

### **Original citation:**

Fijalkow, Nathanael, Klin, Bartek and Panangaden, Prakash. Expressiveness of probabilistic modal logics, revisited. Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs).

#### **Permanent WRAP URL:**

http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/79753

### Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work of researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC BY 4.0) and may be reused according to the conditions of the license. For more details see: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

## A note on versions:

The version presented in WRAP is the published version, or, version of record, and may be cited as it appears here.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk

1	
2	
3	
4	
	The internal consistency reliability and construct well-dity of the Contach Francis Cools of
5	The internal consistency reliability and construct validity of the Santosh-Francis Scale of
6	Attitude toward Hinduism among students in India
7	
8	
9	Leslie J Francis*
LO	University of Warwick, UK
l1	
L2	Shanmukh V Kamble
L3	Karnatak University Dharwad, India
L4	
L5	Mandy Robbins
L6	Glyndŵr University, UK
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	Author note:
25	*Corresponding author:
26	Leslie J Francis
27	Warwick Religions & Education Research Unit
28	Centre for Education Studies The University of Werryick
29 30	The University of Warwick Coventry CV4 7AL United Kingdom
31	Tel: +44 (0)24 7652 2539
32	Fax: +44 (0)24 7657 2638
33	Email: leslie.francis@warwick.ac.uk

34	Abstract
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	The Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism was originally developed and tested
46	among Hindus in the UK as part of a programme designed to assess religious affect across
47	faith traditions. The present study tests the internal consistency reliability and construct
48	validity of the instrument among 149 students in Karnatak University Dharwad (74 males and
49	75 females). The data demonstrated an alpha coefficient of .90, suggesting a high level of
50	internal consistency reliability and commending the instrument for further application within
51	Hindu communities.
52	Keywords: Religious affect, Hinduism, psychology of religion, Santosh-Francis Scale.
53	

54 Introduction

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

Elsewhere, Francis and colleagues have drawn attention to two core problems with the empirical science of the psychology of religion. The first problem, documented for example by Jones and Francis (1996), concerns the difficulty of co-ordinating and integrating findings from disparate studies when these studies have utilised a wide range of measures of religiosity, since such measures may be accessing quite distinct and different notions of religion. The second, problem, documented for example by Sahin and Francis (2002), concerns the difficulty of drawing conclusions about the correlates, antecedents and consequences of individual differences in religiosity when the main contributions to the research evidence have been compiled within Christian and post-Christian contexts. Francis (1978a, 1978b) attempted to address the first of these problems by advocating a co-ordinated range of independent studies agreeing to employ a common measure of religiosity, the Francis Scale of Attitude toward Christianity. Two main lines of argument underpinned the case for focusing on the affective or attitudinal dimension of religion. Religious affect may get close to the heart of an individual's religion, being less contaminated than behavioural measures (like worship attendance) by contextual factors and less subject to church tradition than cognitive measures (like aspects of Christian belief). Religious affect may be accessed by a common measure across a wide age span. By the mid-1990s, Kay and Francis (1996) drew together findings from around a hundred studies that had accepted the invitation to co-ordinate and integrate disparate studies within the empirical science of the psychology of religion by agreeing on the use of the Francis Scale of Attitude toward Christianity. In order to broaden the reach of research using the Francis Scale of Attitude toward Christianity, this instrument has now been translated and tested within a range of languages,

including: Arabic (Munayer, 2000), Czech (Francis, Quesnell, & Lewis, 2010), Chinese

