

#### Original citation:

de Laune, Benjamin P., Whitaker, Mariana J., Marco, Jose F., Thomas, Michael F., Berry, Frank J., Lees, Martin R. and Greaves, Colin. (2017) Synthesis and magnetic characterisation of Fe1–xMgxSb2O4 (x = 0.25, 0.50, 0.75) and their oxygen-excess derivatives, Fe1–xMgxSb2O4+y. Journal of Materials Chemistry C, 5 (20). pp. 4985-4995.

#### **Permanent WRAP URL:**

http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/89118

#### **Copyright and reuse:**

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work of researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

#### **Publisher statement:**

First published by Royal Society of Chemistry 2017 http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C7TC01256J

#### A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRAP url' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk

# Synthesis and magnetic characterisation of $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4$ (x = 0.25, 0.50, 0.75) and their oxygen-excess derivatives, $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_{4+y}$ .

Benjamin P de Laune, <sup>1</sup> Mariana J Whitaker, <sup>1</sup> Jose F Marco, <sup>2</sup> Michael F Thomas, <sup>3</sup> Frank J Berry, <sup>1</sup> Martin R Lees <sup>4</sup> and Colin Greaves. <sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Chemistry, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

Submitted to Journal of Materials Chemistry C

Corresponding author:

Professor Colin Greaves School of Chemistry University of Birmingham Birmingham B15 2TT UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Instituto de Quimica Fisica "Rocasolano", CSIC, Serrano 119, 28006 Madrid, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3BX, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

<sup>\*</sup>E-mail c.greaves@bham.ac.uk

#### **Abstract**

Three new materials of composition  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4$  (x=0.25, 0.50, 0.75) with the tetragonal schafarzikite structure have been synthesised. Magnetic susceptibility measurements suggest that  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4$  (x=0.25, 0.50) are canted antiferromagnets whilst  $Fe_{0.25}Mg_{0.75}Sb_2O_4$  is paramagnetic. The magnetic ordering temperatures decrease as the  $Mg^{2+}$  concentration increases. The materials form oxygen-excess phases when heated in oxygen-rich atmospheres at temperatures of ~ 350 °C.  $^{57}Fe$  Mössbauer spectroscopy shows that the oxidation process involves the oxidation of  $Fe^{2+}$  to  $Fe^{3+}$ . Powder neutron diffraction confirms the location of the excess oxygen within the structural channels and reveals a change in magnetic order at low temperatures from A-type (magnetic moments along  $\{100\}$ ) for  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4$  to C-type (magnetic moments along [001]) for the oxidised materials. The change is attributed to a weakening of the antiferromagnetic exchange interactions between edge-linked  $FeO_6$  octahedra for the  $Fe^{3+}$ -containing materials.

# Introduction

The compound FeSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> occurs naturally as the mineral schafarzikite and is isostructural with the tetragonal form of Pb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Its structure, shown in Fig. 1, consists of chains of edge-linked FeO<sub>6</sub> octahedra along the c-axis; the chains are linked by trigonal pyramidal Sb<sup>3+</sup> cations which are bonded to three oxide ions and possess lone pairs of electrons (E) directed into the empty channels that exist between the chains of FeO<sub>6</sub> octahedra. If the lone pairs are regarded as ligands, they provide pseudo-tetrahedral SbO<sub>3</sub>E geometry for the Sb<sup>3+</sup> ions. The Fe–Fe distance within the chains (2.96 Å) is shorter than the nearest Fe–Fe distance within the layers (6.07 Å) and provides some one-dimensional character to FeSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. The material undergoes an antiferromagnetic transition around 45 K.<sup>3,4</sup> A variety of structurally-related MSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (M = Mn<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>) have been reported.<sup>5-10</sup>

