include minimum capital ratios, capital adequacy measurement systems, reserve requirements, or other measures intended to preserve the liquidity of the provider are at the core of deposit protection.

Deposit protection through insurance might be a viable solution, but such a system requires that some entity involved pay premiums, which might ultimately increase the fees customers pay for mobile money services, which may ultimately cause undue burden on the goals of financial inclusion.

Further, the value of pooled accounts might exceed the applicable deposit insurance coverage limits. As mobile value offerings grow in volume and popularity, and as evidence mounts that customers increasingly use e-money schemes as savings vehicles, at extending deposit insurance protection at the level of individual customer mobile money balances, or alternatively, raising the cap for pooled accounts, may be necessary. On the other hand, deposit insurance is usually funded by premiums paid by participating financial institutions, which typically pass these costs along to their customers. Thus, inclusion of e-money issuers in a deposit insurance system may make their services slightly more expensive.

-

⁴¹³ Ibid.

⁴¹⁴ The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) is a United States government corporation operating as an independent agency created by the Banking Act of 1933.

⁴¹⁵ Batista, Simione and Vicente 2012 Supra note.

⁴¹⁶ In the Philippines, an estimated 10 per cent of unbanked users save an average of US\$31 (one-quarter of their family savings) in the form of e-money (Pickens 2009). In addition, nearly a third of banked customers in Kibera, Kenya, keep a balance in their M-PESA. See Morawczynski and Pickens (2009).

MNOs in Kenya currently use this mechanism; the Central Bank of Congo's emoney regulation references the concept as a mechanism to ensure that the mobile payment user can recover their funds in the event of the MNO failure. The risk that mobile money customers will lose the money they have stored in the system is mitigated by the fact that if MNOs do not use the funds, and funds isolation happens, the MNOs funds then become protected from claims by their creditors, but additional regulation is needed.

4.7.3. Lack of Public and Private Mechanisms for Legal Remedies for Consumers

The lack of public mechanisms for customers to pursue claims against MNOs contributes to the challenges that oversight over mobile payments presents. In instances where the payments malfunction and mobile payments users experience the risks discussed in Chapter 3,⁴²⁰ the CCK currently forwards any complaints received from subscribers to the MNO or bank and to the CBK.⁴²¹

As discussed in Chapter 3, system malfunctions cause operational risk. 422 This in turn calls for MNOs to offer private recourse for customers in their use of mobile payments services. 423 The Banking Act CAP 488 allows the CBK to restrict increases in bank charges, and mobile payments may be subject to these restrictions, but the CBK has no enforceable direct oversight over MNOs, and the CCK regulates the pricing of telecommunications voice and data charges, which may or may not apply to mobile

Banque Centrale du Congo, Instruction n.24/2011. http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichTexte.do?cidTexte=JORFTEXT 000000821047&dateTexte=.

⁴¹⁸ Financial intermediation is business conducted or services offered by a financial intermediary (typically a bank, but also a non-bank financial institution) that accepts money from individuals or entities with capital surpluses and then lends it (directly through loans or indirectly through capital markets) to individuals or entities with capital deficits to earn a profit.

⁴¹⁹ Through 'Pass through' guarantee offered in the US model that the Kenyan regulators may adopt.

⁴²⁰ United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, 'Mobile Money for Business Development in the East African Community: a comparative study of existing platforms and regulations' (2012) http://unctad.org/en/PublicationsLibrary/dtlstict2012d2 en.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

⁴²² See Chapter 3 3.4.1.4. Operational Risk 104.

⁴²³ UNCTAD (2012) (supra note 350).

payments. The CBK only requires providers to have procedures and capacities to handle and resolve complaints promptly, with reports.⁴²⁴ The Kenya Information and Communications (Dispute Resolution) Regulations 2010⁴²⁵ set out the scope of and the guidelines for any disputes⁴²⁶ between a licence holder and a consumer. However, this piece of legislation appears fragmented.

The Restrictive Trade Practices, Monopolies and Price Control Act of 1989 is the most comprehensive legislation related to competition in Kenya. Although the Act does not empower consumer advocacy organisations to lodge a complaint, nor contain provisions on consumer welfare, the Public Complaints Commission does provide third-party recourse for consumers of public sector services. There are still gaps in mechanisms for consumers, which private actors in the industry can employ without government involvement. Institutions offering mobile payments services operating within a jurisdiction where regulators cannot or prefer not to be involved make these remedies particularly useful. In conclusion, this part has highlighted the challenges Kenya's current approach to regulating mobile payments poses for consumers.

4.8. Conclusion

Mobile payments bring multifaceted regulatory challenges. Addressing these challenges requires coordination, and, at best, dialogue between the various industry players in both the public and private sector. The introduction of mobile payments has

_

⁴²⁴ Gerald Nyaoma, 'Mobile Payments regulatory Framework Perspectives in Kenya' (2010) < http://www.efina.org.ng/media-centre/events/workshops/mobile-payments-regulatory-framework-dissemination-workshop/forcedownload/91 accessed 3 September 2014.

⁴²⁵ Kenya Information and Communication Dispute Resolution Regulations (2010) http://www.cck.go.ke/regulations/downloads/Kenya Information and Communication Dispute Resolution Regulations 2010.pdf > accessed 3 September 2014.

⁴²⁶ Section 2 of the Kenya Information and Communications (Dispute Resolution) Regulations defines 'dispute' as any matter that is in contention between a licensee and another, a consumer and a licensee, where one or both parties is aggrieved by the conduct of the other and the parties have failed to reach an amicable resolution after due effort has been made.

called into question the strength of regulatory reforms in Kenya, and the speed with which the Kenyan government has considered, reviewed, and adopted regulation. The Kenyan government's attempts to open dialogue with the private sector through consultations 427 seek to ensure that MNOs receive the necessary support from government and also evaluate possible new financial services products that affect the integrity of the financial system. Regulatory reform of the payments systems in Kenya will strengthen the operation of mobile payments through enhancing the central administration coordinating and regulating capacity. How to regulate and establish an appropriate regulatory framework remains the most important challenge. This chapter has also examined the regulatory powers of the regulatory authorities and tried to expose their inadequacies due to their institutional structures and their approach. Chapter 6 will discuss how these regulatory bodies should coordinate in order to establish an appropriate regulatory framework for mobile payments.

In summation, this chapter has demonstrated that the Kenya Information and Communications Act 1998, by failing to expressly provide for converged mobile and financial services, or any other type of intrasectoral converged services, leaves a regulatory inadequacy not remedied by the regulation of Value Added Services under the Kenya Information and Communications Regulations. The telecom regulatory framework is inadequate to address mobile financial services. While the CCK has surrendered the regulatory mandate of the converged services to the CBK, the NPSA does not provide adequate provisions for mobile payments. Under the current law, CBK will have to

__

⁴²⁷ The Central Bank of Kenya began consultations for its draft regulation for the National Payment Systems Bill. Consultation is an essential part of regulatory accountability. Central Bank of Kenya, 'Public Consultations: National Payment Systems Draft Regulation' (2012) https://www.centralbank.go.ke/index.php/public-consultations> accessed 3 September 2014.

coordinate with CCK has to ensure that the policies on mobile payments are consistent as it develops the regulatory framework.⁴²⁸

This need for more regulation in no way diminishes the success of M-Pesa in Kenya. It is the bellwether of transformational innovation and regulatory latitude by a progressive prudential regulator. The rapidity of its evaluation and growth even in the regulatory vacuum left by the lack of a national payments law at the time of its inception demonstrates the need for non-bank participation in the primary provision of payment services. Kenya's mobile payment system provided a rapid enabling of financial inclusion as well as immediate and manifest downstream benefits, with more than 40,000 agent outlets, enhancement of competition in financial services, and a web of interconnected business users whose difficulty getting paid in the past, M-Pesa has remedied.

Users' dependence on M-Pesa as a savings service, although its creators did not conceive it as such, and users at least partially recognise the risks involved, suggests a strong need for a safe store of value. The M-Kesho hybrid system that complements M-Pesa's pure payments regime points to a second generation of usage and users, which in turn extends and enhances access for unbanked people to the formal financial framework. M-Kesho was launched in May 2010. It allows M-Pesa users to transfer their funds into an interest bearing equity bank account and they therefore have access to loans and lines of credit. By August 2010, 455,000 customers had opened accounts, and 718,000 by April 2011. Although activity on these accounts is reportedly low, however more and more banks begin to offer such services through hybrid business models with MNO-bank led services. The CBK will face continuing challenges, not only in developing the regulatory framework for mobile payments, but also in enforcing and implementing the provisions under the NPSA.

⁴²⁸ Since the CCK regulates the institutions that provide mobile payments, MNOs, and the financial institutions regulated by the CBK.

⁴²⁹. See Mas and Radcliffe (2010)

Policy-makers and scholars continue to debate how regulation should look. Other developing countries have taken a variety of approaches in their regulation, but Kenya has the opportunity to serve as a benchmark for such regulation, despite the fact that it has been ambiguous and lax to date. While mature and developed markets allow regulators to react to information promptly and to accept market participants' strong role in regulatory matters, mobile payments present a special circumstance, particularly in Kenya, where they have developed faster and more expansively than any other deployment in Africa, 430 and here all other payment systems are immature. Market discipline requires a mature and liberal market, which combats information asymmetry, a great obstacle to market discipline in less mature markets. Therefore CBK has to ensure that the regulatory framework for mobile payments is flexible, transparent, stable, and promotes competition. Although CBK has the challenge of establishing its role as the main regulatory authority for mobile payments, as a developing country, Kenya often needs to fulfil other developmental tasks and fulfil other objectives, including the development of efficient payment systems. It is important that regulation avoids pitting these objectives against each other to prioritise the interests of the public.

Thus far, Kenya's main legal contribution the regulatory discussions for mobile payments or mobile financial services in general is the *lacuna* in the definition of a 'deposit'. The efficiency with which MNOs have exploited this loophole indicates *inter alia* just how critical contextualised legal drafting is, in relation to banking and payments law. While this exploitation in my view, has had demonstrable public policy benefits, through enabling financial inclusion, however conversely it may have caused adverse effects on the Kenyan economy or on the sub-region in the event of systemic failure of the banks or the MNO.

_

⁴³⁰ Efforts to scale up mobile payments in other countries such as Tanzania have not enjoyed similar success.

In the future, Kenya may undertake a policy and regulatory legal discourse about implementing mobile payments, introducing mobile payments alongside the establishment of safety and soundness provisions to safeguard user funds, and thereby make a larger contribution to the international body of regulatory approaches to mobile payments. Regular reporting by MNOs on their financial health to the CBK and placement of funds in trust accounts at commercial banks demonstrates that adequate planning, a progressive regulator, alongside technical innovation ensures that there is no systemic impact on the financial system or harm to consumers.

Effective regulation will require coordination and at best dialogue between the various industries players in both the public and private sector. This chapter has aimed to show that the introduction of mobile payments has called into question the strength of the CBK as the financial regulator, its regulatory power, and its regulatory capacity. It has also brought into question the speed with which regulation is adopted, which is troublingly slow. The Kenyan government has made attempts to open dialogue with the private sector through consultations, however these consultations have not yet yielded adequate approaches.

Open dialogue should ensure that 'providers receive the necessary support from government and also evaluate possible repercussions of new products to the integrity of the financial system.' Regulatory reforms of the payments systems in Kenya would strengthen the operation of mobile payments through enhancing the central

⁴³¹ A discussion explained in this chapter and offered as a risk in Chapter 3.

⁴³² Financial Reporting Centre in Kenya, MNOs are required to report all incidences of fraud as was articulated during the interview with Mercy Buku, Joy Malala, Interview with Mercy Buku, 'An Interview with Senior Manager Money Laundering Reporting at Safaricom' (2014).

⁴³³ Regulatory capacity has been discussed in Part II of this chapter.

⁴³⁴ The Central Bank of Kenya began consultations for its draft regulation for the National Payment Systems Bill. Consultation is an essential part of regulatory accountability. CBK (2012)

⁴³⁵ Mwangi Kimenyi and Njuguna Ndung'u, 'Expanding the Financial Services Frontier: Lessons from Mobile Phone Banking in Kenya' (2009) http://www.brookings.edu/~/media/Files/rc/articles/2009/1016 mobile phone kenya kimenyi.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

administration's coordinating and regulating capacity. Finding the regulatory approach to mobile payments is a challenge that regulators need to address. Various regulatory instruments exist that seek to resolve some of these challenges; they have not been adequate in their approach. Chapter 5 will therefore discuss how these regulatory bodies should coordinate in order to establish an appropriate regulatory framework for mobile payments.

CHAPTER 5

THEMES AROUND THE APPROPRIATE REGULATORY FRAMEWORK FOR MOBILE PAYMENTS SYSTEMS

5.1. Introduction

Mobile payments deserve special regulation because of their specific system design and because, like other emerging payments systems, mobile payments have shown the potential to be systemically important. This systemic importance is manifested within its system, which affects the MNOs institutional structure, the stakeholders involved, including the agents and the financial services they provide. Chapter 4 demonstrated that the challenge of regulating rapidly changing technologies and environments is that regulations are not enforced as fast as technological innovations are introduced, which creates a regulatory dilemma where regulators have to balance the need to enable innovation, while at the same time guarantee the safety and soundness of the financial system.

Thus where unregulated services such as mobile payments are introduced, the concern that they may stifle innovation persists.³ Consequently, establishing an appropriate regulatory framework for mobile payments by regulators should be at the crux of any payment system reform, especially one that is financial inclusive. Not simply because a regulatory framework would clarify the regulators' objectives in this converged

¹ Such as electronic payments and peer to peer payments such as Bitcoin. See Satoshi Nakamoto, 'Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System' (2008) 28 < http://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

² Systemically important institution has been discussed in Chapter 3: The Mobile Payment Risks.

³ James E Prieger, 'Regulation, Innovation, And the Introduction of New Telecommunications Services' (2002) 84 Review of Economics and Statistics Working Papers 00-8 http://www.mitpressjournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1162/003465302760556512 accessed 26 September 2014.

environment, but also because, post-financial inclusion, mobile payments have brought forth regulatory challenges that have not been addressed by regulation.

The preceding chapters have discussed the overarching legal and regulatory challenges that mobile payments present.⁴ They have done so by discussing and highlighting the regulatory environment that enabled mobile payments to succeed while at the same time the challenges post-financial inclusion that these new payments have presented. Through the examination of the post-financial inclusion issues, the challenges that have not piqued the interest of regulators and the literature at large has drawn the attention to the fragmented regulatory framework within the financial services sector in Kenya, and the lack of adequate financial consumer protection mechanisms due to the fact that, consumer risk has now been distributed to the now 'banked' population. Thus, how best to approach the regulation of mobile payments, following the risks and challenges discussed in Chapters 3 and 4 remains a pertinent question, especially in the 'post'-financial inclusion era in Kenya.

Mobile payments have provided a unique chance to reconsider existing regulatory structures in order to develop an appropriate regulatory strategy, in the hope of effecting a regulatory framework that would ensure an adequate safety net for mobile payments users.⁵ This chapter proposes an appropriate regulatory approach for mobile payments by discussing the regulatory themes that would best suit the approach that should be adopted and the issues that regulators should be mindful of when enforcing and implementing this regulation. This chapter also answers the final research question⁶ about what reforms should be implemented in the current regulatory framework to adequately

_

⁴ Chapters 3 and 4 have discussed the post-financial inclusion regulatory challenges surrounding mobile payments systems.

Mondato, 'Mobile Payment Regulation' (2014) < http://mondato.com/about/expertise/mobile-payment-regulation/ accessed 26 September 2014.

⁶ See Chapter 1 Secondary Questions.

oversee mobile payments. This would draw its conclusions about how an appropriate regulatory framework should address the challenges in the current regulatory framework, while at the same time building an enabling environment that allows the growth of innovation in the payments systems and is at the same time sensitive to financial inclusion. This further suggests that the Kenyan regulatory framework would have to balance the competing interests of the mobile financial services stakeholders, whose main objectives would be to increase their profits through new financial services products. They would also have to consider adopting a balanced approach that addresses the industry development through driving national and global development and consequently increasing financial inclusion.

5.2. The Considerations in Prescribing the Appropriate Financial Services Regulation for Mobile Payments

In the aftermath of the Global Financial Crisis, assigning appropriate regulation has been revisited⁷ since previous models had failed to work and the need for improved regulation was necessary. What was highlighted at least from a systemic point of view was that the interconnection across sectors, products and regions contributed to the financial crisis and these cannot be ignored. Furthermore regulatory reform has been a 'response to widespread perceptions of inadequacy of existing systems' and the weak application and implementation of the sound regulatory frameworks.⁸ All this was in light with finding the ultimate regulatory environment that would prevent market abuse, while maintaining financial sector soundness. The regulatory reforms were aimed at limiting

⁷ Literature following the GFC is extensive. See, John Raymond LaBrosse, Rodrigo Olivares-Caminal and Dalvinder Singh, *Financial Crisis Containment and government Guarantees* (Edward Elgar 2013); Steven L. Schwarcz, 'Understanding the Subprime Financial Crisis' (2009) 60 S.C. L. REV. 549, 561–62 (quoting Steven L Schwarcz, 'Protecting Financial Markets: Lessons from the Subprime Mortgage Meltdown' (2008) 93 MINN. L. REV. 373, 406)

⁸ Stavros B Thomadakis, 'What Makes Good Regulation?' (2007) IFAC Council Seminar < http://www.ifac.org/sites/default/files/downloads/30th_anniversary_Thomadakis_Pres_Nov_07.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

moral hazard and the concept of 'too big to fail' that guaranteed insolvent financial institutions that 'Lenders of Last Resort' would be insured. This assignment is quite similar, in the examination of an appropriate regulatory framework for mobile payments. Additionally, an examination of a macroprudential approach to mobile payments is suggested, since it has been argued that the causes of the GFC were due to a weak application of microprudential approaches to regulation.⁹

Mobile payments and their success in Kenya have precipitated an environment in which MNOs may be considered institutions that are too big to fail and their collapse or insolvency may have systemic effects for the payments system.¹⁰ It has been reiterated that post-financial inclusion this could have catastrophic effects if such a case were to happen. Hence, the assessment of an appropriate regulatory framework for mobile payments requires an evaluation of certain benchmarks in deciding whether the regulation is good, acceptable or in need of reform.¹¹

These benchmarks are described as 'principles of good regulation', ¹² where Baldwin¹³ asserts that there should be key tests that should be performed before reaching an evaluation on what good regulation should be, or is appropriate in a particular case, as the quest for 'good' regulation varies from country to country. ¹⁴ This study has throughout prescribed 'appropriate' regulation deliberately, as developing countries merit a different approach to their regulatory processes, or rather in the prescription of their

-

⁹ Samuel G Hanson, Anil K Kashyap and Jeremy C Stein, 'A Macroprudential Approach to Financial Regulation' (2011) Journal of Economic Perspectives 169.

¹⁰ This is because there is an interconnectivity between the services they provide and the role they play in the payments system.

¹¹ Robert Baldwin and Christopher McCrudden, Regulation and Public Law (London Oxford 1987); Jon.Stern, 'The Evaluation of Regulatory Agencies' in Robert Baldwin, Martin Cave and Martin Lodge (eds), *The Oxford Handbook Of Regulation* (Oxford University Press 2010).

¹² Better Regulation Task Force, *Principles of Good Regulation* (Weidenfeld and Nicolson 2003), where the principles were described as Proportionality, Accountability, Consistency, Transparency and Targeting.

¹³ Robert Baldwin, Martin Cave and Martin Lodge, *Understanding Regulation: Theory, Strategy, and Practice* (Oxford University Press 2011) 25.

¹⁴ The evaluation of *good regulation* is relative. Better Regulation Task Force (BRTF), *Principles of Good Regulation* (BRTF 2003).

regulation. This is because previous regulatory strategies and supervision measures are becoming outdated and new approaches and techniques now need urgent consideration. Although the rationale for and principles of financial regulation are not fundamentally different in developing countries, it led Baldwin to ask whether developing countries were 'special'?¹⁵

Relevant to this discussion are the institutional components that are regarded as problematic when regulating in developing countries.¹⁶ These components include, limited capacity¹⁷ where the state structure as well as the internal resources of the state are often regarded as being too limited to stand up to well-resourced private interests, in this case Safaricom, the most successful firm deploying M-Pesa. Also to be included are limited commitment or, as discussed in Chapter 4, lack of political will to regulate¹⁸ and the fact that there needs to be a limiting of the discretion in regulatory and political decision-making, and finally limited accountability, where governments face limited demands for transparency, which means that their decisions are relatively free from challenge.¹⁹

Therefore the examination of appropriate regulation requires the discussion of the regulatory principles.²⁰ This section will assess the regulatory principles in the regulation of mobile payments. This study identifies the principles as they were used by

¹⁵ Robert Baldwin, Martin Cave, and Martin Lodge. *Understanding Regulation: Theory, Strategy, and Practice* (Oxford University Press 2012) 410.

¹⁶ Jean J Laffont, Regulation and Development (Cambridge University Press 2005); Antonio Estache and Liam Wren-Lewis, 'On the Theory of Evidence on Regulation of Network Industries in Developing Countries' (2010) Oxford Handbook of Regulation 376-378

¹⁷ Regulatory capacity as discussed in Chapter 4.

¹⁸ Chapter 4, Section: Lack of Political Will To Regulate. Anat R Admati and Martin Hellwig, 'Good Banking Regulation Needs Clear Focus, Sensible Tools, and Political Will.' (2011) http://www.gsb.stanford.edu/sites/default/files/research/documents/AdmatiHellwigGoodReg021412 .pdf> accessed 26 September 2014.

¹⁹ Antonio Estache and Liam Wren-Lewis, 'Toward a Theory of Regulation for Developing Countries: Following Jean-Jacques Laffont's Lead' (2009) Journal of Economic Literature 729–770.

²⁰ These principles are internationally recognised as the benchmark for 'good regulation', See, Andrew Crockett et al, 'The Fundamental Principles of Financial Regulation' (2009) Geneva Reports on the World Economy 11.

the Better Regulations Task Force 2005,²¹ and then includes the specific principles that are unique to both developing country perspectives and, more importantly, to mobile payments. These principles are: proportionality, accountability, transparency and consistency. I include the examination of state objectives such as consumer and investor protection, the promotion of competition, financial stability, regulation and supervision and finally the unique design of the regulated entity based mainly on the legal status of the entity, in this case the MNO: whether it is an institution-based approach, or on the activities it performs, a functional-based approach and the governance structure of the oversight function, as additional and distinctive criteria for appropriate regulation in Kenya's context. Consequently, an appropriate regulatory framework should be one that enables mobile money, and creates an open and level playing field that nurtures competition and innovation, influences the value schemes of both banks and MNOs. This environment should also enable and enhance investments, and lets providers focus on refining operations and promoting customer adoption.²²

5.2.1. Proportionality

Proportionality requires that the legal and regulatory framework should not be excessively restrictive and arduous in relation to the possible issues it is designed to tackle. Proportionality also suggests that any such inconsistencies are recognised and resolved in a way that is in accordance with a country's overall priorities, which should achieve an appropriate balance.²³ However, public policy objectives may not always accommodate the need for a proportionate regulatory approach. This is because developing countries'

²¹ Better Regulation Task Force (BRTF), Principles of Good Regulation (BRTF 2003).

²² GSMA, 'Mobile Money' (2013)

http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/MMU-Enabling-Regulatory-Solutions-di-Castri-2013.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

²³ Committee on Payment and Settlement Systems and the World Bank 2007.

objective of encouraging inclusion may override the need for stability and safety and soundness of the financial system.

Consequently, regulatory proportionality includes laws and regulations which enable the viable development of financial services through mobile phones and which balance the cost of regulation both to the institutions and the regulator with its benefits.²⁴ Furthermore, there should be an assurance that any burden or constraint that is imposed should be proportionate to the benefits.²⁵ A consideration of the costs to firms and consumers is usually an indication of how proportionate an imposition of a regulation would be. Cost benefit analysis would be necessary for the proposed regulatory requirement and regulators should only intervene when necessary. In the case of mobile payments, the risks as outlined in Chapter 3 present a justification for intervention and the compliance costs would be passed on to the MNOs. These risks, in particular integrity risks, fraud and loss of funds, far outweigh the cost of compliance by MNOs and the enforcement regimes should be proportionate to the risks posed.

Thus the establishment of an appropriate regulatory framework for mobile payments should provide a balance between technological innovations on the one hand, and safeguard against the unique risks of the ever evolving mobile money ecosystem on the other. Since MNOs are not regulated by financial regulators, but rather the telecoms regulator, the CCK, the proportionality of mobile payments regulation as related to the associated unique risks should also be considered. A good regulatory approach should on the one hand balance the need for stability and safety and soundness of the payments system and the financial system as whole, and on the other hand be flexible, technology

_

²⁴ James Bilodeau, William Hoffman, Sjoerd Nikkelen, 'The Seven Pillars of Mobile Financial Services Development' (2012) Ch 1.1 < http://reports.weforum.org/mobile-financial-services-development-2011/content/pdf/01-part-1/wef-mfsd-chapter-1-1.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

²⁵ This additionally finds that proportionate regulation should seek to find out why there is restricted access for people to financial services.

neutral and accommodate various ways for the stakeholders to meet compliance requirements. ²⁶ This brings into focus how regulatory objectives by the regulator should be advanced, as proportionality also hinges on the careful balance of any policy objectives the state may have, such as financial inclusion. Proportionality requires balance when regulatory objectives clash, as can be expected where previously autonomous regulatory domains converge. For instance, where the objective of private firms is profit, that of the telecoms regulator, the CCK, is to issue industry standards, these may often be at odds with the regulatory objectives of the government of Kenya, for instance in the provision of an enabling environment for mobile payments to reduce cash dominance in the economy and its associated risks, thereby further advancing financial inclusion.

In so doing, regulatory proportionality would require that regulators issue regulation that fosters, rather than inhibits, innovation in association with regulated activities, such as by allowing scope for different means of compliance, so that market participants are not unduly restricted from launching new financial products and services.

5.2.2. Transparency

As a prerequisite for good governance and sound financial regulation²⁷ transparency offers information about existing conditions so decisions and actions are made accessible, viable and understandable.²⁸ The allocation of resources is enabled through transparency, as it ensures that market players have adequate resources to identify risks and to distinguish one firm or one country's circumstances from another.²⁹ Transparency helps

²⁶ James Bilodeau, William Hoffman, Sjoerd Nikkelen, "The Seven Pillars of Mobile Financial Services Development" (2012)

²⁷ Ibid.

²⁸ See BIS, 'Report of the Working Group on Transparency and Accountability' (1998) < http://www.bis.org/publ/othp01b.pdf> accessed 26 September 2014.

²⁹ Ibid. Information is the essence of a perfect market. See for example Joseph Stiglitz and Amar Bhattacharya, 'Underpinning for a Stable and Equitable Global Financial System: From Old Debates to a New Paradigm' (1999) 11th Annual Bank Conference on Development Economics on 28–30 April 1999 http://www0.gsb.columbia.edu/faculty/jstiglitz/download/2000 Underpinnings of a Stable and Equitable.pdf > accessed 26 September 2014.

to inform markets of accurate information, thereby helping to stabilise markets during times of uncertainty and also contributing to the effectiveness of announced policies.³⁰ Better policy options are encouraged through transparency, which aids the reduction of the incidence of arbitrary decisions in regulatory implementation.³¹ The importance of transparency to the regulatory policy agenda emanates from the many causes of regulatory failures.³² These failures include regulatory capture and bias towards focussed benefits, 'inadequate information in the public sector, rigidity, market uncertainty and inability to understand policy risk and a lack of accountability.'³³

Transparency would allow market participants to garner sufficient information to enable them to make sound judgments.³⁴ It also requires that the CBK, as the main regulatory authority for mobile payments, should inform the public that regulatory choices have been taken to encourage administrative discretion and deter corruption.³⁵ The CBK as the main regulatory authority for mobile payments should gather information and documents and disseminate to all parties concerned. This central body disseminating the relevant information must ensure timely and accurate distribution. The CBK should be able to exercise its discretion as to which information to disseminate.³⁶ To encourage the use of mobile payments by market participants and consumers the

_

³⁰ Gil Mehrez and Daniel Kaufmann, 'Transparency, Liberalization, and Financial Crisis' (2000) World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 2286 http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=258976 accessed 26 September 2014.

³¹ Jin-Guk Kim, Tae-Yun Kim and Junsok Yang, 'Regulatory Transparency: What We Learned In Korea' (2010) http://unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/APCITY/UNPAN014177.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

³² Ibid.

³³ Scott H Jacobs, 'The Second Generation of Regulatory Reforms' (1999) OECD Public Management Service http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/seminar/1999/reforms/jacobs.htm accessed 26 September 2014; OECD, 'First Workshop Of The APEC-OECD Co-Operative Initiative' (2001) http://www.oecd.org/Regreform/Regulatory-Policy/2506438.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

³⁴ 'First Workshop of the APEC-OECD Co-Operative Initiative' (2001) http://www.oecd.org/Regreform/Regulatory-Policy/2506438.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

³⁶ BIS, 'Report of the Working Group on Transparency and Accountability' (1998) 98 http://www.bis.org/publ/othp01b.pdf> accessed 26 September 2014.

relationship between relevant authorities has to be transparent. Policies announced by the CBK and the CCK can reduce uncertainties about policy intentions.³⁷

They will also restrain the authorities from misleading the public and consumers. Public consultations are key to regulatory tools employed to improve transparency. The CBK, in their efforts to formulate and operationalise the NPSA, only called for one public consultation.³⁸ It may be debatable as to whether citizens or the industry at large where informed, as it was only possible for industry experts to comment, which highlights a slight flaw in the efforts for transparency and calls into question the efficacy of the NPSA 2011. It is important that consultation should take place before the proposals are developed so that stakeholders' views and expertise are taken into account. The regulated should be aware of their obligations, while being given the opportunity to comply.

Trade, competition and investment are also encouraged through transparency of the regulatory system as undue influences by special interests.³⁹ It reinforces the legitimacy and fairness of regulatory process, but it is not easy to establish in practice, especially in the framework of developing countries such as Kenya. Transparency involves a wide range of practices, including standardised processes. These would include consultations with the public in clear language when drafting publication codification. It should also be easy to find and understand the implementation and appeal processes, which should be predictable and consistent—something the CBK failed to do, perhaps due to both internal and external pressure in a 'rush to regulate' mobile payments.⁴⁰

-

³⁷ Ibid

³⁸ Central Bank of Kenya, 'Call for Public Consultations on the National Payments Draft Regulations' (2013) https://www.centralbank.go.ke/index.php/2012-09-21-11-44-41/public-consultations accessed 26 September 2014.