79	(Francis, Lewis, & Ng, 2002; Tiliopoulos, Francis, & Jiang, 2013), Dutch (Francis &
80	Hermans, 2000), French (Lewis & Francis, 2003, 2004), German (Francis & Kwiran, 1999;
81	Francis, Ziebertz, & Lewis, 2002), Greek (Youtika, Joseph, & Diduca, 1999), Italian (Crea,
82	Baiocco, Ioverno, Buzzi, & Francis, 2014), Norwegian (Francis & Enger, 2002), Portugese
83	(Ferreira & Neto, 2002), Romanian (Francis, Ispas, Robbins, Ilie, & Iliescu, 2009),
84	Slovakian (Lewis, Adamovová, & Francis, 2008), Slovenian (Flere, Klanjsek, Francis, &
85	Robbins, 2009), Spanish (Campo-Arias, Oviedo, Dtaz, & Cogollo, 2006), Swedish (Eek,
86	2001), and Welsh (Evans & Francis, 1996; Francis & Thomas, 2003).
87	Sahin and Francis (2002) began to address the second of these problems by proposing
88	a way in which the underlying affective construct accessed by the Francis Scale of Attitude
89	toward Christianity could be operationalised and made accessible within other religious
90	traditions. The first step in the process was the Sahin-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Islam,
91	proposed by Sahin and Francis (2002), and further tested and developed by Khan and Watson
92	(2006), Francis, Sahin, and Al-Ansari (2006), Musharraf, Lewis, and Sultan (2014), Francis,
93	Tekke, and Robbins (in press), and Musharraf and Lewis (in press). The second step in the
94	process was the Katz-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Judaism, proposed by Francis and
95	Katz (2007) and further tested by Yablon, Francis, and Robbins (2014). The third step in the
96	process was the Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism, proposed by Francis,
97	Santosh, Robbins, and Vij (2008) and further tested by Tiliopoulos, Francis, and Slattery
98	(2010) and by Lesmana, Tiliopoulos, and Francis (2011). It is with the third of these
99	instruments that the present study is concerned.
100	Francis, Santosh, Robbins, and Vij (2008) documented the development of the
101	Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism among 330 Hindu youth and young
102	adults (158 females and 172 males) between the ages of 12 and 35 years attending the Hindu
103	Youth Festival 2001 in London. According to this study, the internal consistency reliability of

the 19-item scale was demonstrated by an alpha coefficient of .87; the correlation between the individual items and the sum of the remaining 18 items ranged between .27 ("I have noticed the benefit of practising yoga") and .68 ("My religion helps me to lead a better life"). A second test of homogeneity was provided by factor analysis, with the first factor proposed by principal component analysis accounting for 33% of the variance. Construct validity was supported by positive correlations between attitude scores and frequency of prayer and worship at home (r = .36, p < .001) and frequency of visiting a place of worship (r = .37, p < .001). There was no significant correlation between attitude toward Hinduism and sex.

In a second study, Tiliopoulos, Francis, and Slattery (2010) tested the psychometric properties of the Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism among 100 Hindus (52 females and 48 males) between the ages of 18 and 84 years, from the Bunt caste in the South Indian State of Karnataka. According to this study, the internal consistency reliability of the 19-item scale was demonstrated by an alpha coefficient of .91; the correlations between the individual items and the sum of the remaining 18 items ranged between .23 ("I think Hindu rituals are a waste of time") and .83 ("I am religious"). A second test of homogeneity was provided by factor analysis with the first factor proposed by principal component analysis accounting for 42% of the variance. There was no significant correlation between attitude toward Hinduism and sex.

In a third study, Lesmana, Tiliopoulos, and Francis (2011) tested the psychometric properties of the Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism among 309 Balinese Hindus (150 females and 159 males), of whom 105 were university students and 204 were community-based individuals, between the ages of 14 and 43 years. According to the study, the internal consistency reliability of the 19-item scale was demonstrated by an alpha coefficient of .83. For positive items the correlations between the individual items and the sum of the remaining 18 items ranged between .26 ("Reincarnation gives me hope") and .66

("My religion helps me to lead a better life"). The negative items, however, performed less well with correlations of .11 ("I find it hard to believe in God"), .23 ("I think Hindu scriptures are out of date") and .27 ("I think Hindu rituals are a waste of time"). A second test of homogeneity was provided by factor analysis, with the first factor proposed by principal component analysis accounting for 31% of the variance. Construct validity was supported by positive correlations between attitude scores and frequency of prayer (r = .35, p < .001) although there was no significant correlation between attitude scores and frequency of temple attendance. There was a significant positive correlation between attitude toward Hinduism and sex (r = .15, p < .01), indicating a higher score recorded among women than among men. Against this background, the aim of the present study is to assess the internal

Against this background, the aim of the present study is to assess the internal consistency reliability and construct validity of the Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism among a sample of students in India.

141 Method

## **Procedure**

The Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism was completed during classtime. Participants recorded their names, age, and gender but were assured of confidentiality, and participation was voluntary. All respondents were tested in English.

# **Participants**

Full data were provided by 149 participants (74 males and 75 females), the majority of whom were between the ages of 20 and 24 years (93%). In terms of religious affiliation, 18% reported none, 15% Vishuinites, 27% Shivunites, and the remaining 40% a range of other groups.