One unsuccessful attempt to substitute on the Sb site has been reported, <sup>11</sup> but we subsequently demonstrated that this is possible by successfully synthesising and characterising materials with composition FeSb<sub>2-x</sub>Pb<sub>x</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. <sup>12</sup>. We have also prepared new phases that contain mixed magnetic cations on the M site and determined their magnetic properties. <sup>13</sup> Two principal types of antiferromagnetic order are observed for magnetic MSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> compounds: A-type and C-type with the magnetic moments aligned as shown in Fig. 2. Only a small energy difference separates these types of order, and subtle changes to the existing magnetic exchange interactions can change the magnetic ground state. Low temperature powder neutron diffraction (PND) experiments, for example, revealed that the A-type magnetic ground state found for both FeSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and MnSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is gradually converted to C-type with increasing Co<sup>2+</sup> substitution in the series with compositions Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and

 $Mn_{1-x}Co_xSb_2O_4$ .<sup>13</sup> In addition, the A-type order in  $FeSb_2O_4$  can also be converted to C-type by partial oxidation of  $Fe^{2+}$  to  $Fe^{3+}$  which occurs in  $FeSb_{2-x}Pb_xO_4$ .<sup>12</sup>

The  $^{57}$ Fe Mössbauer spectrum of FeSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> at ca. 4.2 K is unusual, being the result of combined magnetic hyperfine and electric quadrupole interactions of comparable strength;  $^{14,15}$  combining this spectral information with data recorded above  $T_{\rm N}$  has been used to determine the splitting of the  $^{5}T_{2g}$  ground state of Fe<sup>2+</sup> caused by its distorted octahedral environment. We reinvestigated the Mössbauer spectra recorded from FeSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and reported on how, in FeSb<sub>2-x</sub>Pb<sub>x</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, the anisotropic magnetic interactions give rise to situations in which weakly coupled Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions appear to coexist in a non-magnetic state alongside Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions in a magnetically ordered state. <sup>17</sup>

We report here on the successful substitution of non-magnetic  $Mg^{2^+}$  ions on the Fe sites and the subsequent characterisation of materials of composition  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4$ ; the study has focused on their magnetic properties in order to determine whether the weakening of the magnetic exchange results in a change in the magnetic order. A particularly interesting feature of  $Fe^{2^+}$ -containing materials is their ability to undergo partial oxidation associated with the accommodation of additional oxide ions within the channels and bonded to Sb ions  $^{18}$  (see Fig. 1). In this report, we highlight topotactic oxidation of this type for the new  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4$  compounds. We also report on the examination of the low-temperature magnetic properties of the oxidised phases  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_{4+y}$  revealed by magnetic susceptibility measurements,  $^{57}Fe$  Mössbauer spectroscopy and PND to determine whether oxidation of this type also causes a change in magnetic order, as was observed for  $FeSb_{2-x}Pb_xO_4$ .  $^{12}$ 

# **Experimental Details**

All Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Mg<sub>x</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> samples were prepared by heating stoichiometric amounts of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, MgO, Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Sb metal in alumina crucibles contained within evacuated sealed silica tubes. Heat treatments (700 °C for x = 0, 650 °C for all other samples) were repeated until phase pure samples were obtained. Oxygen insertion to form materials of the type Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Mg<sub>x</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4+y</sub> was studied by heating samples in oxygen in a Netzsch STA 449 F1 Jupiter thermogravimetric analyser; larger samples were obtained by heating Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Mg<sub>x</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in air at 400 °C for 10 min.

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXD) data were collected on a Bruker D8 diffractometer in transmission mode using Cu-K<sub>α1</sub> radiation selected using a primary beam germanium monochromator. PND data were collected on the high resolution D2B diffractometer at ILL, Grenoble, France. PXD data from the samples examined were used to calibrate the neutron wavelength and 1.5923 Å was adopted for all work reported here. Rietveld refinement of PXD and PND data used the General Structure Analysis System (GSAS)<sup>19</sup> in conjunction with the Graphical User Interface, EXPGUI.<sup>20</sup> Magnetic refinements for reduced and oxidised materials used Fe<sup>2+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup> magnetic form factors, respectively. Magnetic susceptibility data were measured with a MPMS Quantum Design XL instrument (applied field 500 Oe) for samples during warming after both field cooled (FC) and zero field cooled (ZFC) cooling to 5 K. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images were recorded from unpolished sintered pellets using a JEOL 6060 microscope and an acceleration voltage of 20 kV. <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer spectra were recorded in constant acceleration mode using a *ca.* 25 mCi <sup>57</sup>Co source and a liquid helium closed-cycle cryorefrigerator. All the spectra were computer fitted with those recorded from Mg<sub>0.50</sub>Fe<sub>0.50</sub>Sob<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Mg<sub>0.25</sub>Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> at 16 K being fitted

