

Original citation:

Mj, Mahan and Series, Caroline. (2017) Limits of limit sets II : geometrically infinite groups. Geometry and Topology, 21 (2). pp. 647-692. **Permanent WRAP URL:** <u>http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/79495</u>

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Publisher's statement:

First published in Geometry and Topology in 21 (2). pp. 647-692 by Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

A note on versions:

The version presented in WRAP is the published version or, version of record, and may be cited as it appears here.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk

Limits of limit sets II: Geometrically infinite groups

MAHAN MJ CAROLINE SERIES

We show that for a strongly convergent sequence of purely loxodromic finitely generated Kleinian groups with incompressible ends, Cannon–Thurston maps, viewed as maps from a fixed base limit set to the Riemann sphere, converge uniformly. For algebraically convergent sequences, we show that there exist examples where even pointwise convergence of Cannon–Thurston maps fails.

30F40, 57M50

1 Introduction

Given an isomorphism between Kleinian groups, a Cannon–Thurston map is a continuous equivariant map between their limit sets. It is by no means obvious that such a map always exists; however, as the culmination of a long series of developments, it was shown by the first author [35] that given a weakly type-preserving (see below) isomorphism between any geometrically finite group Γ and any Kleinian group G, a Cannon–Thurston map always exists.

This paper is the second of two dealing with convergence of Cannon–Thurston maps, or CT-maps, considered as a sequence of continuous maps from the limit set of a fixed geometrically finite group to the sphere. The main questions addressed in both papers are:

- (1) Does strong convergence of finitely generated Kleinian groups imply uniform convergence of CT-maps?
- (2) Does algebraic convergence of finitely generated Kleinian groups imply pointwise convergence of CT-maps?

In our first paper [38], we dealt with the geometrically finite case by showing that both questions have a positive answer for a sequence of geometrically finite groups converging to a geometrically finite limit, provided that, in case (2), the geometric limit is also geometrically finite. As observed in [38], it is easy to see that if the groups converge algebraically but not strongly, then uniform convergence necessarily fails.

In the present paper, we study the situation in which the limit group is geometrically infinite. We show that, in the absence of parabolics and with incompressible ends, the answer to question (1) is always positive, but, in what is the most unexpected outcome of our investigations, we provide a counterexample to question (2) by exhibiting a sequence of geometrically finite groups converging algebraically but not strongly, for which the corresponding CT-maps fail to converge pointwise at a countable set of points. The class of limit groups in question are Brock's partially degenerate examples [9], described in more detail below. Thus our second main result answers in the negative the second part of Thurston's problem 14 in his seminal paper [41]. In these examples, both the algebraic and geometric limits of the G_n are geometrically infinite. We do not know whether there exist examples of nonconvergence in which the algebraic limit is geometrically finite but the geometric limit is not.

Recall that an isomorphism $\rho: \Gamma \to G$ between Kleinian groups is *strictly type pre*serving if $\rho(\gamma) \in G$ is parabolic if and only if $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is also parabolic; it is *weakly type preserving* if the image of any parabolic element is parabolic. Since CT-maps preserve fixed points, is easy to see that a necessary criterion for the existence of a CT-map $\hat{i}: \Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_{G}$ between limit sets is that ρ be weakly type preserving.

Our first main result, largely answering question (1), is:

Theorem A Let Γ be a geometrically finite Kleinian group without parabolics which does not split as a free product. Let $\rho_n: \Gamma \to G_n$ be a sequence of strictly typepreserving isomorphisms to geometrically finite Kleinian groups G_n which converge strongly to a totally degenerate purely loxodromic Kleinian group $G_{\infty} = \rho_{\infty}(\Gamma)$. Then the sequence of CT-maps $\hat{i}_n: \Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_{G_n}$ converges uniformly to $\hat{i}_{\infty}: \Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_{G_{\infty}}$.

This result was proved by Miyachi [30] in the case in which Γ is a surface group without parabolics and the injectivity radius is uniformly bounded below along the whole sequence. The condition that Γ does not split as a free product is equivalent to requiring that all ends of the manifold \mathbb{H}^3/Γ are incompressible; see Bonahon [5].

Theorem A of [38], which is essentially the above result in the geometrically finite case, does not have any of the restrictions (absence of parabolics, not splitting as a free product, strictly type preserving, totally degenerate) imposed above. We introduce these restrictions largely because of technical issues concerning the model for the ends of the limit manifold \mathbb{H}^3/G_{∞} . With a bit more work, similar techniques to those used here can be used to prove the theorem in the general case; see [31] by the first author.

If the convergence is algebraic but not strong, then uniform convergence necessarily fails. This is an immediate consequence of Evans' theorem [16; 17] that the limit

sets Λ_{G_n} converge in the Hausdorff metric to the limit set of the *geometric* limit in the Hausdorff metric; see [38] for further discussion. As far as we know, the question of pointwise convergence in this situation has not previously been addressed. In answer to question (2), we have:

Theorem B Let Γ be a Fuchsian group for which \mathbb{H}^2/Γ is a closed surface of genus at least 2. Then there exists a Kleinian group G_{∞} , together with an isomorphism $\rho_{\infty}: \Gamma \to G_{\infty} = \rho_{\infty}(\Gamma)$ and a sequence of representations $\rho_n: \Gamma \to G_n$ to geometrically finite groups converging algebraically to G_{∞} , such that the sequence of CT-maps $\hat{i}_n: \Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_{G_n}$ fails to converge pointwise to \hat{i}_{∞} at a countable set of points in Λ_{Γ} .

Implicit in the statement of Theorem A is the existence of the CT-map from Λ_{Γ} to $\Lambda_{G_{\infty}}$. This result has a long history which we do not intend to repeat in detail here. The most general result, in which $G = G_{\infty}$ is an arbitrary torsion free nonelementary Kleinian group, can be found in [35]. The restricted case, in which Γ is a surface group and G is singly or doubly degenerate, is the main result of [36] by the first author; see Section 4 below. The original seminal case in which $M = \mathbb{H}^3/G$ is the cyclic cover of a 3-manifold fibering over the circle with fibers a closed surface is of course due to Cannon and Thurston [14]; this was extended to the case in which G is a surface group with a lower bound on the lengths of loxodromics in Bowditch [6] and Mitra [29], or more generally when M is an arbitrary hyperbolic manifold with incompressible boundary in [32] by the first author. The older history in the case in which G is geometrically finite is discussed in [38].

In [38], we introduced general criteria for uniform and pointwise convergence of CTmaps, called UEPP and EPP respectively. These compare the geometry of the obvious embedding of the Cayley graph of the base group Γ into \mathbb{H}^3 , to the corresponding embeddings for the groups G_n and G_∞ . The main work in this paper consists in verifying that these criteria hold (in the case of Theorem A) or understanding why they do not (in the case of Theorem B). After slightly reformulating the condition UEPP, we see that for the case of strictly type-preserving maps of surface groups, the needed condition has essentially already been proved in [36]. In order to explain this, we give in Section 4.2 a brief outline of the relevant parts of the arguments in [36]. For the benefit of readers who have not gone through all of this previous work, which in turn depends heavily on the Minsky model of degenerate Kleinian groups, we preface this by briefly sketching in Section 4.1 how the argument goes in the case of groups of bounded geometry, thus reproving Miyachi's theorem [30]. Our proof in this case follows easily using the method explained by Mitra [29] and the first author [34], and is independent of [36].

Let *R* be a surface with boundary and Λ a lamination on *R*. A complementary region in $R \setminus \Lambda$ is called a *crown domain* if it contains a component of ∂R . The counterexample

in Theorem B arises from Brock's examples of a sequence of quasi-Fuchsian groups G_n converging algebraically but not strongly to a partially degenerate group G_{∞} . More precisely, we prove the following, which immediately implies Theorem B:

Theorem C Fix a closed hyperbolizable surface *S*, together with a separating simple closed curve σ dividing *S* into two pieces *L* and *R*. Let α denote an automorphism of *S* such that $\alpha|_L$ is the identity and $\alpha|_R = \chi$ is a pseudo-Anosov diffeomorphism of *R* fixing the boundary σ . Let *X* be a hyperbolic structure on *S* and let *G_n* be the quasi-Fuchsian group given by the simultaneous uniformization of $(\alpha^n(X), X)$. Let G_{∞} denote the algebraic limit of the sequence G_n , suitably normalized by a basepoint in the lift of the lower boundary of *X*. Let $\hat{i}_n: \Lambda_{G_0} \to \Lambda_{G_n}, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$, be the corresponding CT-maps and let $\xi \in \Lambda_{G_0}$. Then $\hat{i}_n(\xi)$ converges to $\hat{i}_{\infty}(\xi)$ if and only if ξ is not the endpoint of the lift to \mathbb{H}^2 of a boundary leaf, other than σ , of the crown domain of the unstable lamination of χ , viewed as a lamination on the surface *R*.

An alternate direct proof of Theorem B using the interplay of algebraic and geometric limits was provided by the anonymous referee. We incorporate this in Section 5.4. The reader interested primarily in the counterexample given by Theorem B can go directly to Section 5.4 if (s)he is familiar with Brock's example.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we set up background and notation, in particular reviewing briefly what we need from the theory of hyperbolic spaces and electric geometry in Section 2.6. These techniques are central in [36] and are also used here in the discussion of Theorem C.

In Section 3, we recall results from [38] on Cannon–Thurston maps; in particular, we explain our convergence criterion UEPP. In Section 4, we prove Theorem A. As discussed above, we first give a brief discussion of a proof in the case of bounded geometry, that is, when the injectivity radius of all manifolds in the sequence is uniformly bounded below. This is essentially Miyachi's theorem referred to above. We then turn to the general situation, outlining as we go the relevant steps in the proof for a single CT-map as in [36]. Finally in Section 5, we explain the counterexamples to pointwise convergence, explaining the Brock examples and then proving Theorem C. We note that these examples only require the bounded geometry case of Theorem A.

Acknowledgments This work was done in part while Mj was visiting Université Paris-Sud XI under the Indo-French collaborative programme ARCUS. He gratefully acknowledges their support and hospitality. We also thank the referee for providing the direct alternate route to Theorem B described above.

The research of Mj was partially supported by CEFIPRA project 4301-1 and by a JC Bose Fellowship.

2 Background

2.1 Kleinian groups

A *Kleinian group* G is a discrete subgroup of $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$. As such it acts as a properly discontinuous group of isometries of hyperbolic 3-space \mathbb{H}^3 , whose boundary we identify with the Riemann sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$. As in [38], all groups in this paper will be finitely generated and torsion free, so that $M = \mathbb{H}^3/G$ is a hyperbolic 3-manifold. The *limit set* $\Lambda_G \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is the set of accumulation points of any G-orbit.

A Kleinian group is *geometrically finite* if it has a fundamental polyhedron in \mathbb{H}^3 with finitely many faces; a group which is not geometrically finite is also called *degenerate*. The point of this paper is to investigate the extension of [38] to the degenerate case. We say a group is *totally degenerate* if it is not geometrically finite and $\Lambda_G = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. The structure of degenerate groups has recently been elucidated by the work of Minsky et al. [28; 10] on the ending lamination theorem and the tameness theorem of Agol [1] and Calegari and Gabai [11]. This paper rests heavily on these results.

A Kleinian group *G* is a *surface group*, if there is a hyperbolic surface *S*, together with a discrete faithful isomorphism $\rho: \pi_1(S) \to G$. The corresponding manifold \mathbb{H}^3/G is homeomorphic to $S \times \mathbb{R}$; see [5]. It is singly or doubly degenerate according as one or both of its ends are geometrically infinite with filling ending laminations.

2.2 Balls and geodesics

We will be working in hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n for n = 2, 3. We denote the hyperbolic metric on \mathbb{H}^n by $d_{\mathbb{H}}$ or occasionally $d_{\mathbb{H}^n}$; sometimes we explicitly use the ball model \mathbb{B} with center O and denote by $d_{\mathbb{E}}$ the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{B} \cup \hat{\mathbb{C}}$. For $P \in \mathbb{H}^n$, write B(P; R), or when needed $B_{\mathbb{H}}(P; R)$ or even $B_{\mathbb{H}^n}(P; R)$, for the hyperbolic ball center P and radius R. Let β be a path in \mathbb{H}^n with endpoints X and Y. We write $[\beta]$ or [X, Y] for the \mathbb{H}^n -geodesic from X to Y.

2.3 The Cayley graph

Let *G* be a finitely generated Kleinian group with generating set $G^* = \{e_1, \ldots, e_k\}$. We assume throughout that G^* is symmetric, in the sense that $g \in G^*$ if and only if $g^{-1} \in G^*$ for any $g \in G$. The Cayley graph $\mathcal{G}G$ of *G* is the graph whose vertices are elements $g \in G$ and which has an edge between *g* and *g'* whenever $g^{-1}g' \in G^*$. The graph metric d_G is defined as the edge length of the shortest path between vertices so that $d_G(1, e_i) = 1$ for all *i*, where 1 is the unit element of *G*. Let |g| denote the word length of $g \in G$ with respect to G^* , so that $|g| = d_G(1, g)$. For $X \in \mathcal{G}G$, we denote by $B_G(X; R) \subset \mathcal{G}G$ the d_G -ball center *X* and radius *R*. Choose a basepoint $O_G \in \mathbb{H}^3$ which is not a fixed point of any element of G. One may if desired assume the basepoint is the center O of the ball model \mathbb{B} as above. For simplicity, we do this throughout the paper unless indicated otherwise. Then $\mathcal{G}G$ is immersed in \mathbb{H}^3 by the map j_G which sends $g \in G$ to $j_G(g) = g \cdot O$ and which sends the edge joining g and g' to the \mathbb{H}^3 -geodesic joining $j_G(g)$ and $j_G(g')$. In particular, $j_G(1) = O$. Note that using the ball model of \mathbb{H}^3 , the limit set Λ_G may be regarded as the completion of $j_G(\mathcal{G}G)$ in the Euclidean metric $d_{\mathbb{E}}$ on $\mathbb{B} \cup \hat{\mathbb{C}}$.

2.4 Algebraic and geometric convergence

Let Γ be a geometrically finite Kleinian group. A sequence of group isomorphisms $\rho_n: \Gamma \to \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C}), n = 1, 2, ...$ is said to converge to the representation $\rho_\infty: \Gamma \to \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ algebraically if $\rho_n(g) \to \rho_\infty(g)$, for each $g \in \Gamma$, as elements of $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. The representations converge geometrically if $(G_n = \rho_n(G))$ converges as a sequence of closed subsets of $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ to $G_g \subset \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then G_g is a Kleinian group called the geometric limit of (G_n) . The sequence (ρ_n) converges strongly to $\rho_\infty(G)$ if $\rho_\infty(G) = G_g$ and the convergence is both geometric and algebraic. If a sequence of groups converge algebraically, they have a geometrically convergent subsequence; see [22, Theorem 4.4.3].

Alternatively, following Thurston [40] (see also [12, Chapter 3], for example) we say that a sequence of manifolds with base-frames (M_n, ω_n) converges geometrically (or in the C^{∞} -Gromov-Hausdorff topology) to a manifold with base-frame $(M_{\infty}, \omega_{\infty})$ if for each compact submanifold $C \subset M_{\infty}$ containing the base-frame ω_{∞} , there are smooth embeddings $\psi_n: C \to M_n$ (for all sufficiently large n) which map base-frame to base-frame and such that ψ_n converges to an isometry in the C^{∞} -topology. Kleinian groups G_n are said to converge geometrically to G_{∞} if the corresponding framed manifolds $(M_n = \mathbb{H}^3/G_n, \omega_n)$ converge geometrically to $(M_{\infty} = \mathbb{H}^3/G_{\infty}, \omega_{\infty})$, where the base-frames $\omega_n, \omega_{\infty}$ are all the projection of a fixed base-frame in \mathbb{H}^3 . The sequence $((M_n, \omega_n))$ converges strongly to (M_{∞}, ω) if the convergence is geometric and in addition the convergence of (ρ_n) to ρ_{∞} is algebraic. We remark that changing the basepoints in the above discussion may result in a different geometric limit.

The relation between these definitions is the following. Fix once and for all a standard base-frame Ω in \mathbb{H}^3 , with basepoint at the origin O in the ball model of hyperbolic 3-space. Given a framed manifold (M, ω) , there is a unique developing map $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{\omega}) \to \mathbb{H}^3$ (where \widetilde{M} is the universal cover of M) which sends a fixed lift $\widetilde{\omega}$ of ω to $\Omega \in \mathbb{H}^3$. The induced holonomy homomorphism sends $\pi_1(M, o)$ to a discrete torsion free subgroup of SL(2, \mathbb{C}), where $o \in M$ is the basepoint of ω . By for example [12, Theorem 3.2.9], this map is a homeomorphism with appropriate topologies, so

that convergence of manifolds in the sense of Thurston is equivalent to geometric convergence in the first sense defined above; see, for example, [22, Chapter 4] or [21, Theorem 8.11]. In particular, the map $\psi_n: C \to M_n$ is the projection to the quotient manifolds of a bi-Lipschitz embedding $\tilde{\psi}_n: B(O; R) \to \mathbb{H}^3$ where $B(O; R) \subset \mathbb{H}^3$ is a large ball whose projection to \mathbb{H}^3/G_{∞} contains *C*; see, for example, [3, Lemma 9.6].

2.5 Scott cores

Recall that a Scott core of a 3-manifold V is a compact connected 3-submanifold K_V such that the inclusion $K_V \hookrightarrow V$ induces an isomorphism on fundamental groups. The Scott core is unique up to isotopy [23]. Note that in general, the Scott core may be much smaller than the convex core, even when the group is convex cocompact. We shall need the following relationship between the Scott core and the ends of V.

Lemma 2.1 [5, Proposition 1.3; 21, Theorem 4.126] Let K_V be a Scott core of a 3-manifold *V*. There is a bijective correspondence between the ends of *V* and boundary components of $V \setminus K_V$. Hence each component of ∂K_V bounds a noncompact component of $V \setminus K_V$, and each of these components is an end of *V*.

Let $\rho_n: \Gamma \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be a sequence of representations converging strongly to ρ_{∞} . Fixing the base-frames as the projections ω_n of the frame Ω in \mathbb{H}^3 to $M_n = \mathbb{H}^3/G_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$, we obtain a corresponding sequence of framed hyperbolic manifolds (M_n, ω_n) converging geometrically to $M_{\infty} = \mathbb{H}^3/G_{\infty}$. Set $N = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ and let K_N be a Scott core of N, chosen such that the base-frame ω_N for N has basepoint $o_N \in K_N$. The representations ρ_n induce homotopy equivalences $\phi_n: K_N \to M_n$. We can lift ϕ_n to maps $\tilde{\phi}_n: \tilde{K}_N \to \tilde{M}_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$ and note that by our choices that $\tilde{\phi}_n(\tilde{\omega}_N)$ converges to $\tilde{\phi}_{\infty}(\tilde{\omega}_N)$.