# Measures

The Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism proposed 19 items concerned with an affective response toward the Hindu faith. Each item is rated on a five-point Likert-

type scale: "agree strongly" (5), "agree" (4), "not certain" (3), "disagree" (2), and "disagree strongly" (1). The instrument contains three reverse-scored items. Higher scale scores indicate more positive attitude.

Frequency of prayer was assessed on a five-point scale: "daily" (5), "once or twice a week" (4), "sometimes" (3), "twice a year" (2), and "never" (1). Frequency of temple attendance was assessed on a five-point scale: "weekly" (5), "at least once a month" (4), "sometimes" (3), "once or twice a year" (2), and "never" (1). Sense of life being guided by God was assessed on a four-point scale: "yes definitely" (4), "probably but I am not really certain" (3), "perhaps but I am not really sure" (2), and "no" (1).

# **Data analysis**

Data were analysed by SPSS, using the frequency, correlation, reliability and factor routines.

166 Results

The three measures of religious practice and religious experience demonstrated quite a high level of religiosity, but with a wide range of variation. In terms of going to a temple, 44% reported "weekly", 24% "at least once a month", 30% "sometimes", and 3% "either never or once or twice a year". In terms of prayer, 74% reported "daily", 11% "once or twice a week", 13% "sometimes", and 2% "once or twice a year". In terms of feeling that life is being guided by God, 57% reported "yes definitely", 27% "probably but not really certain", 13% "perhaps but not really sure", and 3% "no".

- insert table 1 about here -

Table 1 presents the correlations between the individual items and the sum of the remaining items for 19 items of the Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism, together with the alpha coefficient (Cronbach, 1951). These statistics support the conclusion that the scale is characterised by homogeneity, unidimensionality, and internal consistency

reliability within the sample. The alpha coefficient was established at .90; the correlations between the individual items and the sum of the remaining 18 items ranged between .26 ("In my experience meditation does have a positive impact") and .69 ("I benefit from attending services, prayer meetings or places of worship"). A second test of homogeneity was provided by factor analysis, with the first factor proposed by principal component analysis accounting for 36% of the variance.

- insert table 2 about here -

Table 2 presents the correlations between scores recorded on the Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism, sex, frequency of prayer, frequency of temple attendance, and sense of life being guided by God. The positive correlations between attitude scores and both frequency or prayer and sense of life being guided by God support the construct validity of the Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism on the grounds of the connection between religious affect and personal religiosity. The independence of attitude scores and frequency of temple attendance is consistent with the findings of Lesmana, Tilipoulos, and Francis (2011) and with the view that temple attendance tends largely to serve a social function (extrinsic religiosity) rather than an intrinsically religious function and that the attitudinal dimension of religion is associated with intrinsic religiosity, rather than with extrinsic religiosity (Francis & Orchard, 1999; Hills & Francis, 2003; Lesmana & Tiliopoulos, 2009). In this study there was also a significant positive correlation between attitude toward Hinduism and sex, indicating a higher score recorded among women than among men. This is consistent with the general finding regarding sex differences in religiosity within Christian contexts (Francis & Penny, 2013).

201 Conclusion

The Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism (Francis, Santosh, Robbins, & Vij, 2008) was developed as part of a co-ordinated programme designed to extend research

initially established in the empirical science of the psychology of religion focusing on religious affect within the Christian tradition to other faith communities. This instrument was developed alongside the Sahin-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Islam (Sahin & Francis, 2002), and the Katz-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Judaism (Francis & Katz, 2007).

The Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism was originally developed and tested by Francis, Santosh, Robbins and Vij (2008) among a sample of 330 Hindu young people between the ages of 12 and 35 years attending the Hindu Youth Festival in London. Two previous studies had begun the process of extending the reach of this instrument by testing its internal consistency reliability among a sample of 100 Hindus between the ages of 18 and 84 from the Bunt caste in the South Indian State of Karnataka (Tiliopoulos, Francis, & Slattery, 2010) and among a sample of 309 Balinese Hindus between the ages of 14 and 43 years (Lesmona, Tiliopoulos, & Francis, 2011). The present study has extended the reach further by testing the scale's internal consistency reliability and construct validity among a sample of 149 students from Karnatak University Dharwad.