using the method of Kundig.<sup>21</sup> All the chemical isomer shift data are quoted relative to metallic iron at room temperature.

## **Results and Discussion**

Structural characterisation of  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4$  (x = 0.25, 0.50, 0.75)

SEM images showed that materials of composition  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4$  (x = 0.25, 0.50, 0.75) had acicular crystals (Fig. 3) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy confirmed the cation ratios to be as expected for the desired compositions.  $FeSb_2O_4$  and  $MgSb_2O_4$  showed more uniform crystal shapes.

PXD showed that materials with composition  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4$  (x = 0.25, 0.50, 0.75) were single phase with the tetragonal schafarzikite structure but with anisotropic broadening of some diffraction peaks consistent with the needle-like morphology revealed by SEM with the unique axis being along [001]. The parameters from the Rietveld refinement of the PXD data recorded from the Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Mg<sub>x</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> materials at 298 K are collected in Table 1 and the refinement plot for Fe<sub>0.50</sub>Mg<sub>0.50</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is shown in Fig. 4. In Table 1, O1 corresponds to the apical site and O2 is equatorial. The fractional coordinates reported in Table 1 are close to those expected for a situation in which increasing amounts of magnesium substitute for iron on the octahedral sites. As expected from the crystal morphologies observed from SEM, preferred orientation was not apparent for FeSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and MgSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>; however, for the mixed iron-magnesium phases, a significant preferred orientation correction was necessary and was modelled using the March-Dollase correction in GSAS.<sup>19</sup> Although the displacement parameters for Sb and O1 are normally anisotropic for this structure, the PXD data were insensitive to this and a common isotropic displacement parameter was adopted for all ions. The variations of unit cell parameters and volume with composition in Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Mg<sub>x</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> are shown in Fig. 5. The results show that increasing magnesium content causes the unit cell

volume to decrease in accordance with the smaller ionic radius of 6-coordinate  $Mg^{2+}$  (0.72 Å) compared with  $Fe^{2+}$  (0.78 Å). <sup>22</sup> Fig. 5 demonstrates that the overall contraction relates to a decrease in the a and b unit cell parameters, whereas c shows less change but actually increases as Mg is substituted for Fe in  $FeSb_2O_4$ . At first sight, this seems unusual since both apical and equatorial Fe(Mg)—O bond distances decrease similarly: for x = 0 and x = 1, for example, both decrease by  $\sim 2.5\%$ . We attribute this slight expansion in c to the unfavourable increase in Fe(Mg)—Fe(Mg) repulsions across the common edge of adjacent octahedra that would result if the decrease in bond lengths caused a simple contraction in c. Instead, the octahedra accommodate the shortened equatorial bonds by reducing the O2—Fe(Mg)—O2 bond angle, where the two O2 atoms form a common edge between two adjacent octahedra. In this way, the c parameter, and hence the dependent cation repulsions along the chains, are maintained. For example, the angles are  $90.5^\circ$  and  $87.9^\circ$  for x = 0 and x = 1, respectively.