In general the homotopy equivalences ϕ_n may not be homeomorphisms. However in the situation of strong convergence, the proof of [13, Proposition 3.3] or [3, Lemma 9.7] (see also Lemma 3.6 and the first part of the proof of Theorem A in [4]) gives:

Lemma 2.2 Let Γ be a geometrically finite group and let ρ_n be a sequence of discrete faithful representations of Γ converging strongly to ρ_{∞} . Let $\mathcal{K} = K_{M_{\infty}}$ be a compact core for $M_{\infty} = \mathbb{H}^3 / \rho_{\infty}(\Gamma)$. Let $\psi_n \colon \mathcal{K} \to M_n$ be the bi-Lipschitz embeddings coming from the geometric convergence, inducing maps $(\psi_n)_* \colon \rho_{\infty}(\Gamma) \to \rho_n(\Gamma)$. Then for all *n* large enough, $(\psi_n)_* = \rho_n \circ \rho_{\infty}^{-1}$, and $\psi_n(\mathcal{K})$ is a compact core for $M_n = \mathbb{H}^3 / \rho_n(\Gamma)$.

We remark that the hypotheses in [13] and [3] that all groups be purely hyperbolic, or indeed that the convergence be strictly type preserving, are not needed for this lemma. Also note that as remarked above, in general $\psi_n(\mathcal{K})$ may be much smaller than the convex core of M_n . If the convergence is not strong, Lemma 2.2 may fail even when the limit group is geometrically finite, as is shown by the well-known Anderson–Canary examples [2].

Lemma 2.2 shows that, in the situation of strong convergence, we may take the homotopy equivalences ϕ_n to be homeomorphisms between Scott cores of the relevant groups. It also allows us to identify the ends of M_{∞} with the ends of the approximating groups. We have:

Corollary 2.3 Let Γ be a geometrically finite group and let ρ_n be a sequence of discrete faithful representations of Γ converging strongly to ρ_{∞} . Then, up to replacing Γ by the group G_{n_0} for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, we can pick a Scott core K of \mathbb{H}^3/Γ such that there are bi-Lipschitz embeddings $\phi_n: K \to \mathbb{H}^3/G_n$ which induce ρ_n , and such that $\phi_n(K)$ is a Scott core of M_n for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$. Moreover, suppose that E is an end of M_{∞} and that U is the component of $M_{\infty} \setminus \phi_{\infty}(K)$ which is a neighborhood of E. Let $F = \partial U$, and let U_n be the component of $M_n \setminus \phi_n(K)$ bounded by $\phi_n \phi_{\infty}^{-1}(F)$. Then U_n is a neighborhood of an end E_n of M_n , and we say that U_n corresponds to E.

Proof Set $\mathcal{K} = \phi_{\infty}(K)$. Take n_0 large enough that the conclusion of Lemma 2.2 applies. Replacing $N = \mathbb{H}^3 / \Gamma$ by M_{n_0} , Γ by G_{n_0} and ρ_n by $\rho'_n = \rho_n \rho_{n_0}^{-1}$, we have a sequence of representations as before. The core of M_{n_0} can be taken to be $\psi_{n_0}(\mathcal{K})$. Noting that ρ'_n is induced by $\psi_n \psi_{n_0}^{-1}$: $\psi_{n_0}(\mathcal{K}) \to M_n$, we can replace the homotopy equivalences ϕ_n : $K_N \to M_n$ by the homeomorphisms $\psi_n \psi_{n_0}^{-1}$: $\psi_{n_0}(\mathcal{K}) \to \psi_n(\mathcal{K})$ between the cores of M_{n_0} and M_n , $n > n_0$. These converge to the homeomorphism $\psi_{n_0}^{-1}$: $\psi_{n_0}(\mathcal{K}) \to \mathcal{K}$. In other words, we may as well assume that the homotopy equivalences ϕ_n are actually embeddings of the core K_N of N into M_n and M_{∞} .

The idea of the final statement follows [13, Section 8]. That U_n is a neighborhood of an end E_n of M_n follows from Lemma 2.1.

2.6 Relative hyperbolicity and electric geometry

We summarize the facts we need on relative hyperbolicity and electric geometry. For further details, we refer the reader to [18; 7]; see also [33, Section 3].

Let (X, d) be a δ -hyperbolic metric space, and let \mathcal{H} be a collection of pairwise disjoint subsets. To *electrocute* \mathcal{H} means to construct an auxiliary metric space (X_{el}, d_{el}) in which the sets in \mathcal{H} effectively have zero diameter, although for technical reasons it

is preferable they have diameter 1 (or 2). Precisely, let $X_{el} = X \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} (H \times [0, 1])$ with $H \times \{0\}$ identified to $H \subset X$. We define the electric (pseudo)metric d_{el} on X_{el} as follows. First equip $H \times [0, 1]$ with the product metric and then modify this to a pseudometric by quotienting so that $H \times \{1\}$ is equipped with the zero metric. The metric on $H \times [0, 1]$ is the path metric induced by horizontal and vertical paths. This means that in the space (X_{el}, d_{el}) , any two points in H are at distance at most 2.

Definition 2.4 [18; 7] Let X be a metric space and \mathcal{H} a collection of mutually disjoint subsets. If X_{el} is also a hyperbolic metric space, then X is said to be *weakly hyperbolic* relative to the collection \mathcal{H} .

The collection \mathcal{H} is said to be *uniformly separated* if there exists C > 0 such that $d(H_i, H_j) \ge C$ for all $H_i \ne H_j \in \mathcal{H}$. It is *uniformly quasiconvex* if there exists C > 0 such that if for any $H \in \mathcal{H}$ and for any points $x, x' \in H$, any geodesic joining them lies within the *C*-neighborhood of *H*. It is *mutually cobounded* if there exists C > 0 such that for all $H_i \ne H_j \in \mathcal{H}$, $\pi_i(H_j)$ has diameter less than *C*, where π_i denotes a nearest point projection of *X* onto H_i .

Lemma 2.5 [7; 18, Proposition 4.6] Let X be a hyperbolic metric space and \mathcal{H} a collection of uniformly quasiconvex mutually cobounded uniformly separated subsets. Then X is weakly hyperbolic relative to the collection \mathcal{H} .

A typical example is when X is hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^3 and \mathcal{H} is the collection of lifts to \mathbb{H}^3 of the thin parts of a hyperbolic 3-manifold.

Following Farb, we need to understand some finer details of the relationship between geodesics in X and in X_{el} . Recall that a *K*-quasigeodesic in a metric space Y is a *K*-quasi-isometric embedding of an interval into Y, that is, a map $f: [a, b] \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\frac{1}{K}|t_1 - t_2| - K \le d_Y(f(t_1), f(t_2)) \le K|t_1 - t_2| + K$$

for all $t_1, t_2 \in [a, b]$. A *quasigeodesic* is a path in Y which is a K-quasigeodesic for some K > 0. If X and \mathcal{H} give rise to an electric space (X_{el}, d_{el}) , then an *electric* (*quasi*)geodesic in X is a path in X which is a (quasi)geodesic for the electric metric d_{el} .

We say that a path *does not backtrack* if it does not reenter any $H \in \mathcal{H}$ after leaving it. Suppose that λ is an electric quasigeodesic in (X_{el}, d_{el}) without backtracking and with endpoints $a, b \in X \setminus \mathcal{H}$. Keeping the endpoints a and b fixed, replace each maximal subsegment of λ lying within some $H \in \mathcal{H}$ by a hyperbolic X-geodesic with the same endpoints. The resulting connected path is called an *electroambient quasigeodesic* in X; see Figure 1. The main result we need is:



Figure 1: An electroambient quasigeodesic

Lemma 2.6 [33, Lemma 3.7] Let *X* be a hyperbolic metric space and let \mathcal{H} be a collection of mutually cobounded uniformly separated uniformly quasiconvex sets. Let γ be an electroambient quasigeodesic with endpoints $a, b \in X \setminus \mathcal{H}$. Then γ is a quasigeodesic in *X* and lies within bounded distance of any *X*–geodesic with the same endpoints.

In the case in which X is hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^3 and \mathcal{H} is the collection of lifts to \mathbb{H}^3 of the thin parts of a hyperbolic 3–manifold, the proof of Lemma 2.6 is straightforward and was done from first principles in [38, Lemmas 7.15 and 7.16].

Remark 2.7 One can introduce a further property of a metric space X being *strongly hyperbolic* relative to a collection \mathcal{H} ; see [33, Section 3] and also [7]. This condition concerns how paths penetrate the sets in \mathcal{H} . If X is itself a δ -hyperbolic space, then the conditions that the sets in \mathcal{H} be mutually cobounded, uniformly separated and uniformly quasiconvex are enough to imply that X is strongly hyperbolic relative to \mathcal{H} ; see [18, Section 4] and [33, Section 3]. Since all that we needed here is the result of Lemma 2.6, we do not digress to give the precise definition here.

3 Cannon–Thurston maps and convergence criteria

Let Γ be a Kleinian group and let $\rho: \Gamma \to PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ with $\rho(\Gamma) = G$. Let Λ_{Γ} and Λ_G be the corresponding limit sets. A *Cannon–Thurston* or CT-map is an equivariant continuous map $\hat{i}: \Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_G$, that is, a map such that

$$\hat{\iota}(g \cdot \xi) = \rho(g)\hat{\iota}(\xi) \text{ for all } g \in \Gamma, \xi \in \Lambda_{\Gamma}.$$

Recall from Section 2.3 the natural embedding j_{Γ} of the Cayley graph of $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$ into \mathbb{H}^3 . The CT-map $\hat{i} = \hat{i}(\rho)$ can also be defined as the continuous extension to $\Lambda_{\Gamma} \subset \partial \mathbb{H}^3$ of the obvious map $i: j_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{G}\Gamma) \to \mathbb{H}^3$ defined by $i(j_{\Gamma}(g)) = \rho(g) \cdot O$.

Suppose alternatively that N is a geometrically finite manifold homotopy equivalent to another hyperbolic manifold M and let $\phi: K_N \to M$ be a homotopy equivalence between a Scott core K_N of N and M. It is easy to see that \hat{i} is an extension to $\partial \mathbb{H}^3$ of

Geometry & Topology, Volume 21 (2017)

any lifting $\tilde{\phi}: \tilde{K}_N \to \tilde{M}$, since any fixed orbit of the action of G on $\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{K}_N)$ can serve as a substitute for the orbit of the basepoint O. Notice however that the careful discussion in Section 2.4 is needed to fix basepoints if we are dealing with a sequences of groups.

In [38], we reproved Floyd's results [20] on the existence of CT-maps for geometrically finite groups:

Theorem 3.1 [38, Theorem 4.2] Let Γ and G be finitely generated geometrically finite groups and let $\phi: \Gamma \to G$ be a weakly type-preserving isomorphism. Then the CT-map $\hat{i}: \Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_{G}$ exists.

Note that the examples in [2] show that there exist geometrically finite noncusped manifolds which are homotopic but not homeomorphic; see also Lemma 2.2. We deduce from the above that the CT-map between their limit sets nonetheless exists and is a homeomorphism.

3.1 Criteria for convergence

We now collect some criteria for the existence and convergence of CT-maps from [38].

Theorem 3.2 [38, Theorem 4.1] Let $\rho: \Gamma \to G$ be a weakly type-preserving isomorphism of finitely generated Kleinian groups and suppose that Γ is geometrically finite. The CT-map $\Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_{G}$ exists if and only if there exists a function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(N) \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$, and such that whenever λ is a d_{Γ} -geodesic segment lying outside $B_{\Gamma}(1; N)$ in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$, the \mathbb{H}^{3} -geodesic joining the endpoints of $i(j_{\Gamma}(\lambda))$ lies outside $B_{\mathbb{H}}(O; f(N))$ in \mathbb{H}^{3} .

In [36], the criterion was used in an alternative form which involves geodesics in \mathbb{H}^3 for the domain group Γ also. Recall that a geometrically finite group is convex cocompact if its convex core is compact. In this case we can take the Scott core to be the convex core.

Theorem 3.3 Let $\rho: \Gamma \to G$ be a strictly type-preserving isomorphism of finitely generated Kleinian groups, and suppose that Γ is convex cocompact. Suppose that K_N is the convex core of $N = \mathbb{H}^3 / \Gamma$ and that $\phi: K_N \to \mathbb{H}^3 / G$ is a homotopy equivalence. Then the CT-map $\Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_G$ exists if and only if there exists a nonnegative function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(M) \to \infty$ as $M \to \infty$, and such that whenever λ is an \mathbb{H}^3 -geodesic segment lying outside B(O; M), the \mathbb{H}^3 -geodesic $[\tilde{\phi}(\lambda)]$ lies outside $B(\tilde{\phi}(O); f(M))$, where $\tilde{\phi}$ is a fixed lift of ϕ to the obvious map from \tilde{K}_N (the convex hull of Λ_{Γ}) to \mathbb{H}^3 . Note that to make a sensible statement here we need to insist that, in addition to being compact, the core K_N of N should also be convex. If Γ is a Fuchsian group corresponding to a closed surface, the main case in [36], then this is not an issue.

If Γ is convex cocompact, then to compare geodesics in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$ and \mathbb{H}^3 , one can use that any d_{Γ} -geodesic in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$ is a quasigeodesic in \mathbb{H}^3 ; see, for example, [38, Corollary 3.8]. Moreover, any geodesic in \mathbb{H}^3 can be tracked at bounded distance by a path which is geodesic in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$ (with bound depending only on Γ); see [38, Lemma 3.6]. This shows the equivalence of the criteria in Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 in this case.

Remark 3.4 If Γ is not convex cocompact then the comparison between geodesics in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$ and \mathbb{H}^3 is not quite straightforward since a geodesic path in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$ can track round the boundary of a horosphere. This can be dealt with but requires more care. In Theorem A of this paper, Γ is always convex cocompact, and in any case, we shall mainly stick to the first version of the criterion.

Now let Γ be a fixed geometrically finite Kleinian group and suppose that $\rho_n: \Gamma \to PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is a sequence of discrete faithful weakly type-preserving representations converging algebraically to $\rho_{\infty}: \Gamma \to PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Let $G_n = \rho_n(\Gamma), n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$ and write Λ_n for Λ_{G_n} . To normalize, we embed all the Cayley graphs with the same basepoint $O = O_{G_n}$ for all n and set $j_n(g) = \rho_n(g) \cdot O$, $g \in \mathcal{G}\Gamma$. Define $i_n: j_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{G}\Gamma) \to \mathbb{H}^3$ by $i_n(j_{\Gamma}(g)) \mapsto \rho_n(g) \cdot O$, $g \in \mathcal{G}\Gamma$, so that the *CT*-map $\hat{\imath}_n: \Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_n$ is the continuous extension of i_n to Λ_{Γ} . Assuming they exist, we say that the *CT*-maps $\hat{\imath}_n: \Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_n$ converge uniformly (resp. pointwise) to $\hat{\imath}_{\infty}$ if they do so as maps from Λ_{Γ} to $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. If λ is any d_{Γ} -geodesic segment in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$ with endpoints $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$, then we also write $[j(\lambda)]$ for the \mathbb{H}^3 -geodesic $[j(\gamma), j(\gamma')]$.

In [38] we introduced two properties UEP (uniform embedding of points) and UEPP (uniform embedding of pairs of points) of the sequence (ρ_n) . The first was shown in [38] to be equivalent to strong convergence, and the second is our criterion for uniform convergence of CT-maps. We summarize these definitions and results here.

Definition 3.5 Let $\rho_n: \Gamma \to G_n$ be a sequence of weakly type-preserving isomorphisms of Kleinian groups. Then (ρ_n) is said to satisfy UEP if there exists a nonnegative function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, with $f(N) \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$, such that for all $g \in \Gamma$, $d_{\Gamma}(1,g) \ge N$ implies $d_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_n(g) \cdot O, O) \ge f(N)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 3.6 Let $\rho_n: \Gamma \to G_n$ be a sequence of weakly type-preserving isomorphisms of Kleinian groups. Then (ρ_n) satisfies UEPP if there exists a function $f': \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $f'(N) \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$, and such that whenever λ is a d_{Γ} -geodesic segment lying outside $B_{\Gamma}(1; N)$ in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$, the \mathbb{H}^3 -geodesic $[j_n(\lambda)]$ lies outside $B_{\mathbb{H}}(O; f'(N))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 3.7 [38, Proposition 5.3] Suppose that a sequence of discrete faithful weakly type-preserving representations (ρ_n : $\Gamma \to PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$) converges algebraically to ρ_{∞} . Then (ρ_n) converges strongly if and only if it satisfies UEP.

Theorem 3.8 [38, Theorem 5.7] Let Γ be a geometrically finite Kleinian group, and let $\rho_n: \Gamma \to G_n$ be weakly type-preserving isomorphisms to Kleinian groups. Suppose that ρ_n converges algebraically to a representation ρ_{∞} . If (ρ_n) satisfies UEPP, then the *CT*-maps $\hat{\imath}_n: \Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_n$ converge uniformly to $\hat{\imath}_{\infty}$. If Γ is nonelementary, the converse also holds.

Inverting the function f' in the definition of UEPP gives a slight modification of the criterion in Theorem 3.8 convenient to our purposes. Note that in the statement which follows, we do not need to assume that $f_1(N) \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$.

Corollary 3.9 Let Γ be a geometrically finite Kleinian group and let $\rho_n: \Gamma \to G_n$ be weakly type-preserving isomorphisms to Kleinian groups. Suppose that ρ_n converges algebraically to a representation ρ_{∞} and that there exists a function $f_1: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $L \in \mathbb{N}$, whenever λ is a d_{Γ} -geodesic segment lying outside $B_{\Gamma}(1; f_1(L))$ in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$, the \mathbb{H}^3 -geodesic $[j_n(\lambda)]$ lies outside $B_{\mathbb{H}}(O; L)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the *CT*-maps $\hat{\imath}_n: \Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_n$ converge uniformly to $\hat{\imath}_{\infty}$.