³⁹ Financial System Inquiry Final Report (Wallis Report), 'Philosophy of Financial Regulation' (1997) http://www.fsi.treasury.gov.au/content/downloads/FinalReport/chapt05.doc accessed 26 September 2014

⁴⁰ Christen, Robert Peck, and Richard Rosenberg. *The Rush to Regulate: Legal Frameworks for Microfinance*. Consultative group to assist the poorest (CGAP), 2000.

All guarantees should be made clear through transparency, and all stakeholders of financial products and services, should be made fully aware of their responsibilities. This certainly may be a difficult criteria to fulfil, which further adds to the complexities that financial regulation in developing countries face, especially post-financial inclusion.⁴¹ Hence, the CBK in establishing an appropriate regulation for mobile payments should clarify their position and communicate these clarifications to interested parties, in this case MNOs, agents and consumers. Transparency is also closely linked to the need for accountability, which the next section discusses.⁴² Providing the public with adequate information ensures that all stakeholders are provided with the opportunity to comment or contribute to the best quality regulation that emerges. This ensures that the resulting regulation should be the best possible.⁴³ Too big to fail institutions that create a moral hazard as a result of public perception and the imprimatur of the government, would hamper the quest for transparency and that all guarantees are made explicit. Transparency is the key instrument in preventing market abuse, including insider dealing,⁴⁴ and market manipulation,⁴⁵ money laundering, terrorism financing and corruption.⁴⁶

Transparency also ensures that the regulatory strategy of disclosure is effected and enforced. Disclosure rules usually prohibit the supply of false or misleading information, and so decrease information asymmetry and offer some protection to consumers as they allows consumers to make decisions on the acceptability of the processes involved in the production of goods and the services they are offered. This

⁴¹ Ibid

⁴² Stavros B Thomadakis, 'What Makes Good Regulation' (2007) IFAC Council Seminar http://www.ifac.org/sites/default/files/downloads/30th anniversary Thomadakis Pres Nov 07.pdf

> accessed 26 September 2014.

⁴³ Ibid.

⁴⁴ Capital Markets Act Cap 485A.

⁴⁵ Ibid

⁴⁶ United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 'United Nations Convention against Corruption' (2003) http://www.unodc.org/documents/treaties/UNCAC/Publications/Convention/08-50026_E.pdf accessed 26 September 2014, names transparency as one of the building blocks of anti-corruption policies.

strategy provides a mode of regulation that is not heavily interventionist.⁴⁷ A mandatory disclosure may occur whereby MNOs must reveal certain important information, such as pricing or quality of services to the public. Disclosure regulation may also involve the supply of information to the public directly through the CBK the assigned regulator or the CCK, the specific telecoms regulator. ⁴⁸

Disclosure through transparency increases publicly available information; it enables market actors to make informed investment decisions and thus improves market efficiency. However, the customer profiles of mobile payment users, who have been described as low income earners, previously unbanked and financially illiterate, may not always use the information properly or may merely fail to understand this information. This would require Kenyan regulators to adequately and precisely ensure that all information is disseminated in a manner that is accessible to all segments of the population. Transparency is also applied in the enforcement of the regulatory framework, 49 as it is normally considered paramount in order to indicate to the regulatory community that regulation is implemented consistently and proportionally.50

5.2.3. Accountability

An appropriate regulatory framework would have to ensure accountability as one of its key components. Accountability is closely linked to the concept of transparency. Furthermore, the quest to find the core of accountability is likely to be plagued by the plurality of interests and ideas that surround this concept.⁵¹ Colin Scott defines regulatory

⁴⁷ Karen Yeung, 'Government by Publicity Management: Sunlight or Spin?' (2005) Public Law 360.

⁴⁸Anat R Admati and Paul Pfleiderer, 'Forcing Firms To Talk: Financial Disclosure Regulation And Externalities' (2000) 13 Review of Financial Studies 479.

⁴⁹ Andrew Haynes and Deborah Sabalot, Butterworths Financial Services Law Guide (Butterworths 1997).

⁵⁰ Dalvinder Singh, 'Enforcement Methods and Sanctions in Banking Regulation and Supervision' (2002) 4(4) International and Comparative Corporate Law Journal 307–343.

⁵¹ Martin Lodge and Lindsay Stirton, 'Accountability in the Regulatory State' in Robert Baldwin, Martin Cave, Martin Lodge (eds), *The Oxford Handbook of Regulation* (Oxford University Press 2010) 353.

accountability as, 'the duty to give account for one's actions to some other person or body'. ⁵² Accountability is often associated more with reporting duties, whereas transparency offers visibility, such as the publication of all intentions to regulate or for procurement contracts and what would be regarded as public discretion. ⁵³ A further definition of accountability is 'a liability to reveal, to explain, and to justify what one does; how one discharges responsibilities, financial or other, whose several origins may be political, constitutional, hierarchical or contractual'. ⁵⁴ This principle would compel the regulatory framework in Kenya to state who is accountable, for what and to whom: a question that is always asked when discussing accountability as a regulatory principle. In this instance, the question lingers within the mobile payments system framework, with different stakeholders and sectoral regulators, where the CBK as the financial regulator, and the CCK the industry regulator, have to be accountable for their actions and decisions. This is because accountability by regulators illustrates their power in two respects, they affect the outcomes for financial firms and they can have a significant impact on consumer welfare. ⁵⁵

This precipitates the more accurate questions, 'who regulates the regulators?', or who regulators are accountable to and how this accountability is achieved.⁵⁶ This forms an important aspect in determining and conceptualising an appropriate regulatory approach, because, as stated earlier, accountability is the need to justify and accept responsibility for the decisions taken with the objectives taken into consideration.

⁵² Colin Scott, 'Accountability in the Regulatory State' (2000) 27(1) Journal of Law and Society 38–60 http://acmd.com.bd/Presentation/Salehuddin,%202013,%20Transparency%20and%20accountability.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

⁵³ Ibid; Odhiambo-Mbai, 'Public Service Accountability and Governance in Kenya since Independence' (2003) 8(1) African Journal of Political Science [page?].

⁵⁴ Bruce L Smith and DC Hague (eds), *The Dilemma of Accountability in Modern Government: Independence Versus Control* (Macmillan 1971); E L Normanton, Public Accountability and Audit: A Reconnaissance' (1971) in Bruce L Smith and D C Hague (eds), *The Dilemma of Accountability in Modern Government: Independence versus Control* (Macmillan, 1971).

⁵⁵ Charles Goodhart, Philipp Hartmann, David T Llewellyn, Liliana Rojas-Suarez and Steven Weisbrod, Financial Regulation: Why, How and Where Now? (Routledge 2013) 68.

⁵⁶ Julia Black, 'Constitutionalizing Self-Regulation' (1996) 59 Modern Law Review 24.

Therefore appropriate regulation should allocate responsibility that has to be upheld by either the CBK or the CCK as the regulators of mobile payments. This responsibility requires ex-post oversight of the actions of one institution by another institution.⁵⁷ Accountability also ensures that there is a certain discipline in ensuring that the authorities are answerable to the general public and market participants, such as agents and consumers, regarding the decision that they have made.⁵⁸ A better-informed public will also strengthen the credibility and public understanding of the issues in question.⁵⁹

For instance, as discussed in Chapter 4, holding agents liable for their actions and inactions provides an opportunity for accountability by the MNO and the CBK at large as the regulator. Accountability would manifest itself in how providers assume legal liability for the actions of agents, especially in their discharge of the agents' agreement, between the MNOs and the agents. Accountability requires that regulators should be able to justify their actions and decisions and be subject to public scrutiny. Draft regulations should be published and all those affected in the industry should be informed prior to decisions being made. This provides that regulators are required to establish clear standards and criteria against which they are accountable; these requirements include accessibility, fairness and the need for effective complaints and appeals procedures.

_

⁵⁷ Bronwen Morgan, and Karen Yeung. *An Introduction to Law and Regulation* (Cambridge University Press 2007) 228; P Birkinshaw, 'Decision-Making and its Control in the Administrative Process – An Overview' in Patrick McAuslan and John McEldowney (eds), *Law, Legitimacy and the Constitution* (Sweet and Maxwell 1985) 152.

⁵⁸ Claudio Borio, 'Implementing the Macroprudential Approach to Financial Regulation and Supervision' in Christopher J Green, Eric J Pentecost and Thomas G Weyman-Jones (eds), The Financial Crisis and the Regulation of Finance (Edward Elgar 2009).

⁵⁹ Rosa M Lastra, 'Governance Structure for Financial Regulation and Supervision in Europe' (2003) 10 Colum. J. Eur. L. 49.

⁶⁰ Georgina Lawrence, 'Who Regulates the Regulators?' (2002) CRI Occasional Paper 16 < http://teambath.bath.ac.uk/management/cri/pubpdf/Occasional Papers/16 Lawrence.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

This accountability is extended to public servants and government officials.⁶¹ Regulatory authorities should be concerned about the applicability of the regulations they frame. All stakeholders should therefore be able to know who is accountable by recognising who is in authority. This may be complicated, particularly in converged industries such as mobile payments.⁶² This usually aids in the implementation of policies or regulation as they are then easily amended. In answering who is accountable, in this case the MNO as the regulated party, would be accountable to the CBK for mobile payments and to the CCK for telecommunications. However the CBK would need to be accountable to another institution for its regulatory approach. As each authority has its own specific roles and objectives to meet, there must be clear coordination among the authorities to clarify their roles. Only when the functions are clear can each authority be made accountable for its actions. Furthermore, central banks may have statutory independence, but do not have policy independence, ⁶³ which imposes limits on their accountability since they may have immunity over law suits from citizens.⁶⁴

Accountability also needs to be appropriate to the resources of the country, or the regulators more specifically, and to the effective pursuit of the regulatory objectives. ⁶⁵ In this case the regulated party would be the MNO; as control is exercised by certain institutions, the competence of these institutions in speciality areas may also be called into question. ⁶⁶ Accountability would call for a clearly explained process as to how final

,

BRTF, Principles of Good Regulation' (2003) http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20100407162704/http:/archive.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/brc/upload/assets/www.brc.gov.uk/principlesleaflet.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

⁶² Martin Lodge, Accountability and Transparency in Regulation: Critiques, Doctrines and Instruments (Edward Elgar 2004); Cosmo Graham, 'Is there a Crisis in Regulatory Accountability?' in Robert Baldwin, Colin Scott and Christopher Hood (eds), A Reader on Regulation (Oxford University Press 1998) 471.

⁶³ See, Alberto Alesina, and Lawrence H Summers, 'Central Bank Independence and Macroeconomic Performance: Some Comparative Evidence' (1993) Journal of Money, Credit and Banking 151–162.

⁶⁴ Sylvester CW Eijffinger and Marco Hoeberichts, 'Central Bank Accountability and Transparency: Theory and Some Evidence' (2002) 5(1) International Finance 73–96.

⁶⁵ Ibid; see also, Cosmo Graham (1998) (n 62). See, Christopher Crowe and Ellen E Meade, 'Central Bank Independence and Transparency: Evolution and Effectiveness' (2008) 24(4) European Journal of Political Economy 763–777.

⁶⁶ Ibid. Cosmo Graham (1998) (n 62)

decisions are arrived at. This would ensure that criteria are maintained through which they can be judged. Since accountability is closely linked with transparency,⁶⁷ accountability would call for well publicised, accessible, fair and effective complaints and appeals procedures. The aspect of accountability that asks, 'accountable to who?' means that regulators and possibly enforcers should have clear lines of accountability to the parliament as well as to the public.⁶⁸ Where accountability imposes discipline on regulators, transparency on the other hand, enhances the quality of accountability by installing corporation amongst regulators and calling on them to justify their decisions.⁶⁹

Accountability is a duty that has to be upheld by each authority.⁷⁰ As each authority has its own specific roles and objectives to meet, there must be clear coordination among the authorities to clarify their roles. Only when the functions are clear can each authority be made accountable for its actions. A better-informed public will also strengthen the credibility and public understanding of the issues in question.⁷¹ Accountability in financial regulation also calls for regulatory agencies to operate independently of sectional interests. This also calls for the CBK to implement a regulatory structure that is accountable to its stakeholders and subject to audit.⁷²

Although accountability attracts universal support due to its position in protecting the interests of the public, it may have certain limits.⁷³ As Lastra states, 'too much

⁶⁷ It was argued that transparency is essential to accountability. For example, legislature needs information about the policy actions and the rationale for the policy if it is to hold the central bank accountable. See LH Meyer, 'Comparative Central Banking and the Politics of Monetary Policy' (2001) Paper by Member of the Board of Governors of the United States Federal Reserve System at the National Association for Business Economics Seminar on Monetary Policy and the Markets in Washington DC on 21 May 2001 http://www.bis.org/publ/qtrpdf/r qt1403.pdf accessed 26 September 2014; Laurence H Meyer, 'Comparative Central Banking and the Politics of Monetary Policy' (2001) 36 Business Economics 43.

⁶⁸ BRTF (2003) (n 61).

⁶⁹ BIS (1998) (n 37).

⁷⁰ Ibid (vii - viii.)

⁷¹ Ibid.

⁷² Deepti George, 'Australia's Regulatory Principles and the Licensing of Providers' (2014) IFMR Finance Foundation http://www.ifmr.co.in/blog/2012/02/21/australia%E2%80%99s-regulatory-principles-and-the-licensing-of-providers/ accessed 26 September 2014.

independence may lead to the creation of a state within a state, while too much accountability threatens the effectiveness of independence and therefore an optimal trade-off between independence and accountability must be attained.⁷⁷⁴

5.2.4. Consistency

Closing gaps and inconsistencies, as discussed in Chapter 4,⁷⁵ should involve all areas, including policies, legal framework and approval and monitoring of mobile payments schemes in Kenya. It is paramount to avoid gaps and inconsistencies in order to be free from ill effects and damaging uncertainties.⁷⁶ Mobile payments as established as a retail payment instrument are continuously developing. The CBK may meet challenges in keeping up with the changing conditions, in particular the technical innovations and the changing profiles of market participation. If these changing circumstances are not recognised, the CBK may find gaps and inconsistencies in information in relation to the development of mobile payments.

Cooperation between the CBK and other authorities in the sharing of information may narrow the gaps and inconsistencies, thereby maintaining financial stability and certainty, which are important in also maintaining trust and integrity in the payments system. This avoidance is also necessary as it ensures that the CBK can identify the rights and responsibilities of all parties, such as the functions of the MNO holding the platform for mobile payments. It may be difficult to conceive a consistent regulatory framework, especially for a retail payment services framework. Regulation should

⁷⁴ Rosa M Lastra, *Central Banking and Banking Regulation* (Financial Markets Group, London School of Economics and Political Science, 1996) 58–59.

⁷⁵ See Chapter 4 Regulatory Gaps, 194, Overlaps195, Inconsistencies Arbitrage 197.

⁷⁶ Since the development of mobile financial services hinges on technological advancements, it is quite difficult to predict how the market will develop since this may simply be a new form of a technology boom waiting to burst. See Charles Poor Kindleberger *Manias* Panics *and Crashes* (6th edn, Palgrave Macmillan 2010.

therefore be predictable in order to offer stability and certainty to those being regulated, which would call for a consistent application of regulation across the country.⁷⁷

5.2.5. Balancing the Objectives of the State

Appropriate regulation should be able to balance the objectives of the state and the need for regulation where the objectives include the protection of consumers and the safeguard of the payments system. Since mobile payments have reflected the national conditions, the economic goals through the financial inclusion agenda and the different socio-economic and political circumstances as well as the level of infrastructure development of Kenya's financial system, then all these factors should be considered in order to effect appropriate regulation. These considerations are paramount as they are the reason that mobile payments have only managed to be successful in Kenya, since the infrastructures and the enabling environment varies from country to country. As a result, solutions which have been effective to achieve national goals in one country may not always be so in another. Among these objectives is to resolve the market and maintain financial stability. ⁷⁸

The state should extend its mandate on the competitive concerns at the retail level. This approach ensures that competitive concerns such as interoperability amongst MNOs are adequately addressed, while also limiting ex-ante regulation to those areas where the benefits to consumers cannot be achieved using ex-post regulation.⁷⁹ This further calls for periodical assessment of competitive conditions in the market place. Time

The Principles of Good Regulation' National Archives, http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20100407162704/http:/archive.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/brc/upl oad/assets/www.brc.gov.uk/principlesleaflet.pdf accessed September 28, 2014.

⁷⁸ ICT Regulation Toolkit, 'Why Regulate?' (2014) < http://www.ictregulationtoolkit.org/6.2 accessed 26 September 2014.

⁷⁹ Îbid.

and resources would have to be allocated to reviewing and revising targeted ex-ante regulation⁸⁰ to avoid stifling innovation and ensuring that a balance is met so that the interests of consumers and the long-term benefits of financial inclusion are met.⁸¹

As reiterated, an enabling environment for mobile payments is optimal, especially in reducing cash dominance in the economy. The reduction of cash dominance is an objective of governments because of the risks involved.⁸² It should also include a specification of minimum technical and business requirements for various participants in the mobile payments system. ⁸³

5.2.6. The Design of the Non-Bank Payment Provider

It is important that appropriate regulation for mobile payments should take into account the need to accommodate market innovation.⁸⁴ This would require that the regulation should be neutral and should avoid favouring particular business models over any other as it is likely to distort the market's competitive process. ⁸⁵ Additionally, the design of the non-bank payment provider suggests that while the MNO requires technical expertise, regulators should aim to have technology neutral rules in order to maintain their longevity. This is evident in industries that, for instance, are over reliant on new

-

⁸⁰ Steven L Schwarcz, 'Ex Ante versus Ex Post Approaches to Financial Regulation – Friday January 28, 2011' (2011) 15 Chap. L. Rev. 257, where the author states that regulation that targets bad conduct before it occurs is deemed ex ante, whereas regulation that targets bad conduct after it occurs is deemed ex post. Therefore bad conduct will be deterred if targeted with appropriate regulation.

⁸² Central Bank of Nigeria, 'Regulatory Framework for Mobile Payments Services in Nigeria' http://www.cenbank.org/OUT/CIRCULARS/BOD/2009/REGULATORY%20FRAMEWORK%2 0%20FOR%20MOBILE%20PAYMENTS%20SERVICES%20IN%20NIGERIA.PDE> accessed 26 September 2014.

⁸³ ICT Regulation Toolkit (2014) (n 78).

⁸⁴ Mark E Budnitz, 'Stored Value Cards and the Consumer: The Need for Regulation' (1996) 46 American University Law Review 1027.

⁸⁵ Simon L Lelieveldt, 'The Electronic Future of Cash: How to Regulate Electronic Cash – An Overview of Regulatory Issues and Strategies' (1997) 46 American University Law Review 1163 at 1166–1167; Brian Smith and Ramsey Wilson, 'The Electronic Future of Cash: How Best to Guide the Evolution of Electronic Currency Law' (1997) 46 The American Undergraduate Law Review 1105.

technologies. Although this presents a challenge due to the fact that technology, as has been demonstrated by mobile payments, post-dates regulation, an appropriate regulatory framework would ensure that new regulations instituted remain meaningful and useful for an extended period.

Regimes are more likely to be sustainable where they are designed around the behaviour they are trying to manage and are as neutral as possible about the mechanisms and technologies involved. One commentator noted, 'as nature abhors a vacuum, so do regulators'. In our view, the presence of a regulator with supervision and enforcement powers is an important part of any effective regulatory regime for payment services. We assume that for each of the regulatory models discussed in this and the following chapter, a regulatory agency of some sort would be involved. Regulatory regimes are not generally self-executing. They tend to need a regulator to supervise and enforce conduct of business rules. Relying on private citizens or competitors, who do not have sufficient expertise and incentive to take action, is unlikely to be a fully effective enforcement strategy. Law enforcement is a public good—it is likely to be undersupplied by private actors in a free market system. An efficiency or competition protection regime is generally accepted as justifiable and necessary for payment services. Product disclosure is discussed below. Minimum fair play rules are also needed. As discussed in sections 3.3 and 5.2 above, they include prohibitions on misleading, deceptive, unconscionable and harassing conduct.

Traditional regulation of financial institutions has been mainly based on the institutional capacity rather than the business undertaken.⁸⁸ This has led to a gap in the

⁸⁶ Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Should ICT' Regulation Be Technology-Neutral?' 9 in Bert-Jaap Koops, Miriam Lips, Corien Prins & Maurice Schellekens (eds), *Starting Points for ICT Regulation. Deconstructing Prevalent Policy One-Liners IT & Law Series* (TMC Asser Press 2006) 77.

⁸⁷ Judith Rinearson, 'Regulation of Electronic Stored Value Payment Products Issued by Non-Banks under State "Money Transmitter" Licensing Laws' (2002) 58 The Business Lawyer 317, at 321.

Narendra Jadhav, 'Single versus Multiple Regulator' http://www.drnarendrajadhav.info/drnjadhav_web_files/speeches/Single%20versus%20Multiple%20 Regulator.pdf > accessed September 29, 2014.

distinction between institutional and functional regulation. Hence the regulation of parties has ultimately indicated that their core business was also regulated. These distinctions are apparent in regulating banks whose business is banking, however, due to the merging of industries due to technology, the institutional structure of supervision has thus become a major issue of policy debate. 89 Nevertheless, a contrast between functional and institutional regulation and institutions based on objectives or market failure has the potential to be misguided as they serve different purposes. This is because usually, institutions, not functions, fail. However, with the advent of technology, functions are likely to fail, therefore on the one hand institutions should be regulated for safety and soundness, while functions would have to be periodically assessed for functionality and for the mitigation of operational risks. Furthermore, functional regulation involves regulating how institutions conduct the various aspects of their business (conduct of business) and how they behave within their industry. 90

In summation, what constitutes good regulation may be difficult to establish and is a matter that is inevitably subject to contention. Adapting regulations which effectively regulate services such as mobile payments is an important factor to consider. However before attempting to disseminate this, it is important to discuss the various modalities of regulation. An appreciation of the sphere of regulation is necessary, especially in the telecoms sector, as there needs to be consideration of the various regulatory actors and their tools or powers which were extensively discussed in Chapter 4.

The role of the CBK as the state actor and the role of non-state actors have to be considered in establishing regulatory frameworks. Several authors have contended that regulation is not merely the action of the state to set prescriptive rules backed by

⁸⁹ Ibid.

⁹⁰ David T Llewellyn, 'Institutional Structure of Financial Regulation and Supervision: The Basic Issues' http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTTOPCONF6/Resources/2057292- 1162909660809/F2FlemmingLlewellyn.pdf> accessed 26 September 2014.

sanctions, and have attempted a conceptual understanding of the spheres of regulatory influence. For example, Lessig and others, writing on privacy issues within the information industry, have contributed to this discourse by suggesting frameworks for classifying various sources of regulatory power.⁹¹

5.3. The CBK's Approach in Regulating Mobile Payments

The CBK's is said to have recently instituted a functional as opposed to an institutional approach to regulating mobile payments, ⁹² since the development of technology has transcended national boundaries and exposed consumers to a myriad of providers. It is increasingly difficult for regulators to block out all unlicensed services providers and suggestions have been made that consumers should become better equipped to exert market discipline on MNOs. It is questionable whether regulators, particularly, central banks, are prepared to let market discipline be the main monitor of financial regulation. ⁹³

The CBK's 'Test and Learn' approach to regulation has been publicised by the Governor of the CBK, Njuguna Ndung'u. 94 Njuguna has reiterated the government's desire not to stifle innovation in the payments industry. This reaffirms the influence of political policy on regulation. 95 In this approach, the governor states that regulators should 'think beyond the conventional brick and mortar delivery channels to enhance financial

95 Îbid.

248

⁹¹ 'Financial Supervision in the New Millennium'. Speech by Mr Koh Yong Guan, Managing Director, MAS at the Millennium Law Conference < http://www.mas.gov.sg/news-and-publications/speeches-and-monetary-policy-statements/speeches/2000/financial-supervision-in-the-new-millennium-10-apr-2000.aspx accessed 29 September 2014.

⁹² Mobile Money for the Unbanked' GSMA, New Regulatory Framework for e-Money Issuers in Kenya http://www.technologybanker.com/regulations-compliance/new-regulatory-framework-for-e-money-issuers-in-kenya accessed 29 September 2014. David Llewellyn (2006) (n 90).

⁹³ Maria J Nieto, 'Reflections on the Regulatory Approach to E-Finance' (2001) BIS Paper No 7 http://www.bis.org/publ/bppdf/bispap07j.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

⁹⁴ Simone di Castri, 'A Conversation with Professor Njuguna Ndung'u, Governor of the Central Bank of Kenya, on the critical policy issues around mobile money' (2013) GSMA http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/a-conversation-with-professor-njuguna-ndungu-governor-of-the-central-bank-of-kenya-on-the-critical-policy-issues-around-mobile-money accessed 26 September 2014.

inclusion.'96 This assertion has reinforced the CBK's approach which has been to 'embrace technological innovations and provide a supportive policy environment to nurture the growth of mobile financial services in a safe manner.'97 Their risk assessment strategy was to carry out pilot tests before inception which would 'curb the risks of failures'.98 He further highlighted the limits to a risk-based approach by stating that 'it was important to avoid the fear of the unknown since an extreme risk-averse attitude can lead to corner solutions.' However this approach may be seen as too lax, as a risk-based approach to regulating mobile payments would be appropriate.

The CBK also applied a regulatory approach that would aim to 'balance innovation and stability.' The governor acknowledges that a 'proactive regulatory environment is critical in ensuring a balance between access and stability.' He justifies this, as since regulatory processes post-date innovation, this delay stifles innovation, hence slowing the market dynamism which undermines access.⁹⁹ This shows that the strategic policy choice that Kenya adopts is to allow technological innovations, albeit under prudential monitoring so as to ensure financial integrity. ¹⁰⁰ This involves the discharge of its role in ensuring that innovations do not result in risks to the markets' stability. Their approach also included enabling a competitive environment that would attract private sector investors into the mobile financial services sub-sectors and ensure that the market is open to competition. The CBK also aimed at eliminating barriers to entry and creating an enabling legal environment, ¹⁰¹ as it recognized the potential for financial inclusion if a competitive supply of mobile financial services products are

-

⁹⁶ Ibid.

⁹⁷ Ibid.

⁹⁸ Ibid.

⁹⁹ Ibid.

¹⁰⁰ Ibid.

¹⁰¹ Where the lack of specific regulation inherently provided an enabling environment as stated in Chapter 2, which would ultimately encourage increased domestic and foreign investments.

provided.¹⁰² The CBK also highlighted the need for 'better regulation rather than more regulation' in efforts to ensure that regulatory regimes do not stifle innovations. The 'better' regulatory framework would have the ability to readily identify weaknesses and emerging vulnerabilities, to analyze and price risks appropriately, to provide appropriate incentives and penalties to induce prudent behaviours in the market, and to encourage innovations while developing strong institutions.

5.4. Themes Round the Regulation of Mobile Payments

The themes and regulatory strategies¹⁰³ that should be employed in the appropriate regulation of mobile payments have to encapsulate the specific interests, in my view, the safety and soundness of the payments system and of the financial systems as whole, paying special attention to the needs of consumers. There are various instruments that states can use to regulate directly.¹⁰⁴ However, choosing the right strategy for regulation is important while considering Kenya's regulatory capacity,¹⁰⁵ it being a developing country,¹⁰⁶ its resources and the influence it possesses.¹⁰⁷ Kenya further needs to assess the right regulatory strategy in order to achieve its objectives, such as the safety and soundness of the payment system and the efficiency and stability of the financial system as a whole.¹⁰⁸

¹⁰² Simone di Castri (2013) (n 94).

¹⁰³ Strategies are needed in the regulation of various aspects of a country. Either through self-regulation, or through state oversight or through delegation of third parties such as public interest groups such as consumer protection advocates. See Neil Gunningham, Peter N Grabosky and Darren Sinclair, Smart Regulation: Designing Environmental Policy, vol 514 (Clarendon Press, 1998). See also Neil Gunningham and Darren Sinclair, Designing Smart Regulation (1998)

http://www.oecd.org/environment/outreach/33947759.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

¹⁰⁴ Neil Gunningham, Peter N Grabosky and Darren Sinclair, Smart Regulation: Designing Environmental Policy, vol 514 (Clarendon Press, 1998.

¹⁰⁵ The expression 'regulatory capacity', or 'regulatory capability', is used in the analysis of institutional endowment in less developed economies. The World Bank Group and the continental Development Banks, as well as other development-related institutions, use the term 'regulatory capacity' in their projects. ¹⁰⁶ Jean J Laffont, 'Enforcement Regulation Development' (2003) 12(2) Journal of African Economics 193–211.

¹⁰⁷ Robert Baldwin, Martin Cave and Martin Lodge, Understanding Regulation (1st edn, Oxford University Press 2012) 105.

¹⁰⁸ Section 4 of the Central Bank Act CAP 488 1989.

In Kenya, mobile payments have been very successful, as reiterated through the introduction of M-Pesa.¹⁰⁹ There is no doubt that there needs to be an appropriate regulatory framework for mobile payments based on the risks discussed in Chapter 3 and the challenges examined in Parts I through III in Chapter 4. However, Kenya has chosen to develop its regulatory framework solely entrusting the Central Bank of Kenya with this task. Since mobile payments are relatively new to the payments system, the challenge however is in its enforcement and implementation. It is hence important to consider a number of regulatory theories to evaluate the normative basis of Kenya's approach in the regulation of mobile payments.

It is extremely early to conclude whether the CBK has successfully implemented its regulatory oversight through the NSPA on mobile payments without jeopardising their further development, since the Act is not fully operational and moreover, whether the CBK has the committed intent to regulate since the lacuna in the current regulatory framework has been the main catalyst for its proliferation. It is also premature to consider whether, upon the actualisation of the Act by the CBK, the industry would change accordingly. It is necessary therefore to consider the rationales and implications that the regulatory policies may have in view of the theories of regulation and the expected outcomes

5.4.1. Risk-Based Approach to the Regulation of Mobile Payments

The call for risk-based regulation, in efforts to minimise both the inherent payment systems risks and the unique mobile payment system risks, appears to be an appropriate approach lauded amongst regulatory authorities.¹¹¹ The core of risk-based

¹⁰⁹ See Chapter 2, Section 2.5. Page 59.

¹¹⁰ See Chapter 2, Section 2.3., the Success of Mobile Payments.

¹¹¹ Samuel G Hanson, Anil K Kashyap, and Jeremy C Stein, 'A Macroprudential Approach to Financial Regulation' (2011) Journal of Economic Perspectives 3–28.

regulation, as universally understood, is the prioritising of regulatory actions in accordance with an assessment of risks that parties will present to the regulatory body achieving its objectives. 112 The normative rationale is that the risk-based approach hinges on the fact that attempts to minimise adverse governance outcomes to nil tend to be inefficient since they can be unduly costly to achieve, perversely create other risks such as political risk, or distract attention from more serious problems. 113 This would seem to mean that regulators should target regulation where the most harm is expected.