## Oxygen uptake in $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4$ (x = 0.25, 0.50, 0.75)

The materials of composition  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4$  (x = 0.25, 0.50, 0.75) were found to incorporate oxygen into the empty channels on low temperature oxidation in oxygen or air, in similar fashion to that which we recently reported for other schafarzikite-related materials.<sup>18</sup> Thermogravimetric analysis of samples containing both Fe and Mg under flowing oxygen is shown in Fig. 6. The compounds showed topotactic uptake of oxygen in the approximate temperature range 300 - 500 °C before further oxidation at higher temperatures resulted in decomposition to form  $Sb_2O_4$ ,  $MgSb_2O_6$  and  $FeSbO_4$ . The properties of the materials formed at the low temperature plateaus were determined from samples prepared by heating the  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4$  phases at 400 °C for 10 min. under flowing oxygen. PXD confirmed the products to have retained the schafarzikite-related structure (Fig. 7) and that

Fe<sub>0.25</sub>Mg<sub>0.75</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4+y</sub>, for which the value of *y* is smallest, is most crystalline and gave the smallest shifts in peak positions. The larger oxygen uptake in the iron-rich materials Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Mg<sub>x</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (x = 0.25,0.50) gave samples which showed greater shifts in peak position and a lower degree of crystallinity. Rietveld refinement of the PXD data showed the materials to consist of two (or possibly more) schafarzikite-related phases whose unit cell sizes suggested that the phases had different levels of oxygen absorption. One phase was always closely related to the initial, unoxidised material, and suggested that for these low temperature oxidations, kinetic factors limited the potential to oxidise the centres of large particles. Attempts to produce single phase materials of composition Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Mg<sub>x</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4+y</sub> by varying the heating conditions were unsuccessful. The observed increase in mass following heating of Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Mg<sub>x</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> at 400 °C for 10 min. exceeded that expected from simple oxidation of Fe<sup>2+</sup> to Fe<sup>3+</sup> (Table 2). This has previously been discussed in detail<sup>14</sup> and occurs because of the simultaneous oxidation of both Fe<sup>2+</sup> to Fe<sup>3+</sup> and Sb<sup>3+</sup> to Sb<sup>5+</sup>.

## Magnetic properties of $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4$ (x = 0.25, 0.50, 0.75)

The magnetic susceptibility measurements recorded between 5 and 300 K for  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4$  (x = 0.25, 0.50, 0.75) are compared with  $FeSb_2O_4$  (x = 0) in Fig. 8. The latter compound shows an antiferromagnetic transition at ~ 45 K as previously reported.<sup>3,4</sup> The magnetic order has previously been reported to be complex with predominantly A-type order but with a minor C-type contribution; <sup>3</sup> however, for the sample prepared in this study a significant ferromagnetic contribution is seen, which suggests a canted ground state. For x = 0.25 and 0.50, a low temperature divergence of FC and ZFC data at low temperatures is also indicative of canted antiferromagnetic order, whereas the magnesium-rich  $Fe_{0.25}Mg_{0.75}Sb_2O_4$  appears paramagnetic. The inverse susceptibility data (Fig. 8) all obeyed the Curie-Weiss law and the Weiss constants, effective magnetic moments and magnetic ordering temperatures ( $T_N$ ) are

collated in Table 3. The values of  $T_N$  correspond to the low temperature maximum in the ZFC data (x = 0.25) and the onset of significant divergence between ZFC and FC data (x = 0.50). The negative Weiss constants are indicative of predominantly antiferromagnetic exchange and the canted configuration for  $x \le 0.50$  is similar to that observed in FeSb<sub>2-x</sub>Pb<sub>x</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. The effective magnetic moments determined for all four materials are seen to be significantly larger than the spin-only value for high-spin Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions, 4.9  $\mu_B$ . However, they are similar to those expected for octahedral Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions, where the  ${}^5T_{2g}$  ground state results in a significant orbital contribution to the moment, and agree well, for example, with the range reported for Fe<sup>2+</sup> substituted into MgO:  $5.5 - 5.7 \mu_B$ . The magnetic ordering temperatures decrease with increasing magnesium content, consistent with the Fe<sup>2+</sup> – Fe<sup>2+</sup> magnetic exchange interactions being weakened by the presence of non-magnetic Mg<sup>2+</sup> ions. The change in the Weiss constant also reflects the weakening of magnetic exchange as x increases but  $|\theta|$  is always significantly larger than the ordering temperatures. This has previously been observed in related materials and is commonly observed for antiferromagnetic order when the mean field of each magnetic sublattice is not solely determined by the other sublattice.