Proof In view of Theorem 3.8, it is enough to see the given condition implies UEPP. We do this by inverting the function f_1 . Precisely, say L' > L. If λ is a d_{Γ} -geodesic segment lying outside $B_{\Gamma}(1; f_1(L'))$ in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$, the \mathbb{H}^3 -geodesic $[j_n(\lambda)]$ lies outside $B_{\mathbb{H}}(O; L')$ and hence certainly outside $B_{\mathbb{H}}(O; L)$. Thus we may modify f_1 if needed so that $f_1(L') > f_1(L)$. Hence without loss of generality using an inductive argument we may assume that f_1 is strictly increasing, so that in particular $f_1(N) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$.

Now, given $N \in \mathbb{N}$, define f'(N) = L, where L is the unique positive integer such that $N \in [f_1(L), f_1(L+1))$. Note that with this definition, $f'(N) \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$.

Suppose given N and that f'(N) = L. We are given that whenever λ is a d_{Γ} -geodesic segment lying outside $B_{\Gamma}(1; f_1(L))$ in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$, the \mathbb{H}^3 -geodesic $[j_n(\lambda)]$ lies outside $B_{\mathbb{H}}(O; L)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus whenever λ is a d_{Γ} -geodesic segment lying outside $B_{\Gamma}(1; N)$ in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$, it also lies outside $B_{\Gamma}(1; f_1(L))$ and hence the \mathbb{H}^3 -geodesic $[j_n(\lambda)]$ lies outside $B_{\mathbb{H}}(O; L) = B_{\mathbb{H}}(O; f'(N))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This is exactly the condition UEPP. \Box

Applying a similar inversion to Theorem 3.2, we obtain immediately:

Geometry & Topology, Volume 21 (2017)

Corollary 3.10 Let Γ be a geometrically finite Kleinian group and let $\rho: \Gamma \to G$ be a weakly type-preserving isomorphism to a nonelementary Kleinian group G for which a CT-map exists. Then there exists a function $f_1: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $L \in \mathbb{N}$, whenever λ is a d_{Γ} -geodesic segment lying outside $B_{\Gamma}(1; f_1(L))$ in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$, the \mathbb{H}^3 -geodesic $[j(\lambda)]$ lies outside $B_{\mathbb{H}}(O; L)$.

4 Strong convergence

In this section, we prove Theorem A. To set the scene, we begin with a brief discussion in the case of strong convergence and bounded geometry, that is, when the injectivity radii of all manifolds in the sequence are uniformly bounded below. We then turn to the general situation of Theorem A, outlining as we go the relevant steps in the proof for a single CT-map as in [36].

4.1 The bounded geometry case

In this section, which is not necessary for the general result, we illustrate how to use the criteria of Section 3 to prove Theorem A in the case of a singly or doubly degenerate surface group with bounded geometry. This is essentially Miyachi's result [30]. The brief sketch below is a reprise of the first author's original arguments in [29; 34]. This sketch may also be useful to clarify the flow of the arguments from [36] which are the basis of our proof of Theorem A.

Recall that if M is a hyperbolic 3-manifold, the *injectivity radius* r(M; x) of M at $x \in M$ is $\frac{1}{2}r$, where r is the length of the shortest loop based at x. The manifold is said to have *bounded geometry* if there exists a > 0 such that $r(M; x) \ge a$ for all $x \in M$; in this case, the *injectivity radius* of M is $\inf_{x \in M} r(M, x)$.

Theorem 4.1 Let Γ be a Fuchsian group such that \mathbb{H}^2/Γ is a closed hyperbolic surface. Let $\rho_n: \Gamma \to G_n$ be a sequence of strictly type-preserving isomorphisms to geometrically finite groups G_n which converge strongly to a singly or doubly degenerate surface group $G_{\infty} = \rho_{\infty}(\Gamma)$. Suppose moreover that the injectivity radii of M_n are uniformly bounded below by some a > 0 for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$. Then the sequence of CT-maps $\hat{i}_n: \Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_{G_n}$ converges uniformly to $\hat{i}_{\infty}: \Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_{G_{\infty}}$.

Proof It is sufficient to show that the sequence (ρ_n) satisfies UEPP. That is, we have to show that there exists a function $f': \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $f'(N) \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$ and such that whenever λ is a d_{Γ} -geodesic segment lying outside $B_{\Gamma}(1; N)$ in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$, the \mathbb{H}^3 -geodesic $[j_n(\lambda)]$ lies outside $B_{\mathbb{H}}(O; f'(N))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By [29, Theorem 4.7], the Cannon–Thurston map exists for the group G_{∞} . Hence, by Theorem 3.2, there exists a nonnegative function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(N) \to \infty$

as $N \to \infty$, and such that whenever λ is a d_{Γ} -geodesic segment lying outside $B_{\Gamma}(1; N)$ in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$, the \mathbb{H}^3 -geodesic joining the endpoints of $i_{\infty}(j_{\Gamma}(\lambda))$ lies outside $B_{\mathbb{H}}(O_G; f(N))$ in \mathbb{H}^3 . What we have to do is to show that the same function f works uniformly for the representations ρ_n for all sufficiently large n.

Finding the function f in [29] was based on the following construction. For simplicity, suppose that G_{∞} is doubly degenerate; the singly degenerate case is similar. Let S be a topological surface homeomorphic to \mathbb{H}^2/Γ . By results of Minsky [25; 26], one can pick a sequence of pleated surface maps $S \to S_n \subset M_{\infty} = \mathbb{H}^3/G_{\infty}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the distance between S_n and S_{n+1} is uniformly bounded above and below, and such that, with respect to the induced hyperbolic metrics on the S_n , there are uniformly bounded quasi-isometrics $S_n \to S_{n+1}$. One deduces that the universal cover \widetilde{M}_{∞} of M_{∞} is quasi-isometric to a "tree" of Gromov hyperbolic metric spaces. This is a Gromov hyperbolic space \mathcal{X} equipped with a map P onto a simplicial tree \mathcal{T} , which, in the case of a doubly degenerate surface group, can be taken to be the tree whose vertices are the integers, with a unit length edge joining n to n+1, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The inverse image of each vertex is itself a Gromov hyperbolic space; these spaces are uniformly properly embedded into \mathcal{X} . Moreover, for each pair of adjacent vertices v and v', there is a quasi-isometry between the spaces $P^{-1}(v)$ and $P^{-1}(v')$, again assumed to have quasi-isometry constants uniform over vertices v.

In the present case, each space $P^{-1}(n)$ is quasi-isometric to the universal cover of S_n . In particular the map $P: P^{-1}(0) \to \mathcal{X}$ should be thought of as the lift to universal covers of the map $S_0 \to M_\infty$. Since $S_0 = \mathbb{H}^2 / \Gamma$ is by assumption a closed surface, its universal cover is quasi-isometric to the Cayley graph of Γ . If we assume that the lift $O \in \mathbb{H}^2$ of the basepoint $o \in S_0$ maps to the lifted basepoint $O \in \mathbb{H}^3 = \widetilde{M}_\infty$, then we can replace a geodesic λ as in the statement of the theorem with a geodesic in the hyperbolic space $P^{-1}(0)$; see the comments following Theorem 3.3.

We have to compare λ with the hyperbolic geodesic $[\lambda]$ in $\widetilde{M} = \mathbb{H}^3$ joining its endpoints. The key idea is to construct a "ladder" $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \subset \mathcal{X}$ by flowing λ up the levels in \mathcal{X} using the quasi-isometries between the levels; see [29] for details. By constructing a coarse Lipschitz projection from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{L}_{λ} , it is shown that \mathcal{L}_{λ} is uniformly quasiconvex in \mathcal{X} . That is, there exists k > 0 such that any \mathcal{X} -geodesic with ends in \mathcal{L}_{λ} lies within distance k of \mathcal{L}_{λ} . A short argument given in the proof of [29, Theorem 3.10] shows that this is sufficient to construct the required function f for the manifold M.

This function f depends on the quasi-isometry between \widetilde{M} and \mathcal{X} , and it is not hard to see by inspection that the constants depend only on the injectivity radius of M. The constant k has a similar dependence. Thus if we have a family of manifolds all of which have the same lower bound on injectivity radii, the same function f works simultaneously for all M_n and the criterion of Corollary 3.9 is satisfied.

The problem with directly extending this proof to the situation of unbounded geometry, is that it requires a ladder and projection whose constants depend on the injectivity radius of the whole end. This is clearly not possible in the case of unbounded geometry. However we note that the same methods can be extended to the case of a surface with punctures; see [34, Section 5.5]. (Essentially, this uses similar arguments about crossing horoballs to those in [38].) This gives the following result, which we use in the proof of Proposition 5.12 in Section 5.

Theorem 4.2 Let Γ be a Fuchsian group such that \mathbb{H}^2/Γ is a finite-area hyperbolic surface. Let $\rho_n: \Gamma \to G_n$ be a sequence of strictly type-preserving isomorphisms to geometrically finite groups G_n which converge strongly to a singly or doubly degenerate surface group $G_{\infty} = \rho_{\infty}(\Gamma)$. Suppose moreover that the injectivity radii of M_n outside cusps are uniformly bounded below for $n = 1, 2, ..., \infty$. Then the sequence of CT-maps $\hat{\iota}_n: \Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_{G_n}$ converges uniformly to $\hat{\iota}_{\infty}: \Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_{G_{\infty}}$.

4.2 Unbounded geometry

Our proof of Theorem A is based on the method in [36], which effectively verifies the condition of Theorem 3.2 for a single group. To show that UEPP holds for a sequence converging strongly to such a limit, we need to examine the argument carefully to understand the dependence of the constants on the limit group. We simplify by explaining the first part of the proof in the case of surface groups, discussing extensions to general manifolds with incompressible boundary later.

The proof of Theorem A follows very closely that of the main result of [36], which can be roughly stated as:

Theorem 4.3 [36, Theorem 7.1] Cannon–Thurston maps exist for simply or doubly degenerate surface Kleinian groups without cusps.

The actual result on which we base the proof of Theorem A is [36, Corollary 6.13], which we restate in an equivalent formulation as Lemma 4.8 below. To give more insight into what is involved, we begin by sketching the relevant parts of the proof of Theorem 4.3. For this, we first require a brief digression on split geometry as introduced in [36], which we do in Section 4.2.1. In Section 4.2.2, we sketch the proof of Theorem 4.3. In Section 4.2.3, we explain the main technical result we use, Corollary 4.11. Finally, in Section 4.2.4, we prove Theorem A.

4.2.1 Split geometry Let *S* be a hyperbolizable surface and let *M* be a manifold homeomorphic to $S \times \mathcal{I}$ where $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval either finite or infinite. To say that *M* has split geometry means, roughly speaking, that it is made by gluing together a succession (finite or infinite) of so-called *split blocks*. These are blocks each of which

is homeomorphic to $S \times [0, 1]$, split by annuli round Margulis tubes corresponding to short curves.

A split subsurface S^s of a hyperbolic surface S is a (possibly disconnected) proper subsurface with boundary, whose components are all essential and nonannular, and whose complement in S is a nonempty family of nonhomotopic annuli which are k-neighborhoods of nonperipheral geodesics on S. Moreover S^s is required to have bounded geometry, in the sense that there exists some universal $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that each boundary component of S^s is of length ϵ_0 .

A *split block* $B^s \subset B = S \times [0, 1]$ is a topological product $S^s \times [0, 1]$ for some split subsurface S^s of S, with the qualification that its upper and lower boundaries are only required to be split subsurfaces of S^s . Split blocks are glued along their boundaries to build up model manifolds in the spirit of [28]. A *split component* is a connected component of a split block; see the discussion following [36, Definition 4.11].

Suppose \mathfrak{M} is a model manifold obtained by gluing finitely or infinitely many split blocks along their boundaries. Section 4.3 in [36] introduces a so-called *graph metric* d_{graph} on the universal cover \mathfrak{M} . (This metric is denoted d_G in [36]; the notation here is used to distinguish it from the word metric on the Cayley graph.) Roughly speaking, d_{graph} is obtained by first electrocuting the lifts of Margulis tubes in each split block, and then by electrocuting the lifts of connected components of each split block. A (Gromov) hyperbolic manifold is said to be of *split geometry* (see [36, Definition 4.31]) if each split component is quasiconvex (not necessarily uniformly) in the hyperbolic metric on \mathfrak{M} and if in addition, the hyperbolic convex hull of the universal cover of any split component has uniformly bounded diameter in the graph metric d_{graph} . This uniform bound is called the *graph quasiconvexity constant* and plays a crucial role in the discussion.

Given a singly or doubly degenerate hyperbolic manifold M whose fundamental group is a surface group, a large part of the work in [36] is to construct a model manifold \mathfrak{M} of split geometry whose universal cover $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ is bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic to the universal cover \widetilde{M} of M. The model \mathfrak{M} is made by consistently gluing finitely or infinitely many split blocks B_i so that B_{i-1} is glued to B_i along their common boundary split surface S_i . The existence of the sequence of split level surfaces and split blocks exiting the end is a consequence of the Minsky model [28] for a degenerate end. The detailed construction is intricate and involves a careful selection of the split level surfaces using the Minsky hierarchy; see [36], especially Sections 3 and 4, for details.

Theorem 4.4 [36, Theorem 4.32] The hyperbolic manifold corresponding to any singly or doubly degenerate surface group without accidental parabolics is bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic to a model manifold with split geometry.

The part of this result which asserts *uniform* graph quasiconvexity of the blocks is [36, Proposition 4.23]. We note the point, key for our purposes here, that the graph quasiconvexity constant is a combinatorial quantity which depends only on the topological convexity of the surface defining the end, and is thus also uniform across all degenerate ends of any hyperbolic manifold defined by the same topological surface S.

4.2.2 Rough sketch of Theorem 4.3 Let $S = \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma$ be a compact hyperbolic surface and let $\rho: \Gamma \to G$ be a type-preserving isomorphism to a singly or doubly degenerate surface group G. The criterion used in [36] to prove the existence of a CT-map for ρ is that given in Theorem 3.2, but it will be convenient for our purposes to use the alternative formulation of Corollary 3.10. In view of Theorem 4.4, we may work either with $M = \mathbb{H}^3/G$, or with a quasi-isometric model manifold of split geometry \mathfrak{M} . Lifting to universal covers, we obtain an identification of the universal cover $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ of \mathfrak{M} with \mathbb{H}^3 , and in particular, we can identify a basepoint $O \in \mathbb{H}^3$ with a point, also denoted O, in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$.

Here is the statement we need:

Proposition 4.5 Let *G* be a totally degenerate surface group corresponding to a strictly type-preserving representation $\rho: \pi_1(S) \to G$ where *S* is a closed surface as above, and let \mathfrak{M} be a model manifold of split geometry corresponding to $M = \mathbb{H}^3/G$. Let $B_0 \subset S_0 \times [0, 1]$ be a fixed base block, and let $\phi: S \to \mathfrak{M}$ be the embedding which identifies *S* with $S_0 \times \{0\} \subset B_0$. Fix a basepoint $O \in \widetilde{S}$ in the universal cover $\widetilde{S} = \mathbb{H}^2$. Denote by $\widetilde{\phi}$ the lift of ϕ such that $\widetilde{\phi}(O)$ is the basepoint $O \in \mathbb{H}^3 = \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$.

Then for any $L \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $f(L) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that whenever λ is a geodesic segment in (\tilde{S}, d_S) lying outside an f(L)-ball around $O \in \tilde{S}$ (where d_S is the lifted hyperbolic metric on \tilde{S}), the hyperbolic geodesic $[\tilde{\phi}(\lambda)]$ in $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ joining the endpoints of $\tilde{\phi}(\lambda)$ lies outside the *L*-ball around $O \in \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}$.

Proposition 4.5 follows from [36, Lemma 6.12], which is restated as Lemma 4.8 below. Theorem 4.3 follows immediately from Proposition 4.5 on applying Corollary 3.10. The condition that G_{∞} be totally degenerate is introduced simply to avoid the annoyance of having to deal with geometrically finite ends.

Remark 4.6 We refer to the discussion in Section 3 for the equivalence of the condition as stated here with the condition on geodesics in the Cayley graph $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$.

Remark 4.7 Strictly speaking, since S_0 is S with some Margulis tubes deleted, it cannot be identified with S. For a precise statement we need to work instead with

welded split blocks in which the ends of the Margulis tubes deleted in the split blocks B_i are reglued. Gluing the welded split blocks along their boundaries gives a welded model manifold \mathfrak{M}_{wel} homeomorphic to $S \times \mathbb{R}$ or $S \times [0, \infty)$ according as M is doubly or singly degenerate; see [36, Section 4.3] for details. For simplicity, we will ignore this distinction in the discussion below.

The essence of Proposition 4.5 is contained in Lemma 4.8 below, whose proof occupies [36, Sections 5 and 6]. As in the bounded geometry case, the first step is to use λ (or more precisely, the image $\tilde{\phi}(\lambda)$ of λ in $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}$) to construct a "ladder" \mathcal{L}_{λ} by "flowing up" through the blocks of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$. By constructing a coarse retraction onto \mathcal{L}_{λ} , it is shown [36, Corollary 5.8] to be quasiconvex in the graph metric d_{graph} . The constants here are independent not only of λ , but also of the particular model \mathfrak{M} , depending in fact only on the topological type of the surface S. Starting from λ , this allows one to construct an "admissible" path joining the endpoints of λ which follows the levels \mathcal{L}_{λ} and which, after a controlled sequence of alterations using a succession of different metrics, is ultimately modified into an electroambient quasigeodesic in $(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}, d_{CH})$ joining the endpoints of λ . Here d_{CH} is the metric on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ obtained by electrocuting the hyperbolic convex hulls $CH(\tilde{K})$ of the extended split components \tilde{K} of \mathfrak{M} . (Recall that an electroambient quasigeodesic in $(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}, d_{CH})$ is an electric quasigeodesic whose intersection with each electrocuted component is geodesic in the hyperbolic (or model) metric; see Section 2.6.) This finally leads to the following key statement, which we once again formulate in the modified form appropriate to Corollary 3.10:

Lemma 4.8 [36, Lemma 6.12] Let \mathfrak{M} be a model manifold of split geometry and without cusps for a fixed compact hyperbolizable surface S. Let $B_0 \subset S_0 \times [0, 1]$ be a fixed base block, and let $\phi: S \to \mathfrak{M}$ be an embedding which identifies S with $S_0 \times \{0\} \subset B_0$. Let $o \in S_0 \subset \mathfrak{M}$ be a basepoint and fix a lift O in the universal cover $\widetilde{S} = \mathbb{H}^2$. Let ϕ be the lift $\widetilde{\phi}: \widetilde{S} \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}} = \mathbb{H}^3$ so that $\widetilde{\phi}(O) = O$ as in Proposition 4.5. Then for any L > 0, there exists f(L) > 0 such that for any geodesic segment λ in (\widetilde{S}, d_S) lying outside $B_{\mathbb{H}^2}(O, f(L)) \subset \widetilde{S}$, there is an electroambient quasigeodesic γ in $(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}, d_{CH})$ joining the endpoints of $\widetilde{\phi}(\lambda)$ which lies outside $B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(O, L) \subset \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$.