Regulatory reforms have increasingly put forward risk-based strategies in efforts to manage their resources. 114 Risk-based regulation in its idealized form, offers an evidence-based means of targeting the use of resources and of prioritizing attention to the highest risks in accordance with a transparent, systematic, and defensible framework.'115 A further prompting to re-examine the implementation challenges of riskbased regulation comes in the wake of the 2007-2009 credit crisis and stems from the widespread perception that risk-based regulation, at least in the UK, signally failed to protect consumers and the public from the catastrophic failure of the banking system. 116

¹¹² Julia Black, 'The Emergence of Risk Based Regulation and The New Public Risk Management In The United Kingdom' (2005) Public Law 512: Julia Black, 'Risk Based Regulation: Choices Practices And (2010)being Learnt http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/risk-and-regulatory- policy_5kmmx4x7ksnr.pdf;jsessionid=9i6cngkl507c3.x-oecd-live-02?contentType=%2fns%2fOECDBook%2c%2fns%2fStatisticalPublication%2c%2fns%2fBook&itemId

^{=%2}fcontent%2fbook%2f9789264082939-

en&mimeType=application%2fpdf&containerItemId=%2fcontent%2fserial%2f19900481&accessItemId s> accessed 26 September 2014; Julia Black, 'Role Of Risk In Regulatory Processes' in Robert Baldwin, Martin Cave, Martin Lodge (eds), The Oxford Handbook of Regulation (Oxford University Press 2010) 353.

¹¹³ Robert J MacCoun, 'The Costs and Benefits of Letting Juries Punish Corporations: Comment On Viscusi'

http://scholarship.law.berkelev.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3096&context=facpubs accessed 26 September 2014. 'Risk and the Limits of Governance: Exploring Varied Patterns accessed 29 September 2014.

¹¹⁴ Henry Rothstein, Phil Irving, Terry Walden, and Roger Yearsley, "The Risks of Risk-Based Regulation: Insights from the Environmental Policy Domain' (2006) 32(8) Environment International 1056–1065.; Julia Black, 'The Emergence of Risk-based Regulation and the New Public Risk Management in the United Kingdom' (2005) Public Law 512-548.

¹¹⁵ LETR | Legal Education and Training Review, http://letr.org.uk/the-report/chapter-3/the- changing-regulatory-landscape/index.html> accessed 29 September 2014.

¹¹⁶ Robert Baldwin and Julia Black, 'Really Responsive Regulation' (2008) 71 The Modern Law Review The evidence is mixed regarding the culpabilities of risk-based regulation, as banks in Australia and Canada, whose regulators have well-developed systems of risk-based regulation, fared far better than those in other

A risk-based regulatory framework for mobile payments would ensure that the focus is on risks and not on rules. This focus compels the regulators, in this case the CBK, to focus on identifying the risks that they are seeking to manage and not the rules they have to enforce. In this case the unique mobile payment risks which this thesis identified in Chapter 3 would be of paramount concern to the regulators. A risk-based approach would also require the CBK to explicitly select the frameworks of analysis through which they would be regulating.

A risk-based regulatory approach would involve an assessment of the hazard or adverse event and the likelihood of it occurring. As discussed in Chapter 3, inherent risks arising from the nature of mobile payments would require an adoption of a risk-based approach to regulation. The CBK would have to regulate in a way that is responsive to the regulated firm's behaviours, attitude and culture, which, as Julia Black states, are the optimal institutional environments that are required for a responsive risk-based approach, perhaps through the adoption of a nuanced risk-based regulatory approach. This approach requires that strategies should use effective targeting of enforcement resources on the basis of assessments of the risks that regulated firms are exposed to. ¹¹⁷

In this study I have examined risk as a justification for regulation, not particularly governmental regulation, but by other sectorial regulators, such as the CCK. Risk as examined in Chapter 3 was used to 'determine the boundaries of the state's legitimate intervention in society' by solidifying the CBKs role to manage risk. A risk-based approach ensures that the state's balance of objectives such as financial inclusion and

.

Western countries, suggesting that the causes of regulatory failure were more complex than are accounted for by the existence of a risk-based system of supervision

¹¹⁷ 'Risk-based regulation' has a range of meanings. See Julia Black, Rules and Regulators (Oxford University Press 1997).

Furthermore, a risk-based approach aligns itself with the proportionality principle, which means attaining 'the right balance between risks and benefits by tailoring regulation to mitigate the risk of the product without imposing an undue regulatory burden that could stifle innovation.' ¹¹⁸ A risk-based approach is seen as being critical for countries building inclusive formal financial systems and increasing financial access for the unbanked and under banked. ¹¹⁹ This has also been articulated by the FATF, which stressed the need for the application of its guidance to new payment methods that would focus on the implementation of AML/CFT measures. ¹²⁰ These measures have additionally called for the application of a risk mitigation process which essentially underpins a risk-based approach, through the application of simplified CDD which would thus encourage financial inclusion.

A risk-based approach to mobile payments would ensure that the implementation of AML/CFT measures is effective. ¹²¹ In Kenya the risk-based approach has been institutionally endorsed most emphatically after the advent of mobile payments. ¹²² Regulation is a form of risk management both within and beyond the state and is therefore becoming a benchmark for good governance for organisations. ¹²³ Risk provides an important role in regulation or at least the examination of risk as shown in Chapter 3.

¹¹⁸ FATF, 'Guidance for a Risk-Based Approach: Prepaid Cards, Mobile Payments and Internet-Based Payment Services' (2013) http://www.fatf-gafi.org/media/fatf/documents/recommendations/Guidance-RBA-NPPS.pdf accessed 26 September 2014. Also see G20 Principle 8 on Proportionality: Build a policy and regulatory framework that is proportionate with the risks and benefits involved in such innovative products and services and is based on an understanding of the gaps and barriers in existing regulation.

119 Ibid.

¹²⁰ Ibid .

¹²¹ Central Banking Events, 'AML & CFT: Implementing a Risk Based Framework' (2014) http://events.centralbanking.com/windsor/static/how-to-implement-a-risk-based-framework-for-aml-and-cft accessed 26 September 2014.

¹²² Maria C Stephens, Promoting Responsible Financial Inclusion: a Risk-based Approach to Supporting Mobile Financial Services Expansion' (2011) 27 Banking and Finance Law Review 329.

¹²³ Michael Power, 'Organized Uncertainty: Designing a World of Risk Management' (2008) http://www.frbatlanta.org/documents/news/conferences/11consumer_banking_stephens.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

It provides an object of regulation, it justifies regulation, it also constitutes and frames regulatory organisations and procedures through framing accountability relationships.¹²⁴

It is with this in mind that regulators are now adopting risk-based measures in efforts to maintain their reputations. ¹²⁵

However, risk-based regulation, though appropriate certain circumstances, may not be appropriate in determining the best way to approach the regulation of mobile payments. Therefore what implications do these criticisms have in the regulation of mobile payments in Kenya? Among the limits of risk-based regulation is that it is problem based and regulators should seek to anticipate problems and deal with them. 126 A risk-based approach also requires that regulators identify the risk while trying to achieve its objectives. This shows that regulators would have to focus on the risks they deem more important, leading to many other issues being missed. Another challenge, which is quite pertinent in this context, is the organisational difficulty for risk-based regulators when their regulatory powers are shared with other regulatory authorities, in this case the CBK and the CCK. 127 It should therefore be seen as a control strategy that has to be combined with other control strategies for it to be effective. 128 However, these difficulties are outweighed by the costs of regulatory failure for the mobile payments system.

¹²⁴ Julia Black, 'Role Of Risk In Regulatory Processes' (2010) in Robert Baldwin, Martin Cave, Martin Lodge (eds), *The Oxford Handbook of Regulation* (Oxford University Press 2010) 303.

¹²⁵ Henry Rothstein, Phil Irving, Terry Walden, and Roger Yearsley (2006) (n 125); Julia Black (2005) (n 123).

¹²⁶ Julia Black, The development of risk based regulation in financial services just 'modelling through' page 156. Julia Black and Robert Baldwin, 'When Risk Based Regulation Aims Low: Approaches and Challenges' (2012) Regulation & Governance 2 is 2 vol number?, 137–164.

¹²⁷ Julia Black, 'Decentring Regulation: the Role of Regulation and Self-Regulation in a Post Regulatory World' (2001) 54 Current Legal Problems 103–146.

¹²⁸ Robert Baldwin and Martin Cave, *Understanding Regulation Theory Strategy and Practice* (2nd edn, Oxford University Press 2012) 295.

5.4.2. Self-Regulation and Enforced Regulation

Self-regulation, also referred to as consensus, is a term used to incorporate a broad array of regulatory arrangements that may vary along the 'degree of formality with which those arrangements are established and enforced, the extent to which the self-regulatory body exerts exclusive or monopoly control over the regulated activity and the level at which behaviour is regulated. Self-regulation may be preferred over state regulation since MNOs would be able to use their expertise more widely, particularly in regulation of technical issues. Self-regulatory bodies provide regulation that is flexible and rapidly able to adapt to market conditions, such as technological or economic changes as opposed to state centric regulations. Kenya provides a classic example where state regulation may be an impediment to the development of an appropriate regulatory framework. The CCK's regulations for instance, must be approved by parliamentary committees. Additionally, self-regulation ensures that the costs of state regulation are reduced as the regulatory burden is lowered. Similarly, state regulation, though costly,

11

¹²⁹ Morgan and Yeung (2007) (n 58) 93.

¹³⁰ Jeremy Okanjo, 'Chapter 3: Designing a Telecoms Regulatory Framework for Converged Mobile Financial Services in Kenya' (2014) < http://www.slideshare.net/JeremmyOkonjo/3-chapter-threedesigning-a-telecoms-regulatory-framework-for-converged-mobile-financial-services-in-kenya> accessed 26 September 2014. However, this is not always the result in establishing self-regulation. In the media industry in Kenya, for example, the media has been accused of 'lacking the will, intellectual leadership and capacity to address the diversity of legal, policy and regulatory challenges facing them. Their desultory handling of media laws and regulation is indicative of its lack of commitment to address critical issues facing the sector radically and speedily.' See Peter Oriare, Rosemary Okello-Orlale, Wilson Ugangu, 'The Media study' Want: the Kenya media vulnerabilities (2008)<http://library.fes.de/pdffiles/bueros/kenia/07887.pdf> accessed 26 September 2014.

¹³¹ Such as the Committee on Energy, Communication and Information, and the Committee on Delegated Legislation, Section 34(1) of the Interpretations and General Provisions Act, Cap 2, Laws of Kenya.

The World Bank, 'Doing Business in Kenya 2012' (2012) http://www.doingbusiness.org/~/media/GIAWB/Doing%20Business/Documents/Subnational-Reports/DB12-Sub-Kenya.pdf accessed 26 September 2014. The burden of regulatory compliance for Kenyan companies is particularly heavy, for example, in tax compliance. Globally, Kenya stands at 166 in the ranking of 183 economies on the ease of paying taxes. On average, Kenyan firms make 41 tax payments a year, spend 393 hours a year filing, preparing and paying taxes and pay total taxes amounting to 33.1per cent of profit.

may be reduced to accommodate appeals from the self-regulatory bodies, allowing MNOs to act without interference from the state.¹³³

Consequently, self-regulation provides a conducive environment for MNOs and their operation of mobile payments, especially due to the increase of new business models and technological advanced services.¹³⁴ Julia Black, states that there are sources of confusion in the discussion of self-regulation.¹³⁵ What is meant by 'self', what is meant by 'regulation', and 'what is the nature of the state's involvement?' She argues that the term 'self' is used to mean two different things: self as in individual, and self as in collective.¹³⁶

Thus the term 'self-regulation', is used to describe the disciplining of one's own conduct by oneself, ¹³⁷ regulation tailored to the circumstances of particular firms, ¹³⁸ and regulation by a collective group of the conduct of its members or others. ¹³⁹ The definition of regulation varies from the 'command and control' model of regulation, to regulation by the market, ¹⁴⁰ to voluntary decisions of each individual to control their own behaviour. Finally the term can be used to imply no relationship with the state at all, or to describe a

¹³³ Fran Quigley, 'Growing Political Will from the Grassroots: How Social Movement Principles Can Dismal Legacy of Rule of Law Interventions' http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1748612> accessed 26 September 2014; Connie 'Access Justice and Rule Houghton, to the of http://www.kenyalaw.org/kl/index.php?id=1934> accessed 26 September 2014.

¹³⁴ Currently, there are no telecoms regulations specific to mobile financial services. Aside from the provisions of Section 83C of the Kenya Information and Communications Act, which touch on electronic transactions, the Communications Commission of Kenya does not exercise a direct regulatory role.

¹³⁵ Julia Black, 'Constitutionalising Self-Regulation' (2011) 59 The Modern Law Review 24.

¹³⁶ Simona Rodriquez, 'Self-regulation as a Regulatory Strategy: The Italian Legal Framework' (2007) < http://www.utrechtlawreview.org/index.php/ulr/article/download/URN%3ANBN%3ANL%3AUI%3A10-1-101070/51 accessed 26 September 2014.

¹³⁷ A definition frequently used in financial services regulation by both regulators and regulated.

¹³⁸ Ayers and Braithwaite's 'enforced self-regulation' is an example as is Ogus's 'consensual self-regulation' (op cir n 7). An example in practice is the UK system of health and safety regulation.

¹³⁹ See for example, Alan Page, 'Self-Regulation: The Constitutional Dimension' (1986) 49 Modern Law Review 141.

¹⁴⁰ See, Market Discipline below. An example is Ogus's first model of competitive self-regulation, unconstrained market competition (in which a firm adopts standards of product quality in response to consumer demand and which may incorporate industry wide practices) and some forms of his second model, independent agency-assisted competition, in which an agency accredits or certifies the quality of the product and accreditation is sought by the firm for competitive purposes (e.g. kitemarks). Competition may also develop between accrediting regimes. Ogus, 7.

particular, corporatist arrangement.¹⁴¹ Black argues that the essence of self-regulation is a process of collective government and that the term 'self' is used to describe a collective. 'Self-regulation' designates parties acting together, performing a regulatory function in respect of themselves and others who accept their authority.¹⁴² As such it should be distinguished from what may be termed individualised regulation. The two may co-exist, but they are analytically distinct and raise significantly different public law issues. Individualised regulation is regulation which is tailored to the individual firm. Ayers and Braithwaite's 'enforced self-regulation' is an example of such individualised regulation, as is Ogus's 'consensual' self-regulation. Individualised regulation may exist within a self-regulatory framework, ¹⁴³ or a statutory one. ¹⁴⁴ No particular relationship with the state is implied by the term 'self-regulation'.

This interpretation contrasts with some of the corporatist or neo-corporatist approaches which see self-regulation as simply a species of the genus corporatism. Broadly, we can identify four types of possible relationship: mandated self-regulation, in which a collective group, an industry or profession for example, is required or designated by the government to formulate and enforce norms within a framework defined by the government, usually in broad terms;' sanctioned self-regulation, in which the collective group itself formulates the regulation, which is then subjected to government approval; ¹⁴⁵ coerced self-regulation, in which the industry itself formulates and imposes regulation but in response to threats by the government that if it does not, the government will impose

-

Wolfgang Streeck and Philippe C Schmitter, 'Community, Market, State and Associations? The Prospective Contribution of Interest Governance to Social Order' (1985) 1(2) European Sociological Review 119; Patrick Birkinshaw,, Government by Moonlight: The Hybrid Parts of the Stare (Routledge, 1990) 3; Cosmo Graham, 'Self-Regulation' in Genevra Richardson and Hazel Genn, Administrative Law and Government Action (Oxford University Press, 1995)

¹⁴² Simona Rodriquez (2007)

¹⁴³ Such as the Bank of International Settlements' system for the regulation of risk exposure of international banking firms.

¹⁴⁴ Such as the UKs health and safety laws.

¹⁴⁵ Robert Baldwin and Christopher McCrudden, Regulation and Public Law (Weidenfeld and Nicholson 1987).

statutory regulation;¹⁴⁶ and voluntary self-regulation, where there is no active state involvement, direct or indirect, in promoting or mandating self- regulation.

Further, self-regulation may vary not only in its relationship with the state but in the nature of its participants (which may be solely members of the collective or may be outsiders); its structure (there may be a separate agency or it may be a cartel); its enforcement (it may enforce its own norms or it may rely on individuals to enforce); and its rule type (its rules may be of legislative, contractual or no legal status, be general or specific, vague or precise, simple or complex).¹⁴⁷

Where a regulated activity requires technical expertise and knowledge, self-regulation would be appropriate. This is because the industry would have superior informational capacities, rendering it more efficacious. For instance, the MNOs under the CCK should be able to self-regulate due to their highly specialised industry. This involves the regulated entities developing a system of rules that monitors and enforces against its own members. Enforced self-regulation occurs when it is subject to a form of governmental structuring or oversight supervision. Consequently self-regulation pulls the state's mandate in regulating institutions against the legal structure and interest of private firms.

¹⁴⁶ Ibid

¹⁴⁷ Julia Black, 'Which Arrow? Rule Type and Regulatory Policy' (1995) Public Law 94.

¹⁴⁸ Ibid

¹⁴⁹ John Braithwaite, 'Enforced Self-regulation: A New Strategy for Corporate Crime Control' (1982) 80(7) Michigan law review 1466; Neil Gunningham and Joseph Rees, 'Industry Self-regulation: an Institutional Perspective' (2002) 19 Law & Policy 363; Virginia Haufler, *A Public Role for the Private Sector: Industry Self-regulation in a Global Economy* (Carnegie Endowment 2013).

¹⁵⁰ Ibid John Braithwaite, 'Enforced Self-regulation: A New Strategy for Corporate Crime Control' (1982) 80(7) Michigan law review 1466

5.4.3. Command and Control

Baldwin et al¹⁵¹ assert that the essence of command and control regulation is the exercise of influence by imposing standards backed by criminal sanctions.¹⁵² Therefore in the telecommunications context, the CCK¹⁵³ may bring criminal prosecutions against MNOs who breach telecommunications regulation. The force of law is used here to prohibit certain forms of conduct, to demand some positive actions or to lay down conditions for entry into a sector, for example through licensing.¹⁵⁴ Regulations should be equipped with rule-making powers and standard setting by government departments through either primary or secondary legislation and then enforced by regulatory bureaucracies.¹⁵⁵ Command and control involves licensing to process and screen entry into any activity, to control not only the quality of service or the manner of production, but also the allocation of resources, products or commodities and prices charged to consumers or profits made by enterprises.¹⁵⁶

5.4.4. Incentive-Based Regimes

This regulatory strategy works on the basis that the regulator imposes incentives or penalties to influence the behaviour of the industry players, the MNOs and the agents.¹⁵⁷ Regulation by means of economic incentives might be thought to offer an

¹⁵¹ Baldwin, Cave and Lodge (2011) (n 14) 106.

¹⁵² Regulatory strategies in general use, see Stephen G Breyer, Regulation and its Reform (Harvard University Press 2009) 8; Neil Gunningham, Peter Grabosky and Darran Sinclair, Smart Regulation: Designing Environmental Policy (Oxford University Press 1998). Also see command and control alternatives, Robert Baldwin, Regulation after 'command and control'65; Keith Hawkins, The Human Face of Law: Essays in Honour of Donald Harris (Clarendon Press 1997); Thinking made easy, 'Government as regulator of business' http://ivythesis.typepad.com/term_paper_topics/2009/06/government-as-regulator-of-

business.html > accessed 26 September 2014.

¹⁵³ Communications Commission of Kenya.

¹⁵⁴ The CBK and the CCK both have licensing powers or are rather licensing authorities.

¹⁵⁵ Ibid at 51. Page 106.

¹⁵⁶ Ibid.

¹⁵⁷ Ibid at page 111.

escape from highly restrictive command and control regimes.¹⁵⁸ Baldwin states that according to the incentives approach, the potential mischief causer can be induced to behave in accordance with public interest by the state or a regulator imposing negative or positive taxes or deploying grants and subsidies from the public.¹⁵⁹ The advantages of this have been argued to be the relatively low levels of regulatory discretion required because financial punishments or rewards operate automatically once they have been established.¹⁶⁰ This strategy is also seen to be cheaper to administrate¹⁶¹ and to involve light burdens of information collection and compliance costs which may be appropriate for Kenya as a developing country.

Furthermore, an incentive-based regulatory strategy is an important strategy as it enhances communication, while providing a regulated environment in which they can influence the way regulation is designed to operate in the marketplace. This ensures that the regulator would have to depend on the MNOs to provide it with the knowledge so as best to regulate the activities within its mandate. This process is especially utilised when 'rules' are being negotiated and forums and committees are set up to gather and disseminate best practice and provide mutually acceptable 'rules' to govern such activities.

Whilst such a relationship could raise the concern of regulatory capture¹⁶³ because of the bargaining power wielded by the regulated, the process provides an environment of mutual responsibility which can, in the majority of occasions, outweigh such concerns. The use of an incentive-based approach would, as Grabosky gives

¹⁵⁸ Terence Daintith, 'The Techniques of Government' in Jeffrey Jowell and Dawn Oliver, *The Changing Constitution* (3rd edn, Clarendon Press 1994).

¹⁵⁹ Ibid

¹⁶⁰ Peter N Grabosky, 'Regulation by Reward: On the Use of Incentives as Regulatory Instruments' (1995) 17 Law & Policy 257.

¹⁶¹ William J Baumol, 'On Taxation and the Control of Externalities' (1972) The American Economic Review 307.

¹⁶² K Hawkins and J M Thomas (eds), Enforcing Regulation (1st edn, Springer 1983) 32.

¹⁶³ Jean-Jacques Laffont, and Jean Tirole, 'The Politics of Government Decision-making: A Theory of Regulatory Capture' (1991) Quarterly Journal of Economics1089–1127.

cautious encouragement to the use of incentives as they could reduce the burden of regulation.¹⁶⁴ Incentives generally provide positive inducements to cooperate with the regulator rather than negative penalties, such as disqualification or market disclosure. For example, incentives range from grants and subsidies to favourable administrative considerations.¹⁶⁵ Regulatory holidays are another incentive, whereby an organisation is relieved from inspections because of its 'good track record' in compliance with regulatory requirements.

Incentive-based schemes compel parties to come clean with compliance failures which have been identified by the institution itself. This action maintains the trust relationship between the regulator, the CBK or the CCK and the regulated MNO. It also supports the view that most firms do accept regulation and supervision as a form of financial control where the reputation of the institution could be adversely affected if non-compliance is publicised. Furthermore, it highlights the importance of self-regulation in an environment where even the most compliant institutions can get it wrong. Ultimately disclosing non-compliance provides the regulated with the possibility of a lesser sanction or, in some cases, no punishment at all, because there is no evidence of complacency or dishonesty.

5.4.5. Market Discipline and Direct Action

Market discipline suggests the creation of an incentive structure that encourages the market to take safer and sounder actions. Market discipline is when part of the risk in a particular industry is allocated to the stakeholders in the market who monitor the

¹⁶⁴ Peter N Grabosky (1995) (n 160).

¹⁶⁵ Ibid

¹⁶⁶ Andrew Crockett (2009) (n 21).

activities of the market.¹⁶⁷ For instance, in the banking industry, the stakeholders sanction the banks by withdrawing investments or causing reputational loss when imprudent activities of the bank are detected, thus encouraging banks to manage prudently with sound investment decisions. In the case of mobile payments this would be directed towards either the Commission in its mandates over MNOs or to consumer protection. The regulator can also use their direct resources to achieve desired results by taking direct action.¹⁶⁸ This is seen as plausible as direct action ensures that public money is used in the furtherance of democratically established objectives. This also mimics the deterrence-based approach which is centred on the imposition of sanctions and retribution.¹⁶⁹

The deterrence-based approach is centred on the imposition of sanctions and retribution. In this light deterrence could be construed as a mechanism for ensuring compliance by negative means. This is in contrast to a compliance-based approach which may discourage non-compliance by placing attention on the threat of adverse consequences that can arise from a criminal prosecution or imposition of a punitive civil sanction. The deterrence-based approach is in this respect more reactive, as it assigns regulatory resources to detecting infringements of the law so that penalties can be successfully applied. According to Reiss, a regulatory strategy based on deterrence would consider the imposition of a penalty as a positive sign of success. The choice of sanction is also dependent upon the seriousness of the breach or offence. This suggests that a broad range of both non-punitive and punitive sanctions is needed to address the varying

¹⁶⁷ Ibid.

¹⁶⁸ Baldwin, Robert Baldwin, Martin Cave and Martin Lodge (2011) (n 14) 121.

¹⁶⁹ Dalvinder Singh, 'Enforcement Methods and Sanctions in Banking Regulation and Supervision' (2002) 4(4) International and Comparative Corporate Law Journal 307–343.

¹⁷⁰ Albert J Reiss, 'Consequences of Compliance and Deterrence Models of Law Enforcement for the Exercise of Police Discretion' (1984) Law and Contemporary Problems 83–122.

degrees of culpability that can underpin non-compliance. Ayres and Braithwaite have set out the choice of sanctions in a pyramid with the most serious sanctions at the top. 171

5.4.6. Rights and Liabilities

Instead of imposing certain rules or standards on the industry, legislation could allocate rights to the public to encourage socially desirable behaviour. 172 When this is the case the industry that provides the product would be deterred from providing goods or services which are not based on the allocation of rights.

5.4.7. Procedural Dimension of Regulation

The procedural dimension of regulation permits the regulator to have a certain degree of discretion in line with the informal agreement of the stakeholders. This power would be provided on the basis that the regulator would undertake ad hoc problem solving. Regulation is policy oriented and follows the overall policy framework of the government. Along the procedural dimension, even if the country followed the principle that basic legal rules have to be established by legislation, administrative rules, decrees and informal guidance often prevail.¹⁷³ In this situation, the industries have to adapt their business activities to a more discretionary law.¹⁷⁴ Under the NPSA, the CBK has categorised mobile payments systems. Law cannot simply be transplanted¹⁷⁵ without due

¹⁷¹ Ian Ayres and John Braithwaite, Responsive Regulation (1st edn, Oxford University Press 1992). ¹⁷² Shakeb Afsah, Benoit Laplante, David Wheeler, 'Regulation in the Information Age' (1997)

http://siteresources.worldbank.org/NIPRINT/Resources/RegulationInTheInformationAge.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

¹⁷³ Ibid at note 171

¹⁷⁴ Ibid at note 171.

¹⁷⁵ Legal transplantation has previously been discussed in this chapter in Part II. The term 'legal transplant' was coined by scholar Alan Watson to indicate the moving of a rule or a system of law from one country to another; as maintained by Watson, transplantation is the most fertile source of legal development. Alan Watson, Legal Transplants: An Approach to Comparative Law (2nd edn, University of Georgia Press 1993).

consideration for the relevant economic framework within which it operates. The argument of the evolutionary approach is that it has been driven by new insights, in some cases by a veritable paradigm change or by the importation of outside, foreign rules and principles.

The development of payment systems is an evolving process and there should be very little resistance or debate on whether to regulate mobile payments. The decision to regulate mobile payments, I argue, has been to protect consumers and that this financial service should not be left to freely develop by the market. I also question whether the CBK, in maintaining prudential oversight through the provision of conduct of business requirements, has the expertise, resources and capacity for such a task. With the government's policy for financial inclusion, the CBK is in a strong position to adopt this policy.

5.4.8. Competition Laws

Competition laws offer a direct means through which regulation can be channelled. This ensures that the market provides adequate services to consumers and the public. This ensures that the market provides adequate services to consumers and the public. Such laws can be used to control market behaviour so as to prevent anti-competitive or unfair practices such as predatory pricing by dominant operators. This would be appropriate in consideration of Safaricom, the leading MNO's market dominance in the provision of mobile payments in the telecommunications industry provides an example of competition law being used instead of classical command and control regulation. Kenya's only sectoral regulator in the telecommunications industry is

¹⁷⁶ Richard Whish and David Bailey, *Competition Law* (Oxford University Press 2012) Ch 23.

¹⁷⁷ John Vickers, 'Abuse of Market Power' (2005) 115 The Economic Journal F244.

the CCK, which has specific rules for its operation; however competition laws have not been clarified for the provision of mobile financial services, especially on pricing policies.

At the time of M-Pesa's inception, Kenya's competition law¹⁷⁸ did not contain explicit provisions on consumer protection. Although favoured by most stakeholders, the actual need for comprehensive consumer legislation was still the subject of debate. The debate was whether to combine competition policy and consumer protection into one authority. Obviously, the protection of consumers against deceptive and fraudulent behaviour by sellers has strong links to competition policy; consumers need protection from the exploitive tendencies of large corporations, as large enterprises abuse their dominant powers over consumers by selling at monopoly prices and by imposing unfavourable terms of trade. In fact, there are strong reasons for believing that less mature regional markets are often more vulnerable to anti-competitive practices.

Although this thesis will not delve into issues on interoperability, as the concept is still developing within the industry,¹⁷⁹ the Competition Authority of Kenya¹⁸⁰ would be involved. Interconnection¹⁸¹ allows users of one MNO to communicate with users of another MNO to allow access to services provides by either MNOs.¹⁸² Interoperability is defined as,

¹⁷⁸ The Competition Law Act 2012 was recently enacted.

¹⁷⁹ This may be subject to future research, as it provides a regulatory challenge in the mobile payment context that is worth exploring.

¹⁸⁰ Competition Authority of Kenya was instituted in 2012, Competition Authority of Kenya, 'About Us' http://www.cak.go.ke/ accessed 26 September 2014.

¹⁸¹ The physical and logical linking of telecommunication networks used by the same or different service licensees.

¹⁸² Section 2 of the Kenya Information and Communications (Interconnection and Provision of Fixed Links, Access and Facilities) Regulations, 2010. Where, for example, interconnection of mobile network operator infrastructure allows subscribers of Safaricom to call, text, and receive calls and texts from Airtel network subscribers.

'the ability of communication systems, units or elements to provide services and to accept services from other systems, units or forces, and to use the services exchanged to enable them operate effectively together'. 183

Though interoperability may be a technological issue in this respect, this thesis highlights that it is a regulatory one. Interoperability allows for interconnection of various MNOs and offers great benefits for consumers.¹⁸⁴ This is because various consumers can be reached through this method.¹⁸⁵ Interoperability also enables problems to be captured and prevents inept placement of repetitive or insufficient networks.¹⁸⁶ Due to the benefits of financial inclusion this presents an opportunity for both the CBK and the CCK to work in cohesion in developing a sustainable environment post-financial inclusion as developing a sound interoperability approach would greatly benefit the industry. Furthermore since interconnection promotes competition in the market,¹⁸⁷ it would challenge dominant MNOs.¹⁸⁸ Safaricom's dominance is partly due to the lack of interoperability within the industry. ¹⁸⁹ This section has argued that interoperability poses

¹⁸³ Jeremy Okonjo, 'Convergence of Mobile and Financial Services Implications for Regulation of Mobile Telecoms in Kenya' (2014) http://www.slideshare.net/JeremmyOkonjo/convergence-of-mobile-and-financial-services-implications-for-regulation-of-mobile-telecoms accessed 26 September 2014. Section 2 of the Kenya Information and Communications (Interconnection and Provision of Fixed Links, Access and Facilities) Regulations, 2010. For example, interoperability of Safaricom's and Airtel's mobile financial service platforms would allow subscribers of M-Pesa to send and receive money from ZAP, respectively.