In order to explore the nature of the magnetic order in Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Mg<sub>x</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, PND data were collected at 300 and 4 K for Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Mg<sub>0.5</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. The observed and calculated profiles for 300 K data are shown in Fig. 9(a) and, at first sight, the data collected at 4 K (Fig.9(b)) appeared similar; the figure shows only the low angle range since we are primarily concerned with the effects of magnetic order. However, it is seen (Fig. 9(b)) that refinement using a single nuclear phase consistent with the 300 K structure produced anomalies at low angle which suggested magnetic order. The scattering is, in fact, fitted well (Fig. 9(c)) by including an A-type magnetic phase shown in Fig. 2(a). The refined magnetic moment of 2.9(1)  $\mu_B$  is significantly lower than the spin-only value of 4  $\mu_B$ ; although covalence reduces the moment, the large orbital contribution will increase it. For example, in LiFePO<sub>4</sub>, the Fe<sup>2+</sup> moment is

reported to be 4.15(3)  $\mu_B$  from PND.<sup>24</sup> The reduction in magnetic moment therefore suggests incomplete magnetic order, presumably resulting from the weaker overall exchange caused by the Mg substitution. In fact, the moment suggests approximately 20-30% paramagnetic Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions and it may be relevant that a random arrangement of Fe and Mg would result in 25% of Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions having Mg<sup>2+</sup> for both its nearest neighbours which may result in the disappearance of magnetic order for this site.

<sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer spectra were recorded at 298 and 16 K for samples with x = 0.25, 0.50, 0.75. Spectral parameters for original and oxidised samples of composition  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4(x = 0.50, 0.75)$  at 16 K are contained in Table 4, those from  $Fe_{0.75}Mg_{0.25}Sb_2O_4$  at 298 and 16 K are collected in Table 5.

The <sup>57</sup>Fe Mossbauer spectrum recorded from Fe<sub>0.25</sub>Mg<sub>0.75</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> at 16 K (Fig. 10) shows a quadrupole split absorption characteristic of paramagnetic Fe<sup>2+</sup>. The absence of magnetic hyperfine interaction at 16 K contrasts with the spectra recorded<sup>17</sup> from FeSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and suggests that the high concentration of Mg<sup>2+</sup> on the cation sites along the *c*-axis precludes significant magnetic interactions between the Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions because of their increased separation. The result is consistent with magnetisation data which showed no magnetic transition between 5 and 300 K (see above).

For x = 0.5, the <sup>57</sup>Fe Mossbauer spectrum recorded at 16 K (Fig. 10, Table 4) showed a major quadrupole split absorption corresponding to paramagnetic Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions and a minor area component corresponding to magnetically ordered Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions which was best fitted according to the method of Kundig<sup>21</sup>. The material thus shows mixed magnetic phases. Magnetisation measurements indicated a transition to a magnetically ordered state at 20 K (see above and Table 3) but the transition is not clearly defined. Clearly this sample is mainly paramagnetic at 16 K, and ordering probably occurs over a substantial temperature range caused by the

statistical distribution of Fe<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> cations. It should be recalled that the PND data suggest some disorder even at 4 K in this material.

For x = 0.25, the <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer data at 16 K (Fig. 10, Table 5) were significantly different from the spectra recorded from compositions with lower iron contents. The spectrum was also best fitted according to the method of Kundig<sup>21</sup> and was composed of a broad-lined magnetically split pattern similar to that recorded <sup>17</sup> from FeSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> at 30 K and reflecting similar combined magnetic hyperfine and electric quadrupole interactions of comparable strength. The result is consistent with magnetisation measurements which indicated magnetic ordering at ca. 45 K (see above, Table 3) and demonstrates that the higher iron content facilitates such magnetic order. Interestingly, the magnetic component in the spectrum was best fitted to three sextets. The parameters of the component with the largest magnetic hyperfine field are very similar to those recorded from FeSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> at 30 K.<sup>17</sup> The chemical isomer shift and quadrupole interaction of the component with magnetic hyperfine field  $B_{\rm hf} = 13.7$  T are also similar to those of FeSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, and the smaller magnetic hyperfine field probably reflects the effect of magnesium weakening the magnetic interactions. The parameters of the third component ( $B_{hf} = 5$  T) are very different, and also indicate weaker magnetic interactions. The spectrum recorded at 298 K (Fig. 11, Table 5) showed a quadrupole split absorption characteristic of paramagnetic Fe<sup>2+</sup>, similar to that recorded from FeSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> at 298 K.<sup>17</sup>