Taken together these four studies provide a firm body of knowledge commending the Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism for further use among Hindus in a range of cultural settings to being to build up a set of interrelated studies examining the correlates, consequences and antecedents in individual differences in religious affect. A beginning to this wider literature has been illustrated by studies like: Francis, Robbins, Santosh, and Bhanot (2008) exploring the connection between the attitudinal dimension of religion and mental health among Hindu young people in England; Kamble, Watson, Marigoudar, and Chen (2013) exploring the connections between the attitudinal dimension of religion, religious orientations and psychological adjustment in India; and Kamble, Watson, Marigoudar, and Chen (2014) exploring the connection between the attitudinal dimension of religion and psychological openness in India.

231	References
232	Campo-Arias, A., Oviedo, H. C., Dtaz, C. F., & Cogollo, Z. (2006). Internal consistency of a
233	Spanish translation of the Francis Scale of Attitude toward Christianity short form.
234	Psychological Reports, 99, 1008-1010. doi.org/10.2466/PR0.99.3.1008-1010
235	Crea, G., Baioco, R., Ioverno, S., Buzzi, G., & Francis, L. J. (2014). The psychometric
236	properties of the Italian translation of the Francis Scale of Attitude toward
237	Christianity: A study among Catholic adolescents. Journal of Beliefs and Values, 35,
238	118-122. doi.org/10.1080/13617672.2014.884860
239	Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. <i>Psychometrika</i> ,
240	16, 297-334. doi.org/10.1007/BF02310555
241	Eek, J. (2001). Religious facilitation through intense liturgical participation: A quasi-
242	experimental study of Swedish pilgrims to Taizé. Lund: University of Lund Studies in
243	Psychology of Religion.
244	Evans, T. E., & Francis, L. J. (1996). Measuring attitude toward Christianity through the
245	medium of Welsh. In L. J. Francis, W. K. Kay, & W. S. Campbell (Eds.), Research in
246	religious education (pp. 279-294). Leominster: Fowler Wright Books.
247	Ferreira, V., & Neto, F. (2002). Psychometric properties of the Francis Scale of Attitude
248	toward Christianity among Portugese university students. Psychological Reports, 91,
249	995-998. doi.org/10.2466/PR0.91.7.995-998
250	Flere, S., Klanjsek, R., Francis, L. J., & Robbins, M. (2009). The psychometric properties of
251	the Slovenian translation of the Francis Scale of Attitude toward Christianity: A study
252	among Roman Catholic undergraduate students. Journal of Beliefs and Values, 29,
253	313-319. doi.org/10.1080/13617670802465904
254	Francis, L. J. (1978a). Attitude and longitude: A study in measurement. Character Potential,
255	8, 119-130.

256	Francis, L. J. (1978b). Measurement reapplied: Research into the child's attitude towards
257	religion. British Journal of Religious Education, 1, 45-51.
258	doi.org/10.1080/0141620780010202
259	Francis, L. J., & Enger, T. (2002). The Norwegian translation of the Francis Scale of Attitud
260	toward Christianity. Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 43, 363-367.
261	doi.org/10.1111/1467-9450.00304
262	Francis, L. J., & Hermans, C. A. M. (2000). Internal consistency reliability and construct
263	validity of the Dutch translation of the Francis Scale of Attitude toward Christianity
264	among adolescents. Psychological Reports, 86, 301-307.
265	doi.org/10.2466/pr0.2000.86.1.301
266	Francis, L. J., Ispas, D., Robbins, M., Ilie A., & Iliescu, D. (2009). The Romanian translation
267	of the Francis Scale of Attitude toward Christianity: Internal consistency reliability,
268	re-test reliability and construct validity among undergraduate students within a Greek
269	Orthodox culture. Pastoral Psychology, 58, 49-54. doi.org/10.1007/s11089-008-017-
270	6.
271	Francis, L. J, & Katz, Y. J. (2007). Measuring attitude toward Judaism: The internal
272	consistency reliability of the Katz-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Judaism. Mental
273	Health, Religion & Culture, 10, 309-324. doi.org/10.1080/13694670600668291
274	Francis, L. J., & Kwiran, M. (1999). Werthaltungen (einstellungen) gegenüber dem
275	christentum bei deutschen heranwachsenden: Die Francis-Skala. [Assessing attitude
276	toward Christianity among German young people: The Francis Scale]
277	Braunschweiger Beiträge, 89, 50-54.
278	Francis, L. J., Lewis, C. A., & Ng, P. (2002). Assessing attitude toward Christianity among
279	Chinese speaking adolescents in Hong Kong: The Francis Scale. North American
280	Journal of Psychology, 4, 431-440.