¹⁸⁴Chukwudiebube Bede Opata, 'Looking Towards Europe: Regulation Of Dominance In Nigerian Telecommunications' SSRN Journal.

¹⁸⁵ John Buckley, Telecommunications Regulation (IET 2003).

 ¹⁸⁶ Ivan Mortimer-Schutts, 'The Regulatory Implications of Mobile and Financial Services Convergence'
 (2007) in The Transformational Potential of M-Transactions: moving the debate forward (2007) The Policy
 Paper Series 6

http://www.vodafone.com/content/dam/vodafone/about/public policy/policy papers/public policy/series 6.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

¹⁸⁷ Bede C Opata, 'Transplantation and Evolution of Legal Regulation of Interconnection

Arrangements in the Nigerian Telecommunications Sector' (2011) http://www.researchgate.net/publicliterature.PublicPublicationPromoRequestFulltext.signup.html?publicationUid=256033593&ev=su_pub_req accessed 26 September 2014. The author notes that this might be beneficial to sector incumbents at the onset of liberalisation, as they have all existing subscribers, but prohibitive of new entry.

¹⁸⁸ William H Melody, 'Interconnection: Cornerstone of Competition' in William Melody (ed), *Telecom Reform: Principles, Policies and Regulatory Practices* (Technical University of Denmark 1997) 441–450.

¹⁸⁹ Communications Commission of Kenya, 'Annual report financial year 2010/11' (2012) http://www.ca.go.ke/images//downloads/PUBLICATIONS/ANNUALREPORTS/Annual%20Report%20for%20the%20Financial%20Year%202010-2011.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

both a legal and a regulatory challenge that merits an examination. The CCK has the mandate to regulate interconnection and interoperability of mobile payments. This is because mobile payments fall outside the ambit of banking services which creates uncertainty in its regulation. These transactions could also fall within the jurisdiction of the CCK, as provided under Section 83C of the Kenya Information and Communications Act 2013.¹⁹⁰

5.5. Enforcement of the Appropriate Mobile Payments Regulation

Enforcement is essential once the regulators have established their objectives.¹⁹¹ Those objectives are then advanced through accomplishing the five tasks Julia Black sets out: detecting undesirable or non-compliant behaviour; responding to that behaviour by developing tools and strategies; enforcing those tools and strategies on the ground; assessing their success or failure, through an impact analysis and modifying approaches accordingly ¹⁹² Formulation of a regulatory framework involves three stages: the enactment of enabling legislation; the creation of regulatory administrations and rules and imposing the rules on persons or institutions sought to be influenced and controlled; and enforcement.¹⁹³ Incisive enforcement can resolve design defects in regulatory mechanisms and ill-enforcement can undermine the well-designed regulations.¹⁹⁴ Proper enforcement of legislation to regulate mobile payment systems should require using discretion in applying the provisions to the legislation and being selective in order to solve the practical problems. However, failure to identify and deal with the breaches of the rules and regulations through excessive discretion can reduce the legislation and

-

¹⁹⁰ Section 83C of the Kenya Information and Communications Act gives the Communications Commission of Kenya the mandate to, *inter alia*, develop sound frameworks to minimise the incidence of forged electronic records and fraud in electronic commerce and other electronic transactions.

¹⁹¹ Joanna Gray and Jenny Hamilton, *Implementing Financial Regulation: Theory and Practice* (John Wiley & Sons Ltd 2006) 33

¹⁹² Julia Black and Robert Baldwin (2008) (page 145).

¹⁹³ Ibid.

¹⁹⁴ Ibid.

regulatory framework to a paper exercise. 195 While perfect compliance is neither likely 196 nor practical, bringing the matter of a violation to prosecution¹⁹⁷ involves huge expenses, so imposing fines is the most reasonable approach to violations, nonetheless enforcement must not be so lax as to be useless. Therefore the proper enforcement of any appropriate regulatory approach for mobile payments depends on the successful harmonisation of the regulatory functions and powers of the two regulatory authorities.

5.5.1. Harmonization between the CBK and the CCK

The development of mobile payment and mobile financial services in general, and its rapid acceptance, has led to the creation of various financial products which employ the mobile phone as a means to reach potential customers. 198 However this success as I reiterate should be managed so as to avoid catastrophic results as a consequence of its overreliance. As the previous chapters have examined, the regulatory framework for mobile payments in Kenya is set to become a relative structure based on the notion of state-led economic development and the need to increase economic growth through financial inclusion. While the application of greater market discipline in financial regulation in the future is highly likely, for the time being it seems that the policy of government-led mobile payment development indicates that the CBK as the main regulatory authority will take an active role in both the promotion and regulation of mobile money and payments. In ensuring the legitimacy of such broad regulation, the CBK's functions and objectives should be clarified and agreed among all participants.

¹⁹⁵ Ibid.

¹⁹⁶ Ibid.

¹⁹⁸ As discussed M-Kopo, M-Kesho etc.

Harmonisation between the central bank and other regulatory authorities with interests in mobile payments is not new and has been recognised as vital. ¹⁹⁹ This study intentionally focuses on the harmonisation between the CBK and the CCK as the relationships with other authorities such as the Competition Authority of Kenya for instance would warrant an extensive exploration, which is beyond the scope of this study. Harmonisation would acknowledge the CCK as the telecoms regulatory authority to which the MNOs are accountable. Mobile financial services or the use of mobile-based technology to offer financial services will continue to develop and evolve. ²⁰⁰ This harmonisation would involve the sharing of information based on regular liaison.

The harmonisation of functions would involve the exchange of information and expertise, policy coordination, mutual assistance to facilitate and make forward-looking arrangements for further development.²⁰¹ The areas of coordination would have to be around policies for mobile money. These policies would include the mechanisms on approval and monitoring, to broader issues such as the development of mobile money. At present policies on mobile money have not been assigned by either the CBK or the CCK and as such the CBK has to keep abreast with the policy development of the CCK. Since the CCK licenses the MNOs, policy harmonisation would become all the more vital since the CCK approves the entry of new MNOs. Since Kenya's policy has not explicitly stated whether non-financial institutions can enter the market and develop mobile money schemes, coordination is required to create a level playing field among MNOs that provide mobile payments.

-

¹⁹⁹ Committee on Payments and Settlement Systems, Policy Issues for Central Banks in Retail Payments' (2003) 14-15 http://www.bis.org/cpmi/publ/d52.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

²⁰⁰ Mugambi Mutegi, 'Equity Bank Goes for Special SIM Cards for Its Mobile Money Service' *The Business Daily* (2014) http://www.businessdailyafrica.com/Corporate-News/Equity-to-issue-mobile-money-customers-with-special-SIM-cards/-/539550/2329906/-/f6qtws/-/index.html accessed 26 September 2014.

²⁰¹ Robert M Axelrod, *The Evolution of Cooperation* (1st edn., Basic Books 2006).

Presently, there is a lack of coordination between the CCK and the CBK. However instituting a memorandum of understanding between the two regulatory authorities would clarify the regulatory challenges, such as regulatory overlaps, as a result of this new integrated environment. The development of retail payments systems, particularly mobile payments, is complicated and has consequently highlighted the inefficiencies of the current regulatory framework for adopting new payments systems. These inefficiencies are however not unique to Kenya, as even developed countries are struggling to grapple with the adoption of mobile payments.²⁰²

These challenges have highlighted the fact that the laws of payment systems are complex, confusing and cause uncertainties.²⁰³ This is due to, as reiterated, the hybrid nature of mobile payments systems. Regulators therefore need to consider the fact that mobile payments and mobile financial services are still developing and the laws relating to them cannot be comprehensive and have to be enhanced with time, as was stated in SIPS, that although sound legal underpinnings are very important, absolute legal certainty is seldom achievable.²⁰⁴ However, this should not deter authorities from seeking to establish a sound legal basis for payment systems, but rather a more clear understanding of the laws and their applicability to mobile payments should be established. Therefore harmonisation between the CBK and the CCK should be encouraged.

The developments of retail payments in general is complicated, and even more complicated for mobile payments especially in developing countries such as Kenya where

-

²⁰² The US Regulatory Landscape For Mobile Payments, Summary Report of Meeting between Mobile Payments Industry Workgroup and Federal and State Regulators on April 24, 2012 http://www.frbatlanta.org/documents/rprf/rprf pubs/120730 wp.pdf accessed 29 September 2013.

²⁰³ See Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 'Staff Study 175 – The Future of Retail Electronic Payments Systems: Industry Interviews and Analysis' (2002) http://www.federalreserve.gov/pubs/staffstudies/2000-present/ss175.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

²⁰⁴ Core Principle I of SIPS available at, BIS, 'Core Principles for Systemically Important Payment Systems' (2001) < http://www.bis.org/cpmi/publ/d43.pdf> accessed 26 September 2014.

most consumers have been reliant on cash.²⁰⁵ The complication arises from the fact that MNOs and the users of retail payments have the impression that laws of payment systems are complex, confusing and often cause uncertainties due to their hybrid nature.²⁰⁶

In order to provide clarity to all parties affected by the development of mobile payments, the substance of the legislation, enshrined in the National Payments Systems Act, has to be understood by all parties in the mobile payments ecosystem. All parties have to appreciate that since the framework of mobile payments is still developing, the laws relating to them cannot be comprehensive and have to be enhanced with time. It has been stated in SIPS that although sound legal underpinnings are very important, absolute legal certainty is seldom achievable.²⁰⁷

Central banks and their involvement in retail payments cannot be underestimated.²⁰⁸ Financial stability relies on the efficiency and stability of a country's retail payment systems.²⁰⁹ In recent years, many countries have been more explicit in their efforts to include payment systems as part of their agenda for the overall development of the financial market. Other countries for instance have established specific boards within

Kenya has acknowledged that innovations affect the development of payment systems. The development of payment instruments like mobile payments is considered important for financial inclusion. ²⁰⁶ Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (2002) (n 204).

 $^{^{207}}$ See Paragraph 7.1.3 of the background discussion under Core Principle I of SIPS; BIS (2001) (n 205).

BIS, 'Policy Issues for Central Banks in Retail Payments' (2003) 11 http://www.bis.org/cpmi/publ/d52.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

their regulatory framework to deal with payments.²¹⁰ Canada for example has explicitly enacted specific legislation on payment systems. ²¹¹

Many developed countries have also made efforts to establish cooperation among relevant government authorities, which have interests in payment issues. Formal cooperation between government authorities has been established to ensure the smooth and continuing development of payment systems. Even a priority. The National Payment Systems Act recently enacted has been amended to include objectives of the CBK in defining its responsibility to ensure the efficiency of payment systems. This has been seen as an effort by the CBK to ensure public confidence in the legal foundation built for payment systems.

It is important that the CBK continues to monitor the policy related to mobile payments, as mobile money schemes will continue to develop, and it must ensure that the regulatory framework on mobile payments considers all other mobile money schemes. The enhancement of mobile payments systems has been recognised as important for the

²¹⁰ Australia for instance established a payment systems board specifically to accommodate issues related to payment systems and their development. The Payment Systems Board's responsibilities and powers are wide and cover four separate pieces of legislation. These are the Reserve Bank of Australia Act 1959, the Payment Systems (Regulations) Act 1998, the Payment Systems and Netting Act 1998 and the Cheques Act See Reserve Bank of Australia, 'Payments Policy - Payment Systems http://www.rba.gov.au/payments-system/policy-framework/psb-board.html accessed 26 September 2014. See The Parliament of the Commonwealth of Australia House of Representatives, 'Explanatory Memorandum of the Payment Systems (Regulations) Bill http://www.comlaw.gov.au/GenericErrorPage.aspx?aspxerrorpath=/Details/C2011B00065/b9c1b5a3 <u>-6253-401c-859a-59c67990917f</u>> accessed 26 September 2014.

²¹¹ As early as 1996, Canada had enacted the Payment Clearing and Settlement Act to give the Bank of Canada responsibility for the oversight of payments and other clearing and settlement systems for the purpose of controlling systemic risk. For example, one of the powers of the Bank of Canada under the Act is to designate payment systems that have the potential to create systemic risk. These payment systems, which are designated, will be regulated on a continuing basis. See Bank of Canada, 'Oversight of Payments and Other Clearing and Settlement Systems' http://news.gc.ca/web/article-en.do?nid=601299 accessed 26 September 2014.

²¹² Ibid. For example, in Canada, to avoid and to minimise duplication between the Bank of Canada, which has responsibilities under the Payment and Clearing Settlement Act, and the Minister of Finance, who has functions under the Canadian Payments Act, a statutory body called the Payment Advisory Committee was formed.

whole development of payment systems and the CBK's interest in ensuring the efficiency and development of retail payment instruments is not new.

The CBK's role as the main regulatory authority it has to ensure that cooperation exists among the parties that have interests in mobile payments or mobile money schemes. This is to ensure the continuing development of all mobile money products. The Central Bank's objectives cannot be achieved if there is negligence on the part of the roles and functions of other authorities affecting mobile payment issues.

The harmonisation between the CBK and CCK has to be formalised in order for it to be recognised by all parties concerned. Despite the fact that the CCK regulates the institution and the CBK the function, any new law should provide for clear separate powers, and clarity on the roles and functions has to be established and understood by each regulatory authority. Therefore the execution of the Memorandum of Understanding may promote harmonisation between the two regulators to enhance understanding. To ensure the continuing development of the regulatory framework as mobile payments progress, the roles and functions of the authorities involved have first to be defined. Further cooperation cannot be established if existing responsibilities are not first agreed upon.

Moreover the execution of the MoUs will promote transparency, which assists MNOs and consumers to understand the roles and functions of the authorities involved, which will also lead to a better understanding on the existing regulatory framework of mobile payments in Kenya. This transparency will enhance consumer confidence, which would lead to greater financial inclusion, through having MoUs, which not only define the responsibilities of the authorities, but also the agreement to review and to provide solutions in the event of disputes, which may fulfil the consumer protection objective.

The MoU should be seen as the first step taken by the CBK in ensuring a workable regulatory framework for mobile payments, thus enhancing the acceptance and development of mobile money schemes. This would also ensure and enhance the commitment between the CBK and the CCK in performing their complementary regulatory functions. It is unclear at present whether the proposed, National Payment System Act of 2011, provides the possibility of harmonisation of functions between the CBK and the CCK for mobile payments. Although CBK is the main regulator, the CCK's functions cannot entirely be removed. The current fragmented framework has not been practical.

5.5.2. Justification for Regulatory Harmonisation between the CBK and the CCK

The harmonisation between the CBK and the CCK should be encouraged to attain the policy objectives previously discussed. It is recommended that for retail payments, the common goals that central banks and sectoral regulatory authorities should have are to address the legal and regulatory impediments to market development and innovation, to foster competitive market conditions and behaviours and to support the development of effective standards and infrastructure arrangement. This can be precipitated through different types of cooperation. Firstly through the cooperation between the CBK and the CCK and through the CBK and other non-regulatory authorities such as a Consumer Protection Agency which should be in line with the public interest.

The cost to each party in the mobile payment system is a justification for the coordination of functions, since MNOs have large networks that involve a billing relationship with

-

²¹³ International Remittances: Policy Issues from a Central Bank http://siteresources.worldbank.org/Extpaymentremmittance/Resources/FRBJackWalton.pdf accessed 29 September 2014).

their customers. At the same time traditional banking functions involve cash management risk controls as part of their core business. ²¹⁴

Information sharing is an important aspect of establishing an appropriate approach for regulating mobile payments. This would involve the information sharing between the CBK and the CCK in reporting of any misconduct or market changes. This would enhance knowledge of the mobile payments schemes or any other mobile financial services in operation. Such knowledge would enable effective regulation. Successful monitoring of the schemes would also depend on how comprehensively the regulatory authorities understand the structure of the scheme in operation—specifically, in a situation where there may be joint regulatory functions between the CBK and the CCK, such as in licensing, as effective implementation of regulatory functions under separate legislation, may only be achieved through information sharing.²¹⁵ The information shared should not be limited to specific information for the purpose of regulating and monitoring the mobile payments themselves, but rather should include information on the organisational structure of each authority (both the CBK and the CCK) through an established and enhanced arrangement such as an MoU. This is because institutional structures change over time. Furthermore different types of information are needed based on the legal and regulatory regime and the specific objectives within which the authorities operate. For instance the CCK's objectives are markedly different from those of the CBK.

Arc Bourreau and Marianne Verdier, 'Cooperation for Innovation in Payment Systems: the Case of Mobile Payments' (2010) Communications & Stratagies http://www.thefreelibrary.com/Cooperation+for+innovation+in+payment+systems%3a+the+case+of+mobile...-a0239090194 accessed 26 September 2014.

²¹⁵ See BIS, 'Framework for Supervisory Information Sharing Paper' (1999) http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs47c5.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

Information sharing is important between CBK and CCK to enhance knowledge of the electronic money schemes in operation. Such knowledge would enable effective regulation and supervision of mobile payments. Successful monitoring of the schemes would also depend on how comprehensively the regulatory authorities understand the structure of the scheme in operation. Specifically, in a situation where there may be joint regulatory functions between the CBK and the CCK, effective implementation of regulatory functions under separate legislation may only be achieved through information sharing. Information shared among the authorities involved may not be limited to specific information for the purpose of regulating and monitoring retail payment products, but also to information on the organisational structure of each authority. The procedure of information sharing among the authorities involved has to be established. Information sharing arrangements should be in compliance with the legal foundation. ²¹⁶ Continuous enhancement of the arrangement is important because the structure of any of the authorities could be altered over time. There are several types of information needed in order to secure an effective regulatory framework for mobile payments, of whose objectives within which the authorities operate. Currently the MNOs have the widest range of information on mobile money, which the CBK does not, and effective regulation has been hampered by a lack of information sharing.

Therefore the CBK needs to ensure that it has the widest range of information on mobile payments. This is because the CBK as the main financial regulator would appreciate that all those involved in the sharing of information may have valuable insights or information. This information would need to be shared with MNOs and the CCK whose main mandate is the operation of MNOs. The regulation of mobile payments in a multi-sector or often fragmented regulatory environment calls for a new overarching

²¹⁶ Ibid.

and all-encompassing regulatory framework. Coordination should thus be institutionalised where information is shared between the regulators.

5.6. Conclusion

In conclusion, the successful implementation of an appropriate regulatory framework needs legislation that carefully balances innovation, and financial stability, the protection of consumers and the integrity of the financial system. Renya is lauded as the bellwether of the development of mobile payments and its eventual success provides an opportunity to serve as an adequate template for other developing countries attempting to adopt inclusive financial services. Mobile payments have brought into focus the re-examination of the importance of retail payments and their core role in each country's overall financial stability. Since mobile payments regulation has developed differently in different jurisdictions, as a result of country specific factors, the regulatory approaches may not effectively be replicated in other countries. Furthermore, each country adopting mobile payments or any mobile financial services, may not yield the same success that Kenya has had, since all countries are at a different stage of development.

Furthermore, as has been demonstrated, national regulations may not always fit a global marketplace²²⁰ as legal transplantation in legal drafting that is common place in developing countries would not be fit for purpose. However, the harmonisation of

²¹⁷ Ibid.

²¹⁸ BIS (2003) (n 202) 11.

²¹⁹ See 'Chapter 2: On An Elaborate Discussion On The Enabling Environment Of Mobile Payments In Kenya'; Ignacio Mas and Dan Radcliffe, 'Mobile Payments go Viral: M-PESA in Kenya' (2010) http://siteresources.worldbank.org/AFRICAEXT/Resources/258643-1271798012256/M-

<u>PESA Kenya.pdf</u>> accessed 26 September 2014; these factors include, existing regulations and constitutional frameworks, market competition and segmentation, political climate and stability, and international obligations.

²²⁰ Each country has a different regulatory culture and different countries may have different developmental goals, and may not necessarily reflect the need for regulation or similar regulation.

standards²²¹ for mobile payments, which are now globally being accepted, would encourage cross border remittances to be safe and secure.²²² Simply importing another country's regulatory system without modifying for the target country's regulatory culture or environment as well as infrastructure banking and legal structures, business practices, culture and needs could lead to a suboptimal system.²²³

Central Banks play an important role in the development of retail payment systems, especially in developing countries where their regulatory capacities may not always accommodate innovative services that post-date their current regulatory frameworks. ²²⁴ In recent years, many countries have been more explicit in their effort to include payment systems as part of their agendas. For the overall development of the financial market in Kenya, the involvement of mobile payments and its significance to the overall stability of the financial system has not been examined in the depth that it should be, partly because of its nascent nature and the regulatory environment that mobile payments have developed. Other developed countries have made efforts to establish coordination among their regulatory authorities that oversee payment system issues where cooperation has been established to ensure the smooth and continuing development of payment systems, especially where non-banks are involved.

Kenya should therefore follow suit in making mobile payments a priority. The NPSA should be amended to include the objectives of the CBK in defining its responsibility in ensuring the efficiency of mobile payment systems. Through the establishment of the

²²¹ IAIS, 'Core Principles for Effective Banking Supervision' (2011) http://www.iaisweb.org/index.cfm?pageID=39 accessed 26 September 2014.

²²² Which is also a phrase from the Better Regulation Task Force UK 2005.

²²³ Robert H Keppler, 'Transforming Payment Systems: The Building Blocks and the World Bank's Role' (1999) World Bank/Federal Reserve of New York (FRBNY) Seminar 13–16 April 1999; Fernando Montes-Negret and Robert Keppler, 'Project Design for Payment Systems' (1995) FPD Note No. 37 http://info.worldbank.org/etools/docs/library/155862/paymentsystems2003/pdf/Montes Keppler.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

http://www.wantinews.com/news-8162076-Central-Bank:-the-overall-stability-of-the-financial-system-has-accumulated-some-industries-risk.htm accessed 29 September 2014.

²²⁵ Countries such as Tanzania, Uganda Malawi and South American countries.

NPSA, the CBK has made efforts to ensure public confidence in the legal foundation built for payment systems despite its deficiencies. Therefore it is necessary that the CBK should continue to monitor the policy related to mobile payments. The CBK is best placed in its role as the main regulatory authority to ensure that cooperation exists between the mobile payment stakeholders, the MNO, consumers and agents. This is so that the continuing development of the product is ensured and the CBKs objectives are achieved. This is specifically important as the current regulatory framework for mobile payment in Kenya unintentionally already provides, a possible cross regulatory function between two separate authorities under different laws. It is the formalisation of this cooperation between the CBK and the CCK that should be fostered in order to establish an appropriate regulatory framework for all the stakeholders concerned. The fragmentation of the regulatory mandates and laws as discussed in Chapter 4 has proven challenging as the separate powers do not offer clarity in their roles and functions under both the CBK and the CCK.

Therefore the placement of the MoU may promote a better understanding of these two separate regulatory authorities to foster better understanding of their mandates. This would further continuing development of the regulatory framework of mobile payments and enhance the roles and functions that would offer clarification to consumers and reduce information asymmetries and increase public confidence in the mobile payment system and foster better adoption and increase financial inclusion. A MoU would establish a clear and well defined regulatory framework for mobile payments. In order to augment the commitment between the CBK and CCK in performing their cross regulatory functions, the cooperation between the regulatory authorities may be established through legislation to replace the MoU. The CCK would have to consider the possibility of excluding its regulatory powers on mobile payments under the NPSA based on the CBK's current extensive regulatory provisions. Even though the KPCA provides

wide powers to CCK, a fragmented regulatory framework may not be practical, especially for mobile payments which are still developing. For electronic money especially, which is still developing, a clear regulatory framework based on a single regulatory authority may be desirable.

Whether a risk-based approach is used, it is true that no established regulatory approach is foolproof or encompasses the most effective form. It is further true that each accommodates certain aspects of the regulated entity, risk-based for risk, and principle-based for the standards setting that would guide how institutions act. It is extremely early to conclude whether the CBK has successfully implemented its regulatory oversight through the National Payment System Act 2011 which integrates the regulation of mobile payments.

In the long run, the most effective regulatory framework that will promote mobile payments should be guided by risk management, and safeguarding of funds, and one that balances the needs to enhance stability and promote innovation. It should also incorporate policy objectives which should focus on consumer protection and the clear definition of stored value in order to lay an enabling regulatory framework for other innovative peer to peer payments systems such as Bitcoin which is slowly becoming prevalent in Kenya. Regulation of mobile payments should be participatory and should be effective. 227

Two theories of regulation of industry are widely held: positive theories of regulation and normative theories of regulation.²²⁸ Positive theories of regulation examine

-

²²⁶ BitPesa, 'Send Money to Kenya Cheaper and Faster with BitPesa, it's Easy' (2014) < https://www.bitpesa.co/ accessed 26 September 2014.

²²⁷ Zorayda RB Andam and Christian GP Castillo, 'Regulating Communications in a Converging Environment: Technology, Markets and Dilemmas (2004) 79 Philippine Law Journal 392.

²²⁸ Robert W Hahn, 'Theories of Regulation and Deregulation: A Critical Appraisal' (2006) accessed 26 September 2014; Public Utility Research Center, 'Theories of Regulation' (2011) accessed 26 September 2014; Joseph E Stiglitz, 'Government Failure vs Market Failure: Principles of Regulation' (2009) 2http://academiccommons.columbia.edu/download/fedora_content/download/ac:126998/CONTEN

why regulation occurs,²²⁹ while normative theories of regulation are based on a theory of market failure.²³⁰ Famed economist Stiglitz notes that regulation begins with a simple question: Why is regulation needed and followed, and why do markets by themselves not suffice? and then, if there is to be government intervention, why does it take the form of regulations?²³¹ Some would see the need for regulation²³² as a response to market failure, others as the need to provide the groundwork for growth and consistency.

M-Pesa's success as reiterated in the previous chapters has shown that there needs to be an appropriate regulatory framework for mobile payments. In Kenya, mobile payments have been very successful, as discussed through the introduction of M-Pesa.²³³ There is no doubt that there needs to be an appropriate regulatory framework for mobile payments based on the risks discussed in Chapter 3 and the challenges examined in Chapter 4. However, Kenya has chosen to develop its regulatory framework solely entrusting the CBK with this task in regulating mobile payments. Since mobile payments are relatively new to the payments system, the challenge however is in its enforcement and implementation. It is hence important to consider a number of regulatory theories to evaluate the normative basis of Kenya's approach in the regulation of mobile payments.

The Act is not fully operational and moreover, it remains to be seen whether the CBK has the committed intent to regulate, since the lacuna in the current regulatory

<u>T/JES.Govt.Failure.Mkt.Failure.pdf</u>> accessed 26 September 2014; Johan den Hertog, 'General Theories of Regulation' (1999) http://goo.gl/8QjYD> accessed 26 September 2014.

Theories of Regulation http://regulationbodyofknowledge.org/general-concepts/theories-of-regulation/ accessed 29 September 2014. Public Utility Research Center (2011) 9; Johan den Hertog (1999) 9.

²³⁰ Ibid.

²³¹ Joseph E Stiglitz, 'Government Failure vs Market Failure: Principles of Regulation' (2009) 2<http://academiccommons.columbia.edu/download/fedora_content/download/ac:126998/CONTENT/JES.Govt.Failure.Mkt.Failure.pdf accessed 26 September 2014

²³² Regulation can be taken to mean the employment of legal instruments for the implementation of social-economic policy objectives. See Johan den Hertog (1999) 9.

²³³ See Chapter 2, Section 2.5, Page 59. Joy Malala, "Consumer Protection For Mobile Payments In Kenya: An Examination Of The Fragmented Legislation And The Complexities It Presents For Mobile Payments' (2014) Kenya Bankers Association, Centre For Research On Financial Markets And Policy Working Paper Series No 7 http://www.kba.co.ke/workingpaperseries/img/pdf/Working%20Paper%20WPS-07-13.pdf accessed 3 September 2014.

framework has been the main catalyst for its proliferation.²³⁴ It is also premature to consider whether upon the implementation of the Act by CBK, the industry would change accordingly. It is necessary therefore to consider the rationales and implications that the regulatory policies may have in view of the theories and themes around regulation of mobile payments and the expected outcomes and consequently the appropriate regulatory framework which this chapter discusses.

-

²³⁴ See Chapter 2, Section 2.3, the Success of Mobile Payments, Page 38.

CHAPTER 6

CONCLUSION

6.1. Introduction

The motivation for the exploration of this study began in 2008, just at the advent of mobile money technology and the beginning of what has revolutionised not just the payment industry in Kenya, but the shift in the economic and financial development. This success developed with much admiration and applause, rightfully so, as the benefits that financially inclusive technology has and will continue to have has been heralded, not just in Kenya but in many developing countries. The reach and possibilities that mobile payments and other mobile financial services have to offer are anticipated with great promise and hope. The ability for mobile payments to develop in spite of a regulatory framework demonstrates the success of and the need for non-bank participation in the primary provision of payment services.

This is because mobile payments have managed to bring Kenyans, despite the lack of institutional, regulatory capacities and advanced infrastructures, into international focus as the model example for financial inclusion that circumvents the global digital divide. Nevertheless, the more mobile money developed and began to entrench itself in the Kenyan society, a shift emerged, where regulators, pundits, critics alike began to examine the costs against the benefits of mobile payments. This debate continues, and has not been the scope of this study. What was evident however, was the lack of focus on the post-financial inclusion issues which comprised the unique risks introduced by mobile payments—the regulatory challenges in dealing with financially inclusive services and the design of an appropriate regulatory framework that safeguards the payment systems and the financial system as a whole. What this study contributes is a foundational start to these themes: financial inclusion through innovative financial services and the

emergent regulatory issues post-financial inclusion, the approach that the regulators took in balancing its objectives while at the same time allowing a market-led environment for mobile financial services.

6.2. Chapter Summaries

Chapter 2 explored the research question on why an examination of Kenya's mobile payments system in particular was important. It contextualised mobile payments in Kenya by offering a historical context for the introduction of M-Pesa into Kenya's National Payments System. It showed the success of M-Pesa as a key driver for lifting people out of poverty through its inclusive capacity, it also assessed the important placement in Kenya's retail payments market. It also showed that the Central Bank of Kenya had recognised the potential economic benefit of financial inclusion and, although it had initially not included it in its development agendas, had by default, created an enabling environment for its advancement through not having a regulatory framework to begin with for payments systems.