#### Magnetic properties of $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_{4+y}$ (x = 0.25, 0.50, 0.75)

PXD data from the oxidised samples revealed that each comprised a mixture of closely related phases with similar structure. It was assumed that the samples were inhomogeneous with respect to the distribution of the excess oxygen, y. Magnetic susceptibility data for the samples with x = 0.25, 0.50 and 0.75 are given in Fig.12, which shows plots of susceptibility,

 $\chi$ , versus T and  $1/\chi$  versus T. Relevant magnetic data deduced from the plots are presented in Table 6. As for the original samples, magnetic transitions are observed for x = 0.25 and 0.50 but not for x = 0.75. For x = 0.25, the transition is clearly to an antiferromagnetic ground state but for x = 0.50, the appearance is similar to a simple ferromagnetic transition but with a very low resultant moment. It seemed likely that this transition actually resulted in a canted antiferromagnet which was subsequently confirmed using PND (see later). Whereas the data for x = 0.25 and 0.50 conform to the Curie-Weiss law, the sample with lowest Fe concentration (x = 0.75) showed slight curvature in the  $1/\chi - T$  plot. This probably reflects a small diamagnetic effect and the susceptibility could be modelled well using a modified Curie-Weiss equation that includes a temperature-independent component,  $\alpha$  (  $\chi = C/(T - \theta) + \alpha$ ); the  $\chi - T$  fit obtained is included in Fig.12(c). Table 6 shows that all the magnetic moments are slightly higher than that expected for  $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$  (spin-only moment 5.9  $\mu_{\rm B}$ ) even though no orbital contribution is expected for octahedral Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions. The decrease in  $\theta$  as x increases (Table 6) reflects the weakening of magnetic exchange energy as the Mg content increases. It is also interesting that the Weiss constants ( $\theta$ ) in Table 6, although confirming overall antiferromagnetic exchange above the ordering temperature, are significantly lower than those for the samples prior to oxidation (Table 3). This was thought likely to indicate the occurrence of different magnetic order compared with the unoxidised materials. The low temperature magnetic order was therefore examined for one sample (x =0.50) using PND data collected at 4 K even though PXD data suggested that the samples contained some degree of inhomogeneity.

Structure refinements against neutron data were, in fact, found to be satisfactory using a single phase, and fits were certainly very good in the low angle region used for magnetic structure evaluation. Refinements for the x = 0.50 sample at 300 and 4 K are shown in Fig.