To get from Lemma 4.8 to the statement of Proposition 4.5, we have now to only to show that one can replace electroambient quasigeodesic γ in $(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}, d_{CH})$ by the hyperbolic geodesic $[i(\lambda)]$ joining the endpoints of λ . This can be done in virtue of Lemma 2.6; see also [36, Lemma 2.5] and the short argument in the proof of [36, Theorem 7.1].

4.2.3 Proof of Theorem A: preliminaries We want to adapt the above discussion to the situation of Theorem A, namely a sequence of geometrically finite purely loxodromic groups G_n converging strongly to a degenerate group G_∞ .

Geometry & Topology, Volume 21 (2017)

First, suppose that we have a single type-preserving discrete faithful representation $\rho: \Gamma \to G$ where Γ is geometrically finite purely loxodromic group and G is a totally degenerate Kleinian group with incompressible ends. As discussed in [36, Section 4.7], Theorem 4.4 extends to any simply degenerate end of any hyperbolic manifold with incompressible ends. Thus one can easily modify Lemma 4.8 to apply in this more general situation.

The essence of the proof of Theorem A is therefore to understand the dependence of the constants involved on the manifold $M = \mathbb{H}^3/G$. The manifold, and hence the ladder \mathcal{L}_{λ} (really one ladder for each end of M), may not have bounded geometry, since its construction depends on the whole of each infinite end of M. However as already noted, the Lipschitz constant for the coarse projection to \mathcal{L}_{λ} in the graph metric d_{graph} depends only on the topological type of the surfaces defining the ends and is thus uniform over any approximating sequence ρ_n .

Next, we need to look into the effect on the constants involved of the modifications needed to get from the d_{graph} -electroambient geodesic joining the endpoints of $\tilde{\phi}(\lambda)$ as in [36, Section 6.1] (called β_e in [36]) to a d_{CH} -electroambient geodesic with the same endpoints as in Lemma 4.8 and hence to a hyperbolic geodesic as in Proposition 4.5. Suppose we have a bounded segment γ of such an electroambient geodesic which passes through a given finite collection of split blocks. Close examination of the proof in [36] shows that the modifications made in the course of replacing γ with the corresponding segment $\hat{\gamma}$ of the required hyperbolic geodesic, depend only on the geometry of the hyperbolic convex hulls of the split components traversed by γ . The number $N(\gamma)$ of convex hulls traversed is bounded uniformly in terms of the graph quasiconvexity constant. Thus the modifications made to γ , which control how much nearer $\hat{\gamma}$ approaches the basepoint than γ , depend only the geometry of $N(\gamma)$ blocks, where $N(\gamma)$ depends only on the topology of the ends of M.

This leads to an equivalent reformulation of Lemma 4.8, which is essentially the same as [36] Corollary 6.13 in the context of a general hyperbolic manifold M without cusps. We begin with some more notation. Suppose that M has ends E^1, \ldots, E^r , that each E^k is homeomorphic to $S^k \times [0, \infty)$ for some closed hyperbolic surface S^k , and that each end is simply degenerate. Let $\mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow M$ be a Scott core cutting off the ends E^k , so that the boundary components of \mathcal{K} are the surfaces $S^k \times \{0\}$, $k = 1, \ldots, r$. Theorem 4.3 asserts that each end $E = E^k$ of M has split geometry and has a model made by consistently gluing split blocks $B_i = B_i^k$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, so that B_{i-1} is glued to B_i along their common boundary split surface $S_i = S_i^k$. For $q \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{B}(q) = \mathcal{K} \cup \bigcup_{k=0}^r \bigcup_{i=0}^q B_i^k$ be the manifold formed by gluing the core \mathcal{K} to the first q blocks in each end as above. Replacing models by actual ends, we may assume that $\mathcal{B}(q)$ is quasi-isometrically embedded in M. We also change our formulation so as to be in accordance with the criterion in terms of $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$; see the explanation in Section 3. Given an isomorphism $\rho: \Gamma \to G$, we have an embedding $j: \mathcal{G}\Gamma \to \mathbb{H}^3$, $j(\gamma) = \rho(\gamma) \cdot O$ of the Cayley graph of Γ into \mathbb{H}^3 . Recall also that if λ is any d_{Γ} -geodesic segment in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$ with endpoints $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$, then we write $[j(\lambda)]$ for the \mathbb{H}^3 -geodesic $[j(\gamma), j(\gamma')]$.

Remark 4.9 We also have the map $i: j_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{G}\Gamma) \to \mathbb{H}^3$ defined by $ij_{\Gamma}(\gamma) = j(\gamma)$, whose extension to Λ_{Γ} is the CT-map \hat{i} . Note that i is morally the same as the embedding $\tilde{\phi}: \tilde{S} \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ of Proposition 4.5, and as long as S is closed, the map j_{Γ} is a quasi-isometry so that i is also morally equivalent to j.

Proposition 4.10 Let Γ be a geometrically finite convex cocompact Kleinian group and let $\rho: \Gamma \to G$ be a strictly type-preserving isomorphism. Suppose that $M = \mathbb{H}^3/G$ is a hyperbolic 3-manifold without cusps, with Scott core \mathcal{K} and incompressible ends E^1, \ldots, E^r as above. Then there exists $D \in \mathbb{N}$, depending only on the topology of the ends of M, with the following property. Suppose given $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and that the submanifold $\mathcal{B}(q)$ is defined as above. Then for all L > 0 there exists f(L) > 0, depending only on the geometry of the submanifold $\mathcal{B}(q + D)$, such that if λ is any d_{Γ} -geodesic segment in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$ which lies outside $B_{\Gamma}(1, f(L))$, then $[j(\lambda)] \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{B}(q)}$ lies outside $\mathcal{B}(O, L)$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}(q)}$ is the lift to \widetilde{M} of $\mathcal{B}(q)$.

Thus the proposition asserts that, independent of the geometry of M outside $\mathcal{B}(q+D)$, we can control $[j(\lambda)]$ inside $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}(q)}$ with constants which depend only on the first q + D blocks in each end, where D is a universal constant which depends only on the topological types of the ends.

We immediately deduce the following Corollary, which will be used in the proof of Theorem A.

Corollary 4.11 Let M, \mathcal{K} and D be as in Proposition 4.10. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ with the following property. Suppose that V is any hyperbolic manifold such that there is a bi-Lipschitz embedding $\beta: \mathcal{B}(q + D) \to V$, and such that the ends of $V \setminus \beta(\mathcal{B}(q + D))$ have split geometry and correspond bijectively and homeomorphically to the ends of $M \setminus \mathcal{B}(q + D)$. Let $\tilde{\beta}: \tilde{\mathcal{K}} \to \tilde{V}$ be the lift of β to the universal covers. Suppose that L > 0 and that λ is any d_{Γ} -geodesic segment in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$ which lies outside $B_{\Gamma}(1, f(L))$. Then $[\tilde{\beta}(j(\lambda))] \cap \tilde{\beta}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(q))$ lies outside $B(\beta(O), L) \subset \tilde{V}$, where $[\tilde{\beta}(j(\lambda))]$ denotes the geodesic whose endpoints are the images under $\tilde{\beta} \circ j$ of the endpoints of λ .

4.2.4 Proof of Theorem A: conclusion We have a geometrically finite Kleinian group Γ without parabolics, which does not split as a free product, together with a sequence of strictly type-preserving isomorphisms $\rho_n \colon \Gamma \to G_n$ which converge

strongly to a purely loxodromic Kleinian group $G_{\infty} = \rho_{\infty}(\Gamma)$. Our aim is to use the criterion of Corollary 3.10 to show that the corresponding sequence of CT-maps $\hat{i}_n: \Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_{G_n}$ converges uniformly to $\hat{i}_{\infty}: \Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_{G_{\infty}}$.

Since the representations ρ_n converge strongly to G_{∞} , by Lemma 2.2 we may as well assume that we have a compact core K of $N = \mathbb{H}^3 / \Gamma$ together with embeddings $\phi_n: K \hookrightarrow M_n = \mathbb{H}^3 / G_n, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$ such that $K_n = \phi_n(K)$ is a Scott core of M_n and $\mathcal{K} = \phi_{\infty}(K)$ is a Scott core of M_{∞} . We may also choose the lift O of the basepoint $o \in K$ and lifts $\tilde{\phi}_n$ of ϕ_n so that $\tilde{\phi}_n(O)$ lies in a uniformly bounded neighborhood of $O \in \mathbb{H}^3$ for all n.

We need to show that there exists a function $f_1: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that whenever λ is a d_{Γ} -geodesic segment lying outside $B_{\Gamma}(1; f_1(L))$ in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$, the \mathbb{H}^3 -geodesic $[j_n(\lambda)]$ lies outside $B_{\mathbb{H}}(O; L)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where as usual $j_n: \mathcal{G}\Gamma \to \mathbb{H}^3$, $j_n(\gamma) = \rho_n(\gamma) \cdot O$.

As in Section 4.2.3 above, let E^i , i = 1, ..., r, be the ends of $M = M_{\infty}$. Given $L \in \mathbb{N}$, choose compact submanifolds $E_1^i \subset E^i$ homeomorphic to $S^i \times [0, 1]$ such that $d_M((E^i \setminus E_1^i), \mathcal{K}) \ge 2L$, where d_M denotes the metric induced by shortest paths in M. Identifying M with the model \mathfrak{M} , pick $q \in \mathbb{N}$ so that E_1^i is contained in the union of the first q blocks of E^i for each i = 1, ..., r. Then with the notation of Proposition 4.10, we have $d_M(M \setminus \mathcal{B}(q), \mathcal{K}) \ge 2L$.

By strong convergence, there exists $n_0 = n_0(L) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge n_0$, there exists a 2-bi-Lipschitz embedding ψ_n : $\mathcal{B}(q + D) \to M_n$, and such that $\psi_n(\mathcal{B}(q + D)) \supset K_n = \psi_n(\mathcal{K})$ cuts off the ends of M_n ; see Lemma 2.1. Let $o \in \mathcal{K}$ be a base-point which lifts to $O \in \mathbb{H}^3$. Up to adjusting by a bounded distance, we may assume that ψ_n lifts to a map $\tilde{\psi}_n$ with $\tilde{\psi}_n(O) = O$, and that $\tilde{\psi}_n(O)$ projects to the base-point $o_n \in M_n$ and $\psi_n(o) = o_n$.

Now apply Corollary 4.11 with $M = M_{\infty}$ and the integer q, and with $V = M_n$ and $\beta = \psi_n$, to see there exists a function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, independent of n, with the following property. Let λ be a d_{Γ} -geodesic segment in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$ lying outside $B_{\Gamma}(1, f(2L))$. Since $(\psi_n)_* \circ \rho_{\infty} = \rho_n$ we have $\widetilde{\psi}_n \circ j_{\infty} = j_n$. Let $[j_n(\lambda)]$ be the \mathbb{H}^3 -geodesic segment with the same endpoints as $j_n(\lambda)$. Then by Corollary 4.11, $[j_n(\lambda)] \cap \widetilde{\psi}_n(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}(q)})$ lies outside $B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(O, 2L) \subset \mathbb{H}^3$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}(q)} \subset \widetilde{M}_{\infty}$ is the lift to $\widetilde{M}_{\infty} = \mathbb{H}^3$ of $\mathcal{B}(q)$.

Let $\pi: \mathbb{H}^3 \to M_n$ be the covering projection so that in particular $\pi(O) = o_n$. Since $\psi_n(\mathcal{B}(q))$ cuts off the ends of M_n , and since ψ_n is 2-bi-Lipschitz, any point in $\pi([j_n(\lambda)])$ which lies outside $\psi_n(\mathcal{B}(q))$ must be at least distance L to o_n . It follows that if $n \ge n_0$, then $[j_n(\lambda)]$ lies outside $B(\tilde{\psi}_n(O), L) \subset \tilde{M}_n = \mathbb{H}^3$ whenever λ lies outside $B_{\Gamma}(1, f(L))$ in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$.

It remains only to deal with $n < n_0$. By Corollary 3.10, for each $n \in \{1, ..., n_0\}$, there exists $N_n = N_n(L) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if λ is a d_G -geodesic outside $B_{\Gamma}(1, N_n(L))$ in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$, then $[j_n(\lambda)]$ lies outside $B(O, L) \subset \mathbb{H}^3$. Choosing

$$f_1(L) = \max\{f(L), N_1(L), \dots, N_{n_0}(L)\},\$$

we have verified the criterion of Corollary 3.10. This completes the proof of Theorem A.

5 Algebraic limits and nonconvergence of limit points

In this section, we prove Theorem C, of which Theorem B is an immediate consequence. The sequence of groups G_n in Theorem C is that described by Brock in [9], in which the convergence is algebraic but not strong. Note that the proof of Theorem C only requires the bounded geometry case of Theorem A, that is Theorem 4.2; see the proof of Proposition 5.12.

We begin with a brief description of the examples and Brock's bi-Lipschitz models for the manifolds involved.

5.1 Brock's examples

The groups G_n in Theorem C are a sequence of quasi-Fuchsian surface groups converging algebraically but not strongly to a partially degenerate geometrically infinite surface group G_{∞} with an accidental parabolic. The examples are also discussed briefly in [27].

The sequence G_n is obtained as follows. Fix a closed hyperbolic surface $X = \mathbb{H}^2 / \Gamma$. Let σ be a simple closed geodesic which separates X into two subsurfaces R and L. Let α denote an automorphism of X such that $\alpha|_L$ is the identity and $\alpha|_R = \chi$ is a pseudo-Anosov diffeomorphism of R preserving the boundary σ . (For later reference, it is important to ensure that there is no Dehn twisting around σ when χ is considered as the restriction of α to $\pi_1(R)$; see Section 5.3.1 below.) Let G_n be the quasi-Fuchsian group given by the simultaneous uniformization of $(\alpha^n(X), X)$, so that $G_n = \rho_n(\Gamma)$ for suitably normalized $\rho_n: \Gamma \to SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and G_0 is Fuchsian. This means that the regular set Ω_n of G_n has two components Ω_n^{\pm} where the "lower" component Ω_n^-/Γ is conformally equivalent to X and the "upper" component Ω_n^+/Γ is equivalent to $\alpha^n(X)$. The algebraic limit G_{∞} of the groups G_n is a partially degenerate geometrically infinite surface group, while with suitable choice of basepoint, the geometric limit of the manifolds $M_n = \mathbb{H}^3/G_n$ is homeomorphic to $X \times \mathbb{R} \setminus R \times \{0\}$. These assertions will be explained in more detail below. Since to fully understand our example, it is important to be clear about the notational conventions, we begin by setting these out. As far as possible, we follow Brock [9].

5.1.1 Teichmüller space and the mapping class group Let *S* be an oriented hyperbolizable surface. The Teichmüller space Teich(*S*) of *S* parametrizes finite-area hyperbolic structures on *S* up to isotopy. Thus a point $X \in \text{Teich}(S)$ is a hyperbolic surface *X* equipped with a homeomorphism $f: S \to X$ which marks *X*. The mapping class group Mod(*S*) acts on Teich(*S*): If $\alpha \in \text{Mod}(S)$, then $\phi(S, f) = (S, f \circ \alpha^{-1})$. The map on surfaces induces an action on the space of representations $\rho: \pi_1(S, x_0) \to \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ by $\alpha(\rho) = \rho \circ \alpha^{-1}$.

If $\zeta: [0, 1] \to S$ is a path in *S*, we denote by $\alpha(\zeta)$ the path $\alpha \circ \zeta: [0, 1] \to S$. Thus defining a hyperbolic surface *X* in terms of the lengths $\ell_X(\gamma)$ of the simple closed curves γ on *X* and identifying *X* with *S* by taking f = id, we can write

(1)
$$\ell_{\alpha(X)}(\gamma) = \ell_X(\alpha^{-1}(\gamma)).$$

Hence the map $\alpha: X \to \alpha(X)$ is an isometry. The action on curves extends to an action on the space of measured laminations ML(*S*) on *S*: For $\mu \in ML(S)$, the lamination $\alpha(\mu)$ is defined by (geometric) intersection numbers:

(2)
$$i(\gamma, \alpha(\mu)) = i(\alpha^{-1}(\gamma), \mu)$$

for all $\gamma \in \pi_1(S)$. Thus if $\alpha \in Mod(S), \mu \in ML(S)$ and $X \in Teich(S)$, we have $\ell_{\alpha(X)}(\mu) = \ell_X(\alpha^{-1}(\nu))$, where $\ell_X(\mu)$ denotes the length of the lamination μ in the surface X.

5.1.2 Quasi-Fuchsian groups A quasi-Fuchsian group *G* is the image of a discrete faithful representation $\rho: \pi_1(S, x_0) \to \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, whose domain of discontinuity has two simply connected components Ω^{\pm} . By convention we take Ω^+ to be the component whose orientation is the same as that of *S*. By Bers' simultaneous uniformization theorem, a pair of points $X, Y \in \text{Teich}(S)$ parametrize quasi-Fuchsian groups: if $G = G(X, Y) = \rho(\pi_1(S, x_0))$, then Q(X, Y) denotes the manifold for which Ω^+/G is conformally equivalent to *X* and Ω^-/G is anticonformally equivalent to *Y*. Strictly this only defines G(X, Y) up to conjugation.

To mark Q(X, Y) and fix G(X, Y) we proceed as follows. Fix a base-point $s_0 \in S$. Let Y be the point $(S, f) \in \text{Teich}(S)$ and let $y_0 = f(s_0)$. Fix a lift $\tilde{f} \colon \mathbb{H}^2 \to \Omega^$ which descends to f, where we identify \mathbb{H}^2 with the universal cover of S and where $O = O_2 \in \mathbb{H}^2$ is a fixed basepoint which descends to s_0 , and set $\tilde{y}_0 = \tilde{f}(O_2)$. Now the convex hull C of Q(X, Y) is bounded by two pleated surfaces ∂C^{\pm} which (when positively oriented, that is, so that ∂C^+ is oriented pointing out of C and ∂C^- is oriented pointing into C) are respectively uniformly bounded distance to X, Y in Teich(S). There is a natural retraction map r from Ω^- to the lift $\partial \widetilde{C}^-$ to \mathbb{H}^3 of ∂C^- [15]; we arrange that $r(\tilde{y}_0) = O = O_3 \in \mathbb{H}^3$. This gives a map $\tilde{f} \colon (\mathbb{H}^2, O_2) \to (\partial \widetilde{C}^-, O_3)$ which descends to a map $S \to Q(X, Y)$ which sends *S* to a pleated surface in Q(X, Y) at uniformly bounded Teichmüller distance to *Y*. We use this marking to induce the representation $\rho: \pi_1(S, s_0) \to G(X, Y)$. We fix the basepoint $o \in Q = \mathbb{H}^3/G$ to be the projection of the point $O \in \mathbb{H}^3$.