This chapter also showed that an enabling environment is essential in scaling up financially inclusive financial products, especially in a developing country. These enabling factors were exclusively typical to Kenya and contributed to its success. It also highlighted the fact that while mobile payments initially started as a seed product, its success was in part due to the specific country profile discussed. Through this, emergent regulatory challenges post-financial inclusion arise such as ensuring the maintenance of financial stability from the introduction of new participants into the formal financial sphere. The immeasurable benefits of financial inclusion through mobile payments were explored, such as the efficacy of transactions, savings and investments through the various financial service products developed.

Chapter 3 addressed the research question 'what are the risks created by mobile payments to the financial system in Kenya and what are the specific risks to consumers as a result of a lack of an adequate regulatory framework?' This assessment was made in the context of financial inclusion, which despite its benefits, has major costs to any financial system. The newly financially included, the new risks created and the institutions that operate in these newly expanded markets as a result of mobile financial services were highlighted through a multi-layered analysis of the risks at each level of the mobile payment system. These risks, which were not initially assessed by regulators, show that, just as in the recent Global Financial Crisis, policy-makers endorsed marketing to subprime borrowers as a means of financial inclusion, regulators cannot overlook the risks introduced through financial inclusion.

The extensive growth¹ of mobile payments in Kenya makes this imperative; with the unintended² benefit of increasing the public's involvement³ in the formal financial system, by including savings accounts in the banks and consequently extending systemic risk exposure,⁴ has converted widely distributed consumer risk into a concentrated systemic risk.⁵ These unique risks arise as a result of the unique design and placement of mobile payments where the involvement of non-bank institutions without the clarification necessary in the legal framework further spreads the risks. These uncertainties are sure to lead to incorrect perceptions of exposures to potential losses as a result of insufficient information or asymmetric information of the financially included.

¹ Chapter 2 described the proliferation and success of M-PESA in Kenya.

² As in Chapter 2, 'unintended' here reflects the fact that mobile payments through M-PESA were introduced with a micro-financial aim and the shift from its originally intended use to a major payment system has become financially inclusive.

³ This public involvement refers to the large population of the unbanked as described in Chapter 2, into the financial realm.

⁴ The 'intermediaries' here are the commercial banks and financial institutions: banks, building societies credit unions financial advisers or brokers insurance companies' collective investment schemes, pension funds.

⁵ Mobile Financial Services Risk Matrix, http://mobile-financial.com/blog/mobile-financial-services-risk-matrix accessed 26 September 2014.

The most vulnerable stakeholders are exposed to risks they may not recognise, either through perceived risks or risks to retail payments. Risks that affect consumers are not readily addressed even in the form of guidelines that spell out the nature and types of risks involved.⁶ It also established that as mobile financial services continue to develop and begin to offer services that offer credit such as M-Kopo, this over-extension of credit has the potential to affect the quality of the credit portfolio of banks and financial institutions and could have instigated financial fragility and consequently instability. This position may be further aggravated by regulatory or governmental forbearance which has the potential to impair the credit culture amongst the newly included. The weak enforcement of prudential regulations by the regulators may be as a result of political pressure on the regulatory authorities. 8 This offered a justification for special regulatory oversight for mobile payments as Kenya continues to become a cashless society that relies on mobile payments, which have become the centre of the settlement process. It was also established that the stability of a financial system relies on the soundness of institutions, the stability of markets, the absence of turbulence, minimal volatility, and pointed out the difficulty of achieving these through individual private actions and unfettered market forces alone. In addition to the public sector's role in fostering financial stability as opposed to private collective action, making way for the private sector to achieve an optimum result on its own to take a proactive role is necessary to achieve the full private and social benefits of finance.

⁶ Maria C Stephens, 'Promoting Responsible Financial Inclusion: A Risk-Based Approach To Supporting Mobile Financial Services Expansion' (2012) 27 Banking and Finance Law Review.

⁷ Ibid.

⁸ Patrick Honohan, *Banking System Failures in Developing and Transition Countries* (1st edn, Bank for International Settlements 1997), where Honohan describes forbearance as the Achilles heel of any regulatory system where regulatory forbearance may be attributed to regulatory capture or result from regulators' fear that disclosure of distress in banks may have adverse effects on their reputations.

⁹ Garry J Schinasi, 'Defining Financial Stability And Establishing A Framework To Safeguard It' (2011) 15 Central Banking, Analysis, and Economic Policies Book Series. International Monetary Fund http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2004/wp04187.pdf accessed 22 September 2014).

To create the required regulatory framework requires a discussion of the preconditions of a safe and sound payment system first, as such an assessment is paramount. This should occur either through the examination of macroprudential or microprudential requirements. Regulators need to have complementary policy objectives that strike a balance between strict KYC controls and lax regulation. Given the lack of compromise between financial inclusion and financial integrity, payment systems such as mobile payments have a significant role in enhancing systemic risk, as they have become a significant component of the national payment system of Kenya, and therefore have the potential to generate and transmit systemic disturbances to the financial sector.¹⁰

Chapter 4 also highlights the inadequacies of legal drafting in Kenya which served as the main catalyst for the proliferation of mobile payments. It also highlighted the reluctance by the regulators to correct the gaps in the regulation due to a lack of industry understanding and weak application of the existing regulation. As there exists multiple regulatory oversight, it also established that there should be dialogue and coordination between the various industry regulators and parties to ensure that the providers receive the necessary support from government and also to evaluate possible repercussions of new products to the integrity of the financial system. It also suggested that the telecom regulatory framework is inadequate to address mobile financial services. While the CCK has surrendered the regulatory mandate of the converged services to the CBK, the NPSA does not provide adequate provisions for mobile payments. Under the current law, the CBK will have to coordinate with the CCK to ensure that the policies on mobile payments are consistent whilst it implements a more fitting regulatory framework. This, as was stated, would strengthen the regulatory capacity for reforms within Kenya to act and

Ryan Hahn, 'Payment Systems and Systemic Risk' (2008) The World Bank http://blogs.worldbank.org/psd/payment-systems-and-systemic-risk accessed 3 September 2014.

¹¹ Since the CCK regulates the institutions that provide mobile payments, MNOs, and the financial institutions regulated by the CBK.

respond to changes in the market, since how to regulate and establish and appropriate regulatory framework remains the most important challenge.

Chapter 5 addressed the final research question, 'what reforms should be implemented in the current regulatory framework to adequately oversee mobile payments?' After the exploration of the regulatory challenges, this chapter discussed the themes around the regulatory approach to mobile payments, through proposing the need for reforms within the current framework. It establishes that the need for more regulation in no way diminishes the success of M-Pesa in Kenya, but rather, regulation should not always be seen as a stifling factor or a cost to the resources, since better regulation as was stressed by the CBK governor would be more appropriate. Regulation that takes into consideration the principles of 'good' regulation should provide an adequate framework for mobile payments. As Kenya demonstrated, the rapidity of and growth even in the regulatory vacuum left by the lack of a national payments law at the time of its inception shows the need for non-bank participation in the primary provision of payment services. Kenya's mobile payment system provided a rapid enabling of financial inclusion as well as immediate and manifest downstream benefits, with more than 40,000 agent outlets, enhancement of competition in financial services, and a web of interconnected business users whose difficulty getting paid in the past M-Pesa has remedied. The CBK will face continuing challenges, not only in developing the regulatory framework for mobile payments, but also in enforcing and implementing the provisions under the NPSA.

Policy-makers and scholars continue to debate how regulation should look. Other developing countries have taken a variety of approaches in their regulation, but Kenya has the opportunity to serve as a benchmark for such regulation despite the fact that it has been unclear in its approach. While mature and developed markets, allow regulators

to react to information promptly and to accept market participants' strong role in regulatory matters, mobile payments present a special circumstance, particularly in Kenya, where the system has developed faster and more expansively than any other deployment in Africa, ¹² and here all other payment systems are immature. Market discipline requires a mature and liberal market, which combats information asymmetry, a great obstacle to market discipline in less mature markets. Therefore the CBK has to ensure that the regulatory framework for mobile payments is flexible, transparent, stable, and promotes competition. Although the CBK has the challenge of establishing its roles as the main regulatory authority for mobile payments, as a developing country, Kenya often needs to fulfil other developmental tasks and fulfil other objectives such as increased economic growth, fulfilling its Millennium Development Goals, and financial inclusion. It is important that regulation avoids pitting these objectives against each other to prioritise the interests of the public. Thus far, Kenya's only legal contribution to the body of regulation of mobile payments and mobile financial services in general is a seemingly visible lacuna in the definition of a deposit. The way in which the mobile payment industry has exploited this loophole indicates inter alia just how critical contextualised legal drafting is, in relation to these emerging financial services. This exploitation had demonstrable public policy benefits, but in another time or context could have caused adverse effects on the Kenyan economy or on the sub-region in the event of systemic failure of the banks or the MNO.

Chapter 5 further examined the theories around the appropriate regulatory approach for mobile payments. Mobile payments have brought into focus the re-examination of the importance of retail payments and their core role in each country's overall financial stability.¹³ This highlighted the importance of the central banks in the

¹² Efforts to scale up mobile payments in other countries such as Tanzania have not enjoyed similar success.

¹³ See 'Policy Issues for Central Banks in Retail Payments' 2003 (n 17) 11.

development of retail payment systems, especially in developing countries¹⁴ where their regulatory capacities may not always accommodate innovative services that post-date their current regulatory frameworks. In recent years, many countries have been more explicit in their effort to include payment systems as part of their agendas. For the overall development of the financial market in Kenya the involvement of mobile payments and its significance to the overall stability of the financial system has not been examined in the depth that it should be, partly because of its nascent nature and the regulatory environment that mobile payments have developed. Other developed countries¹⁵ have made efforts to establish coordination among their regulatory authorities that oversee payment system issues, where the cooperation has been established to ensure the smooth and continuing development of payment systems especially, where non-banks are involved. Kenya should therefore follow suit in making mobile payments a priority.

Other countries can learn valuable lessons from the Kenyan experience; however each country must devise its own payment system. Simply importing another country's system without adjusting for the target country's geography, infrastructure, banking and legal structures, business practices, culture and needs could lead to a suboptimal system. ¹⁶ It also established that while no regulatory approach offers full proof guarantees, there should be adequate safeguards against risks and each should be carefully assessed. In the long run, the most effective regulatory framework to promote mobile payments should be guided by risk management, and safeguarding of funds, and one that balances the needs to enhance stability and promote innovation. It should also incorporate policy objectives which should focus on consumer protection and the clear definition of stored

¹⁴ http://www.wantinews.com/news-8162076-Central-Bank:-the-overall-stability-of-the-financial-system-has-accumulated-some-industries-risk.htm accessed 20th September 2014.

¹⁵ Countries such as Tanzania, Uganda Malawi and South American countries.

¹⁶ RH Keppler, 1999, 'Transforming Payment Systems: The Building Blocks and the World Bank's Role, World Bank/Federal Reserve of New York' (FRBNY). Seminar held at FRBNY 13-16 April 1999; F Montes-Negret and R Keppler, 'Project Design for Payment Systems', March 1995, Public Project for the Private Sector, FPD Note No 37, The World Bank.

value in order to lay an enabling regulatory framework for other innovative peer to peer payments systems, such as Bitcoin which is slowly becoming prevalent in Kenya.¹⁷

6.3. Future Research

As a possible foundational study in this field in Kenya, this work has touched partially on the law and financial inclusion, the financial regulation agenda, and the theoretical underpinnings to regulation in converged environments. There still remains other aspects that warrant an exploration which were beyond the scope of this study, for example, interoperability and the implementation framework of the National Payment System Act 2011. A possible limitation of this thesis is that it is primarily a study of a single economy. The choice was consciously made with these facts in mind, motivated by the acknowledged need for financial sector deepening in Kenya, and in light of the fact that mobile financial services in Africa are still developing. This raises the valid argument that its findings may not necessarily be relevant to other jurisdictions. Furthermore, Kenya has appeared to be the standard and bellwether of mobile payments' success and therefore any comparisons to other jurisdictions would not have yielded much results. Considering these limitations, this thesis does not argue that it encompasses all the relevant issues involved in mobile payments. The scarcity of research and literature on either the substantive content or the examination of the regulatory issues underlines the originality of this study.

¹⁷ https://www.bitpesa.co/ > accessed 29th September 2014.

6.3.1. Interoperability

Interoperability, which this thesis did not delve into, as it may be subject to future research, provides a regulatory challenge in the mobile payment context. The Competition Authority of Kenya¹⁸ would be involved. Interconnection¹⁹ allows users of one MNO to communicate with users of another MNO to allow access to services provides by either MNOs.²⁰

Interoperability, on the other hand, allows 'the ability of communication systems, units or elements to provide services and to accept services from other systems, units or forces, and to use the services exchanged to enable them operate effectively together'. Though interoperability may be a technological issue in this respect, this thesis argues is it a regulatory one. This is because interoperability provides a consumer benefit²² by offering a better competitive network. Interoperability also allows externalities to be highlighted and the filtering of ineffective networks. This precipitates further examination as to who would have to oversee this and how would legislation on

¹⁸ Competition Authority of Kenya was instituted in 2012, Competition Authority of Kenya, 'About Us' http://www.cak.go.ke/ accessed 26 September 2014.

¹⁹ The physical and logical linking of telecommunication networks used by the same or different service licensees.

²⁰ Section 2 of the Kenya Information and Communications (Interconnection and Provision of Fixed Links, Access and Facilities) Regulations, 2010. For example, interconnection of mobile network operator infrastructure allows subscribers of Safaricom to call, text, and receive calls and texts from Airtel network subscribers.

²¹ Jeremy Okonjo, 'Convergence of Mobile and Financial Services Implications for Regulation of Mobile Telecoms in Kenya' (2014) http://www.slideshare.net/JeremmyOkonjo/convergence-of-mobile-and-financial-services-implications-for-regulation-of-mobile-telecoms accessed 26 September 2014. Section 2 of the Kenya Information and Communications (Interconnection and Provision of Fixed Links, Access and Facilities) Regulations 2010. For example, interoperability of Safaricom's and Airtel's mobile financial service platforms would allow subscribers of M-Pesa to send and receive money from ZAP, respectively.

²² John Buckley, Telecommunications Regulation (IET 2003).

²³ Chukwudiebube Bede Opata, 'Looking Towards Europe: Regulation Of Dominance In Nigerian Telecommunications' SSRN Journal.

 ²⁴ Ivan Mortimer-Schutts, 'The Regulatory Implications of Mobile and Financial Services Convergence' (2007) in The Transformational Potential of M-Transactions: moving the debate forward (2007) The Policy Paper
 Series
 6

http://www.vodafone.com/content/dam/vodafone/about/public_policy/policy_papers/public_policy policy/policy_papers/public_policy_policy_papers/public_policy_policy_papers/public_policy_policy_papers/public_policy_policy_papers/public_policy_policy_papers/public_policy_policy_papers/public_policy_policy_papers/public_policy_policy_papers/public_policy_policy_papers/public_policy_policy_papers/public_papers/public_papers

interconnection look.²⁵ Interconnection promotes competition in the market.²⁶ In market structures where there is one dominant MNO new subscribers tend to prefer that significant market player (SMP) over other lesser players. Safaricom, which boasts a 65 per cent market share, has benefitted from this new subscriber pattern.²⁷ This is because subscribers derive the benefit of connecting to a wider number of people at once.²⁸ This is called the 'network effect', which subsequently breeds market monopolies.²⁹ Hence competition is encouraged when a new entrant's customers can receive traffic from or originate traffic to any other user within a marketplace. Competition is also encouraged when a new entrant can supply network services to a wider population of customers than the limited number who are directly connected as its own customers.³⁰

6.3.2. Implementation of the National Payment Systems Act

It is extremely early to conclude whether CBK has successfully implemented its regulatory oversight through the National Payment System Act 2011 which integrates the regulation of mobile payments. Implementation also provides an aspect on which future research would be based. In the future, Kenya may undertake a policy and regulatory legal discourse about implementing mobile payments, introducing mobile payments alongside

September 2014.

294

²⁵ Joshua Goldstein, 'Embracing "Open Access" in East Africa: A Common Internet Infrastructure Policy Agenda for Human Security and Economic Development' (2008) Journal of Public and International Affairs Vol 19 139–150. The author noted that 'governments and incumbent telecom companies in East Africa are unmotivated to change this status quo because they currently form a cartel that profits from the rent-seeking activities of limited competition and closed access'. See also, Mark Okuttah, 'Telcos lock horns over sharing of infrastructure' *Business Daily* (22 May 2013) http://www.businessdailyafrica.com/Telcoslock-horns-over-sharing-of-infrastructure/-/1248928/1859780/-/2qxqnt/-/index.html accessed 26

²⁶ William H Melody, 'Interconnection: Cornerstone of Competition' in William Melody (ed), *Telecom Reform: Principles, Policies and Regulatory Practices* (Technical University of Denmark 1997) 441–450.

²⁷ Communications Commission of Kenya, 'Annual report financial year 2010/11' (2012) http://www.ca.go.ke/images//downloads/PUBLICATIONS/ANNUALREPORTS/Annual%20Report%20for%20the%20Financial%20Year%202010-2011.pdf accessed 26 September 2014.

²⁸ Bede C Opata, 'Transplantation and Evolution of Legal Regulation of Interconnection

Arrangements in the Nigerian Telecommunications Sector' (2011) < http://www.researchgate.net/publicliterature.PublicPublicationPromoRequestFulltext.signup.html?publicationUid=256033593&ev=su-pub-req accessed 26 September 2014. The author notes that this might be beneficial to sector incumbents at the onset of liberalisation, as they have all existing subscribers, but prohibitive of new entry.

²⁹ Ivan Mortimer-Schutts (2007)

³⁰ Ibid.

the establishment of safety and soundness provisions to safeguard user funds, and thereby make a larger contribution to the international body of approaches to mobile payments.³¹ Regular reporting³² by MNOs on their financial health to the CBK and placement of funds in trust accounts at commercial banks demonstrates that adequate planning, a progressive regulator, alongside technical innovation ensures that there is no systemic impact on the financial system or harm to consumers.

Due to the cutting edge nature of this study, which seemed to race against the country developments in its analysis, this would have to be done in assessing how the CBK would proscribe, enforce and implement the National Payments Systems Act 2011. Payments systems innovation has greatly influenced the country's development ethic and as stated in Chapter 5 each country that is looking to adopt mobile payments as an inclusive tool is at a different development stage, and would therefore need to look at how it has worked in other countries before adopting mobile payments. Therefore inquiry into these dynamics would expand the standard of local knowledge on how mobile payments and national development unite. There is also a need to build a national baseline of empirical data on all aspects of the mobile payments system. Collaborative work between researchers and the CBK in Kenya would yield valuable information that would form a critical first-line database for more robust empirical studies. More importantly as it would inform policy-makers seeking to evaluate public policy choices and in their allocation of resources that would enhance beneficial objectives.

³¹ A discussion explained in this chapter and offered as a risk in Chapter 3.

³² The Financial Reporting Centre <u>www.fpc.co.ke</u> MNOs are required to report all incidences of fraud as was articulated during the interview with Mercy Buku; Joy Malala, Interview with Mercy Buku, 'An Interview with Senior Manager Money Laundering Reporting at Safaricom' (2014).

6.4. Conclusion

What this study has achieved is to create a baseline framework for future explorations of the multidimensional nature of mobile payments by offering a foundational perspective on the development of mobile payments from its inception to eventual regulation. Given that Kenya presents the most successful employment of mobile payments, it is particularly crucial that future work into the legal and institutional mechanics for the growth and development of inclusive financial services be employed with a retrospective approach. Therefore there needs to be a dedicated early stage financial inclusion programme for Kenya, especially one rooted in research and development. It also aims to correct and expose some assumptions about developing countries' perspectives on inclusive technology by asserting that advanced models of establishing advanced financial systems do not have to use bricks and mortar models and that the orthodox views of development can now be challenged and bypassed through the use of technology, but only sustained through safe and sound frameworks, in this case appropriate regulatory frameworks. This work has only considered one aspect of mobile payments, the regulatory challenges arising post-financial inclusion; other aspects that remain unexplored to complete the knowledge and 'baseline' for Kenya's mobile financial services are interoperability and ensuring a level playing field within the MNOs to effectively sustain the upward trend of financial inclusion. It would also adequately complement future research to examine retrospectively the effects of any future legislation that would seek to remedy the challenges highlighted in this thesis. Therefore continuing on the basis that this is a foundational study on the regulatory issues surrounding mobile payments in Kenya, it seems fitting that the outcomes of this study's evaluations be translated into a series of law and policy implications through the emergent issues surrounding inclusive financial services.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Aagaard T, 'Regulatory Overlap, Overlapping Legal Fields, And Statutory Discontinuities' (May 1, 2011). Virginia Environmental Law Journal, Vol. 29, No. 3, 2011; Villanova Law/Public Policy Research Paper No. 2012-2004.
- Abel R, 'Customary Laws of Wrongs in Kenya: An Essay in Research Method' [1969] American Journal of Comparative Law 573
- Abrams RK and Taylor M, Issues in the Unification of Financial Sector Supervision, International Monetary Fund, 2000
- Admati A and Pfleiderer P, 'Forcing Firms to Talk: Financial Disclosure Regulation And Externalities' (2000) 13 Review of Financial Studies 479
- Admati, AR and MF Hellwig. 'The Bankers' New Clothes: What's Wrong with Banking and What to Do About It.?' Princeton University Press. (2013)
- Aker J, Can Hear Me Now: How Microloans and Cell Phones Are Connecting The World's Poor To The Global Economy' (2008) 45 Choice Reviews Online
- Aker J and Mbiti I, 'Mobile Phones and Economic Development in Africa' [2010] 24(3) Journal of Economic Perspectives 207
- Aker J and Wilson K, 'How Do Electronic Transfers Compare? Evidence from a Mobile Money Cash Transfer Experiment in Niger'
- Aker J and Wilson K, 'Can Mobile Money Be Used To Promote Savings? Evidence from Northern Ghana' SSRN Journal
- Abraham, Reuben. 2007. "Mobile Phones and Economic Development: Evidence from the Fishing Industry in India," Information Technologies and International Development, 4(1): 5-17.
- Aker, Jenny C. 2008. "Does Digital Divide or Provide? The Impact of Mobile Phones on Grain Markets in Niger." BREAD Working Paper # 177.
- Aker, Jenny C. 2009. "Mobile Phones and Economic Development in Africa." Invited presentation at the Center for Global Development, Washington, D.C. http://www.cgdev.org/doc/events/08.25.09/Cell Phone Survey CGD 26aug09
 Final Public.pdf

- Aker, Jenny C. Forthcoming. "Information from Markets Near and Far: The Impact of Mobile Phones on Agricultural Markets in Niger." American Economic Journal: Applied Economics.
- Aker, Jenny C., Michael Klein, Stephen O'Connell and Muzhe Yang. 2010. "Border or Barriers? The Impact of Borders on Agricultural Markets in West Africa." NBER Working Paper.
- Akhavein J, Frame W and White L, 'the Diffusion of Financial Innovations: An Examination of the Adoption of Small Business Credit Scoring by Large Banking Organizations' (2005) 78 Journal of Business
- Akindemowo O, Information Technology Law in Australia (Lawbook Co 1999)
- Akwule R, 'Telecommunications in Kenya: Development and Policy Issues' (1992) 16(7) Telecommunications Policy 603
- Alampay E, 'Mobile Banking, Mobile Money and Telecommunication Regulations' (2010) eBusiness & eCommerce Journal 5
- Alesina A and Summers LH, 'Central Bank Independence and Macroeconomic Performance: Some Comparative Evidence' (1993) Journal of Money, Credit and Banking 151
- Alessandri P , Prasanna Gai, Sujit KapadiA , Nada Mora ,Claus Puhr, 'Towards a Framework for Quantifying Systemic Stability' (2009) 5 International Journal of Central Banking
- Alexandre C and Eisenhart L, 'Mobile Money as an Engine of Financial Inclusion and Lynchpin of Financial Integrity' [2013] HeinOnline
- Alexandre C and Eisenhart LC, 'Mobile Money in Developing Countries: Financial Inclusion and Financial Integrity: Mobile Money as an Engine of Financial Inclusion and Lynchpin of Financial Integrity' (2013) 8 Washington Journal of Law Technology. & Arts 285–419
- Alexandre C, Mas I and Radcliffe D, 'Regulating New Banking Models To Bring Financial Services to All' (2011) 54 Challenge116
- Allahar A, Ethnicity, Class, And Nationalism (1st edn, Lexington Books 2005)

- Allen F and Gale D, 'Financial Contagion' (2000) 108 Journal of Political Economy
- Allen F and Allen, F., Carletti, E., Cull, R., Qian, J., Senbet, L., and Valenzuela, P., 'Improving Access to Banking: Evidence from Kenya' [2014] CEPR Discussion Paper No. DP9840.
- Allison J, A Continental Distinction in the Common Law (1st edn, Clarendon Press 1996)
- Amini H, Cont R and Minca A, 'Resilience to Contagion in Financial Networks' [2013]

 Mathematical Finance
- Ammar A and Ahmed E, 'Microfinance and Mobile Banking Regulatory and Supervision Issues' Faculty of Management Multimedia University, Cyberjaya, Malaysia.
- Anand K., S. Brennan, P. Gai, S. Kapadia and M. Willison, (2013), "A Network Model of Financial System Resilience", Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization 85, 219-235
- Andam Z and Castillo C, 'Regulating Communications in a Converging Environment: Technology, Markets and Dilemmas (2004) 79 Philippine Law Journal 392
- Anderson D, 'Master and Servant in Colonial Kenya, 1895–1939' (2000) 41 Journal of African History 459
- Angelini P, Maresca G and Russo D, 'Systemic Risk in the Netting System' (1996) 20(6) Journal of Banking & Finance 863
- Angeloni, Ignazio and Ester Faia, 2009. "A Tale of Two Policies: Prudential Regulation and Monetary Policy with Fragile Banks," mimeo, European Central Bank.
 - Angelovska-Wilson, A and Feltault J, 'M-Payments: The Next Payment Frontier Current Developments and Challenges in International Implementation of M-Payments' (2007) 22(11) *Journal of International Banking Law and Regulation* 575
- Anil S, 'Electronic Commerce in Asia: The Legal, Regulatory And Policy Issues' (2001) 9 International Journal of Law and Information Technology
- Anong S and Kunovskaya I, 'M-Finance and Consumer Redress for the Unbanked in South Africa' (2013) 37 International Journal of Consumer Studies
- Ardic O, Ibrahim J and Mylenko N, 'Consumer Protection Laws and Regulations in

- Deposit and Loan Services: A Cross-Country Analysis with a New Data Set' 2011
- Arnbak J, 'Multi-Utility Regulation: Yet another Convergence' [2002] Networking Knowledge for Information Societies: Institutions and Intervention
- Asiedu E, 'Foreign Direct Investment in Africa: The Role of Natural Resources, Market Size, Government Policy, Institutions and Political Instability' (2006) 29(1) The World Economy 63
- Asongu S, 'How Has Mobile Phone Penetration Stimulated Financial Development In Africa?' (2013) 14(1) Journal of African Business 7
- Au Y and Kauffman R, 'The Economics of Mobile Payments: Understanding Stakeholder Issues For an Emerging Financial Technology Application' (2008) 7 Electronic Commerce Research and Applications 141
- Aubert J, Promoting Innovation in Developing Countries (1st edn, World Bank, World Bank Institute, the Knowledge for Development Program 2005)
- Awrey D, 'Regulating Financial Innovation: A More Principles-Based Proposal' (2010) 5 Brook. J. Corp. Fin. & Com. L. 273
- Awrey D, 'Complexity, Innovation, and the Regulation of Modern Financial Markets' [2012] HeinOnline
- Ayadi R, Rodkiewicz J and Unit P, 'Tackling Financial Exclusion in Europe: The Market Response' [2008] January (paper available at http://www.fininc.eu/index, en. html>)
- Ayres I and Braithwaite J, Responsive Regulation (1st edn, Oxford University Press 1992)
- Ayyagari M, Demirg'ucc-Kunt A and Maksimovic V, 'Formal Versus Informal Finance: Evidence from China' (2010) 23 Review of Financial Studies
- Baddeley M, 'Using E-Cash in the New Economy: An Economic Analysis of Micro-Payment Systems' (2004) 5 Journal of Electronic Commerce Research 239
- Bailard C, 'Mobile Phone Diffusion and Corruption in Africa' (2009) 26 Political Communication

- Bakibinga E, 'Regulating Market Entry in the Telecommunications Sector in an Integrated East Africa: Towards a Common Licensing Framework' Elizabeth Martha (Master thesis / Masteroppgave, 2004
- Baldwin R, "The New Punitive Regulation' (2004) 67 Modern Law Review, 67, 3, 351 83.
- Baldwin R and Cave M, *Understanding Regulation* (1st edn, Oxford University Press 1999)
- Baldwin , R. and M. Cave . 1999 . Understanding Regulation, Theory, Strategy and Practice . Oxford Oxford University Press .
- Baldwin R, Cave M and Lodge M, *The Oxford Handbook of Regulation* (1st edn, Oxford University Press 2010)
- Baldwin R, Cave M and Lodge M, *Understanding Regulation* (1st edn, Oxford University Press 2012)
- Balistreri, E.J., Rutherford, T.F., and Tarr, D.G. (2009). Modeling Services Liberalization: The Case of Kenya. Economic Modeling, 26(3):668-679. http://ideas.repec.org/a/eee/ecmode/v26y2009i3p668-679.html
- Balkenhol B, Microfinance and Public Policy (1st edn, Palgrave Macmillan 2007)
- Ballard R, 'Coalitions of Reciprocity and the Maintenance of Financial Integrity within Informal Value Transmission Systems: The Operational Dynamics of Contemporary Hawala Networks' (2005) 6 Journal of Banking Regulation 4
- Bamoriya P and Singh P, 'Beyond The Obvious: Standardization And Interoperability Issues In Mobile Banking In India' (2013) 9 Acta Universitatis Danubius. OEconomica
- Bångens, Dr. L. and B. Söderberg (2008) "Mobile Banking –Financial Services for the Unbanked?" The Swedish Program for ICT in Developing Regions, SPIDER
- Bangladesh, Afghanistan Argentina, Ghana Brazil China, Kenya Colombia India, Nigeria Haiti Indonesia and Tanzania Peru Pakistan, 'Box 1: Countries Included in the Mobile Financial Services Development Report'" *The Mobile Financial*