13 and refined structural parameters are given in Table 7. For both data sets the Mg/Fe site occupancy remained as was weighed out within experimental error and was therefore constrained at this value. The Sb and O1 displacement parameters were strongly anisotropic, as is usually observed in this structure, and were refined accordingly. To improve the precision in the interstitial oxygen (O3) occupancy, the O3 and O2 isotropic displacement parameters were constrained to be equal. It is seen that the 300 K data can be fitted satisfactorily to a single phase as is shown for the low angle region in Fig. 13(a). The excess oxygen was located within the channels in sites previously reported<sup>18</sup> and the refined O3 position is in excellent agreement with that reported for  $FeSb_{1.25}Pb_{0.75}O_{4.24}$ , for which x =0.540(5), y = 0.417(3), z = 0.254(7). At 4 K, fitting using a non-magnetic phase shows additional peaks (Fig. 13(b)), but these are seen to be very different from those observed for the unoxidised material, Fig. 9(b). The peak at ~11° is, in fact, characteristic of C-type magnetic order, Fig. 2(b), in which the moments are aligned along [001] with ferromagnetic order within a given chain. Including the corresponding magnetic unit cell resulted in the satisfactory fit shown in Fig. 13(c). In accordance with the mass increase on oxidation (Table 2), it was assumed that most Fe<sup>2+</sup> had oxidised to Fe<sup>3+</sup> and the Fe<sup>3+</sup> magnetic form factor was adopted for the refinement. The magnetic moment per Fe<sup>3+</sup> ion was determined to be 2.24(5)  $\mu_{\rm B}$ . The small peak seen at ~19° corresponds to magnetic scattering from a very small (< 1% by weight) contamination from Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, which is difficult to avoid in the synthesis of Fecontaining schafarzikite phases. The O3 site occupancies for the 300 and 4 K data agree well, not only with each other (indicating the compositions Mg<sub>0.50</sub>Fe<sub>0.50</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4.39(2)</sub> and  $Mg_{0.50}Fe_{0.50}Sb_2O_{4.43(1)}$ , respectively), but also with TG data ( $Mg_{0.50}Fe_{0.50}Sb_2O_{4.40(2)}$ ).

The magnetic structure is of interest for two main reasons:

- The magnetic ground state changes from A- to C-type on oxidation. The
  ferromagnetic ordering in the chains can be attributed to a reduction in the direct
  Fe–Fe antiferromagnetic exchange that results from the *d*-orbital contraction in Fe<sup>3+</sup>
  and the increase in Fe–Fe separation along *c*.
- The moment is substantially lower than that expected for  $Fe^{3+}$  and is even lower than that found for the unoxidised sample (2.9(1)  $\mu_B$  per  $Fe^{2+}$  ion) as discussed above. This reduction is indicative of ~ 40–50% of the  $Fe^{3+}$  ions being magnetically disordered and paramagnetic. This aspect will be considered further during discussion of the results from Mössbauer spectroscopy.

The presence of C-type magnetic order in  $Fe_{0.50}Mg_{0.50}Sb_2O_{4+y}$  is consistent with the change in magnetic order observed in  $FeSb_{2-x}Pb_xO_4$  where oxidation results from the substitution of  $Pb^{2+}$  for  $Sb^{3+}$ , and may explain the higher magnetic moments calculated from the susceptibility data. High moments were also reported for some materials containing mixed transition metal ions (Mn/Co and Fe/Co) in this structure and this was attributed to the occurrence of small clusters of cations for which ferromagnetic order was retained above the long-range magnetic ordering temperature. In the present study, C-type order provides ferromagnetic order within each individual chain of octahedra, so small groups of  $Fe^{3+}$  cations would be expected to experience strong ferromagnetic exchange; the general disorder caused by the substitution of  $Mg^{2+}$  ions would weaken the antiferromagnetic exchange between the chains so some ferromagnetic clusters could exist to high temperatures and result in the slightly high magnetic moments determined from the susceptibility data for the oxidised samples, Table 6.

The <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer spectra recorded from  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_{4+y}$  at 16 K are shown in Fig. 14. The spectrum for x = 0.75 shows two quadrupole split absorptions with chemical isomer shifts characteristic of  $Fe^{2+}$  and  $Fe^{3+}$  (Table 4). The result shows that ca. 69% of the  $Fe^{2+}$  in

 $Fe_{0.25}Mg_{0.75}Sb_2O_4$  is oxidised to  $Fe^{3+}$  – presumably the remaining  $Fe^{2+}$  could be oxidised under different heating conditions. It is important to note that oxidation of  $Sb^{3+}$  to  $Sb^{5+}$  has been shown to occur<sup>18</sup> simultaneously during such oxidations so that the oxygen content of the sample (y) is not directly related to the  $Fe^{2+}$ : $Fe^{3+}$  ratio. The absence of magnetic hyperfine splitting illustrates the effective blocking by  $Mg^{2+}$  of magnetic interactions between  $Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+}$  ions for this composition.