5.1.3 Iteration of pseudo-Anosovs For details on measured laminations and pseudo-Anosov maps, see [19; 39]. Here is a summary of what we need. Let $\chi \in Mod(S)$ be pseudo-Anosov. Then χ has two fixed points in the space PML(S) of projective measured laminations on S: the *stable lamination* λ^s and an *unstable lamination* λ^u . (If necessary, we distinguish the underlying lamination $|\lambda|$ from its transverse measure λ .) This means there exists c > 1 so that $\chi(\lambda^s) = (1/c)\lambda^s$ and $\chi(\lambda^u) = c\lambda^u$. From (2) this gives $i(\chi^{-1}(\gamma), \lambda^u) = i(\gamma, \chi(\lambda^u)) = ci(\gamma, \lambda^u)$. Thus $\lim_{n\to\infty} i(\chi^n(\gamma), \lambda^u) \to 0$, so (since λ^u is uniquely ergodic)

(3)
$$[\chi^n(\gamma)] \to [\lambda^u] \text{ in PML}(S),$$

where $[\mu]$ denotes the projective equivalence class of $\mu \in ML(S)$ in PML(S).

Let X be a fixed surface in Teich(S). The boundary leaves of laminations $|\lambda^{u}|$ and $|\lambda^{s}|$ decompose X into a collection of rectangles in each of which we have a metric $\sqrt{(\lambda^{u})^{2} + (\lambda^{s})^{2}}$ (or more simply the equivalent metric $\lambda^{u} + \lambda^{s}$). Putting these together gives a metric quasi-isometric to the hyperbolic metric on X. Then $\ell_{X}(\gamma) \sim i(\gamma, \lambda^{u}) + i(\gamma, \lambda^{s})$, and for any arc T, we have $\ell_{X}(T) \sim i(T, \lambda^{u}) + i(T, \lambda^{s})$, where \sim denotes equality up to multiplicative bounded constants. In particular, if T is an arc along an unstable leaf, then $\ell_{X}(T) \sim i(T, \lambda^{s})$, so $\ell_{X}(X)(T) \sim i(\chi^{-1}(T), \lambda^{s}) = c^{-1}i(T, \lambda^{s}) \sim c^{-1}\ell_{X}(T)$. In other words, ϕ contracts along unstable leaves. Also observe that since

(4)
$$\ell_{\chi^n(X)}(\gamma) = \ell_X(\chi^{-n}(\gamma)) \sim i(\gamma, \chi^n \lambda^s) + i(\gamma, \chi^n \lambda^u) = c^n i(\gamma, \lambda^u) + c^{-n} i(\gamma, \lambda^s),$$

it follows by taking ratios of lengths that $\chi^n(X) \to [\lambda^u]$ in PML(*S*), viewed as the Thurston compactification of Teich(*S*).

5.1.4 The algebraic limit for iteration of pseudo-Anosov maps Given a surface S and $\alpha \in Mod(S)$, the *mapping torus* of (S, α) is the manifold $T_{\alpha} = S \times [0, 1]/\sim$, where \sim is the equivalence relation $(x, 0) \sim (\alpha(x), 1)$. Let $N_{\alpha} = S \times \mathbb{R}$ be the cyclic cover of T_{α} , corresponding to the subgroup $\pi_1(S)$. The manifold N_{α} is naturally oriented by the orientation of S.

If $\chi \in Mod(S)$ is pseudo-Anosov, then Thurston showed that T_{χ} and hence N_{χ} has a hyperbolic structure [39; 24]. Pick $(\Sigma, f) \in Teich(S)$ and consider the manifold M_{χ} corresponding to the algebraic limit of the quasi-Fuchsian groups $G(\chi^n(\Sigma), \Sigma)$, where $Q(\chi^n(\Sigma), \Sigma)$ is marked as described above. McMullen [24, Theorem 3.11] shows that

the limit manifold M_{χ} has one positive degenerate end E which is asymptotically isometric to the positive end of N_{χ} . (Note that the positive end of N_{χ} is, up to complex conjugation, the negative end of $N_{\chi^{-1}}$; see [24, Proposition 3.10].)

The end $E = E(\Sigma, \chi)$ consists of successive sheets which are mapped one to the next by χ . More precisely, we have a sequence of pleated surfaces $h_j: S \to E$ exiting Esuch that the j^{th} level surface is marked by the map $f \circ \chi^{-n}: S \to M_{\chi}$. In particular, $h_0: S \to \Sigma \times \{0\}$ is the map $h_0(x) = (f^{-1}(x), 0)$ for $x \in \Sigma$; loosely, h_0 identifies Swith $\Sigma_0 = \Sigma \times \{0\}$. Up to quasi-isometry, E is modeled by $\Sigma \times [0, \infty)$ with the image of the j^{th} level pleated surface Σ_j identified with $\Sigma \times \{j\}$. The hyperbolic structure of Σ_j is the point $\chi^n(\Sigma) \in \text{Teich}(S)$. Now put a metric on $\Sigma \times [0, 1]$ which smoothly interpolates between Σ_0 and Σ_1 and then transport this metric to $\Sigma \times [i, i + 1]$ using the isometry χ^i . This gives a uniformly bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism from E to the model manifold $\Sigma \times [0, \infty)$. The model is marked by the map $h_0 \circ f$ which sends a base-point $s_0 \in S$ to a base-point $o = (f(s_0), 0) \in \Sigma_0$

The convex cores of the approximating manifolds $Q(\chi^n(\Sigma), \Sigma)$ are equally modeled by $\Sigma \times [0, n]$ with the restriction of the above metric. The above marking is, up to a uniformly bounded discrepancy, the same as the one described in Section 5.1.2, and hence determines the limit representation $\rho: \pi_1(S, s_0) \to \pi_1(M_\chi, o)$.

Lemma 5.1 [9, Lemma 4.4] The ending lamination of the end *E* of M_{χ} is the unstable lamination λ^{u} of χ .

Proof This is proved in [9]. Here is a variant which will serve as a check we have the correct conventions. Think of the model $E = \Sigma \times [0, \infty)$ as quasi-isometrically embedded in M_{χ} . Let s_0 be the base-point in S. As above, with $(\Sigma, f) \in \text{Teich}(S)$ we have base-point $o = (f(s_0), 0) \in \Sigma_0 \subset M_{\chi}$. A loop $\gamma \in \pi_1(S, s_0)$ defines a path $(f \circ \gamma, 0) \subset \Sigma_0$ and hence a homotopy class $[\rho(\gamma)] \in \pi_1(M_{\chi}, o)$. Now consider the path $\chi^n(\gamma) = \chi^n \circ \gamma \in \pi_1(S)$. To find the approximate length of the geodesic in the class $[\rho(\chi^n(\gamma))]$ in M_{χ} , let τ_n be the path $t \mapsto (f(s_0), t), t \in [0, n] \subset E$. Note that $(f \circ \chi^n(\gamma), 0)$ is homotopic in M_{χ} to the loop $\tau_n(f \circ \chi^n(\gamma), n)\tau_n^{-1}$ and hence freely homotopic to the path $(\chi^n(\gamma), n) \subset \Sigma_n$.

Now by (1), $\ell_{\Sigma_n}(\chi^n(\gamma)) = \ell_{\chi^n(\Sigma)}(\chi^n(\gamma)) = \ell_{\Sigma}(\gamma)$. Thus the sequence of curves $(\chi^n(\gamma), n)$ on the pleated surfaces Σ_n exit the positive end of M_{χ} and have uniformly bounded length. This means they converge to the ending lamination of M_{χ} . On the other hand, by (3), $[\chi^n(\gamma)] \rightarrow [\lambda^u]$ in PML(Σ). Hence the ending lamination of M_{χ} is λ^u . \Box

5.1.5 The algebraic limit for iteration of partially pseudo-Anosov maps Now we turn to the case under consideration, in which $\alpha \in \text{Mod } S$ is partially pseudo-Anosov

673

as described in Section 5.1 above. Thus *S* is now a closed surface separated into two components *R* and *L* by a simple closed curve σ and $\alpha \in Mod(S)$ is such that $\alpha|_L$ is the identity and $\alpha|_R = \chi$ is a pseudo-Anosov diffeomorphism of *R* preserving σ .

Given $X \in \text{Teich}(S)$ we set $G_n = G(\alpha^n(X), X)$ and $M_n = Q(\alpha^n(X), X)$, so that M_n is the manifold such that Ω^+/G_n is conformally equivalent to $\alpha^n(X)$ while Ω^-/G_n is anticonformally equivalent to X. In particular, G_0 is a Fuchsian group uniformizing X, and our sequence of representations are the maps $\rho_n: G_0 \to G_n$.

Brock showed [9, Theorem 5.4] that the representations ρ_n converge algebraically to a representation $\rho_{\infty}: G_0 \to G_{\infty}$ where G_{∞} is a geometrically infinite surface group with corresponding manifold M_{∞} . The regular set Ω_{∞} of G_{∞} has one G_{∞} -invariant simply connected component Ω_{∞}^- such that $\Omega_{\infty}^-/G_{\infty}$ is conformally equivalent to X. The positive end (corresponding to Ω^+) has degenerated: If $g_{\sigma} \in \pi_1(S)$ corresponds to the separating curve σ , then $\rho_{\infty}(g_{\sigma})$ is an accidental parabolic and Ω_{∞}^+ collapses to a countable collection of simply connected components $\Omega^{+,i}$ whose stabilizers are each conjugate to $\rho_{\infty}(\pi_1(L))$. So $\Omega^{+,i}/G_{\infty}$ is a Riemann surface topologically equivalent to Int L for each i, with $\rho_{\infty}(g_{\sigma})$ representing a loop encircling a puncture. Correspondingly, the upper end of M_{∞} is partially degenerate; the part corresponding to L is geometrically finite while the part corresponding to R is degenerate with ending lamination the unstable lamination of χ .

Let *H* be a horocyclic neighborhood of the cusp corresponding to $\rho_{\infty}(g_{\sigma})$ in M_{∞} . The assertion of [9, Theorem 5.4] is that the end of $M_{\infty} \setminus H$ cut off by the surface *R* is asymptotically isomorphic to the end $E(\Sigma, \chi)$ described in the previous section, where Σ is a hyperbolic surface with the same topology as Int *R* but equipped with a complete hyperbolic structure so that the boundary $\sigma = \partial R$ is replaced by a cusp on Σ and $\chi = \alpha |_R$.

5.1.6 Models of the approximating manifolds Minsky [28, Section 6.5] contains a description of the convex core of $M_n = Q(\alpha^n(X), X)$ in terms of a uniformly bi-Lipschitz model for its convex core C_n . Fix $X \in \text{Teich}(S)$ such that $\ell_X(\sigma) < \epsilon_0$ for some ϵ_0 less than the Margulis constant. As above σ separates X into surfaces R and L; when needed we distinguish between the topological surfaces R and L, and the hyperbolic structures X_R and X_L induced from X.

Also pick a complete hyperbolic surface Σ with the same topology as Int *R* but so that the boundary $\sigma = \partial R$ is replaced by a cusp on Σ . Let Σ^c denote Σ with a small (open) neighborhood of the cusp removed so that the boundary curve, which we denote σ^c , has length ϵ_0 .

First we make a model B_n for the part of C_n corresponding to R. Let $N = N_{\chi}$ be the hyperbolic 3-manifold with fiber Σ and monodromy χ . Let N_n denote the

cyclic *n*-fold cover of *N*, ie the manifold whose fundamental group is the kernel of the homomorphism $\pi_1(N) \to \pi_1(S^1) = \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_n$. Let N_n^c denote N_n with a small (open) neighborhood of the cusp removed so that the boundary curve of each fiber has length ϵ_0 . Let \hat{N}_n^c be the manifold obtained by cutting N_n^c open along a lift of some fiber and completing metrically to a manifold with boundary. Then just as described in Section 5.1.4, there is a uniformly bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism from \hat{N}_n^c to a model manifold $B_n = \Sigma^c \times [0, n]$, in which the block $\Sigma^c \times [i, i + 1]$ is isometric to $\Sigma^c \times [0, 1]$ by a map homotopic to χ^{-i} . In the model metric on B_n , the boundary loop $\sigma^c \times \{t\}$ has length ϵ_0 for each $t \in [0, 1]$ and the boundary cylinder $\sigma^c \times [0, n]$ has the obvious product of the Euclidean metrics on σ^c and the interval [0, n]. (The discussion in [6, Section 8], especially Proposition 8.6 for the discussion of $\partial \Sigma$, explains the model for N_n^c in a neighborhood of a puncture.)

The model of the part of C_n corresponding to L is essentially the product metric on $C = X_L \times [0, 1]$. We modify the metric on X_L slightly to ensure the boundary circles $\partial L \times \{t\}$ all have length ϵ_0 and take the standard Euclidean metric of length one on the second factor.

Now we can make model K_n for the whole of C_n . Glue the circle $\partial L \times \{0\} \subset C_n$ to the circle $\partial R \times \{0\} = \sigma^c \times \{0\} \subset B_n$, and likewise glue the circle $\partial L \times \{1\}$ to the circle $\sigma^c \times \{n\}$. Let K_n^c denote the resulting space. Let η denote a circle of length n + 1obtained by moving in the direction of the second factor in K_n^c . Finally, let K_n be the manifold (with boundary) obtained by (hyperbolic) Dehn filling K_n^c with a Margulis tube T_n with meridian η and longitude σ^c , smoothing out at the boundary if needed. Figure 2 shows a "cross-section" of K_n . Note that the manifolds K_n have uniformly bounded geometry away from T_n .

The lower boundary of K_n , denoted ∂K_n^- , is obtained by gluing $\partial L \times \{0\}$ to $\partial R \times \{0\}$. Thus we have an obvious map $\phi_n: S \to \partial K_n^-$ which we use to mark K_n . If $s_0 \in \sigma$ is the base-point of S, we denote the image $\phi_n(s_0) = (s_0, 0) \in \partial L \times \{0\}$ by o_n . Lifting everything to universal covers, identifying \tilde{S} with \mathbb{H}^2 , and thinking of $\tilde{K}_n \subset \mathbb{H}^3$, we can arrange that s_0 lifts to $O \in \mathbb{H}^2$ and o_n lifts to $O_n = O \in \mathbb{H}^3$.

The upper boundary of K_n , denoted ∂K_n^+ , is obtained by gluing $\partial L \times \{1\}$ to $\partial R \times \{n\}$. This gives a second obvious embedding $\phi_n^+: S \to \partial K_n^+$ and we write $o_n^+ = \phi_n^+(s_0)$, with lift $O_n^+ \in \mathbb{H}^3$. Occasionally we write o_n^- for o_n , O_n^- for $O_n = O$ and ϕ_n^- for ϕ_n for clarity.

To see that the model manifolds K_n are bi-Lipschitz equivalent to the convex cores C_n , note that the marked surfaces ∂K_n^{\pm} of K_n are conformally a uniformly bounded Teichmüller distance from the surfaces $\alpha^n(X)$, X respectively, precisely as in the case of the manifolds $M_n = Q(\alpha^n(X), X)$. Thus the standard techniques used in the proof



Figure 2: A schematic picture of K built up of B, C and T. The points a and b are the base-points $\phi_n^-(s_0) = o_n^-$ and $\phi_n^+(s_0) = o_n^+$, respectively.

of the ending lamination theorem show that there are bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms between C_n and K_n , with constants uniform in n. These are the models we will use.

5.1.7 The limit manifolds In the algebraic limit, the tube T_n becomes a rank-one cusp; see also [27]. The lower boundary ∂K_n^- of K_n stays fixed but the upper boundary ∂K_n^+ develops into a partially degenerate end in which *L* becomes a surface with a puncture. The part of the surface corresponding to *R* becomes the degenerate end *E* described in Section 5.1.6.

In the geometric limit, the distance from $o_n^- \in \partial K_n^-$ to $o_n^+ \in \partial K_n^-$ stays bounded, because we can always travel through the bounded half $C = L \times [0, 1]$. However to reach a point on a "middle" layer $\Sigma^c \times \{\frac{1}{2}n\}$ of B_n we have to travel ever further, either going directly "up" through B_n or through $L \times [0, 1]$, crossing $\partial L \times \{1\}$, and then "down" from $\Sigma^c \times \{n\}$ through B_n to $\Sigma^c \times \{\frac{1}{2}n\}$. Thus in the geometric limit, K_n converges to the manifold $S \times \mathbb{R} \setminus R \times \{0\}$ with two geometrically infinite ends, each asymptotically quasi-isometric to E. This is discussed in detail in [9], but is not important for us here.

5.2 Absence of uniform convergence

Since the sequence G_n does not converge strongly, by Proposition 3.7, it must fail to satisfy UEP. In fact it is easy to exhibit a sequence of points $g_k \in G_0$ such that $|g_k| \to \infty$ while $d(O, \rho_n(g_k) \cdot O) \le c$ for all n, k and some fixed c > 0. (To see that this is equivalent to violating UEP, see [38, Lemma 5.2].)

The meridian curve η round the boundary of the Margulis tube T_n is split into homotopic paths τ_n and υ_n in K_n by the points $o_n^{\pm} \in \partial K_n^{\pm}$. The path τ_n : $t \mapsto (s_0, t), t \in [0, n]$,

joins o_n^{\pm} going the "long" way round ∂T_n in B_n , while the path $v_n: t \mapsto (s_0, t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, goes the "short" way round in C_n .

Let $s \mapsto \gamma(s)$ be a based loop homotopic to a fixed generator of $\pi_1(S, s_0)$ and lying entirely on *R*. As in the proof of Lemma 5.1, the path $\gamma_n: [0, 1] \to K_n$, $\gamma_n(s) = (\chi^n \gamma(s), n) \in \Sigma^c \times \{n\} \subset \partial K_n^+$ has the same length ℓ say as the path $s \mapsto \gamma_0(s) = (\gamma(s), 0) \in \Sigma^c \times \{0\} \subset \partial K_n^-$.