- Services Development Report.
- Bank W, Global Financial Development Report 2013 (1st edn, World Bank Publications 2012)
- Banka H, 'M-PESA at the Point of Sale: Expanding Financial Inclusion and Reducing Demand for
- Barberousse G, Bernard T and Pescatori V, 'The Economic Impact of the Development of Mobile Telephony: Results from A Case Study in Haiti' (2009) 4 Proparco's Magazine
- Barnhizer D, 'Inequality of Bargaining Power' (2005) 76 University of Colorado Law Review.
- Barth J, Caprio G and Levine R, Rethinking Bank Regulation (1st edn, Cambridge University Press 2006)
- Bassey C, 'Digital Money in a Digitally Divided World: Nature, Challenges and Prospects of Epayment Systems in Africa' [2008] Scott. <Mainzone.Com/bassey-digitally-divided-world. Pdf>
- Batchelo S, 'Mobile Phone-Enabled Payment Systems-Transformation 2008' (2008) 19 Enterprise Development and Microfinance
- Batista C, Simione F and Vicente P, 'International Experiences Of Mobile Banking Regulation' 2012
- Bauer P and Ferrier G, 'Scale Economies, Cost Efficiencies, and Technological Change in Federal Reserve Payments Processing' [1996] 28(4) Journal of Money, Credit and Banking 1004
- Baumol W, 'On Taxation and the Control of Externalities' [1972] American Economic Review 307
- Bazley S and Haynes A, Financial Services Authority Regulation And Risk-Based Compliance (1st edn, Tottel 2007)
- Beale H, 'Inequality of Bargaining Power' (1986) JSTOR 6 Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 123
- Bech M and Soram aki K, 'Liquidity, Gridlocks and Bank Failures in Large Value Payment

- Systems' [2002] E-Money and Payment Systems Review, Central Banking Publications
- Beck T and Demirg-ucc-Kunt A, 'Access to Finance: An Unfinished Agenda' (2008) 22(3) The World Bank Economic Review 383
- Robert Cull, Peter Gatere, Thorsten Beck, Michael Fuchs, John Randa, Jared Getenga and Mircea Trandafir 'Banking Sector Stability, Efficiency, And Outreach in Kenya' Banking sector stability, efficiency, and outreach in Kenya Policy Research Working Papers. October 2010
- Beck T, Demirg-ucc-Kunt A and Honohan P, 'Access to Financial Services: Measurement, Impact, And Policies' [2009] 24 World Bank Research Observer 119
- Beck T, Demirguc-Kunt A and Martinez Peria M, 'Reaching Out: Access To and Use of Banking Services across Countries' (2007) 85(1) Journal of Financial Economics 234
- Beck T, Demirg-ucc-Kunt A and Peria M, 'Banking Services for Everyone? Barriers to Bank Access and Use around the World' (2008) 22(3) World Bank Economic Review 397
- Bell C, Hogarth J and Robbins E, 'US Households' Access To and Use Of Electronic Banking. 1989–2007' [2009] Federal Reserve Bulletin
- Benkler Y, 'Communications Infrastructure Regulation and the Distribution of Control Over Content' (1998) 22 Telecommunications Policy
- Benston G and Kaufman G, 'Is The Banking And Payments System Fragile?' (1995) 9 Journal of Financial Services Research 209
- Berger A, Hancock D and Marquardt J, 'A Framework for Analyzing Efficiency, Risks, Costs, and Innovations in the Payments System' [1996] 28(4) Journal of Money, Credit and Banking 696
- Bergman M, 'Payment System Efficiency and Pro-Competitive Regulation' [2003] Sveriges Riksbank Economic review
- Berman M, 'The Development, Use and Cultural Context of M-PESA in Costal Kenya'

- Berman, M. S. (2011). The Development, Use and Cultural Context of M-PESA in Costal Kenya. Independent Study Project (ISP) Collection, Paper 1197. http://digitalcollections.sit.edu/isp_collection/1197>
- Bernanke, B. 2011. Implementing a Macroprudential Approach to Supervision and Regulation. 47th Annual Conference on Bank Structure and Competition, Chicago, Illinois, 5 May
- Bernet B and Walter S, 'Design, Structure and Implementation of a Modern Deposit Insurance Scheme' (2009) 5 Citeseer
- Bester, H., Chamberlain, D., De Koker, L., Hougaard, C., Short, R., Smith, A., and Walker, R.(2008), Implementing FATF Standards in Developing Countries and Financial Inclusion: Findings and Guidelines, The FIRST Initiative. The World Bank, Washington, DC www.cenfri.org/documents/AML/AML_CFT%20and%20Financial%20Inclusion.pdf.>
- Bhavnani A, Won-Wai Chiu R, Janakiram S, Silarszky P. 2008. The role of mobile phones in sustainable rural poverty reduction. Washington, DC: World Bank Global Information and Communications Department. Retrieved 30 November
- Bholat D and Gray J, 'Organizational Form as a Source of Systemic Risk' (2013) 7 Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal
- Bhuiyan, A.J.M. Shafiul A. (2008). Peripheral view: Conceptualizing the information society as a postcolonial subject. The International Communication Gazette, 70(2), 99-116.
- Bhuiyan M, 'E-Government Applications in Bangladesh: Status and Challenges' Bhuiyan, M.S.H. (2010a), "E-government applications in Bangladesh: status and challenges", Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Theory and Practice of Electronic Governance (ICEGOV'10), pp. 25-28.
- Bilodeau J, Hoffman W and Nikkelen S, 'The Seven Pillars Of Mobile Financial Services Development' [2011] WE Inc., the Mobile Financial Services Development Report
- Bishop M, Kay J and Mayer C, *The Regulatory Challenge* (1st edn, Oxford University Press 1995)

- Black J, 'Which Arrow?: Rule Type and Regulatory Policy' (1995) Public Law 94
- Black J, 'Constitutionalizing Self-Regulation' (1996) 59 Modern Law Review 24
- Black J, Rules and Regulators (1st edn, Clarendon Press 1997)
- BLACK J, 'Proceduralizing Regulation: Part I' (2000) 20 Oxford Journal of Legal Studies
- Black J, 'Decentring Regulation: Understanding the Role of Regulation and Self-Regulation in a Post-Regulatory World' (2001) 54 Current legal problems 103
- Black J, 'Critical Reflections on Regulation' (2002) 27 Australian Journal of Legal Philosophy.
- Black, J. (2008) 'Constructing and Contesting Legitimacy and Accountability in Polycentric Regulatory Regimes', Regulation & Governance 2/2: 137–164
- Black J, "The Emergence of Risk-based Regulation and the New Public Risk Management in the United Kingdom" (2005) Public Law 512
- Black J and Baldwin R, 'Really Responsive Risk-Based Regulation' (2010) 32 Law & Policy
- Black J and Baldwin R, 'When Risk Based Regulation Aims Low: Approaches and Challenges' (2012) Regulation & Governance 2, 137
- Black J, Hoper M and Band C, 'Making A Success Of Principles-Based Regulation' (2007) 1 Law & Financial Market Review.
- Black J, Lodge M and Thatcher M, Regulatory Innovation (1st edn, Edward Elgar 2005)
- Blackman C, 'Convergence between Telecommunications and Other Media How Should Regulation Adapt?' (1998) 22 Telecommunications Policy 163
- Bloch, Francis, Garance Genicot, and Debraj Ray, "Informal Insurance in Social Networks," Journal of Economic Theory, 143 (2008), 36–58.
- Bollen R, 'A Review of the Development and Legal Nature of Payment Facilities'
- Bollen R, 'A Review of the Regulation of Payment Facilities' (2004) 11 Murdoch

- University Electronic Journal of Law
- Rhys Bollen, What is a Deposit (and Why Does It Matter)? 13 Murdoch University. Electronic Journal of Law. 202, 206 (2006)
- Bollen R, 'What Is A Deposit (And Why Does It Matter)' (2006) 13 eLaw Journal
- Bollen, R, 'Recent Developments in Mobile Banking and Payments' Journal of International Banking Law and Regulation (2009) 454
- Borio C and Filosa R, 'The Changing Borders Of Banking: Trends and Implications' [1994] BIS Working Paper
- Borio C and Green C, 'Implementing the Macroprudential Approach to Financial Regulation and Supervision' Borio, C. (2003)
- Borio C, 'Towards a Macroprudential Framework for Financial Supervision and Regulation?' (2003) BIS Working Papers No 128, February.49 CESifo Economic Studies
- Borio, CEV and Van den Bergh P, *The Nature and Management of Payment System Risks: An International Perspective* (Bank for International Settlements Basle 1993)
- Bosire J, 'M-PESA: Why Kenya?' M.A.(Financial Economics), State University of New York at Buffalo, 2010 B.A. (Economics), Mount Holyoke College, 2008
- Bossone, B, What Makes Banks Special? (Citeseer 2000)
- Bossone, B and Cirasino M, 'The Oversight of the Payments Systems: A Framework for the
- Development and Governance of Payment Systems in Emerging Economies' (2001)
- Bossone, B. and L. Promisel 'The Role of Financial Self-Regulation in Developing Economies'. www.worldbank.org/finance/html/self-regulation-in-developing-.html.
- Bourreau M and Verdier M, 'Cooperation for Innovation In Payment Systems: The Case Of Mobile Payments' [2010] Telecom Paris Tech Working Paper No. ESS-10-02

- Boyd J, Kwak S and Smith B, 'The Real Output Losses Associated With Modern Banking Crises' [2005] Journal of Money, Credit and Banking 29
- Brada J, Kutan A and Yigit T, 'The Effects of Transition and Political Instability on Foreign Direct Investment Inflows' (2006) 14 Economics of Transition
- Bradford T, Davies M and Weiner SE, Nonbanks in the Payments System (Payments System Research, Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City 2003)
- Braithwaite J, 'Enforced Self-Regulation: A New Strategy for Corporate Crime Control'

 [1982] Michigan Law Review 1466
- Braithwaite J and Drahos P, *Global Business Regulation* (1st edn, Cambridge University Press 2000)
- Braithwaite, V. (ed.) (2003), Taxing Democracy: Understanding Tax Avoidance and Evasion, Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Bratton W and McCahery J, 'Regulatory Competition, Regulatory Capture, and Corporate Self-Regulation' (1994) 73 North Carolina Law Review.
- Br'evignon-Dodin L, 'Regulation as an Enabler for Emerging Industries' [2009] University of Cambridge, Institute for Manufacturing, Centre for Industry and Government, Working Paper
- Breyer S, Regulation and Its Reform (1st edn, Harvard University Press 1982)
- Breyer S, Breaking the Vicious Circle (1st edn, Harvard University Press 1993)
- Briault C, "The Rationale for A Single National Financial Services Regulator' [2000] Cosponsored by the European Commission and the World Bank (A European Borrowers Network Initiative)
- Brindle M, Cox R and Coleman R, Law Of Bank Payments (1st edn, Sweet & Maxwell 2004)
- Brix, L and McKee K, 'Consumer Protection Regulation in Low-Access Environments: Opportunities to Promote Responsible Finance' (2010) Focus Note 60

- Brownbridge M and Kirkpatrick C, 'Financial Regulation in Developing Countries' (2000) of Development Studies, 37 (1), 1–24.
- Brownbridge M, Harvey C and Gockel A, Banking in Africa (1st edn, James Currey 1998)
- Bruin R, Consumer Trust In Electronic Commerce (1st edn, Kluwer Law International 2002)
- Buckley J, Telecommunications Regulation (1st edn, Institution of Electrical Engineers 2003)
- Budnitz M, 'Stored Value Cards and the Consumer: The Need for Regulation' (1996) 46 Am. University of Law Review. 1027
- Buku, MW and Meredith MW, 'Mobile Money in Developing Countries: Financial Inclusion and Financial Integrity: (2013) 8 Washington Journal of Law and Technology and Arts. 375
- Bunker, G. and Thomson, D. (2005) Service Level Agreements, in Delivering Utility Computing: Business-Driven IT Optimization, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK. doi: 10.1002/0470017880.ch5
- Business Daily, 'Banks Lose Sh1.7 Billion to Fraudsters in 3 Months (2014) http://www.businessdailyafrica.com/ accessed 19 September 2014
- Buys, Piet, Susmita Dasgupta, Timothy S. Thomas, and David Wheeler. 2009. "Determinants of a Digital Divide in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Spatial Econometric Analysis of Cell Phone Coverage." World Development 37(9):1494–1505.
- Camner G and Sjöblom E, 'Can the Success of M-PESA Be repeated' [2009] A Review of
- Implementations in Kenya and Tanzania. Valuable Bits note, July.
- Andrew Campbell, John Raymond LaBrosse, David G. Mayes Dalvinder Singh, 'A New Standard for Deposit Insurance and Government Guarantees after the Crisis' (2009) 17 Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance.

- Carmichael J and Pomerleano M, The Development and Regulation of Non-Bank Financial Institutions (1st edn, World Bank 2002)
- Cartwright P, Consumer Protection in Financial Services (1st edn, Kluwer Law International 1999)
- Cartwright P, Banks, Consumers and Regulation (1st edn, Hart Publishing 2004)
- Caruana J, 'The International Policy Response to Financial Crises: Making the Macroprudential Approach Operational' [2009] panel remarks, Jackson Hole
- Cavallo E and S Galiani, I Noy, J Pantano, 'Catastrophic Natural Disasters and Economic Growth' (2013) 95 Review of Economics and Statistics. 95 (5), 1549-1561, 2013. International Review of Environmental and Resource Economics 5 (1).
- Cavallo E, Powell A and Becerra O, 'Estimating the Direct Economic Damages of the Earthquake in Haiti*' (2010) 120(546) the Economic Journal F298
- Chaia A and, Aparna Dalai, Tony Goland, Maria Jose Gonzalez, Jonathan Morduch, and Robert Schiff, 'Half the World Is Unbanked' [2013] Banking the world: empirical foundations of financial inclusion.
- Chami R, Khan M and Sharma S, *Emerging Issues in Banking Regulation* (1st edn, International Monetary Fund 2003)
- Chandra S, Srivastava S and Theng Y, 'Evaluating the Role of Trust in Consumer Adoption of Mobile Payment Systems: An Empirical Analysis' (2010) 27 Communications of the Association for Information Systems
- Chatain P, Zerzan A and Noor W, Protecting Mobile Money against Financial Crimes (1st edn, World Bank Publications 2011)
- Chen J and Adams C, 'User Acceptance of Mobile Payments: A Theoretical Model for Mobile Payments'
- Chen JF and Wang JS, Electronic Payment System and Method (Google Patents 1996)

- Chen L, 'A Model of Consumer Acceptance of Mobile Payment' (2008) 6 International Journal of Mobile Communications
- Chen Y, 'Banking Panics: The Role of the First-Come, First-Served Rule And Information Externalities' (1999) 107 Journal of Political Economy
- Cheney J, 'An Examination Of Mobile Banking And Mobile Payments: Building Adoption As Experience Goods?' [2008] FRB of Philadelphia-Payment Cards Center Discussion Paper
- Chorley R and Holden J, Law of Banking (1st edn, Sweet & Maxwell 1974)
- Christen R, 'Financial Services That Clients Need: The 3.0 Business Models, Reconciling Outreach with Sustainability' [2013] Springer
- Christen L and T Lyman, 'Rosenberg, 2003. "." Microfinance Consensus Guidelines. Guiding Principle on Regulation and Supervision of Microfinance". CGAP.
- Christen, PR, Lauer K, Lyman RT and Rosenberg R, 'A Guide to Regulation and Supervision of Microfinance' Public Comment Version [Online] < http://www.cgap.org/p/site/c/template.rc/1.26 15971> (2011)
- Chuhan-Pole P and Angwafo M, Yes Africa Can (1st edn, World Bank 2011)
- Cirasino M, The Role of the Central Banks In Supervising The Financial System: The Case Of The Oversight of Payment Systems (World Bank 2003)
- Claessens S, 'Access to Financial Services: A Review Of the Issues and Public Policy Objectives' (2006) 21(2) World Bank Research Observer 207
- Claessens S, Glaessner T and Klingebiel D, 'Electronic Finance: Reshaping the Financial Landscape around the World' (2002) 22 Journal of Financial Services Research 29
- Claessens S, Ghosh SR and Mihet R, 'Macro Prudential Policies to Mitigate Financial Vulnerabilities in Emerging Markets' (2013) Dealing with the Challenges of Macro Financial Linkages in Emerging Markets 155
- Clarke R, 'Human Identification in Information Systems: Management Challenges and Public Policy Issues' (1994) 7(4) Information Technology & People 6
- Clement P, 'the Term Macroprudential: Origins And Evolution' (2010) 6 BIS Quarterly Review 59

- Coghlan, P, 'The Principles of Good Regulation' in Productivity Commission and Australian National University, Achieving Better Regulation of Services, Conference Proceedings Ausinfo, Canberra, November,
- Cohen B, The Geography of Money (1st edn, Cornell University Press 1998)
- Cole S, Sampson T and Zia B, 'Prices or Knowledge? What Drives Demand For Financial Services In Emerging Markets?' (2011) 66(6) Journal of Finance 1933
- Collins R, 'Back To the Future: Digital Television and Convergence in the United Kingdom' (1998) 22 Telecommunications Policy Collins, R. (1998). Telecommunications Policy, 22(4/5), 383-396
- Comninos, A., Esselaar, S., Ndiwalana, A. and Stork, C. (2008), *M-banking the Unbanked,*in Towards Evidence-based ICT Policy and Regulation, Vol. 1, Paper 4, available
 at: www.researchictafrica.net/new/images/uploads/RIA Mobile-banking.pdf (accessed October 10, 2009).
- Communications Commission of Kenya (CCK). 2009. Sector Statistics Report April-June 2008/09. Nairobi, Kenya. Communications Commission of Kenya (CCK). 2008.
- Communications Statistics Report 2008. Nairobi, Kenya. Communications Commission of Kenya (CCK). 2007.
- Communications Statistics Report 2007/8. Nairobi, Kenya. http://www.cck.go.ke/annual_reports/CCK_Annual_Report07-08.pdf
- Cook P and Mosedale S, Regulation, Markets and Poverty (1st edn, Edward Elgar 2007)
- Cook W, Seiford L and Zhu J, 'Models for Performance Benchmarking: Measuring the Effect of E-Business Activities on Banking Performance' (2004) 32 Omega.
- Corbett S, 'Can the Cell Phone Help End Global Poverty' New York Times 20 November (2008)
- Coteanu C, Cyber Consumer Law And Unfair Trading Practices (1st edn, Ashgate 2005)
- Cotran E, 'The Development and Reform of the Law in Kenya' (1983) 27 Journal of African Law 42
- Cowen T, The Theory of Market Failure (1st edn, George Mason University Press 1988)
- Cowhey P and Klimenko M, 'Telecommunications Reform In Developing Countries after

- the WTO Agreement on Basic Telecommunications Services' (2000) 12 Journal of International Development
- Cracknell D, 'Policy Innovations to Improve Access to Financial Services in Developing Countries: Learning From Case Studies in Kenya' [2013] Centre for Global Development
- Cranston R, Principles Of Banking Law (1st edn, Clarendon Press 1997)
- Crockett A, "The Theory and Practice of Financial Stability" (1996) 144 De Economist 531
- Crockett A, 'Marrying the Micro-And Macro-Prudential Dimensions of Financial Stability' (2000) 21 BIS speeches
- Crockett A, 'Market Discipline and Financial Stability' (2002) 26 Journal of Banking & Finance 977
- Cronin D, 'Large-Value Payment System Design and Risk Management' [2011] Quarterly Bulletin 01/January 11
- Crowe C and Meade E, 'Central Bank Independence and Transparency: Evolution and Effectiveness' (2008) 24(4) European Journal of Political Economy 763
- Cull R, Demirg'ucc-Kunt A and Lyman T, Financial Inclusion and Stability: What Does Research Show? (World Bank 2012)
- Dahl D, 'A Message from the President E. Gerald Corrigan (P. I)(1983) Toward A More Resilient International Financial System Anthony M. Solomon (P-2) A Primer on the John H. Boyd' 7 Quarterly Review
- Dahlberg T and Tomi Dahlberg, Niina Mallat, Jan Ondrus, Agnieszka Zmijewska 'Past, Present and Future of Mobile Payments Research: A Literature Review' (2008) 7 Electronic Commerce Research and Applications Electron. Commer. Rec. Appl. 1567-4223 7, P 165-18110.1016/j.elerap.2007.02.001, Elsevier Science Publishers B. V.
- Daily Nation, 'Michuki: Probe Cash Transfer (2008) http://www.nation.co.ke/News/-/1056/500454/-/u0kd67/-/index.html accessed 19 September 2014

- Daintith T., 'The Techniques of Government' in *The Changing Constitution* (3rd ed.n, Clarendon Press 1994)
- Dal B'o E, 'Regulatory Capture: A Review' (2006) 22 Oxford Review of Economic Policy 203
- Davila T, Epstein M and Shelton R, Making Innovation Work (1st edn, FT Press 2012)
- Davila T, Epstein M and Shelton R, Making Innovation Work: How to Manage It, Measure It, and Profit from It (FT Press 2012)
- De Almeida G, 'Mobile Money in Developing Countries: Financial Inclusion and Financial Integrity: M-Payments in Brazil: Notes on How A Country's Background May Determine Timing and Design of A Regulatory Model' (2013) 8 Washington Journal of Law Technology & Arts
- de Almeida GM, 'M-Payments in Brazil: Notes on How Country Background May Determine Timing and Design of Regulatory Model' (2013) 8 Washington Journal of Law Technology & Arts. 347
- De Bandt O and Hartmann P, 'Systemic Risk: A Survey' de Bandt, Olivier and Hartmann, Philipp, Systemic Risk: A Survey (November 2000). ECB Working Paper No. 35.
- De Koker L, 'Client Identification and Money Laundering Control: Perspectives on the Financial Intelligence Centre Act 38 of 2001' [2005] 4 Journal Of South African Law 715
- De Koker L, 'Identifying and Managing Low Money Laundering Risk: Perspectives on FATF's Risk-Based Guidance' (2009) 16 Journal of Financial Crime 334
- De Koker, L, "The Money Laundering Risk Posed by Low-Risk Financial Products in South Africa: Findings and Guidelines' (2009) 12(4) Journal of Money Laundering Control 323
- De Koker L, 'Aligning Anti-Money Laundering, Combating of Financing of Terror and Financial Inclusion: Questions to Consider When FATF Standards Are Clarified' (2011) 18 Journal of Financial Crime
- Delaume G, 'Comparative Analysis as A Basis of Law in State Contracts: The Myth of the Lex Mercatoria' (1988) 63 Tulane. Law. Review.
- Demirguc-Kunt A and Klapper L, 'Measuring Financial Inclusion: The Global Findex Database' (World Bank 2012)

- Demombynes G and Thegeya A, 'Kenya's Mobile Revolution and the Promise of Mobile Savings' (2012) World Bank Policy Research Working Paper
- Dermish A A., Kneiding, C., Leishman, P., & Mas, I, 'Branchless and Mobile Banking Solutions for the Poor: A Survey of the Literature' (2011) 6 Innovations 81
- Dev S, 'Financial Inclusion: Issues and Challenges' [2006] Economic and political weekly 4310
- Devereux S and Vincent K, 'Using Technology to Deliver Social Protection: Exploring Opportunities And Risks' (2010) 20 Development in Practice 367
- DeYoung R, 'The Performance of Internet-Based Business Models: Evidence from the Banking Industry*' (2005) 78 The Journal of Business
- Di Castri S, 'Mobile Money: Enabling Regulatory Solutions' (2013) GSMA, London, United Kingdom, http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/MMU-Enabling-Regulatory-Solutions-di-Castri-2013.pdf
- Di Castri S and Flaming Mark and Owino Alexander and McKee, Katharine and Jentzsch Nicola and Maina, Daryl and Ahern, Brendan, 'Consumer Protection Diagnostic Study: Financial Consumer Protection in Kenya' [2011] Available at SSRN 1843279.
- Diamond D and Rajan R, 'Liquidity Risk, Liquidity Creation and Financial Fragility: A Theory of Banking' Working Paper 7430 http://www.nber.org/papers/w7430
- Dias D and McKee K, 'Protecting Branchless Banking Consumers: Policy Objectives and Regulatory Options' CGAP Focus Note 64, Washington D.C.
- Diniz E, Porto de Albuquerque J and Cernev A, 'Mobile Money and Payment: A Literature Review Based On Academic And Practitioner-Oriented Publications (2001–2011)'
- Dittus P and Klein M, 'On Harnessing the Potential of Financial Inclusion' [2011] BIS Working Paper

- Dolan J, 'Accelerating the Development of Mobile Money Ecosystems' [2009] DC: IFC and the Harvard Kennedy School.
- Dondo A, 'The Status of Microfinance in Kenya' [1999] K-Rep Occasional Paper Series
- Donner J, 'Research Approaches to Mobile Use in the Developing World: A Review of the Literature' (2008) 24 Information Society
- Donner J, 'Framing M4D: The Utility of Continuity and the Dual Heritage of "Mobiles and Development" (2010) 44 the Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries
- Donner J and Tellez C, 'Mobile Banking and Economic Development: Linking Adoption, Impact, and Use' (2008) 18 Asian Journal of Communication
- Donovan, K. (2012). Mobile money and financial inclusion. In T. Kelly & M. Minges (Eds.), Information and communication for development 2012. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Donovan K, 'Mobile Money, More Freedom? The Impact of M-Pesa Network Power on Development as Freedom' (2012) 6 International Journal of Communication 2647
- Duncombe R and Boateng R, 'Mobile Phones and Financial Services in Developing Countries: A Review of Concepts, Methods, Issues, Evidence And Future Research Directions' (2009) 30(7) Third World Quarterly 1237
- Duncombe R and Boateng R, 'Mobile Phones And Financial Services In Developing Countries: A Review Of Concepts, Methods, Issues, Evidence And Future Research Directions' (2009) 30 Third World Quarterly
- Dymski G, 'Banking Strategy and Financial Exclusion: Tracing the Pathways of Globalization' (2005) 31 Revista de Economia 1
- Ehrbeck T and Tarazi M, 'Putting the Banking in Branchless Banking: Regulation and the Case for Interest-Bearing and Insured E-Money Savings Accounts'
- Ehrbeck T, Pickens M and Tarazi M, 'Financially Inclusive Ecosystems: The Roles of Government Today' (2012) 76 Focus Note Page 39.

- Eijffinger S and Hoeberichts M, 'Central Bank Accountability and Transparency: Theory and Some Evidence' (2002) 5(1) International Finance 73
- Eijkman F, Kendall J and Mas I, 'Bridges to Cash: The Retail End of M-Pesa' [2010] 34 Savings and Development 219
- Ellinger E, Ellinger's Modern Banking Law (1st edn, Oxford University Press 2006)
- Elyachar J, 'Next Practices: Knowledge, Infrastructure, and Public Goods at the Bottom of the Pyramid' (2012) 24 Public Culture 109
- Engelbrekt A and Nergelius J, New Directions in Comparative Law (1st edn, Edward Elgar 2009)
- Estache A and Wren-Lewis L, 'Toward A Theory of Regulation for Developing Countries: Following Jean-Jacques Laffont's Lead' [2010] Journal of Economic Literature 729
- Etzo S and Collender G, 'The Mobile Phone Revolution in Africa: Rhetoric or Reality? (2010) 109(437) African Affairs 659
- Evans D and Schmalensee R, 'Innovation in Payments' [2008] Moving Money: The Future Of Consumer Payments
- Evans D and Schmalensee R, 'Innovation and Evolution of the Payments Industry' [2009] RE Litan and MN Baily, Moving Money: The Future of Consumer Payments
- Ferguson R, 'Should Financial Stability Be an Explicit Central Bank Objective' *Challenges* to Central Banking from Globalized Financial Systems (International Monetary Fund 2003)
- Ferran E, 'Examining The United Kingdom's Experience In Adopting The Single Financial Regulator Model' (2002) 28 Brookings Journal International Law.
- Ferran E and Goodhart C, Regulating Financial Services and Markets in the 21st Century (1st edn, Hart Publishing 2001)
- Ferris L and others, 'What Makes Good Regulation?' (1995) 64 Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology

- Fink C, Mattoo A and Rathindran R, 'An Assessment of Telecommunications Reform In Developing Countries' (2003) 15 Information Economics and Policy
- Fischer-Lescano A and Teubner G, 'Regime-Collisions: The Vain Search for Legal Unity in the Fragmentation of Global Law' (2003) 25 Michigan Journal of International Law. 999
- Flannery M, 'Financial Crises, Payment System Problems, And Discount Window Lending' [1996] Journal of Money, Credit and Banking
- Flood D, West T and Wheadon D, 'Trends In Mobile Payments in Developing and Advanced Economies' [2013] RBA Bulletin
- Folkerts-Landau D, 'Systemic Financial Risk in Payment Systems' [1990] IMF Working Paper
- Folkerts-Landau D, Garber P and Lane T, 'Payment System Reform In Formerly Centrally Planned Economics' (1993) 17 Journal of Banking & Finance
- Folkerts-Landau D, Garber PM and Schoenmaker D, The Reform of Wholesale Payment Systems and Its Impact on Financial Markets (1996).
- Font'e E, 'Mobile Payments in the United States: How Disintermediation May Affect Delivery of Payment Functions, Financial Inclusion and Anti-Money Laundering Issues' [2013] HeinOnline
- Foucault M, Discipline And Punish (1st edn, Pantheon Books 1977)
- Franks J, Schaefer S and Staunton M, 'The Direct And Compliance Costs Of Financial Regulation' (1997) 21 Journal of Banking & Finance 1547
- Freixas X and Parigi B, 'Contagion and Efficiency in Gross And Net Interbank Payment Systems' (1998) 7 Journal of Financial Intermediation
- Freixas X, Parigi B and Rochet J, 'Systemic Risk, Interbank Relations, And Liquidity Provision By The Central Bank' [2000] Journal of Money, Credit and Banking
- Frieden R, 'Wither Convergence: Legal, Regulatory, And Trade Opportunism in Telecommunications' (2001) 18 Santa Clara Computer & High Tech. Law Journal.