281	Francis, L. J. & Orchard, A. (1999). The relationship between the Francis Scale of Attitude
282	toward Christianity and measures of intrinsic, extrinsic and quest religiosity, Pastoral
283	Psychology, 47, 365-371. doi.org/10.1023/A:1021310319890
284	Francis, L. J., Quesnell, M., & Lewis, C. A. (2010). Assessing attitude toward Christianity
285	among adolescents in the Czech Republic: The Francis Scale. Irish Journal of
286	Psychology, 31, 125-134. doi.org/10.1080/03033910.2010.10446330
287	Francis, L. J., Robbins, M., Santosh, R., & Bhanot, S. (2008). Religion and mental health
288	among Hindu young people in England. Mental Health, Religion & Culture, 11, 341-
289	347. doi.org/10.1080/13674670701292094
290	Francis, L. J., Sahin, A., & Al-Ansari, E. (2006). The psychometric properties of the Sahin-
291	Francis Scale of Attitude toward Islam among young adults in Kuwait. Muslim
292	Education Quarterly, 23, 69-82.
293	Francis, L. J., Santosh, R., Robbins, M., & Vij, S. (2008). Assessing attitude toward
294	Hinduism: The Santosh-Francis Scale. Mental Health, Religion & Culture, 11, 609-
295	621. doi.org/10.1080/13674670701846469
296	Francis, L. J., Tekke, M., & Robbins, M. (in press). The psychometric properties of the
297	Sahin-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Islam Revised among Sunni students in
298	Malaysia. Mental Health, Religion & Culture.
299	Francis, L. J., & Thomas, E. M. (2003). The reliability and validity of the Francis Scale of
300	Attitude toward Christianity among Welsh speaking 9-11 year olds. The Psychologist
301	in Wales, 16, 9-14.
302	Francis, L. J., Ziebertz, HG., & Lewis, C. A. (2002). The psychometric properties of the
303	Francis Scale of Attitude toward Christianity among German students. Panorama, 14
304	153-162.

305	Hills, P., & Francis, L. J. (2003). Discriminant validity of the Francis Scale of Attitude
306	toward Christianity with respect to religious orientation, Mental Health, Religion &
307	Culture, 6, 277-282. doi.org/10.1080/1367467031000101000
308	Jones, S. H., & Francis, L. J. (1996). Religiosity and self-esteem during childhood and
309	adolescence. In L. J. Francis, W. K. Kay, & W. S. Campbell (Eds.), Research in
310	Religious Education (pp. 189-205). Leominster: Gracewing.
311	Kamble, S. V., Watson, P. J., Marigoudar, S., & Chen, Z. (2013). Attitude towards Hinduism
312	religious orientations, and psychological adjustment in India. Mental Health, Religion
313	& Culture, 17, 161-172. doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2013.773967
314	Kamble, S. V., Watson, P. J., Marigoudar, S., & Chen, Z. (2014). Varieties of openness and
315	religious commitment in India. Archive for the Psychology of Religion, 36, 172-198.
316	doi.org/10.1163/15736121-12341283
317	Kay, W. K., & Francis, L. J. (1996). Drift from the churches: Attitude toward Christianity
318	during childhood and adolescence. Cardiff: University of Wales Press.
319	Khan, Z. H., & Watson, P. J. (2006). Factorial complexity and validity of the Sahin-Francis
320	Attitude toward Islam Scale among Pakistani university students. Journal of Beliefs
321	and Values, 27, 231-235. doi.org/10.1080/13617670600850059
322	Lesmana, C. B. J., & Tiliopoulos, N. (2009). Schizotypal personality traits and attitudes
323	toward Hinduism among Balinese Hindus. Mental Health, Religion & Culture, 12,
324	773-785. doi.org/10.1080/13674670902930344
325	Lesmana, C. B. J., Tiliopoulos, N., & Francis, L. J. (2011). The internal consistency
326	reliability of the Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism among Balinese
327	Hindus. International Journal of Hindu Studies, 15, 293-301.
328	doi.org/10.1007/s11407-011-9108-5