Now the loops $\tau_n \gamma_n \tau_n^{-1}$ and $\upsilon_n \gamma_n \upsilon_n^{-1}$ are homotopic in K_n , moreover from the above observation, $\upsilon_n \gamma_n \upsilon_n^{-1}$ has length $2 + \ell$ in K_n . On the other hand, $\tau_n \gamma_n \tau_n^{-1}$ is homotopic in K_n to the loop $\chi^n(\gamma_0) \subset \Sigma^c \times \{0\} \subset \partial K_n^-$, where by $\chi^n(\gamma_0)$ we mean the path $s \mapsto (\chi^n(s), 0) \in \Sigma^c \times \{0\}$. By (4), the geodesic length of $\chi^n(\gamma_0)$ on $\Sigma^c \times \{0\}$ increases exponentially with n; hence by the usual comparison of word length and geodesic length on $\Sigma^c \times \{0\}$, if $g_n \in G_0$ represents the loop $\chi^n(\gamma) \in \pi_1(S, s_0)$, then $|g_n| \to \infty$ in G_0 . Since ρ_n is induced by the marking $\phi_n: s \mapsto (s, 0) \in K_n^-$, the loop $\chi^n(\gamma_0)$ is in the homotopy class of $\rho_n(g_n) \in \pi_1(K_n; o_n^-)$.

Let *O* be the lift to \mathbb{H}^3 of $o_n^- \in K_n$ as above. Lifting the paths $\upsilon_n \gamma_n \upsilon_n^{-1}$, we have found a sequence $g_n \in G_0$ for which $d_{G_0}(1, g_n) \to \infty$ but for which $d_{\mathbb{H}^3}(O, \rho_n(g_n)O)$ is uniformly bounded. As noted above, this violates UEP.

5.3 Pointwise nonconvergence

Let $\Gamma = G_0$ be the Fuchsian group for which $X = \mathbb{H}^2 / \Gamma$. Recall that σ corresponds to a loxodromic $g_{\sigma} \in \Gamma$ whose image under ρ_{∞} is parabolic. Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \Lambda_{\Gamma}$ denote the endpoints of axes which project to σ , equivalently, the set of images under Γ of the fixed points of g_{σ} . The counterexamples we seek for Theorem C occur in the case of $\xi \in \Lambda_{\Gamma}$ for which $\xi \notin \mathcal{P}$ but $\hat{\iota}_{\infty}(\xi) = \hat{\iota}_{\infty}(p)$ for some $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Precisely which points these are is given by the following theorem of Bowditch.

Theorem 5.2 [6, Theorem 0.2] Let \mathbb{H}^2/Γ be a punctured hyperbolic surface. Let $M = \mathbb{H}^2/G$ be a simply degenerate hyperbolic manifold corresponding to a faithful type-preserving isomorphism $\rho: \Gamma \to G$, and suppose that there is a lower bound to the length of all loxodromics in M. Suppose that M has ending lamination λ and let $\hat{i}: \Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_{G}$ be the corresponding CT-map. Then $\hat{i}(\xi) = \hat{i}(\eta)$ for $\xi, \eta \in \Lambda_{\Gamma}$ if and only if ξ and η are either ideal endpoints of the same leaf of λ , or ideal boundary points of a complementary ideal polygon of λ .

This result was originally proved by Minsky [26] in the (bounded geometry) closed surface case. The condition on loxodromics means of course that the injectivity radius of M is bounded below outside a horoball neighborhood of the punctures of S. This result has been extended to unbounded geometry and more general manifolds in [36; 37].

5.3.1 The points of nonconvergence The points of nonconvergence of the maps $\hat{\iota}_n$ will be the endpoints of lifts of unstable leaves which bound the crown domain of the unstable lamination $|\lambda^u|$ of χ in Int *R*. First, as mentioned above, we need to be careful about the precise meaning of saying that $\alpha|_R$ is pseudo-Anosov, so as to ensure that there is no Dehn twisting around σ when we consider χ as the restriction of α . We suppose given the hyperbolic surface Σ as above and a pseudo-Anosov map $\chi: \Sigma^c \to \Sigma^c$ which pointwise fixes σ^c and which is the identity in a horoball neighborhood of the cusp $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma^c$. Then χ induces an automorphism χ_* of $\pi_1(\Sigma^c, s_0)$, where we pick $s_0 \in \partial \Sigma^c$. Now identify *R* with Σ^c and $\partial R = \sigma$ with $\partial \Sigma^c$. With this identification, we insist that $(\alpha_*)|_{\pi_1(R,s_0)} = \chi_*$.

Continuing with the identification of R with Σ^c , note that the crown domain F of the unstable lamination λ^u of χ is an annulus with one boundary component σ and the other consisting of finitely many alternating segments of stable and unstable leaves. By taking a suitable power of χ if necessary, we can assume that these leaves map to themselves under χ .

Next, pick a lift \tilde{F} of F and a corresponding lift $\tilde{\chi}$ of χ which maps \tilde{F} to itself and which is the identity on a particular lift $\tilde{\sigma}$ of σ . Let μ^u be the lift of one of the unstable leaves bounding the lift \tilde{F} and let ξ^u be one of its endpoints in Λ_{Γ} . From our assumption that $(\alpha_*)|_{\pi_1(R,s_0)} = \chi_*$, it follows that $\chi(\xi^u) = \xi^u$. (Without this assumption, we might have $\chi(\xi^u) = \rho(g_{\sigma})^k(\xi^u)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.)

The nonconvergence part of Theorem C is proved by

Proposition 5.3 Let ξ^u be an endpoint of a boundary leaf of \tilde{F} , and let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ be an endpoint of the lift of σ also bounding \tilde{F} . Then $\hat{\imath}_{\infty}(\xi^u) = \hat{\imath}_{\infty}(p)$, while no subsequence of the sequence $\hat{\imath}_n(\xi^u)$ limits on $\hat{\imath}_{\infty}(p)$.

Proof The statement that $\hat{i}_{\infty}(\xi^u) = \hat{i}_{\infty}(p)$ follows from Theorem 5.2 since λ^u is the ending lamination of M_{∞} . The statement that no subsequence of the sequence $\hat{i}_n(\xi^u)$ limits on $\hat{i}_{\infty}(p)$ is Corollary 5.6, which we prove below.

To prove Corollary 5.6, we will construct, for each n, a quasigeodesic in the lift \tilde{K}_n of K_n which passes through the basepoint $O \in \tilde{K}_n$, and with endpoints $\hat{i}_n(\xi^u)$ and $\hat{i}_n(p)$. These quasigeodesics will be uniform in n and the result will follow.

We want to consider $\xi^u \in \Lambda_{\Gamma}$ as a point in the limit set Λ_{Γ}^n of the surface $\alpha^n(X)$. To do this, denote by \mathbb{H}_n^2 the universal cover of the surface $\alpha^n(X)$, with basepoint $\tilde{s}_0 = \tilde{\chi}^n(\tilde{s}_0) \in \tilde{\sigma}$. The map $\tilde{\alpha}^n \colon \mathbb{H}^2 \to \mathbb{H}_n^2$ extends to a homeomorphism $h_n \colon \Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_{\Gamma}^n$. Since $\tilde{\alpha}^n(\mu^u) = \mu^u$, it follows that $h_n(\xi^u) = \xi^u$. In \mathbb{H}_n^2 , let P_n be the foot of the perpendicular from $O = \tilde{s}_0$ to μ^u and consider the path β_n which follows the perpendicular from O to P_n and then follows μ^u from P_n to its endpoint ξ^u . The segment from O to P_n has length bounded independent of n since outside the thin part of X, the diameter of the plaque \tilde{F} is bounded. Hence β_n is quasigeodesic in \mathbb{H}_n^2 .

The marking of K_n is given by the embedding $\phi_n: (S, s_0) \to (\partial K_n^-, o_n^-)$ which lifts to $\tilde{\phi}_n: (\mathbb{H}^2, O) \to (\tilde{K}_n, O)$. This extends to the map $\hat{\imath}_n: \Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_n$, where Λ_n is the limit set of G_n . On the other hand, the upper boundary ∂K_n^+ of K_n is marked by the map $\phi_n^+ = \phi_n \circ \chi^{-n}$ whose lift $\tilde{\phi}_n^+: (\mathbb{H}_n^2, O) \to (\partial \widetilde{K}_n^+, O_n^+)$ extends to a map $q_n: \Lambda_{\Gamma}^n \to \Lambda_n$. Clearly, $q_n \circ h_n = \hat{\imath}_n$, so in particular, $q_n(\xi^u) = \hat{\imath}_n(\xi^u)$.

Lemma 5.4 The path $\tilde{\phi}_n^+(\beta_n)$ from O_n^+ to $\hat{\iota}_n(\xi^u)$ is quasigeodesic in \tilde{K}_n , with constants uniform in n.

Proof The segment of $\tilde{\phi}_n^+(\beta_n)$ from O_n^+ to $\tilde{\phi}_n^+(P)$ has uniformly bounded length, so it is sufficient to show that $\tilde{\phi}_n^+(\mu_n)$ is uniformly quasigeodesic in \tilde{K}_n .

Suppose first that we were dealing with the case of a pseudo-Anosov map χ on a punctured hyperbolic surface Y, so that the stable and unstable laminations λ^s and λ^u of χ fill up Y. Let dx denote the transverse measure to λ^s and dy denote the transverse measure to λ^u , so that dx measures length along unstable leaves and dy measures length along stable leaves. As in Section 5.1.3, this defines a singular metric on Y which for brevity we write as $ds^2 = dx^2 + dy^2$. Since χ expands along stable leaves, that is in the y-direction, the metric on $\chi^n(Y)$ is given by $ds^2 = c^{-2n}dx^2 + c^{2n}dy^2$. The same formula defines a singular metric on the universal cover \mathbb{H}^2 .

Restricting the model end $E = Y \times [0, \infty)$ in Section 5.1.3 to $E_n = Y \times [0, n]$ provides a model for the convex core of $Q(\chi^n(Y), Y)$. We have obvious maps which embed Y and $\chi^n(Y)$ in E_n as pleated surfaces $Y_0 = Y \times \{0\}$ and $Y_n = Y \times \{n\}$, respectively. Passing to universal covers, as in [26], see also [14], the metric in \tilde{E}_n is modeled by $ds^2 = dt^2 + c^{-2t} dx^2 + c^{2t} dy^2$, where t is the "vertical" coordinate in the second factor. Thus the map which projects \tilde{E}_n "vertically" upwards to $\tilde{Y}_n = \tilde{Y} \times \{n\}$ is a contraction when restricted to $\mu^u \times [0, n]$, where as above μ^u is a boundary leaf of λ^u . Hence projecting from \tilde{E}_n to (μ^u, n) by first projecting "horizontally" in the surface \tilde{Y}_m to (μ^u, m) and then "vertically" to (μ^u, n) is a contraction, from which the result (that a leaf of the unstable lamination on the top surface \tilde{Y}_n is quasigeodesic) follows by standard methods; see, for example, Bowditch [8, Lemma 4.2].

In the present case the model is somewhat more complicated because the automorphism α of the underlying surface S is partially pseudo-Anosov and K_n limits on a

Geometry & Topology, Volume 21 (2017)



Figure 3: Geodesic realizations. The ray from $a = O_n^-$ to x (the image of a geodesic ray in \tilde{S}) lies on the lower boundary $\partial \tilde{K}_n^-$, while its geodesic realization travels the short way round the (lifted) Margulis tube \tilde{T} to $b = O_n^+$ and thence runs along the upper boundary $\partial \tilde{K}_n^+$. The endpoints of the two rays coincide in $\partial \mathbb{H}^3$.

partially degenerate end of M_{∞} . However we can apply the above argument working in the space in which we electrocute the left hand half $C_n = L \times [0, n]$, together with the Margulis tube T_n around σ_n .

An equivalent proof can be constructed by modeling \tilde{K}_n as a tree of hyperbolic metric spaces as in [29].

Next, we modify the path $\tilde{\phi}_n^+(\beta_n)$ of the previous lemma to a quasigeodesic path from $O = O_n^-$ with the same endpoint $\hat{\iota}_n(\xi^u) \in \Lambda_n$, by prefixing it with the path υ_n from O_n^- to O_n^+ which goes the "short" way round ∂T_n in C_n as in Section 5.2. Since υ_n has uniformly bounded length 1, the resulting path δ_n is a \tilde{K}_n -quasigeodesic from O to $\hat{\iota}_n(\xi^u)$. It follows that the geodesic ray from O to $\hat{\iota}_n(\xi^u)$ either lies completely outside T_n , or enters T_n only to exit at a point O' a uniformly bounded distance from O.

Lemma 5.5 Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ be as in the statement of Proposition 5.3. Let γ_n be the hyperbolic ray from O to $\hat{\imath}_n(p)$, and let δ_n be as above. Then the angle at O between γ_n and δ_n is uniformly bounded away from 0.

Proof First consider first the limiting case in which g_{σ} is parabolic. After normalizing and working in the upper half space model \mathbb{H}^3 , we may assume we are in the following situation. Let $O \in \mathbb{H}^3$ be a fixed base-point at Euclidean height 1 above the base plane. Suppose that $A \in \text{Isom } \mathbb{H}^3$ is a parabolic fixing ∞ . Suppose that H is the height-1 horoball at ∞ , so $O \in \partial H$. Suppose that δ is a geodesic ray from O which either lies completely outside H, or which enters H and leaves it again at a point O' at distance at most k from O. Let γ be the ray from O to ∞ . Then the angle α between δ and γ at O is bounded away from 0; precisely, $2|\cot \alpha| \leq k'$, where k' is the Euclidean bound on distance corresponding to the hyperbolic distance k.

Now we extend to the case of loxodromics of short translation length. Working in the upper half space model \mathbb{H}^3 , let $A_n \in \text{Isom } \mathbb{H}^3$ be a loxodromic fixing ∞ . Suppose that the translation length $\ell(A_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Let T_n be a constant distance cone around Ax A_n , chosen so that the translation length of A_n restricted to ∂T_n is a fixed length ϵ_0 . Let $O \in \mathbb{H}^3$ be a fixed base-point normalized to be at height 1 and assume that $O \in \partial T_n$. Let a_n be the other end point of Ax A_n . Let γ_n be the geodesic ray from O to a_n and let δ_n be another geodesic ray from O which either lies completely outside T_n , or which enters T_n and leaves it again at a point O' at hyperbolic distance at most k from O, where k is bounded independent of n. We want to show that the angle between δ_n and γ_n at O is bounded away from 0.

Let θ_n be the angle between the sides of the cone \tilde{T}_n and the horizontal. Since $\ell(A_n) \to 0$ while the translation length of A_n restricted to $\partial \tilde{T}_n$ is fixed, $\theta_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Now the angle between γ_n and the vertical at O is $2\theta_n$. On the other hand, as is easy to compute, the angle between $\partial \tilde{T}_n$ and δ_n at O is uniformly bounded away from $\frac{1}{2}\pi$. Since $\partial \tilde{T}_n$ is nearly horizontal, this proves the result.

Corollary 5.6 No subsequence of the sequence $\hat{i}_n(\xi^u)$ limits on the point $\hat{i}_{\infty}(p)$.

Proof By Lemma 5.5, the visual angle subtended by $\hat{i}_n(p)$ and $\hat{i}_n(\xi^u)$ at *O* is uniformly bounded below away from 0. Since *p* is the fixed point of an element of Γ , by algebraic convergence we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} \hat{i}_n(p) = \hat{i}_\infty(p)$, and the result follows. \Box

Remark 5.7 In hindsight, Proposition 5.3 is perhaps not too unexpected as the paths $\tilde{\phi}_n^+(\beta_n)$ live on the top sheet of the approximating manifolds \tilde{K}_n and these converge to a ray whose limit does not lie in the limit set of the original surface subgroup $\pi_1(S)$.

5.4 An alternative geometric explanation of nonconvergence

The material in this subsection was provided by the anonymous referee and gives an alternate proof of Theorem B. The main difference between our argument above and

that which follows is that while the former looks at realizations of specific geodesic rays, the latter looks at whole laminations. Thus this alternative approach is adequate for Theorem B though not for Theorem C. The crucial point in the argument below is that, in the Brock examples described above, while the unstable lamination is not realizable in the algebraic limit, it is in fact realizable (in a particular sense) in the geometric limit. As pointed out to us by the referee, this offers a general perspective on how "realizability in the geometric limit" of ending laminations of the algebraic limit can be used to understand the noncontinuity phenomenon described here.

The geometric limit of the prototypical Brock example is an amalgam of two surface Kleinian groups (with accidental parabolics corresponding to σ) along a quasi-Fuchsian subgroup with a single cusp at σ . One of these surface Kleinian groups is the original algebraic limit and the other is a limit of remarkings of the same sequence by α^{-n} (powers of the inverse of the partial pseudo-Anosov α).

The unstable lamination λ^u is unrealizable in the algebraic limit (corresponding to G_{∞}). However it is realizable in the algebraic limit of remarkings of the same sequence by α^{-n} . This is because of the following. There exist pleated surfaces Σ_n contained in the approximants M_n realizing λ^u . The sequence $\{\Sigma_n\}$ does not converge in the algebraic limit (corresponding to G_{∞}). But $\{\Sigma_n\}$ does converge to a pleated surface Σ_{∞} realizing λ^u in the limit of remarkings by α^{-n} as α preserves λ^u . Since the geometric limit contains the limit of remarkings, we have thus explained the sense in which λ^u is realizable in the geometric limit. This paragraph and the previous one maybe thought of as the analogue of Lemma 5.4 in this alternate argument.

Now let $\{\kappa_n\}$ be a sequence of infinite geodesics in M_n asymptotic in one direction to σ and in the other to (an endpoint of a leaf of) the realization of λ^u . Then κ_n converges to a bi-infinite geodesic κ_G in the geometric limit with distinct endpoints p_{σ} (corresponding to σ) and p_{λ} in the limit set of the geometric limit. (Strictly speaking all this should be done in the universal cover as in Lemma 5.5.)

Since the pseudo-Anosov restriction of α preserves λ^u , the sequence \hat{i}_n of CT maps sends the corresponding endpoint η of the leaf of λ^u to p_{λ} . On the other hand since the leaf λ^u necessarily lies in a crown domain by construction, the Cannon–Thurston map for the algebraic limit sends η to p_{σ} by Theorem 5.2.

5.5 Pointwise convergence

We shall now establish that the CT-maps $\hat{i}_n: \Lambda_{\Gamma} \to G_n$ of the Brock examples converge pointwise for all points $\xi \in \Lambda_{\Gamma}$ other than those described in Proposition 5.3. We do this by applying the conditions EP(ξ) (embedding of points) and EPP(ξ) (embedding of pairs of points) for pointwise convergence from [38]. These are essentially the criteria UEP and UEPP, relaxed so as to allow for dependence on the limit point ξ .