- Friedman B, Laibson D and Minsky H, 'Economic Implications of Extraordinary Movements in Stock Prices' [1989] Brookings Papers on Economic Activity
- Fry M, Payment Systems in Global Perspective (1st edn, Routledge 1999)
- Fuchita Y, Herring R and Litan R, After the Crash (1st edn, Nomura Institute of Capital Markets Research 2010)
- Fuller D and Mellor M, 'Banking For the Poor: Addressing the Needs of Financially Excluded Communities in Newcastle upon Tyne' (2008) 45 Urban Studies
- Fullerton Joireman S, "The Evolution of the Common Law: Legal Development in Kenya and India' (2006) 44 Commonwealth & Comparative Politics
- Gai P and Kapadia S, 'Contagion in Financial Networks' [2010] Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Science
- Gang F and Chunying X, 'The Role of Law and Legal Institutions in Asian Economic Development: The Case of China. Patterns of Change in the Legal System and Socio-Economy'
- Garcia-Murillo M and MacInnes I, 'The Impact of Technological Convergence on the Regulation of ICT Industries' (2003) 5(1) International Journal on Media Management 57
- Gaur, A. and Ondrus, J. (2012). The Role of Banks in the Mobile Payment Ecosystem:

 A Strategic Asset Perspective. International Conference on Electronic Commerce

 '12, August 7-8, 2012,
- Gentzoglanis A and Henten A, Regulation and the Evolution of the Global Telecommunications

 Industry (1st edn, Edward Elgar 2010)
- Gertler, M, Financial Structure and Aggregate Economic Activity: An Overview (National Bureau of Economic Research 1988)
- Geva B, 'The Concept of Payment Mechanism,' (1986) 24 Osgoode Hall Law Journal
- Geva B, Bank Collections and Payment Transactions (1st edn, Oxford University Press 2001)

- Geva B, 'Bank Collections and Payment Transactions: A Comparative Legal Analysis' [2001] OUP Catalogue
- Geva B, 'Consumer Liability in Unauthorized Electronic Funds Transfers' (2003) 38 Canadian Business Law Journal.
- Geva B, 'Payment System Modernization and Law Reform In Developing Nations: Lessons from Cambodia and Sri Lanka' (2009) 126 Banking Law Journal.
- Geva B The Law of Electronic Funds Transfers William B. Davenport The Business Lawyer Vol. 49, No. 3 (May 1994), pp. 1417-1422
- Ghai Y, 'Law, Development and African Scholarship' (1987) 50 Modern Law Review 750
- Gikandi J and Bloor C, 'Adoption and Effectiveness of Electronic Banking in Kenya' (2010) 9(4) Electronic Commerce Research and Applications 277
- Gilliam L, 'II. Emerging Issues In Banking Regulation: Accounting Consolidation Versus Capital Calculation: The Conflict Over Asset-Backed Commercial Paper Programs' (2005) 9 NC Banking Inst.
- Gobert J and Punch M, Rethinking Corporate Crime (1st edn, Butterworths/LexisNexis 2003)
- Gokhale K, 'As Microfinance Grows In India, So Do Its Rivals' (2009) 15 Wall Street Journal
- Goldby M, 'Mobile Money in Developing Countries: Financial Inclusion and Financial Integrity: Reporting Of Suspicious Activity by Mobile Money Service Providers In Accordance With International Standards: How Does It Impact On Financial Inclusion?' (2013) 8 Washington Journal of Technology & Arts.
- Golding, P, Next Generation Wireless Applications: Creating Mobile Applications in a Web 2.0 and Mobile 2.0 World (John Wiley & Sons 2008)
- Goldstein J, 'Embracing "Open Access" in East Africa: A Common Internet

 Infrastructure Policy Agenda for Human Security and Economic Development'

 (2008) Journal of Public and International Affairs 19 Vol, 139

- Goo J, 'The Role of Service Level Agreements in Relational Management of Information Technology Outsourcing: An Empirical Study' (2009) 33(1) Management Information Systems Quarterly 8
- Goode R, Electronic Banking (1st edn, The Institute of Bankers 1985)
- Goodfriend M, Money, Credit, Banking, And Payments System Policy (1st edn, Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond 1989)
- Goodhart C, Financial Regulation (1st edn, Routledge 1998)
- Grabosky PN, 'Regulation by Reward: On the Use of Incentives as Regulatory Instruments' (1995) 17 Law & Policy 257
- Gray D, Merton R and Bodie Z, 'A New Framework for Analyzing and Managing Macrofinancial Risks Of an Economy'
- Gray J, 'Toward A More Resilient Financial System' [2013] HeinOnline
- Gray J and Hamilton J, Implementing Financial Regulation (1st edn, John Wiley & Sons 2006)
- Greenspan A, 'Remarks On Evolving Payment System Issues' [1996] 28(4) Journal of Money, Credit and Banking 689
- Greenspan A, 'Regulating Electronic Money' in US Treasury Conference on Electronic Money , 1996
- Gunningham N, Grabosky P and Sinclair D, Smart Regulation (1st edn, Clarendon Press 1998)
- Gunningham N and Rees J, 'Industry Self-Regulation: An Institutional Perspective' (1997) 19 Law & Policy 363
- Gupta, Sunil (2013). "The Mobile Banking and Payment Revolution." European Financial Review (February–March 2013), 3–6.
- Gutierrez E and Singh S, 'What Regulatory Frameworks Are More Conducive To Mobile Banking? Empirical Evidence From Findex Data' (World Bank 2013)

- Haas S and Nagarajan G, 'Water Delivery through Payment Platform--M-PESA Pushes the Rural Frontier' [2011] Financial Services Assessment
- Haas S, Plyler M and Nagarajan G, 'Outreach of M-PESA System in Kenya: Emerging Trends' [2010] College Park, MD: IRIS Center, University of Maryland
- Hall M, Banking Regulation and Supervision (1st edn, E Elgar 1993)
- Hamp M and Laureti C, 'Balancing Flexibility and Discipline in Microfinance: Innovative Financial Products That Benefit Clients and Service Providers' [2011] Centre for European Research in Microfinance, Universit'e Libre de Bruxelles CEB Working Paper
- Hanson S, Kashyap A and Stein J, 'A Macroprudential Approach To Financial Regulation' [2012] Journal of Economic Perspectives 3
- Harris A, Goodman S and Traynor P, 'Privacy and Security Concerns Associated With Mobile Money Applications in Africa' [2013] HeinOnline
- Harrison K and Ryder N, *The Law Relating to Financial Crime in the United Kingdom* (1st edn, Ashgate Pub 2013)
- Hart O and Zingales L, 'A New Capital Regulation for Large Financial Institutions' (2011) 13 American Law and Economics Review
- Haufler V, A Public Role for the Private Sector (1st edn, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace 2001)
- Hawkins P, 'Financial Access and Financial Stability' BIS (2006) <www. bis. org/press/p060619. ht m>
- Hayes N and Westrup C, 'Context and the Processes of ICT for Development' (2012) 22 Information and Organization 23
- Hellmann T, Murdock K and Stiglitz J, 'Liberalization, Moral Hazard in Banking, And Prudential Regulation: Are Capital Requirements Enough?' [2000] American Economic Review
- Hellström J and Tröften P, *The Innovative Use of Mobile Applications in East Africa*: (Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (Sida) 2010)
- Hemeson C, 'Digital Divide: M-Africa' [2006] Available at SSRN 1285231

- Henten A, Samarajiva R and Melody W, 'The Next Step for Telecom Regulation: ICT Convergence Regulation or Multisector Utilities Regulation?' [2002] African Journal of Information and Communication.
- Henten A, Samarajiva R and Melody W, 'Designing Next Generation Telecom Regulation: ICT Convergence or Multi-Sector Utility?' (2003) 5 info
- Henten A, Samarajiva R and Melody W, 'The Next Step for Telecom Regulation: ICT Convergence Regulation or Multisector Utilities Regulation?' (2002) 3 African Journal of Information and Communication 94
- Herring R and Litan R, Financial Regulation in the Global Economy (1st edn, Brookings Institution 1995)
- Herzberg A, 'Payments and Banking with Mobile Personal Devices' (2003) 46 Communications of the ACM 53
- Heyer A and Mas I, 'Fertile Grounds for Mobile Money: Towards a Framework for Analyzing Enabling Environments' (2011) 22(1) Enterprise Development and Microfinance 30
- Hoggarth G, Jackson P and Nier E, 'Market Discipline And Financial Stability' [2003] The Future of Domestic Capital Markets in Developing Countries. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution
- Honohan P, 'Cross-Country Variation in Household Access To Financial Services' (2008) 32(11) Journal of Banking & Finance 2493
- Honohan P, 'Finance For All? Policies and Pitfalls in Expanding Access' [2008] World Bank
- Honohan P and King M, 'Cause And Effect of Financial Access: Cross-Country Evidence from the Finscope Surveys' [2009] World Bank mimeo
- Hood C, Rothstein H and Baldwin R, *The Government of Risk* (1st edn, Oxford University Press 2001)

- Houben A, Kakes J and Schinasi GJ, Toward a Framework for Safeguarding Financial Stability (International Monetary Fund 2004)
- Howells G, Micklitz H and Wilhelmsson T, European Fair Trading Law (1st edn, Ashgate Pub Co 2006)
- Howells G and Weatherill S, Consumer Protection Law (1st edn, Ashgate 2005)
- Hughes N and Lonie S, 'M-PESA: Mobile Money for the Unbanked Turning Cellphones Into 24-Hour Tellers in Kenya' (2007) 2 Innovations 63
- Humphrey D, Payment Systems (1st edn, World Bank 1995)
- Humphrey D, 'Replacement of Cash by Cards in US Consumer Payments' (2004) 56 Journal of Economics and Business
- Humphrey D and Berger A, 'Market Failure and Resource Use: Economic Incentives to Use Different Payment Instruments' [1990] Springer
- Humphrey D, Pulley L and Vesala J, 'Cash, Paper, and Electronic Payments: A Cross-Country Analysis' [1996] Journal of Money, Credit and Banking
- Hylton K, Antitrust Law (1st edn, Cambridge University Press 2003)
- Hyuha M, Ndanshau M and Kipokola J, Scope, Structure, And Policy Implications of Informal Financial Markets in Tanzania (1st edn, African Economic Research Consortium 1993)
- Indemowo E, 'Contract, Deposit or E-Value? Reconsidering Stored Value Products for a Modernized Payments Framework' 2009) 7 DePaul Business and Commercial Law Journal 275
- Ionescu L, Luazuaroiu G and cSerban S, 'A Theory of the Availability and Level of Consumer Protection in Online and Mobile Payments for Public Economic Services' (2013) 15 The Amfiteatru EConomic Journal
- Ivatury G and Mas I, 'The Early Experience With Branchless Banking' (2008) 46 Focus Note
- Jack W, Suri T and Sloan M, 'The Economics of M-PESA' [2010] Unpublished paper

- Jack W, Suri T and Townsend R, 'Monetary Theory and Electronic Money: Reflections on the Kenyan Experience' (2010) 96 FRB Richmond Economic Quarterly
- Jack W, Suri T and Townsend R, 'Monetary Theory and Electronic Money: Reflections On The Kenyan Experience' (2010) 96 FRB Richmond Economic Quarterly
- Jackson H, 'Regulation in A Multisectored Financial Services Industry: An Exploratory Essay' (1999) 77 Wash. ULQ
- Jacob K, 'Are Mobile Payments The Smart Cards Of The Aughts?' (2007) 240 Chicago Fed Letter
- Jalilian H, Kirkpatrick C and Parker D, '2. Creating The Conditions For International Business Expansion: The Impact Of Regulation On Economic Growth In Developing Countries--A Cross-Country Analysis' [2006] Regulating Development
- James J and Versteeg M, 'Mobile Phones in Africa: How Much Do We Really Know?' (2007) 84 Social Indicators Research
- James O, 'Regulation inside Government: Public Interest Justifications And Regulatory Failures' (2000) 78 Public Administration 327
- Jenkins B, 'Developing Mobile Money Ecosystems' [2008] Washington, DC: International Finance Corporation and Harvard Kennedy School
- Johnson C and Steigerwald R, "The Central Banks Role in the Payment System: Legal and Policy Aspects' Current Developments in Monetary and Financial Law (International Monetary Fund 2008)
- Johnson O EG, Payment Systems, Monetary Policy and the Role of the Central Bank (International Monetary Fund 1998
- Johnson OEG, 'Verifying Compliance with the Core Principles for Systemically Important Payment Systems' Unpublished paper, International Monetary Fund, (2000)
- Johnson S, Malkamaki M and Wanjau K, "Tackling the 'frontiers' Of Microfinance in Kenya: The Role for Decentralized Services' (2006) 17(3) Small Enterprise Development 41

- Johnston D and Morduch J, 'The Unbanked: Evidence From Indonesia' (2008) 22 World Bank Economic Review
- Joireman S, 'Inherited Legal Systems and Effective Rule of Law: Africa and The Colonial Legacy' (2001) 39(4) Journal of Modern African Studies 571
- Jordana J and Levi-Faur D, The Politics of Regulation (1st edn, E Elgar 2004)
- Jull K and Schmidt S, 'Preventing Harm in Telecommunications Regulation: A New Matrix of Principles and Rules within the Ex Ante versus Ex Post Debate' (2008) 47 Can. Bus. LJ
- Kahn C and Santos J, 'Allocating Bank Regulatory Powers: Lender of Last Resort,
 Deposit Insurance and Supervision' (2005) 49 European Economic Review
- Kalba K, 'The Adoption of Mobile Phones in Emerging Markets: Global Diffusion and the Rural Challenge' (2008) 2 International Journal of Communication 31
- Kanniainen L, 'Alternatives for Banks to Offer Secure Mobile Payments' (2010) 28 International Journal of Bank Marketing
- Kariuki G, 'Growth and Improvement of Information Communication Technology in Kenya' (2009) 5 International Journal of Education and Development using ICT
- Karlan D, Morduch J and Mullainathan S, 'Take-Up: Why Microfinance Take-Up Rates Are Low & Why It Matters' [2010] Financial Access Initiative Research Framing Note. New York: Financial Access Initiative
- Karnouskos S, 'Mobile Payment: A Journey through Existing Procedures and Standardization Initiatives' (2004) 6 Communications Surveys & Tutorials, IEEE
- Karrar A and Rahman A, 'A Stakeholder Analysis for Collaborative Mobile Money Service' (2013) 64 Jurnal Teknologi
- Katz C, The Law and the Low Income Consumer (1st edn, New York University School of Law 1968)

- Kaufman G, 'Bank Contagion: A Review of the Theory and Evidence' (1994) 8 Journal of Financial Services Research
- Kaufman G, 'Bank Failures, Systemic Risk, and Bank Regulation' (1996) 16 Cato J.
- Kaufman, G, 'Macro-Economic Stability and Bank Soundness'" Loyola University, Chicago. < http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.Cfm> (2001)
- Kaufman G, 'Macroeconomic Stability, Bank Soundness, and Designing Optimum Regulatory Structures' (2004) 8 Multinational Finance Journal
- Kaufman G and Scott K, 'What Is Systemic Risk, and Do Bank Regulators Retard or Contribute To It?' (2003) 7(3) Independent Review 371
- Kawai M and Prasad E, Financial Market Regulation and Reforms in Emerging Markets (1st edn, Brookings Institution Press 2011)
- Kemp R, 'Mobile Payments: Current and Emerging Regulatory and Contracting Issues' (2013) 29 Computer Law & Security Review
- Kempton E, Atkinson A and Pulley O, 'Policy Level Response to Financial Exclusion in Developed Economies: Lessons for Developing Countries' [2004] University of Bristol: The Personal Finance Research Centre
- Kendall J and others, 'An Emerging Platform: From Money Transfer System to Mobile Money Ecosystem' (2011) 6 Innovations
- Kenya, Financial Sector Deepening, 'The Role of Informal Financial Groups in Extending Access in Kenya' (2009)
- Kerretts M, 'ICT Regulation and Policy at A Crossroads: A Case Study of the Licensing Process in Kenya' [2004] African Journal of Information and Communication 49
- Kessler F, 'Contracts Of Adhesion: Some Thoughts about Freedom Of Contact' (1943) 43 COLUM. L. REV. 629
- Kessler I and Purcell J, 'Performance Related Pay: Objectives and Application' (1992) 2 Human Resource Management Journal 16
- Khan W, 'Mobile Payments Strategy' (2012) 6 Journal of Payments Strategy & Systems

- Khiaonarong T, 'Electronic Payment Systems Development in Thailand' (2000) 20 International Journal of Information Management
- Khiaonarong T and Liebenau J, 'The Analysis of Payment Systems Efficiency' [2009] Springer
- Kimenyi M and Ndungu N, 'Expanding the Financial Services Frontier: Lessons from Mobile Phone Banking In Kenya' (Washington, DC: Brookings Institution October 2009)
- Kirui O, Okello J and Nyikal R, 'Awareness of Mobile Phone-Based Money Transfer Services In Agriculture by Smallholder Farmers in Kenya' (2012) 3 International Journal of ICT Research and Development in Africa (IJICTRDA)
- Klein M and Mayer C, 'Mobile Banking and Financial Inclusion: The Regulatory Lessons' [2011] World Bank Policy Research Working Paper Series.
- Kodan A and Chhikara K, 'Status of Financial Inclusion in Haryana: An Evidence of Commercial Banks' (2011) 36 Management and Labour Studies
- Koops B, 'Should ICT Regulation Be Technology-Neutral?' in BJ Koops, M Lips, C Prins and M Schellekens (eds), 9 Starting Points for ICT Regulation. Deconstructing Prevalent Policy One-Liners, (It & Law Series 2006)
- Koskenniemi M and Leino P, 'Fragmentation of International Law? Postmodern Anxieties' (2002) 15 Leiden Journal of International Law 553
- Kramer W, Jenkins B and Katz R, 'The Role of the Information and Communications Technology Sector in Expanding Economic Opportunity' [2007] Cambridge, MA: Kennedy School of Government, Harvard UniversitY
- Kramer W, Jenkins B and Katz R, 'Large Companies, ICT, And Economic Opportunity' (2009) 4
- Krueger M, The Future of M-Payments: Business Options and Policy Issues (IPTS 2001)
- Kumar A, Chuppe T and Perttunen P, *The Regulation Of Non-Bank Financial Institutions* (1st edn, World Bank 1997)
- Kumar K and McKay C, 'Microfinance and Mobile Banking: The Story So Far' (2010) 62 Focus Note

- Kusimba S and others, 'Social Networks of Mobile Money in Kenya' [2013] IMTFI Institute of Mobile Money, Technology and Financial Inclusion
- LaBrosse J, Olivares-Caminal R and Singh D, Financial Crisis Containment and Government Guarantees Edward Elgar Pub (2013).
- Ladegaard P, 'Improving Business Environments through Regulatory Impact Analysis:

 Opportunities and Challenges for Developing Countries'In International
 Conference on Reforming the Business Environment," Cairo, November. 2005.
- Laffont J, 'Enforcement Regulation Development' (2003) 12(2) Journal of African Economics 193
- Laffont J, Regulation and Development (1st edn, Cambridge University Press 2005)
- Laffont J and Tirole J, 'The Politics of Government Decision-making: A Theory of Regulatory Capture' (1991) Quarterly Journal of Economics 1089
- Langan M, 'Private Sector Development as Poverty and Strategic Discourse: PSD in the Political Economy of EU—Africa Trade Relations' (2011) 49 the Journal of Modern African Studies
- Lanskoy S, 'The Legal Nature of Electronic Money' Banque de France Bulletin Digest(73), 21-38.
- Lastra RM, 'Governance Structure for Financial Regulation and Supervision in Europe' (2003) 10 Colum. J. Eur. L. 49
- Latzer M and Schmitz S, Carl Menger and the Evolution of Payments Systems (1st edn, E Elgar Pub 2002)
- Lauer K, Dias D and Tarazi M, 'Bank Agents: Risk Management, Mitigation, and Supervision' (2011) 75 Focus Note
- Lauer K and Tarazi M, 'Supervising Nonbank E-Money Issuers' [2012] CGAP, Washington, DC
- Brix L, Consumer Protection Regulation in Low-Access Environments. Opportunities to Promote Responsible Finance CGAP Focus Note

- Lawack V, 'Mobile Money, Financial Inclusion and Financial Integrity: The South African Case' [2013] HeinOnline
- Lawack-Davids V, 'Mobile Money, Financial Inclusion and Financial Integrity: The South African Case' (2012) 8 WASH JL TECH. & ARTS
- Lawack-Davids V, 'The Legal and Regulatory Framework of Mobile Payments in South Africa: a Trade-off?: Analyses' (2012) 24 SA Mercantile Law Journal= SA Tydskrif vir Handelsreg 77
- Lawrence G, 'Who Regulates The Regulators?' [2001] Bath: CRI, University of Bath, Interim Report
- Lehr W and Kiessling T, 'Telecommunication Regulation in the United States and Europe: The Case for Centralized Authority' [1999] Competition, regulation, and convergence-current trends in telecommunications policy research. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, New Jersey
- Leinonen H, 'Developments in Retail Payment Systems' [2001] Bank for International Settlements Information, Press & Library Services CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland
- Leisering L, The New Regulatory State (1st edn, Palgrave Macmillan 2011)
- Leishman P, 'Is There Really Any Money In Mobile Money?' [2010] London, UK: GSM Association (GSMA)
- Lelieveldt S, 'The Electronic Future of Cash: How to Regulate Electronic Cash An Overview of Regulatory Issues and Strategies' (1997) 46 American University Law Review 1163
- Lerner T, Mobile Payment (1st edn, Springer 2013)
- Levin S and Schmidt S, 'Telecommunications after Competition: Challenges, Institutions, Regulation' (2010) 12
- Levin S and Schmidt S, 'Telecommunications after Competition: Challenges,
 Institutions, Regulation' (2010) 2 info 28
- Levine M and Forrence J, 'Regulatory Capture, Public Interest, and the Public Agenda: Toward a Synthesis' [1990] Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization 167

- Levine R, Loayza N and Beck T, 'Financial Intermediation and Growth: Causality and Causes' (2000) 46 Journal of Monetary Economics 31
- Levy B and Spiller P, 'Institutional Foundations of Regulatory Commitment: A Comparative Analysis of Telecommunications Regulation, the' (1994) 10 JL Econ. & Org.
- Li X, 'Financial Stability of the Banking Sector-Interbank Contagion, Market Discipline, and Macroeconomic Roots of Crises' PhD Thesis University of Birmingham 2009.
- Linck K, Pousttchi K and Wiedemann D, 'Security Issues In Mobile Payment from the Customer Viewpoint' [2006] University of Augsburg
- Lindgren C, Garcia G and Saal M, Bank Soundness and Macroeconomic Policy (1st edn, International Monetary Fund 1996)
- Linna P, 'Base of the Pyramid (BOP) As A Source of Innovation: Experiences of Companies in the Kenyan Mobile Sector' (2012) 11 International Journal of Technology Management & Sustainable Development
- Listfield R and Montes-Negret F, *Modernizing Payment Systems in Emerging Economies* (1st edn, World Bank, Financial Sector Development Dept 1994)
- Listfield, and Montes-Negret, 'Modernizing Payment Systems in Emerging Economies, Policy Research Working Paper (1994)
- Litan R and Baily M, Moving Money (1st edn, Brookings Institution Press 2009)
- Llewellyn, David T. "Institutional structure of financial regulation and supervision: the basic issues." In a World Bank seminar "Aligning Supervisory Structures with Country Needs," Washington DC, pp. 6-7. 2006.
- Lowe R and Woodroffe G, Consumer Law and Practice (1st edn, Sweet and Maxwell 1980)
- Lu Y, Yang S, Chau PYK and Cao Y, 'Dynamics between the Trust Transfer Process and Intention to Use Mobile Payment Services: A Cross-Environment Perspective' (2011) 48(8) Information & Management 393
- Lumsden E, 'Securing Mobile Technology & Financial Transactions in the United States' (2012) 9 Berkeley Bus. LJ

- Lyman T, Ivatury G and Staschen S, 'Use Of Agents in Branchless Banking for the Poor: Rewards, Risks, and Regulation' (2006) 38 Focus Note
- Lyytinen T, 'Preliminary Insights to the Role of the Private Sector in Developing Mobile Services for Low-Income Segment: Case M-Pesa and Ovi Life Tools' [2010] M4D 2010
- Macey J, 'Business of Banking: Before and After Gramm-Leach-Bliley, the' (1999) 25 J. Corp. L.
- Macgregor L, Prosser T and Villiers C, Regulation and Markets Beyond 2000 (1st edn, Ashgate/Dartmouth 2000)
- Macharia J and Okunoye, A, 'Mobile Banking Influence on Wealth Creation and Poverty Reduction for the Unbanked' (2013). International conference on ICT for Africa 2013, February 20-23, Harare, Zimbabwe.
- Macleod H, The Theory and Practice of banking (1st edn, Longmans, Green 1892)
- MacNeil I and O'Brien J, The Future of Financial Regulation (1st edn, Hart 2010)
- Majone G, Regulating Europe (1st edn, Routledge 1996)
- Majone G, 'The Regulatory State and Its Legitimacy Problems' IHS Political Science Series No. 56, July 1998
- Majone G, 'Delegation of Regulatory Powers in A Mixed Polity' (2002) 8 European Law Journal
- Makin P and Hyperion C, 'Regulatory Issues around Mobile Banking' [2010] the Development Dimension ICTs for Development Improving Policy Coherence: Improving Policy Coherence
- Malan F, 'Legal Aspects of the Regulation of Financial Institutions' [1989] JS Afr. L.
- Manning M, Nier E and Schanz J, *The Economics of Large-Value Payments and Settlement* (1st edn, Oxford University Press 2009)
- Mariscal J, 'Mobiles for Development: M-Banking' [2009] Centro de Investigaci'on y

- Docencia Econ'omicas (CIDE), Mexico
- Mariscal J and Flores-Roux E, 'The Enigma of Mobile Money Systems' (2010) 1 Communications & Strategies
- Markose S and Loke Y, 'Changing Trends In Payment Systems for Selected G10 and EU Countries 1990-1998' (2000) Economic Discussion Papers 508
- Marston D, 'Financial System Standards and Financial Stability: The Case of Basel Core Principles' [2001] IMF Working Papers
- Mas I, 'The Economics of Branchless Banking' (2009) 4(2) Innovations 57
- Mas I and Klein M, 'A Note on Macro-Financial Implications of Mobile Money Schemes'
- Mas I and Kumar K, 'Banking On Mobiles: Why, How, For Whom?' [2008] CGAP Focus Note
- Mas I and Ngweno A, 'Three Keys to M-PESA's Success: Branding, Channel Management and Pricing' (2010) 4(4) Journal of Payments Strategy & Systems 352
- Mas I and Radcliffe D, 'Mobile Payments Go Viral: M-PESA in Kenya'2011) 5(3) Journal of Payments Strategy & Systems 298
- Mas I and Radcliffe D, 'Scaling Mobile Money' (2011) 5(3) Journal of Payments Strategy & Systems 298
- Mas I and Rosenberg J, 'The Role of Mobile Operators in Expanding Access to Finance' [2009] CGAP Brief, May
- Masters J, 'Fraud and Money Laundering: The Evolving Criminalization of Corporate Non-Compliance' (2008) 11 Journal of Money Laundering Control
- Matthal us-Maier I and Von Pischke J, New Partnerships for Innovation in Microfinance (1st edn, Springer 2008)

- Matutes C and Vives X, 'Imperfect Competition, Risk Taking, and Regulation in Banking' (2000) 44 European Economic Review
- Maurer B, 'Due Diligence and "Reasonable Man" Offshore' (2005) 20(4) Cultural Anthropology 474
- Maurer B, 'Retail Electronic Payments Systems for Value Transfers in the Developing World' [2008] Department of Anthropology, University of California
- Maurer B, 'Mobile Money: Communication, Consumption and Change in the Payments Space' (2012) 48 Journal of Development Studies
- Maurer B, Nelms T and Rea S, 'Bridges to Cash Channeling Agency in Mobile Money' (2013) 19 189 Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute 52
- Mauri A, 'The Currency Board and the Rise of Banking in East Africa' [2007] University of Milan Economics, Business and Statistics Working Paper
- Mbiti I and Weil D, 'Mobile Banking: The Impact of M-Pesa in Kenya'
- McAndrews J, 'Banking and Payment System Stability In An Electronic Money World'
- McAndrews J, 'Network Issues and Payment Systems' [1997] Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia Business Review, December
- McCormick R, Legal Risk in the Financial Markets (1st edn, Oxford University Press 2006)
- McEldowney J, Public Law (1st edn, Sweet & Maxwell 1994)
- McKee K, Lahaye E and Koning A, 'Responsible Finance: Putting Principles to Work' (2011) 73 Focus Note
- McTaggart T and Freese D, 'Regulation of Mobile Payments' (2010) 127 Banking LJ
- Medhi I, Ratan A and Toyama K, 'Mobile-Banking Adoption and Usage by Low-Literate, Low-Income Users in the Developing World' [2009] Springer
- Melody W, 'Interconnection: Cornerstone of Competition' [1997] To Dallas Smythe (1907-1993),

- Merritt C, 'Mobile Money Transfer Services: The Next Phase in the Evolution of Person-To-Person Payments' (2011) 5 Journal of Payments Strategy & Systems 143
- Meyer L, Increasing Global Financial Integrity (1st edn, The Board 1998)
- Meyer, LH, 'Comparative Central Banking and the Politics of Monetary Policy' Business (2001) 36(4) Economics 43
- Millard S, Haldane A and Saporta V, The Future of Payment Systems (1st edn, Routledge 2008)
- Mirembe D and <u>Kizito, J. Tuheirwe, D. Muyingi, H.N.</u>, 'A Model for Electronic Money Transfer for Low Resourced Environments: M-Cash' IEEE 389 393, 23-26 Nov. 2008
- Misati R and Nyamunga E, 'Financial Liberalization, Financial Fragility and Economic Growth in Sub-Saharan Africa' (2012) 8 Journal of Financial Stability
- Mitchell J, 'Convergent Communications, Fragmented Regulation and Consumer Needs' [1997] To Dallas Smythe (1907-1993),.
- Moran M, 'Review Article: Understanding the Regulatory State' (2002) 32 British Journal of Political Science
- Morawczynski O, Examining the Adoption, Usage and Outcomes of Mobile Money Services
- Morawczynski O, 'Exploring the Usage and Impact of 'Transformational' Mobile Financial Services: The Case of M-PESA in Kenya' (2009) 3(3) Journal of Eastern African Studies 509
- Morawczynski O, 'Surviving In the 'Dual System': How M-PESA Is Fostering Urban-To-Rural Remittances in A Kenyan Slum, (2008) 9
- Morawczynski O and Pickens M, 'Poor People Using Mobile Financial Services:

 Observations on Customer Usage and Impact from M-PESA' [2009] World

 Bank, Washington, DC

- Morgan B and Yeung K, An Introduction to Law and Regulation (1st edn, Cambridge University Press 2007)
- Morgan W, 'Urbanization in Kenya: Origins and Trends' [1969] 46 Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers 167
- Mortimer-Schutts I, "The Regulatory Implications of Mobile and Financial Services Convergence' Research Gate 2007.
- Mulwa M and Ndati N, 'Integrated Marketing Communication and Technology Adoption: A Case of Safaricom's M-PESA Mobile Money Transfer Services In Kenya' (2013) 5 African Journal of Science, Technology, Innovation and Development
- Mulwa M and others, 'Network Logic and the Stabilization of Mobile Banking Products a Case Study of Selected Mobile Banking Products in Kenya' (2013) 2 International Journal of Innovative Research and Development
- Mundy S, 'Financial Capability: Why Is It Important and How Can It Be Improved?' [2011] Perspective report, CfBT Education Trust
- Murdoch T, Norton S, Bowley C, Vaughan L, Carew W, Hughes N and Lonie S, 'Mobile Account Management' WO 2007.
- Mureithi M, 'Evolution of Telecommunications Policy Reforms In East Africa: Setting New Policy Strategies to Anchor Benefits of Policy Reforms' [2002] African Journal of Information and Communication
- Murumba S, 'Emerging Law of the Digital Domain and the Contract/IP Interface: An Antipodean Perspective, the' (2000) 26 Brook. J. Int'l L.
- Mutere A, 'An Analysis of Communication Policies in Kenya' (1988) 3 Africa Media Review
- Mutuku N, 'Case for Consolidated Financial Sector Regulation in Kenya' [2008] Available at SSRN 1837354
- Mutula S, 'Comparison of Sub-Saharan Africa's E-Government Status with Developed and Transitional Nations' (2008) 16 Information Management & Computer Security
- Mwakugu N, 'Money Transfer Service Wows Kenya' [2007] BBC News Online