329	Lewis, C. A., Adamovová, L., & Francis, L. J. (2008). Assessing the Francis Scale of				
330	Attitude toward Christianity among Slovak students. International Journal of				
331	Psychology, 43, 463.				
332	Lewis, C. A., & Francis, L. J. (2003). Evaluer l'attitude d'étudiantes universitaires françaises				
333	à l'égard du Christianisme: L'Echelle de Francis [Assessing the attitude of French				
334	university students toward Christianity: The Francis Scale]. Sciences Pastorals, 22,				
335	179-190.				
336	Lewis, C. A., & Francis, L. J. (2004). Reliability and validity of a French translation of a				
337	short scale of attitude toward Christianity. Pastoral Psychology, 52, 459-464, 2004.				
338	doi.org/10.1023/B:PASP.0000031523.01472.d7				
339	Munayer, S. J. (2000). The ethnic identity of Palestinian Arab Christian adolescents in Israel.				
340	Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Wales: Oxford Centre for Mission				
341	Studies.				
342	Musharraf, S., & Lewis, C. A. (in press). Urdu translation of the Sahin-Francis Scale of				
343	Attitude toward Islam Revised: A response and update to Francis, Tekke, and Robbins				
344	(2016). Mental Health, Religion & Culture.				
345	Musharraf, S., Lewis, C. A., & Sultan, S. (2014). The Urdu translation of the Sahin-Francis				
346	Scale of Attitude toward Islam: A case of using only positive valence items in				
347	Pakistan. Journal of Beliefs and Values, 35, 25-35.				
348	doi.org/10.1080/13617672.2014.884847				
349	Sahin, A. & Francis, L. J. (2002). Assessing attitude toward Islam among Muslim				
350	adolescents: The psychometric properties of the Sahin-Francis scale. Muslim				
351	Education Quarterly, 19, 35-47.				
352	Tiliopoulos, N., Francis, L. J., & Jiang, Y. (2013). The Chinese translation of the Francis				
353	Scale of Attitude toward Christianity: Factor structure, internal consistency reliability				

354	and construct validity among Protestant Christians in Shanghai. Pastoral Psychology,
355	62, 75-79. doi.org/10.1007/s11089-012-0457-9
356	Tiliopoulos, N., Francis, L. J., & Slattery, M. (2010). The internal consistency reliability of
357	the Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism among Bunts in South India.
358	North American Journal of Psychology, 12, 185-190.
359	Yablon, Y. B., Francis, L. J., & Robbins, M. (2014). The Katz-Francis Scale of Attitude
360	toward Judaism: Internal consistency reliability and construct validity among female
361	undergraduate students in Israel. Pastoral Psychology, 63, 73-78.
362	doi.org/10.1007/s11089-013-0523-y
363	Youtika, A., Joseph, S., & Diduca, D. (1999). Personality and religiosity in a Greek Christian
364	Orthodox sample. Mental Health, Religion & Culture, 2, 71-74.
365	doi.org/10.1080/13674679908406333
366	

Table 1

Scale of attitude toward Hinduism: Item-rest-of-test correlations, factor loadings, and item endorsement

	r	agree %
I find it hard to believe in God*	.50	26
Spirituality is important in my life	.41	85
I have a close relationship with God	.55	69
I find it easy to understand Hinduism	.54	79
I think Hindu rituals are a waste of time*	.64	9
Knowing about the law of Karma helps me to lead a better life	.57	67
I am happy to be a Hindu	.65	90
My religion helps me to lead a better life	.67	75
I find Hindu scriptures inspiring	.60	77
It is easy to understand Hindu rituals	.48	87
I benefit from attending services, prayer meetings or places of worship	.69	70
Prayer helps me a lot	.64	85
I am religious	.41	90
Reincarnation gives me hope	.47	52
It is important for me to practise my religion/spiritual beliefs	.42	79
In my experience meditation does have a positive impact	.26	84
I have noticed the benefits of practising yoga	.51	75
I think Hindu scriptures are out of date*	.52	7
Hinduism is relevant in the modern world	.59	65
Alpha % variance	.90	

Note: \* these items are reverse coded to compute the item-rest-of-test correlations and factor loadings

N = 149

376 Table 2

# Correlation matrix

	sex	life guided by God	prayer	temple
Attitude	.21*	.37***	.20*	.06
Temple	03	.13	.43***	
Prayer	.17*	.27***		
Life guided by God	04			

379 Note: \* = 
$$p < .05$$
; \*\* =  $p < .01$ ; \*\*\* =  $p < .001$