5.5.1 Convergence criteria In [38], we described $EP(\xi)$ and $EPP(\xi)$ in relation to a sequence of elements $g_i \in \Gamma$ chosen so that $g_i \cdot O$ is a quasigeodesic in $\mathcal{G}\Gamma$ and so that $g_i \cdot O \to \xi$ in the Euclidean metric on the ball model $\mathbb{B} \cup \partial \mathbb{B}$. It is easily seen that is equivalent to replace this with a criterion on the geodesic ray $[O, \xi)$ from O to ξ in the universal cover \mathbb{H}^2 of $X = \mathbb{H}^2 / \Gamma$, where $X \in \text{Teich}(S)$.

Definition 5.8 Let Γ be a Fuchsian group such that $X = \mathbb{H}^2 / \Gamma$ is a closed hyperbolic surface, and let $\rho_n: \Gamma \to G_n$ be a sequence of isomorphisms to Kleinian groups G_n . Suppose given a sequence of (Γ, G_n) -equivariant embeddings $\tilde{\phi}_n: (\mathbb{H}^2, O) \to (\mathbb{H}^3, O)$ which induce basepoint preserving embeddings $\phi_n: X \to M_n$ with $(\phi_n)_* = \rho_n$. Let $\xi \in \Lambda_{\Gamma}$ and let $[O, \xi)$ be the geodesic ray in $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{H}^2$ as above.

- (1) The pair $((\rho_n), \xi)$ is said to satisfy EP(ξ) if there exist functions $f_{\xi} \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ and $M_{\xi} \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, with $f_{\xi}(N) \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$, such that for all $x \in [O, \xi)$ outside B(O, N) in \mathbb{H}^2 , $\tilde{\phi}_n(x)$ is outside $B(O, f_{\xi}(N))$ in \mathbb{H}^3 for all $n \ge M_{\xi}(N)$.
- (2) The pair ((ρ_n), ξ) satisfies EPP(ξ) if there exists a function f'_ξ(N): N→N such that f'_ξ(N) → ∞ as N → ∞, and such that for any subsegment [x, y] ⊂ [O, ξ) lying outside B(O; N) in H², the H³-geodesic [φ̃_n(x), φ̃_n(x)] lies outside B(O; f'_ξ(N)) in H³ for all n ≥ M_ξ(N), where M_ξ is as in (1).

Note that in these definitions, we do not assume that $M_{\xi}(N) \to \infty$ with N, in fact in the best situation, $M_{\xi}(N) = 1$.

Theorem 5.9 [38, Theorem 7.3] Suppose that $\rho_n: \Gamma \to \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is a sequence of discrete faithful representations converging algebraically to $\rho_{\infty}: \Gamma \to \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, and suppose the corresponding CT-maps $\hat{i}_n: \Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_{G_n}$ exist for $n = 1, 2, ..., \infty$. Let $\xi \in \Lambda_{\Gamma}$. Then $\hat{i}_n(\xi) \to \hat{i}_{\infty}(\xi)$ as $n \to \infty$ if $((\rho_n); \xi)$ satisfies EPP(ξ).

5.5.2 Verifying pointwise convergence As above, we take $\Gamma = G_0$ and $\rho_n: G_0 \to G_n$ to be the Brock examples as in Section 5.1.5. Sometimes it will be important to distinguish between the surface S and the hyperbolic structure $X \in \text{Teich}(S)$. Fixing such a structure X, we may take the dividing curve σ to be geodesic on X. The restrictions X_R and X_L of X to R and L are hyperbolic surfaces with geodesic boundary σ . The universal cover \tilde{S} of S is identified with \mathbb{H}^2 using the lift of the structure X. Since K_n is a quasi-isometric model for the convex core of $Q(\chi^n(X), X)$, we can identify the universal cover \tilde{K}_n of K_n with a convex subset of \mathbb{H}^3 .

The representations ρ_n correspond to a sequence of embeddings $\tilde{\phi}_n$: $(\mathbb{H}^2, O) \to (\mathbb{H}^3, O)$ which descend to the maps ϕ_n : $(S, s_0) \to (X \times \{0\}, (x_0, 0)) \subset K_n$. The map $\tilde{\phi}_n$ extends to the CT-map $\hat{\imath}_n$: $\Lambda_{\Gamma} \to \Lambda_n$. Hence if $\xi \in \Lambda_{G_0}$, the ray $[O, \xi) \subset \mathbb{H}^2$ maps under $\tilde{\phi}$ to a path $\tilde{\phi}([O, \xi)) \subset \mathbb{H}^3$ joining $\tilde{\phi}(O) = O$ to $\hat{\imath}_n(\xi) \in \Lambda_n$. We denote the \mathbb{H}^3 -geodesic with these endpoints by $[O, \hat{\imath}_n(\xi))$. We will prove convergence $\hat{\imath}_n(\xi) \to \hat{\imath}_\infty(\xi)$ by checking that (ρ_n, ξ) satisfies the condition EPP(ξ).

5.5.3 Electric metrics For $\xi \in \Lambda_{G_0}$, there are two possibilities for the geodesic ray $[O, \xi) \subset \tilde{S}$: either it is eventually contained in a fixed lift of R, or not. In the first case, translating by an appropriate element of $\Gamma = \pi_1(S)$ we may assume without loss of generality that the entire ray $[O, \xi)$ lies in a fixed lift of R.

Now consider the model manifold K_n and let $D_n = B_n \cup T_n \subset K_n$. Since Margulis tubes are convex and since the tube T_n separates B_n from C_n , it follows that each lift \tilde{D}_n of D_n is uniformly quasiconvex in \tilde{K}_n . Hence $(\tilde{K}_n, \mathcal{D}_n)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.6, where \mathcal{D}_n is the collection of lifts \tilde{D}_n of D_n . Let d_e^n denote the resulting electric metric on \tilde{K}_n with the collection \mathcal{D}_n electrocuted.

Since the curve σ along which we cut X is geodesic, the lifts to \mathbb{H}^2 of X_R are convex, moreover they are clearly uniformly separated. Let d_e^S denote the induced electric metric on \mathbb{H}^2 with lifts of X_R electrocuted. Clearly the ray $[O, \xi) \subset \mathbb{H}^2$ has infinite length in d_e^S if and only if it is not eventually contained in a fixed lift of R.

Lemma 5.10 The map $\tilde{\phi}_n: (\tilde{S}, d_e^S) \to (\tilde{K}_n, d_e^n)$ is a quasi-isometry with constants which are uniform in n.

Proof The map $\tilde{\phi}_n$ is the lift to \tilde{S} of the map which sends $x \in L$ to $(x, 0) \in X_L \times [0, 1]$ and $x \in R$ to $(x, 0) \in X_R \times [0, n]$. The lifts of the complement of X_L are electrocuted in \tilde{S} and the lifts of the complement of $X_L \times [0, 1]$ are electrocuted in \tilde{K}_n . This result follows since $X_L \times [0, 1]$ has vertical thickness 1 in K_n .

Corollary 5.11 The geodesic ray $[O, \hat{\imath}_n(\xi)) \subset \tilde{K}_n$ has infinite length in the electric metric d_e^n if and only if the ray $[O, \xi) \subset \tilde{S}$ is not eventually contained in a fixed lift of R.

In the light of this corollary, the property of the ray $[O, \hat{\imath}_n(\xi))$ having infinite d_e^n -length depends only on ξ . Thus we have two cases to consider depending on whether $[0, \xi)$ has finite or infinite length in the metric d_e^S . In both cases, to prove convergence $\hat{\imath}_n(\xi) \rightarrow \hat{\imath}_\infty(\xi)$, we will verify EPP(ξ).

5.5.4 Case 1: the length of $[0, \xi)$ in the electric metric d_e^S is infinite Let $\xi \in \Lambda_{\Gamma}$. First we prove EP(ξ). Since $[O, \xi)$ has infinite d_e^S –length, no tail of $[O, \xi)$ is contained in a lift of X_R . Hence $[O, \xi)$ either crosses X_L infinitely often, or has an infinite tail ending in a single lift of X_L . It follows that there exists a proper function $f_{\xi} \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that if $x \in [0, \xi)$ is at distance at least N from O in \tilde{S} , then $d_e^S(O, x) \ge f_{\xi}(N)$.

By Lemma 5.10, the map $\tilde{\phi}_n$ is a uniform quasi-isometry with respect to the respective electric metrics. Hence $d_e^n(O, \tilde{\phi}_n(x)) \ge c' f_{\xi}(N)$ for some constant c' > 0. Now any two lifts of $C_n = X_L \times [0, 1]$ in \tilde{K}_n are separated by a constant c > 0 which is also uniform in *n*. Hence $d_{\mathbb{H}^3}(u, v) \ge c d_e^n(u, v)$ for any points $u, v \in \tilde{K}_n$. Absorbing the constants *c* and *c'* into the function f_{ξ} , we have shown that $d_{\mathbb{H}^3}(O, \tilde{\phi}_n(x)) \ge f_{\xi}(N)$, which is just the statement EP(ξ).

Now we prove EPP(ξ). Consider a segment $[a, b] \subset [O, \xi)$ such that $d(O, y) \geq N$ for all $y \in [a, b]$. From the above, $d_{\mathbb{H}^3}(O, \tilde{\phi}_n(y)) \geq f_{\xi}(N)$ for all $y \in [a, b]$. In other words, $\tilde{\phi}_n([a, b])$ lies outside $B(O, f_{\xi}(N))$ in \tilde{K}_n .

Now replace [a, b] by the electroambient geodesic with the same endpoints. By Lemma 2.6, this is a bounded distance from [a, b]. It follows that [a, b] is an electric quasigeodesic in (\tilde{S}, d_e^S) . Hence by Lemma 5.10, $\tilde{\phi}_n([a, b])$ is an electric quasigeodesic in (\tilde{K}_n, d_e^n) with constants which are uniform in n.

By Lemma 2.6 again, the d_e^n -electroambient geodesic obtained by replacing intersections of $\tilde{\phi}_n([a, b])$ with the lifts \tilde{D}_n by hyperbolic geodesics in \tilde{D}_n with the same endpoints, is a uniform hyperbolic quasigeodesic in \tilde{K}_n with the hyperbolic metric. Hence $\tilde{\phi}_n([a, b])$ is a bounded distance away from the \mathbb{H}^3 -geodesic with the same endpoints. This proves EPP(ξ).

5.5.5 Case 2: the length of $[0, \xi)$ in the electric metric d_e^S is finite As before, let $\mathcal{P} \subset \Lambda_{G_0}$ denote the set of endpoints of lifts of the geodesic σ and let $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} \subset \Lambda_{\infty}$ be the image of these points under $\hat{\iota}_{\infty}$. We will prove:

Proposition 5.12 If the length of $[0,\xi)$ in the electric metric d_e^S is finite and if $\hat{\iota}_{\infty}(\xi) \notin \mathcal{P}_{\infty}$, then $\lim_{N \to \infty} \hat{\iota}_n(\xi) = \hat{\iota}_{\infty}(\xi)$.

This will complete the proof of Theorem C. This proposition is the only point at which we use Theorem 4.2.

Proof As above, Σ is a surface with the same topology as Int *R* but equipped with a complete hyperbolic structure so that the boundary curve σ is replaced by a puncture on Σ . We shall prove Proposition 5.12 by comparison with the behavior of CT-maps for the sequence of quasi-Fuchsian groups F_n uniformizing $(\chi^n(\Sigma), \Sigma)$ with

corresponding manifolds $Q(\chi^n(\Sigma), \Sigma)$, so that in particular, F_0 is Fuchsian and $\mathbb{H}^2/F_0 = \Sigma$. (Here χ is the same pseudo-Anosov map $\alpha|_R$ as above, extended as the identity map in a neighborhood of the puncture on Σ .) As in Section 5.1.4, up to possibly passing to a subsequence, these groups limit on a simply degenerate group F_{∞} with corresponding manifold M_{χ} whose ending lamination is the unstable lamination of χ . By [24, Theorem 3.12], the convergence is strong. Hence by Theorem 4.2 the CT-maps $\hat{k}_n \colon \Lambda_{F_0} \to \Lambda_{F_n}$ converge uniformly to the CT-map $\hat{k}_{\infty} \colon \Lambda_{F_0} \to \Lambda_{F_{\infty}}$. Thus the sequence of representations $\bar{\rho}_n \colon \pi_1(\Sigma) \to F_n$ satisfies UEPP. As above, we can model the convex cores of the manifolds $Q(\chi^n(\Sigma), \Sigma)$ by the restriction $E_n = \Sigma \times [0, n]$ of the end E of M_{χ} . These model manifolds E_n are marked by the embeddings $\psi_n \colon \Sigma \to \Sigma \times \{0\}$, which lift to base-point preserving embeddings $\tilde{\psi}_n \colon (\tilde{\Sigma}, O) \to (\Sigma \times \{0\}, O) \subset \tilde{E}_n$.

To use the comparison between the representations $\overline{\rho}_n$ of $F_0 = \pi_1(\Sigma)$ and ρ_n of $G_0 = \pi_1(S)$, we need to make definite the precise relationship between the limit sets Λ_{F_0} and Λ_{G_0} . By definition the component $\Omega^-(G_0)$ of the regular set of G_0 projects to the Riemann surface X. Let \widetilde{R}_0 be a fixed component of the lift of R to $\Omega^-(G_0)$, and let $J \subset G_0$ be its stabilizer, with corresponding limit set $\Lambda_J \subset \Lambda_{G_0}$. Then \widetilde{R}_0/J can be identified with X_R so that $J = \pi_1(R)$. Let V be a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $X_R \to \Sigma^c$. Clearly V induces an isomorphism $V_*: J \to F_0$ and a map $\widetilde{V}: \widetilde{R}_0 \to \widetilde{\Sigma}^c$ which (see [38, Theorem 4.1]) extends to a corresponding CT-map $\hat{\iota}_V: \Lambda_J \to \Lambda_{F_0}$.

Lemma 5.13 If $\hat{\imath}_{\infty}(\xi) \notin \mathcal{P}_{\infty}$, then (ρ_n, ξ) satisfies $EP(\xi)$.

Proof Translating by an appropriate element of G_0 , we may assume without loss of generality that the geodesic ray $[0,\xi)$ is contained in \tilde{R}_0 so that $\xi \in \Lambda_J$. Write $\bar{\xi} = \hat{\iota}_V(\xi)$. Since as above $\bar{\rho}_n$ satisfies UEPP, then certainly $(\bar{\rho}_n, \bar{\xi})$ satisfies $\text{EP}(\bar{\xi})$. Hence there is a strictly increasing function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that if $x \in [O, \bar{\xi})$ and d(O, x) > N then $d_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\tilde{\psi}_n(x), O) > f(N)$.

Now given $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\overline{x} \in [O, \overline{\xi})$, consider the \mathbb{H}^3 -geodesic segment $\lambda = [O, \widetilde{\psi}_n(\overline{x})]$ from O to $\widetilde{\psi}_n(\overline{x})$, and let $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$ denote the collection of horoballs traversed by λ . Let $P_N(\overline{x}, n)$ be the total length of the geodesic segments in $[O, \widetilde{\psi}_n(\overline{x})] \cap \mathbb{H}^3 \setminus \mathcal{H}(\lambda)$ and $Q_N(\overline{x}, n) = |\mathcal{H}(\lambda)|$ be the number of horoballs traversed by λ . We claim there exist a strictly increasing function $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ and $M_N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

(5)
$$P_N(\overline{x},n) + Q_N(\overline{x},n) \ge g(N)$$
 for all $\overline{x} \in [O,\overline{\xi}), \ \overline{x} \notin B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(O,N)$ and $n \ge M_N$.

If the claim is false, then there exists K > 0 such that for all N, there exist $\overline{x}_N \in [O, \overline{\xi})$, $\overline{x}_N \notin B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(O, N)$ and arbitrarily large $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

(6)
$$P_N(\overline{x}_N, n_N) + Q_N(\overline{x}_N, n_N) \le K.$$

Geometry & Topology, Volume 21 (2017)

Inductively, choose $n = n_N > n_{N-1}$. Then (6) implies in particular that there is a uniform bound to the number of horoballs traversed by the ray $[O, \tilde{\psi}_{n_N}(\bar{x}_N)]$. By slightly adjusting constants, we can assume that each horoball is penetrated to a distance at least a > 0 for some a. Now by hypothesis $d(O, \tilde{\psi}_{n_N}(\bar{x}_N)) \ge f(N)$. Thus there can be no uniform upper bound to the distance traveled through each horoball, in other words, we can find a sequence H_N of horoballs such that the length of the segment $\tilde{\psi}_{n_N}(\bar{x}_N) \cap H_N$ tends to infinity with N. By choosing the first such horoball traversed, we can assume that H_N intersects $B_{\mathbb{H}}(O, K)$. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that all horoballs H_N are based at the point $\hat{k}_n(\eta)$ for some fixed parabolic point $\eta \in \Lambda_{F_0}$. Thus we can find a sequence $y_N \in [O, \bar{x}_N]$ such that $\tilde{\psi}_{n_N}(y_N) \in H_N$ and $d(O, \tilde{\psi}_{n_N}(y_N)) \to \infty$. Since the rays $\tilde{\psi}_{n_N}([O, \bar{\xi}))$ converge to $\tilde{\psi}_{\infty}([O, \bar{\xi}))$ uniformly on compact subsets in \mathbb{H}^3 (by UEPP for the sequence $\bar{\rho}_n$), this means that $\tilde{\psi}_{n_N}(y_N) \to \hat{j}_{\infty}(\eta)$. On the other hand, $\tilde{\psi}_{n_N}(y_N)$ is arbitrarily close in the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{B} \cup \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ to $\hat{k}_{n_N}(\eta)$ for large N. Hence $\hat{k}_{\infty}(\bar{\xi}) = \hat{k}_{\infty}(\eta)$.

Since η is a parabolic point in Λ_{F_0} , by Bowditch's Theorem 5.2 this means that either $\overline{\xi} \in V_*(\mathcal{P})$ or $\overline{\xi}$ is the endpoint of a leaf in the crown of the unstable lamination of χ . Since $\hat{\iota}_V \colon \Lambda_J \to \Lambda_{F_0}$ is one-to-one except on \mathcal{P} , the same is true of ξ . Since by assumption $\xi \notin \mathcal{P}$, we deduce that ξ is the end of a boundary leaf of the crown of χ , which gives, using Theorem 5.2 again, $\hat{\iota}_{\infty}(\xi) \in \mathcal{P}_{\infty}$, contrary to hypothesis. This proves claim (5).