- Mwega F, 'The Competitiveness and Efficiency of the Financial Services Sector In Africa: A Case Study of Kenya' (2011) 23 African Development Review 44
- Nafula R, 'Open Innovation: A Case Study Exploring Knowledge Transfer in Developing Markets: Emerging Markets, Knowledge Transfer, Open Innovation, Mobile Money Transfer, and Mpesa'
- Nakamoto S, 'Bitcoin: A Peer-To-Peer Electronic Cash System' (2008) 1
- Nakata C and Berger E, '18 New Product Development for the Base of the Pyramid: A Theory-And Case-Based Framework' [2011] Handbook of Research in International Marketing
- Ndirangu, N. "Response Strategies of Safaricom Limited to Macro environmental Changes." *An MBA Research Project, University of Nairobi*, (2011).
- Nieto M, 'Reflections on the Regulatory Approach to E-Finance' [2001] Bank for International Settlements Information, Press & Library Services CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland
- Nissanke M and Aryeetey E, Financial Integration and Development (1st edn, Routledge 1998)
- Nissanke M, 'Financing Enterprise Development in Sub-Saharan Africa' (2001) 25 Cambridge Journal of Economics
- Nkeri SP, 'The Case for Consolidated Regulation of Financial Conglomerates in Kenya' 2014.
- Nojiyeza S and Muthoka J, 'Barriers to Entry of Kenya's Telecommunication Industry: Is There a Market Slice for New Entrants?' (2013) 11(1) Journal of Management & Administration 136
- Nwankwo S, 'Assessing the Marketing Environment in Sub-Saharan Africa: Opportunities and Threats Analysis' (2000) 18 Marketing Intelligence & Planning 144
- Nyaga J, 'Mobile Banking Services in the East African Community (EAC): Challenges to the Existing Legislative and Regulatory Frameworks in the EAC' [2013] .
- Nzioka D, 'Impact of Mobile Banking On Microfinance Institutions: A Case Study of Small and Micro Enterprise Program (SMEP), Kenya'

- Obiero D, 'The Banking Sector Regulatory Framework in Kenya: It's Adequacy in Reducing Bank Failures'
- Obudho R, Urbanization In Kenya: A Bottom-Up Approach To Development Planning (Lanham Md./London England University Press of America 1983)
- Ogus A, 'Rethinking Self-Regulation' [1995] 15(1) Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 97
- Okuttah M, 'M-Pesa Drives Safaricom As Profit Declines To Sh12. 8Bn' Business Daily (2012)
- OMahony D, Peirce M and Tewari H, '7 Electronic Payment Systems' (1997).
- Omar P, International Insolvency Law (1st edn, Ashgate 2008)
- Omwansa T, 'M-PESA: Progress and Prospects' Innovations (Special Edition for GSMA Mobile World Congress 2009)
- Omwansa T and Waema T, 'Deepening Financial Inclusion through Collaboration to Create Innovative and Appropriate Financial Products for the Poor' Kenya Bankers Association Research Center.
- Ondrus J and Pigneur Y, 'Towards A Holistic Analysis of Mobile Payments: A Multiple Perspectives Approach' (2006) 5(3) Electronic Commerce Research and Applications 246
- Ondrus J, Lyytinen K and Pigneur Y, 'Why Mobile Payments Fail? Towards A Dynamic and Multi-Perspective Explanation' Proceedings of the 42nd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, HICSS. Waikoloa, Big Island, Hawaii (USA): IEEE Computer Society, 2009.
- Onsongo E, 'A Multi-Level Perspective on Inclusive Innovation: Reflections on Mobile Money in Kenya'
- Opata B, 'Transplantation and Evolution of Legal Regulation of Interconnection Arrangements in the Nigeria Telecommunications Sector' (2011) 14 Int'l J. Comm. L. & Pol'y 1
- Opata C, 'Regulatory Accountability in the Nigerian Telecommunications Sector' (2013)

- 57 Journal of African Law
- Otieno E, 'Challenges Of Implementing Agency Banking As an Expansion Strategy at Kenya Commercial Bank Group Ltd' MBA Thesis University of Nairobi 2012.
- Oughton D and Lowry J, Textbook on Consumer Law (1st edn, Blackstone 2000)
- Owens J, 'Offering Digital Financial Services to Promote Financial Inclusion: Lessons We've Learned' (2013) 8 innovations
- Padoa-Schioppa T, Regulating Finance (1st edn, Oxford University Press 2004)
- Page A, 'Self-Regulation: The Constitutional Dimension' (1986) 49 Modern Law Review 141
- Pasanek B and Polillo S, Beyond Liquidity (1st edn, Taylor and Francis 2013)
- Patel A, 'Harnessing M-Banking Potential by Banks in Rural India'
- Pauly M, 'Taxation, Health Insurance, and Market Failure in the Medical Economy' [1986] Journal of Economic Literature
- Payment, Group of Ten. Committee on, Settlement Systems and Bank for International Settlements. Survey of Developments in Electronic Money and Internet and Mobile Payments. Vol. 62: Committee on Payment and Settlement Systems, Bank for International Settlements, 2004.
- Pénicaud C, 'State of the Industry: Results from the 2012 Global Mobile Money Adoption Survey' GSMA, London, United Kingdom. http://gsma.com/mmu (2013).
- Penn G, Shea A and Arora M, *The Law Relating to Domestic Banking* (1st edn, Sweet & Maxwell 1987)
- Pennacchi G, 'Deposit Insurance, Bank Regulation, and Financial System Risks' (2006) 53 Journal of Monetary Economics
- Perlman L, 'Legal and Regulatory Aspects of Mobile Financial Services' PhD Thesis 2012 University of Pretoria.
- Perrow C, Living with High-Risk Technologies (1st edn, Princeton University Press 1999)
- Perrow C, Normal Accidents (1st edn, Princeton University Press 1999)

- Pickens M, Porteous D and Rotman S, 'Banking the Poor via G2P Payments' (2009) 58

 Focus Note
- Ping H, 'Regulatory Capture in China's Banking Sector' (2013) 14 Journal of Banking Regulation
- Pisciotta A, "Heather M Wilson and John a Wenzel" European E-Commerce Directive Will Have Controversial and Far-Reaching Impact", July 2000, Vol 2, No 7 Global Ecommerce Law and Business Report 1.
- Xvi Raymond T Nimmer," International Information Transactions: An Essay on Law in an Information Society"." *Brooklyn Journal of International Law* 26: 5.
- Plyler M, Haas S and Ngarajan G, 'Community-Level Economic Effects of M-PESA in Kenya: Initial Findings' [2010] College Park, MD: IRIS Center, Assessing the Impact of Innovation Grants in Financial Services Project
- Pope M, 'Mobile Payments: The Reality on the Ground in Selected Asian Countries and the United States' (2011) 6(2) International Journal of Mobile Marketing 88
- Porteous D, 'Mobilizing Money through Enabling Regulation' (2009) 4(1) Innovations 75
- Porteous D, 'Mobile Financial Services in Africa: The Next Generation' [2012] Financial Sector Development in Africa: Opportunities and Challenges
- Porteous D, Collins D and Abrams J, 'Policy Framing Note 3: Prudential Regulation In Microfinance' [2010] Financial Access Initiative, NYU Wagner Graduate School
- Porter G, 'Mobile Phones, Livelihoods and the Poor in Sub-Saharan Africa: Review and Prospect' (2012) 6 Geography Compass
- Power M, 'The Invention of Operational Risk' (2005) 12 Review of International Political Economy 577
- Prahalad C, The Fortune at the Bottom of the Pyramid (1st edn, Wharton School Pub 2005)
- Prasad E, 'Financial Sector Regulation and Reforms in Emerging Markets: An Overview' Prasad, Eswar S. Financial sector regulation and reforms in emerging markets: An

- overview. No. w16428. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2010.
- Prieger J, 'Regulation, Innovation, and the Introduction of New Telecommunications Services' (2002) 84 Review of Economics and Statistics
- Prochaska K and Brix L, 'Regulating Transformational Branchless Banking: Dispatches From the Policy Frontlines' (2008) 19 Enterprise Development and Microfinance

Prosser T, Nationalized Industries and Public Control (1st edn, B Blackwell 1986)

Prosser T, Law and the Regulators (1st edn, Clarendon Press 1997)

Prosser T, 'Theorizing Utility Regulation' (1999) 62 Modern Law Review

Prosser T, The Regulatory Enterprise (1st edn, Oxford University Press 2010)

- Qiang C and Siou Chew Kuek, Andrew Dymond and Steve Esselaar, 'Mobile Applications for Agriculture and Rural Development' [2011] World Bank, Washington, DC
- Quigley F, 'Growing Political Will From The Grassroots: How Social Movement Principles Can Reverse The Dismal Legacy Of Rule Of Law Interventions' (2009) 41 Columbia Human Rights Law Review.
- Rajkot G, Bharadiya H and Bhuj G, 'Financial Inclusion through Mobile Way: A Case Study of M-Pesa Bhavik M. Panchasara Asst. Professor Faculty of Management Marwadi Education Foundational Group of Institutions' Chief Patron
- Ratan A, 'Using Technology to Deliver Financial Services to Low-Income Households: A Preliminary Study of Equity Bank and M-PESA Customers in Kenya'
- Raunak Kapoor S and others, 'MOBILE MONEY--INFLUENCERS OF SUCCESS'
- Reinhart C and Rogoff K, 'Is The 2007 US Sub-Prime Financial Crisis So Different? An International Historical Comparison'

- Reiss AJ, 'Consequences of Compliance and Deterrence Models of Law Enforcement for the Exercise of Police Discretion' (1984) Law and Contemporary Problems 83
- Research A and others, 'Protecting Subjects, Preserving Trust, Promoting Progress II:

 Principles and Recommendations for Oversight of an Institution's Financial
 Interests in Human Subjects Research' (2003) 78 Academic Medicine
- Ribadu N, 'Cybercrime and Commercial Fraud: A Nigerian Perspective'
- Richard C, 'Dodd-Frank, International Remittances, And Mobile Banking: The Federal Reserve's Role in Enabling International Economic Development' (2011) 105 Nw. UL Rev. Colloquy
- Rider B, Abrams C and Ashe M, *Guide to Financial Services Regulation* (1st edn, CCH Editions 1997)
- Rinearson J, 'Regulation of Electronic Stored Value Payment Products Issued By Non-Banks under State" Money Transmitter" Licensing Laws' [2002] 58 Business Lawyer 317
- Robson M, 'Electronic Money: Public Policy Issues' (1996) 1 Financial Stability Review.
- Rochet J and Tirole J, 'Controlling Risk in Payment Systems' [1996] Journal of Money, Credit and Banking
- Rockoff H, 'The Free Banking Era: A Reexamination' [1974] Journal of Money, Credit and Banking 141
- Rodriquez S, 'Self-Regulation as A Regulatory Strategy: The Italian Legal Framework' (2007) 3 Utrecht L. Rev.
- Roe M, 'Legal Origins, Politics, and Modern Stock Markets' (2006) 120 Harvard Law Review 460
- Rogers J, The End of Negotiable Instruments (1st edn, Oxford University Press 2011)
- Roseman D, 'Domestic Regulation and Trade in Telecommunications Services: Experience and Prospects under the GATS' [2003] Domestic regulation and service trade liberalization
- Ross M and Weisner T, 'The Rural-Urban Migrant Network in Kenya: Some General

- Implications' (1977) 4 American Ethnologist 359
- Rothstein H, Huber M and Gaskell G, 'A Theory of Risk Colonization: The Spiralling Regulatory Logics of Societal and Institutional Risk' (2006) 35 Economy and Society
- Rothstein H, Irving P, Walden T, and Yearsley R, 'The Risks of Risk-Based Regulation: Insights from the Environmental Policy Domain' (2006) 32(8) Environment International 1056
- Ruhl G, 'Consumer Protection in Choice of Law' (2011) 44 Cornell International Law Journal 569
- Russen J, Financial Services (1st edn, Oxford University Press 2006)
- Ryder N, Financial Crime In The 21St Century (1st edn, Edward Elgar 2011)
- Salesky J H and Madams P, Machine-Implemented System and Method for Providing Timed

 Targeted Promotional Offers to Individual Payment Account Users with Feedback (Google
 Patents 2008)
- Samarajiva R, 'How the Developing World May Participate In the Global Internet Economy: Innovation Driven By Competition' Joint Workshop on 'Policy Coherence in the Application of Information and Communication Technologies for Development,' Paris, France, September 10-11, 2009. Available at SSRN: http://ssrn.com/abstract=1564529 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1564529
- Sarma M and Pais J, 'Financial Inclusion and Development' (2011) 23 Journal of International Development
- Satol, S and Humphrey D, Transforming Payment Systems (1st edn, World Bank 1995)
- Saunders A, 'Banking and Commerce: An Overview of the Public Policy Issues' (1994) 18 Journal of Banking & Finance 231
- Saxena A, 'Accelerating Financial Inclusion through Innovative Channels: 10 Obstacles for MFIs Launching Alternative Channels and What Can Be Done About Them' (2009) 27 Insight
- Schierz P, Schilke O and Wirtz B, 'Understanding Consumer Acceptance of Mobile

- Payment Services: An Empirical Analysis' (2010) 9(3) Electronic Commerce Research and Applications 209
- Schulze WG, 'Smart Cards and e-Money: New Developments Bring New Problems' (2004) 16(4) SA Mercantile Law Journal 712
- Schinasi G, 'Defining Financial Stability and Establishing A Framework to Safeguard It' (2011) 15 Central Banking, Analysis, and Economic Policies Book Series
- Schwartz A, 'Currency Boards: Their Past, Present, And Possible Future Role' (1993) 39
- Schwarcz SL, 'Protecting Financial Markets: Lessons from the Subprime Mortgage Meltdown' (2008) 93 MINN. L. REV. 373
- Schwarcz SL, 'Understanding the Subprime Financial Crisis' (2009) 60 S.C. L. REV. 549
- Schwarcz SL, 'Ex Ante versus Ex Post Approaches to Financial Regulation Friday January 28, 2011' (2011) 15 Chap. L. Rev. 257
- Scott K, 'Does Bank Regulation Retard or Contribute To Systemic Risk?' [2000] Stanford Law and Economics Olin Working Paper
- Senbet L and Valenzuela P Elena Carletti, Robert Cull, Jun Qian, Lemma W. Senbet, and Patricio Valenzuela, 'Improving Access to Banking: Evidence from Kenya' (2014).
- Senthe, SE, "Transformative Technology in Microfinance: Delivering Hope Electronically?' (2012) Pittsburgh Journal of Technology Law and Policy page.13
- Shachmurove Y, 'An Introduction to the Special Issues On Financial Markets of the Middle East' (2004) 9(3) International Journal of Business 213
- Shaffer S, 'Regulatory Inertia and Risk Reduction' (1985) 2 Review of Industrial Organization (1985): 56-67.
- Shah A, 'Analysing Systemic Risk in Banking and Financial Markets' (1997) 5 Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance, Vol. 5 Iss: 1, pp.37.

- Shah A, 'Regulatory Arbitrage Through Financial Innovation' (1997) 10(1) Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal 85
- Shah A, 'Global Financial Crisis' (2009) 25.
- Shams H, Legal Globalization (1st edn, British Institute of International and Comparative Law 2004)
- Shih A, 'The Contribution of Mobile Telecommunication Technology to Sustainable Development in Selected Countries in Africa'
- Shrum, W., Mbatia, P. N., Palackal, A., Dzorbo, D.-B. S., Duque, R. B. and Ynalvez, M. A., and , 'Mobile Phones and Core Network Growth in Kenya: Strengthening Weak Ties' (2011) 40 Social Science Research (2011), 613--625.
- Siems M, 'Legal Origins: Reconciling Law & (And) Finance and Comparative Law' (2007) 52 McGill Law Journal
- Sifers R, 'Regulating Electronic Money in Small-Value Payment Systems: Telecommunications Law As A Regulatory Model' (1996) 49 Federal Commerce. Law Journal 701
- Sihanya B, 'Intellectual Property for Innovation and Industrialization in Kenya' (2008) 4 Convergence
- Simiyu C, 'Micro-Finance The Effects Of Mobile Phone Money Transfer System (M-Pesa) On The Profitability Of Micro And Small Enterprises In Bungoma South Sub-County In Kenya' Jomo Kenyatta University Of Agriculture And Technology
- Singh D, 'Enforcement Methods and Sanctions in Banking Regulation and Supervision' (2002) 4(4) International and Comparative Corporate Law Journal 307
- Singh D, Banking Regulation of UK and US Financial Markets (1st edn, Ashgate 2007)
- Singh R,. Mobile Phones for Development and Profit: A Win-Win Scenario (Overseas Development Institute 2009
- Singh S, 'Electronic Commerce and the Sociology of Money' [2000] Sociological Research Online
- Singh S, 'Sending Money Home: Money and Family in the Indian Diaspora' (2005) 13

- Singh S, Globalization and Money (1st edn, Rowman & Littlefield Publishers 2013)
- Singh T, 'Does Domestic Saving Cause Economic Growth? A Time-Series Evidence from India' (2010) 32 Journal of Policy Modeling 231
- Slater R, 'The Regulation of Known Unknowns: Toward Good Regulatory Governance Principles' [2009] Regulatory Governance Brief 3 (2009).
- Slovic P, Fischhoff B and Lichtenstein S, 'Facts and Fears: Understanding Perceived Risk' [1980] Societal risk assessment. Springer US, 1980. 181-216.
- Smertnik H, 'M4D: How the Mobile Phone Becomes a Tool for Development Focus on the Republic Of Kenya' Political science. 2012. <dumas-00826805>
- Smethurst J, Twin Peaks: Bridging the Gap. Co-Ordination under the New Regulatory Framework-PRA and FCA: Key Areas of Potential Overlap'." (2012) 27(1) Butterworths Journal of International Banking and Financial Law 33
- Smith B and Wilson R, 'The Electronic Future of Cash: How Best to Guide the Evolution of Electronic Currency Law' (1997) 46 The American Undergraduate Law Review 1105
- Smith D, 'What Is Regulation-A Reply to Julia Black' (2002) 27 Australian Law Journal of Legal Philosophy.
- Solin M and Zerzan A, 'Mobile Money: Methodology for Assessing Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing Risks' [2010] The GSM Association, last modified January
- Solomon A, 'Toward A More Resilient International Financial System' [1983] Federal Reserve Bank of New York Quarterly Review
- Son C and Kim W, 'Enhanced Account Management System Using Mobile Phone and Code Table' [2005]
- Spamann H, 'Contemporary Legal Transplants: Legal Families and the Diffusion of (Corporate) Law' [2009] Brigham Young University Law Review. 6
- Spatt C, 'Regulatory Conflict: Market Integrity Vs. Financial Stability' (2009) 71 University of Pittsburg Law Review.
- Sr K, 'Urbanization in Kenya' (2012) 1 African Journal of Economic and Sustainable

Development

- Sriram M, Chaturvedi V and Neti A, 'Too Big To Fail Versus Too Small To Be Counted' (2012) 62 BIS Papers No
- Ssonko, G. W. (2011). Status of Mobile Money Services in Uganda: An Exploratory Study.

 Bank of Uganda Working Paper, BOUWP/08/11. Retrieved April 20, 2013, from http://www.bou.or.ug/export/sites/default/bou/boudownloads/research/Bou
 WorkingPapers/2011/All/Status_of_Mobile_Money_Services_in_Uganda__An_Exploratory_Study_BOUWP0811.pdf>
- Stamoulis D, Kanellis P and Martakos D, 'An Approach and Model for Assessing the Business Value Of E-Banking Distribution Channels: Evaluation as Communication' (2002) 22 International Journal of Information Management
- Stapleton T, 'Unlocking the Transformative Potential of Branchless Banking in Indonesia' (2013) 49 Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies
- Stephens M, 'Promoting Responsible Financial Inclusion: A Risk-Based Approach to Supporting Mobile Money Transfer Services Expansion' (Fall 2011) 27(1) Banking and Finance Law Review 329
- Streeck W and Schmitter P, 'Community, Market, State-And Associations? The Prospective Contribution of Interest Governance to Social Order' (1985) 1(2) European Sociological Review 119
- Strikulys N, 'Impact of the EU Financial Regulatory and Supervision Reform to the Development of the Fundamental Principles of Lithuanian Financial Markets Regulation'
- Sultana R and Point C, 'Mobile Banking: Overview of Regulatory Framework in Emerging Markets' International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences August 2014, Vol. 4, No. 8
- Sutherland E, 'A Short Note On Corruption in Telecommunications in Kenya' [2012] Available at SSRN 1996429

- Sutherland E, 'Corruption in Telecommunications: Problems and Remedies' (2012) 14 info.
- Sutherland E, 'The Enterprise and the Digital Single Market: Business Telecommunications' (2013) 15 info.
- Suvedil S, International Investment Law (1st edn, Hart 2008)
- Tan M, E-Payment (1st edn, Singapore University Press 2004)
- Tarazi M and Breloff P, 'Nonbank E-Money Issuers: Regulatory Approaches to Protecting Customer Funds' (2010) 63 Focus Note
- Tatom J and Godsted D, 'Targeting the Unbanked-Financial Literacy's Magic Bullet?'
 [2006] Networks Financial Institute at Indiana State University
- Taylor J, 'The Monetary Transmission Mechanism: An Empirical Framework' [1995] The Journal of Economic Perspectives 11460
- Taylor M, 'Peak Practice: How to Reform the UK's Regulatory System' Centre for the Study of Financial Innovation, London October, 1996
- Tchouassi G, 'Can Mobile Phones Really Work To Extend Banking Services To The Unbanked? Empirical Lessons from Selected Sub-Saharan Africa Countries' (2012)

 1 International Journal of Developing Societies
- Tempelman J and Fridson M, 'Foundations of Banking Risk: An Overview of Banking, Banking Risks, and Risk-Based Banking Regulation (A Review)' (2009) 4 Book Reviews
- Tesnit D, 'Mobile Device Aids PV and Seal-Life Calculations' [2010] Sealing Technology
- Thal SN, 'The Inequality of Bargaining Power Doctrine: The Problem Of Defining Contractual Unfairness' 8 Oxford Journal of Legal Studies [page?].
- The East African, 'Safaricom Raises M-Pesa Tariffs as Kenya Slaps Telcos with New Tax'' (2012) http://www.theeastafrican.co.ke/news/Safaricom-raises-MPesa-tariffs/-/2558/1681810/-/view/printVersion/-/gvug2l/-/index.html accessed 19 September 2014

- The Standard Newspaper, 'Clearance Of Cheques To Take Less Time Read More At: Http://Standardgroup.Co.Ke/?Articleid=2000013818&Story_Title=Clearance-Of-Cheques-To-Take-Less-Time&Pageno=2' (2010)
- The Standard Newspaper, 'Safaricom Should Consider M-Pesa Fall Back Servers' (2012)
- Tobin, P. (2011). Understanding the mobile money ecosystem: Roles, Structure and Strategies. 2011 10th International Conference on Mobile Business. Aalborg,
- Todoroki E, Making Remittances Work Todoroki E, Noor W, Celik K., Kulathunga A (Eds.);

 Making Remittances Work: Balancing Financial Integrity and Inclusion. World Bank

 Publications, 2014.
- Tribe L, 'Foreword: Toward A Model of Roles in the Due Process of Life and Law' (1973) 87 Harv. Law. Review.
- Trichet J, 'Financial System Resilience' [2013] Systemic Risk: The Dynamics of Modern Financial Systems
- Trichet JC, 'Systemic Risk' Clare Distinguished Lecture in Economics and Public Policy, organised by Clare College, University of Cambridge (2009) 10
- Tshitenge M, 'Mobile Banking and the Financial Services Needs Of the Poor: An Adoption Framework' November University of Pretoria.
- Tucker P, 'The Debate on Financial System Resilience: Macroprudential Instruments' (2009) 22 Barclays annual lecture, London Speech by Mr Paul Tucker, Deputy Governor for Financial Stability at the Bank of England, at Barclays Annual Lecture, London, 22 October 2009.
- Turner P and Wunnicke D, Managing the Risks of Payment Systems (1st edn, J Wiley 2003)
- Tyree A, Banking Law in Australia (1st edn, Butterworths 1990)
- Upadhyaya R, 'Analyzing the Sources and Impact of Segmentation in the Banking Sector: A Case Study of Kenya' PhD Thesis 2009.
- Utility M, 'Next Generation Telecom Regulation: ICT Convergence or Multisector Utility ' 2003. World Dialogue on Regulation of Market Economies.

- Valcourt E, Robert J and Beaulieu F, 'Investigating Mobile Payment: Supporting Technologies, Methods, and Use' (2005) 4
- Van der Heijden H, 'Factors Affecting the Successful Introduction of Mobile Payment Systems' [2002] BLED 2002 Proceedings
- Van der Werff A and Peach N, 'A Cross-Country Analysis of Financial Inclusion within the OECD' (2013) 59 Consumer Interests Annual
- Vanderhoof, R. (2007). Contactless payments: delivering merchant and consumer benefits. EPRG Contactless Forum, Federal Reserve Bank of Boston.
- Vaughan P, Fengler W and Joseph M, 'Scaling Up Through Disruptive Business Models: The Inside Story Of Mobile Money in Kenya' [2012] Getting to Scale: How to Bring Development Solutions to Millions of Poor People
- Verdier 'International Law: Banking on Basel: The Future of International Financial Regulation' (2010) 104 American Journal of International Law
- Verme P and Benavides R, 'Virtual Currencies, Micropayments and the Payments Systems: A Challenge to Fiat Money and Monetary Policy?' (2013) 9 European Scientific Journal.
- Verme P, Hernandez L and Benavides R, 'Virtual Currencies, Micropayments and the Payments Systems: A Challenge to Fiat Money and Monetary Policy?' (2013) 19 European Scientific Journal 9.
- Vickers J, 'Abuse of Market Power*' (2005) 115 the Economic Journal F244
- Vincent K and Cull T, 'Cell Phones, Electronic Delivery Systems and Social Cash Transfers: Recent Evidence and Experiences from Africa' (2011) 64 International Social Security Review 37
- Wachira C, 'Airtel Challenges Safaricom Supremacy in Money-Transfer Industry *Business*Daily (2014)
- Waema T, 2007 'Kenya Telecommunications Sector Performance Review' a Supply Side Analysis of Policy Outcomes. School of Computing and Informatics, University of Nairobi
- Wambugu S, 'Mobile Phones to Offer Health Sector the Kiss Of Life' Daily Nation (2014)

- Wanjiku R, 'Kenya Communications Amendment Act (2009) Progressive or Retrogressive?' [2009] Association for Progressive Communications (APC)
- Wanyonyi P and Bwisa H, 'Influence Of Mobile Money Transfer Services on the Performance of Micro Enterprises in Kitale Municipality' (2013) 3 International Journal of Academic Research in Business & Social Sciences
- Weber R, 'Regulatory Framework for Mobile Financial Services' www.mgovworld.org/.../regulatory-framework-for-mobile-financialserv
- Weber Rolf and Darbellay A, 'Legal Issues in Mobile Banking' (2010) 11(2) Journal of Banking Regulation 129
- Webster J and Watson R, 'Analyzing the Past to Prepare For the Future: Writing A Literature Review' (2002) 26 Management Information Systems Quarterly
- Wentworth E, 'Direct Debits, Consumer Protection and Payment System Regulation Issues of Policy and Reform' (2002) 13 JBFLP 77
- Whalen R, 'What Is a Core Deposit And Why Does It Matter? Legislative And Regulatory Actions Regarding FDIC-Insured Bank Deposits Pursuant To The Dodd-Frank Act'
- Whish R, Competition Law (1st edn, Oxford University Press 2009)
- Wiener J, 'The Regulation of Technology, and the Technology of Regulation' (2004) 26 Technology in Society
- Wilczy'nski R and Graff P, 'The Role of International Financial Institutions in Poland's Transition to A Market Economy' [1994] Eastern European Economics
- Wild C, Electronic and Mobile Commerce Law (1st edn, University of Hertfordshire Press 2011)
- Williams I, 'Regulatory Frameworks and Implementation Patterns for Mobile Money in Africa: The Case of Kenya, Ghana and Nigeria' (2007) 3 Information Technologies and International Development

- Williams L and Woodson T, 'The Future of Innovation Studies In Less Economically Developed Countries' (2012) 50 Minerva
- Winn J, 'Clash of the Titans: Regulating the Competition between Established and Emerging Electronic Payment Systems' (1999) 14 Berkeley Technology Law Journal 675
- Winn J and de Koker L, 'Developing Countries: Financial Inclusion and Financial Integrity: Introduction to Mobile Money in Developing Countries: Financial Inclusion and Financial Integrity Conference Special Issue' (2013) 8 Washington Journal of Law and Technology and Arts.
- Winn J and De Koker L, 'Introduction to Mobile Money in Developing Countries: Financial Inclusion and Financial Integrity Conference, Special Issue' (2013) 8 Washington Journal of Law, Technology & Arts
- Winn J and Koker L, 'Introduction to Mobile Money in Developing Countries: Financial Inclusion and Integrity Conference Special Issue' 2013 HeinOnline
- Winn J, 'Risk Management for Electronic Banking and Electronic Money Activities' 1998 Ashgate
- Wood P, Law and Practice of International Finance (1st edn, Sweet & Maxwell 1980)
- Woolcock M, 'Social Capital and Economic Development: Toward a Theoretical Synthesis and Policy Framework' (1998) 27 Theory and Society
- Yeung K, 'Government by Publicity Management: Sunlight or Spin?' (2005) Public Law 360
- Yue E, 'Marrying the Micro-And Macro-Prudential Dimensions of Financial Stability-The Hong Kong Experience' [2001] BIS paper
- Zerzan A, New Technologies, New Risks? (1st edn, World Bank 2010)
- Zhang B and Hua Dong H, 'User-Mobile Phone Interactions: A Post phenomenology Analysis' in Aaron Marcus, *Design, User Experience, and Usability. Design Philosophy, Methods, and Tools:* (Springer 2013) 171–180
- Zmijewska A, Lawrence E and Steele R, 'Towards Understanding of Factors Influencing User Acceptance of Mobile Payment Systems.'