Now we will show that claim (5) implies that (ρ_n, ξ) satisfies $EP(\xi)$. As above, let $D_n = B_n \cup T_n$ and let \tilde{D}_n denote the lift of D_n corresponding to \tilde{R}_0 above, that is, whose stabilizer is $\rho_n(J)$. Let \tilde{B}_n be the corresponding lift of B_n . The map V induces an obvious uniformly bi-Lipschitz map V_n : $B_n = X_R \times [0, n] \to E_n^c = \Sigma^c \times [0, n]$, where $E_n = \Sigma \times [0, n]$ is the model of the convex core of $Q(\chi^n(\Sigma), \Sigma)$ as in Section 5.1.6. Clearly $V_n \circ \phi_n = \psi_n \circ V$, while on the level of fundamental groups, $(V_n)_* \circ \rho_n = \overline{\rho_n} \circ V_*$ and $(V_n)_*, V_*$ are group isomorphisms.

Since Margulis tubes are convex, it follows as in [18] that \tilde{D}_n satisfies the condition of Lemma 2.6 relative to the collection \mathcal{T}_n of lifts of T_n it contains, as does \tilde{E}_n relative to the set of horoballs \mathcal{H}_n say. Let \tilde{D}_n^e and \tilde{E}_n^e denote the corresponding electric spaces. Note that V_* induces a bijective correspondence between \mathcal{T}_n and \mathcal{H}_n .

To avoid having to define the extension of \tilde{V}_n to the whole of \tilde{D}_n^e we proceed as follows. Suppose that λ is an electric quasigeodesic in \tilde{D}_n^e with endpoints in \tilde{B}_n . Replace λ with a path $\hat{\lambda}$ which runs along the boundaries of the electrocuted sets in \mathcal{T}_n as follows. Suppose some segment λ' of λ enters and leaves some $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ at points a and b, respectively. Replace λ' by the segment $(a, [0, 1]) \cup (b, [0, 1]) \subset \partial T \times [0, 1]$ of electric length 2. Since the sets in \mathcal{T} are uniformly separated, the resulting path $\hat{\lambda}$ is still an electric quasigeodesic. Now extend the definition of \tilde{V}_n to a map, still denoted \tilde{V}_n , which sends $\partial T \times [0, 1] \to \partial H \times [0, 1]$ in the obvious way, where $H \in \mathcal{H}$ corresponds to $T \in \mathcal{T}$. Using the fact that V_n is uniformly bi-Lipschitz, it is easy to see that $\tilde{V}_n(\hat{\lambda})$ is an electric quasigeodesic in \tilde{E}_n^c , and that the number of electrocuted components traversed by $\hat{\lambda}$ and $\tilde{V}_n(\hat{\lambda})$ is the same.

Now suppose $\xi \in \Lambda_J$ as in the statement of the lemma. Since $\xi \notin \mathcal{P}$ the ray $[O, \xi)$ is contained in the convex hull of $\tilde{X}_R \subset \tilde{X}$. Note however that the path $\tilde{V}([O, \xi))$ may not be a quasigeodesic in $\tilde{\Sigma}$ as it is contained in Σ^c and thus may skirt round the boundaries of horoballs in Σ . We can nevertheless work with the ray $\tilde{V}([O, \xi))$, which ends at the point $\hat{\iota}_V(\xi) = \overline{\xi}$; see for example [38, Theorem 4.1]. (We obtain a quasigeodesic ray from $\tilde{V}([O, \xi))$ by replacing each segment which skirts a horoball with the corresponding geodesic joining the entry and exit points; see for example [38], especially Lemma A.5.)

Let $x \in [O, \xi)$ and let $\overline{x} = \widetilde{V}(x)$. We want to compare the ray $[O, \widetilde{\phi}_n(x)] \subset \widetilde{K}_n$ to the ray $[O, \widetilde{\psi}_n(\overline{x})] \subset \widetilde{E}_n$. Since \widetilde{D}_n is quasiconvex in \widetilde{K}_n , we can after bounded adjustments assume that $[O, \widetilde{\phi}_n(x)] \subset \widetilde{D}_n$. Since $\widetilde{V}_n \widetilde{\phi}_n = \widetilde{\psi}_n \widetilde{V}$ we have $\widetilde{V}_n(\widetilde{\phi}_n(x)) = \widetilde{\psi}_n(\overline{x})$.

Replacing the electric geodesic λ say from O to $\tilde{\phi}_n(x)$ in \tilde{D}_n^e by the corresponding electric quasigeodesic $\hat{\lambda}$ as above, we see that $\tilde{V}_n(\hat{\lambda})$ is a well-defined electric quasigeodesic in \tilde{E}_n^e with endpoint $\tilde{\psi}_n(\bar{x})$. Moreover $\tilde{V}_n(\hat{\lambda})$ has length comparable to $\hat{\lambda}$. Since (5) effectively says that the length of $\tilde{V}_n(\hat{\lambda})$ in the electric metric on \tilde{E}_n^e goes to infinity uniformly with N independently of n, the same is true of $\hat{\lambda}$. This proves that (ρ_n, ξ) satisfies EP(ξ) and we are done.

Corollary 5.14 If $\hat{\iota}_{\infty}(\xi) \notin \mathcal{P}_{\infty}$, then (ρ_n, ξ) satisfies EPP (ξ) .

Proof Continuing with the notation of Lemma 5.13, let λ be a geodesic segment in $[O, \xi)$ outside $B_{\mathbb{H}^2}(O, N)$ and let $\overline{\lambda} = \widetilde{V}(\lambda)$. As in the previous lemma, note that $\overline{\lambda}$ may not be a geodesic as it is contained in Σ^c and thus may skirt round the boundary of a horoball in Σ . This leads to an annoying technical issue in that it is convenient only to work with segments $\overline{\lambda}$ whose endpoints lie outside the lifts of the horoball $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma^c$. To fix this, note that in Definition 5.8 of condition EPP(ξ) for convergence, it is clearly enough to check the condition for an increasing sequence of values $N_1 < N_2 < \cdots$. Since $\widetilde{V}([O, \xi))$ does not terminate in the cusp, we may therefore restrict to those N_i for which the first $x \in \widetilde{V}([O, \xi))$ outside $B(O, N_i)$ is outside a horoball. Thus given $\overline{\lambda}$ as above, by extending forwards and backwards along $\widetilde{V}([O, \xi))$ if necessary, we may assume that its initial and final points are outside horoballs in $\widetilde{\Sigma}$.

Now consider the geodesic $[\tilde{\psi}_n(\bar{\lambda})]$ in \tilde{E}_n^e and let μ and μ_{ea} be respectively the electric geodesic and the electroambient geodesic with the same endpoints, as in Section 2.6.

By Lemma 2.6, μ_{ea} is a bounded distance from $[\tilde{\psi}_n(\bar{\lambda})]$. Using EPP $(\bar{\xi})$, we deduce that μ_{ea} is outside $B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(O, g(N) - k)$, for some uniform k > 0. Then using the same method as in the previous lemma, it follows that any point on μ is outside some ball B(O, h(N)) in the electric metric on \tilde{E}_n^e for some function $h(N) \to \infty$ with N.

Now using the same trick as in the previous lemma, replace μ with the electric quasigeodesic $\hat{\mu}$ and apply the map \tilde{V}_n^{-1} . We obtain an electric quasigeodesic $\hat{\nu} = \tilde{V}_n^{-1}(\hat{\mu})$ in \tilde{D}_n^e with the same endpoints as $\tilde{\phi}_n(\lambda)$. Since \tilde{V}_n^{-1} is bi-Lipschitz with respect to electric metrics, for any point $Q \in \hat{\nu}$ we have $d_e(O, Q) \succ h(N)$, where we write $X \succ Y$ to mean there is a uniform constant c > 0 such that X > cY.

By Lemma 2.6 again, it will be enough to show that the electroambient quasigeodesic obtained from $\hat{\nu}$ by replacing each segment which cuts through an equidistant tube $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ with the hyperbolic geodesic with the same endpoints, is outside some ball $B_{\mathbb{H}}(O, f(N))$ for some function $f(N) \to \infty$ with N.

Suppose that *A* and *B* are the entry and exit points of \hat{v} to some $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$. Suppose that the hyperbolic geodesic from to *O* to *A* first meets *T* at a point \overline{A} . Since $d_e(O, A) > h(N)$, it follows that $d_{\mathbb{H}}(O, \overline{A}) > h(N)$. We deduce from Lemma 5.15 below that *T* is entirely outside B(O, R) for some R > h(N). In particular the hyperbolic geodesic segment [A, B] is outside B(O, R) and the result follows. \Box

Lemma 5.15 Suppose that *T* is an equidistant tube in \mathbb{H}^3 , that is, the set of points equidistant from a geodesic axis in \mathbb{H}^3 , and that *T* has radius at least *r* for some uniformly large *r*. Suppose that $A \in \partial T$ is outside B(O, R), where $O \in \mathbb{H}^3$ is a fixed base-point. Then the entire tube *T* is outside B(O, R') for some $R' \succ R$.

Proof Let *P* be the point on *T* nearest to *O* in the hyperbolic metric and let *P'* and *A'* be the feet of the perpendiculars from *P* and *A* to the axis of *T*. We claim that if d(A, P) > c > 1, then the angle θ between the geodesics [A, P] and [A, A'] is uniformly bounded away from $\frac{1}{2}\pi$. If d(A', P') > 1, this is easy since [A, P] roughly tracks the quasigeodesic $[A, A'] \cup [A', P'] \cup [P', P]$.

If $d(A', P') \leq 1$, let Q, Q' be respectively the feet of the perpendiculars from A', P' to the geodesic [A, P], so that |QQ'| < 1. Since $\cos \theta = \tanh |AQ| / \tanh |AA'|$ and $|AA'| \geq r$, it follows that $\cos \theta$ is bounded away from 0 unless |AQ| is very small. By symmetry |AP| = 2|AQ| + |QQ'|, so if we assume that |AP| > c > 1 this is impossible. Since [O, A] is outside T, and since [A, A'] is perpendicular to ∂T at A, we have shown that either [A, P] has uniformly bounded length, or the angle between [O, A] and [A, P] is bounded away from 0.

It follows in all cases that $[O, A] \cup [A, P]$ is a uniform quasigeodesic and hence that $d(O, P) \succ R$. The result follows by convexity.

Corollary 5.16 If $\hat{\iota}_{\infty}(\xi) \notin \mathcal{P}_{\infty}$, then $\hat{\iota}_n(\xi) \to \hat{\iota}_{\infty}(\xi)$.

Proof This follows immediately from Theorem 5.9.

This completes the proof of Proposition 5.12.

Remark 5.17 In the proof of Theorem C, we used the pseudo-Anosov $\chi = \alpha|_R$ only to get a simply degenerate manifold corresponding to a representation of $\pi_1(R)$ in the algebraic limit. We could replace the sequence G_n with any sequence of representations ρ'_n of $\pi_1(S)$ such that

- (a) the sequence $\rho'_n|_{\pi_1(R)}$ converges to a simply degenerate representation of $\pi_1(R)$, and
- (b) the sequence $\rho'_n|_{\pi_1(L)}$ converges to a quasi-Fuchsian representation of $\pi_1(L)$.

Then the general form of Theorem 5.2 (see the appendix of [37]), which applies to the case in which the geometry of the limit manifolds do not necessarily have bounded geometry, together with a suitably modified version of Theorem A, would furnish the same conclusion as Theorem C, where we replace the unstable lamination of χ with the ending lamination of G_{∞} .

References

- [1] I Agol, Tameness of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, preprint (2004) arXiv
- [2] J W Anderson, R D Canary, Algebraic limits of Kleinian groups which rearrange the pages of a book, Invent. Math. 126 (1996) 205–214 MR
- [3] J W Anderson, R D Canary, Cores of hyperbolic 3-manifolds and limits of Kleinian groups, Amer. J. Math. 118 (1996) 745–779 MR
- [4] J W Anderson, R D Canary, D McCullough, The topology of deformation spaces of Kleinian groups, Ann. of Math. 152 (2000) 693–741 MR
- [5] F Bonahon, Bouts des variétés hyperboliques de dimension 3, Ann. of Math. 124 (1986) 71–158 MR
- [6] B H Bowditch, The Cannon–Thurston map for punctured-surface groups, Math. Z. 255 (2007) 35–76 MR
- [7] **B H Bowditch**, *Relatively hyperbolic groups*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 22 (2012) art. id. 1250016, 1–66 MR
- [8] B H Bowditch, Stacks of hyperbolic spaces and ends of 3-manifolds, from "Geometry and topology down under" (C D Hodgson, W H Jaco, M G Scharlemann, S Tillmann, editors), Contemp. Math. 597, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2013) 65–138 MR

- [9] JF Brock, Iteration of mapping classes and limits of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, Invent. Math. 143 (2001) 523–570 MR
- [10] JF Brock, RD Canary, YN Minsky, The classification of Kleinian surface groups, II: The ending lamination conjecture, Ann. of Math. 176 (2012) 1–149 MR
- D Calegari, D Gabai, Shrinkwrapping and the taming of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (2006) 385–446 MR
- [12] RD Canary, DBA Epstein, P Green, Notes on notes of Thurston, from "Analytical and geometric aspects of hyperbolic space" (DBA Epstein, editor), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 111, Cambridge Univ. Press (1987) 3–92 MR
- [13] R D Canary, Y N Minsky, On limits of tame hyperbolic 3-manifolds, J. Differential Geom. 43 (1996) 1–41 MR
- [14] J W Cannon, W P Thurston, Group invariant Peano curves, Geom. Topol. 11 (2007) 1315–1355 MR
- [15] DBA Epstein, A Marden, Convex hulls in hyperbolic space, a theorem of Sullivan, and measured pleated surfaces, from "Analytical and geometric aspects of hyperbolic space" (D B A Epstein, editor), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 111, Cambridge Univ. Press (1987) 113–253 MR
- [16] RA Evans, Deformation spaces of hyperbolic 3-manifolds: strong convergence and tameness, PhD thesis, University of Michigan (2000) MR http://tinyurl.com/ REvansThesis2000/
- [17] R Evans, Weakly type-preserving sequences and strong convergence, Geom. Dedicata 108 (2004) 71–92 MR
- [18] **B Farb**, *Relatively hyperbolic groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 8 (1998) 810–840 MR
- [19] A Fathi, F Laudenbach, V Poenaru (editors), *Travaux de Thurston sur les surfaces*, Astérisque 66, Soc. Math. France, Paris (1979) MR
- [20] W J Floyd, Group completions and limit sets of Kleinian groups, Invent. Math. 57 (1980) 205–218 MR
- [21] **M Kapovich**, *Hyperbolic manifolds and discrete groups*, Progress in Mathematics 183, Birkhäuser, Boston (2001) MR
- [22] A Marden, Outer circles: an introduction to hyperbolic 3-manifolds, Cambridge Univ. Press (2007) MR
- [23] D McCullough, A Miller, GA Swarup, Uniqueness of cores of noncompact 3manifolds, J. London Math. Soc. 32 (1985) 548–556 MR
- [24] **C T McMullen**, *Renormalization and 3–manifolds which fiber over the circle*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 142, Princeton Univ. Press (1996) MR
- [25] Y N Minsky, Teichmüller geodesics and ends of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, Topology 32 (1993) 625–647 MR

- [26] YN Minsky, On rigidity, limit sets, and end invariants of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1994) 539–588 MR
- [27] YN Minsky, End invariants and the classification of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, from "Current developments in mathematics, 2002" (D Jerison, G Lustig, B Mazur, T Mrowka, W Schmid, R Stanley, S-T Yau, editors), International Press, Somerville, MA (2003) 181–217 MR
- [28] Y Minsky, The classification of Kleinian surface groups, I: Models and bounds, Ann. of Math. 171 (2010) 1–107 MR
- [29] M Mitra, Cannon–Thurston maps for trees of hyperbolic metric spaces, J. Differential Geom. 48 (1998) 135–164 MR
- [30] H Miyachi, Moduli of continuity of Cannon–Thurston maps, from "Spaces of Kleinian groups" (Y N Minsky, M Sakuma, C Series, editors), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 329, Cambridge Univ. Press (2006) 121–149 MR
- [31] **M Mj**, *Motions of limit sets: A survey*, preprint, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research http://www.math.tifr.res.in/~mahan/
- [32] M Mj, Cannon–Thurston maps for pared manifolds of bounded geometry, Geom. Topol. 13 (2009) 189–245 MR
- [33] M Mj, Cannon-Thurston maps, i-bounded geometry and a theorem of McMullen, from "Actes du Séminaire de Théorie Spectrale et Géometrie", Sémin. Théor. Spectr. Géom. 28, Univ. Grenoble I, Saint-Martin-d'Hères (2009–2010) 63–107 MR
- [34] M Mj, Cannon–Thurston maps and bounded geometry, from "Teichmüller theory and moduli problem" (I Biswas, R S Kulkarni, S Mitra, editors), Ramanujan Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser. 10, Ramanujan Math. Soc., Mysore (2010) 489–511 MR
- [35] M Mj, Cannon-Thurston maps for Kleinian groups, preprint (2010) arXiv
- [36] M Mj, Cannon–Thurston maps for surface groups, Ann. of Math. 179 (2014) 1–80 MR
- [37] M Mj, *Ending laminations and Cannon–Thurston maps*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 24 (2014) 297–321 MR With an appendix by Shubhabrata Das and Mj
- [38] M Mj, C Series, Limits of limit sets, I, Geom. Dedicata 167 (2013) 35–67 MR
- [39] J-P Otal, Le théorème d'hyperbolisation pour les variétés fibrées de dimension 3, Astérisque 235, Soc. Math. France, Paris (1996) MR
- [40] W P Thurston, The geometry and topology of three-manifolds, lecture notes, Princeton University (1979) http://msri.org/publications/books/gt3m
- [41] W P Thurston, Three-dimensional manifolds, Kleinian groups and hyperbolic geometry, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1982) 357–381 MR

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400005, India Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick Coventry, CV4 7AL, United Kingdom mahan@math.tifr.res.in, c.m.series@warwick.ac.uk http://www.math.tifr.res.in/~mahan, http://www.maths.warwick.ac.uk/~masbb

Proposed: Jean-Pierre Otal Seconded: Bruce Kleiner, Martin Bridson Received: 13 June 2013 Revised: 7 June 2015

msp