The  $^{57}$ Fe Mössbauer spectrum recorded at 16 K  $Fe_{0.50}Mg_{0.50}Sb_2O_{4+y}$  (Fig. 14, Table 4) is composed of broad lines which are best fitted to a doublet and two sextets. The chemical isomer shifts of all components are characteristic of  $Fe^{3+}$  and indicate complete oxidation, in contrast to the data from  $Fe_{0.25}Mg_{0.75}Sb_2O_{4+y}$ . It appears that the x=0.50 material, with a higher iron content, has ca. 80% of the  $Fe^{3+}$  being magnetically ordered at 16 K. The different hyperfine fields for the magnetically ordered species relate to the local Fe/Mg distribution and will be discussed in detail in a subsequent publication. The presence of a significant amount of paramagnetic  $Fe^{3+}$  ions is therefore deduced from both PND and Mössbauer data, although the reduced magnetic moment from the PND data is suggestive of a higher percentage that does not contribute to the primary C-type order included in the refinement.

The spectrum recorded from  $Fe_{0.75}Mg_{0.25}Sb_2O_{4+y}$  at 298 K (Fig. 11, Table 8) was best fitted to two quadrupole split absorptions whilst that recorded at 16 K (Fig. 14, Table 8)) was best fitted to three sextets. The chemical isomer shifts of all components are characteristic of  $Fe^{3+}$  and reflect the ease of oxidation of  $Fe^{2+}$  to  $Fe^{3+}$  in the  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4$  series. As for the x = 0.50 compound, the presence of  $Fe^{3+}$  species with different local environments, both structural and magnetic, can be related to the different local Fe/Mg distributions that are possible around a given  $Fe^{3+}$  ion.

## **Conclusions**

Three new phases of composition  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4$  (x = 0.25, 0.50, 0.75) have been synthesised and shown to adopt the schafarzikite structure. The materials with x = 0.25 and 0.50 are canted antiferromagnets with A-type magnetic order below  $T_N$ , whereas for x = 0.75 paramagnetic behaviour occurs. The materials readily absorb oxygen between ca. 300 and 500 °C to an extent which exceeds that corresponding only to the simple oxidation of the  $Fe^{2+}$  ions. Although the oxidised materials are not strictly single phase, because of inhomogeneity of the excess oxygen concentration, their magnetic properties have been reliably determined using PND and magnetic susceptibility data. Importantly, the oxidised materials with x = 0.25 and x = 0.50 also undergo a magnetic ordering transition at low temperature but now the ground state is C-type. The interpretation of the detailed features of the Mössbauer spectra recorded from magnetically ordered materials created by substitution of magnesium ions into the parent material  $FeSb_2O_4$  are complex because of different local configurations of cations relating to the Fe/Mg statistical distribution.

# Acknowledgements

We thank the Engineering and Science Research Council for financial support of this research (EPSRC EP/L014114/1) and also acknowledge financial support from Spanish MINECO (Project MAT 2015-64110-C2-1-P). We are grateful to EPSRC, EU and ILL for the provision of PND facilities and to Dr Emma Suard for assistance in collecting the PND data. The X-ray diffractometers, TG analyser and magnetometer used in this research were obtained through Birmingham Science City: Creating and Characterising Next Generation Advanced Materials (West Midlands Centre for Advanced Materials Project 1), with support from Advantage West Midlands (AWM) and part funded by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF). The Advanced Materials Facility is part of the Centre for

Chemical and Materials Analysis in the School of Chemistry at the University of Birmingham. Data associated with the results shown in this paper are accessible from the University of Birmingham Archive: http://epapers.bham.ac.uk/XXXX/.

# References

- 1. J. R. Gavarri and D. Weigel, *J. Solid State Chem.* 1975, **13**, 252.
- 2. R. Fischer and F. Pertlik, *Tschermaks Miner. Petrogr. Mitt.* 1975, **22**, 236.
- 3. J. A. Gonzalo, D. E. Cox and G. Shirane, *Phys. Rev.* 1966, **147**, 415.
- 4. R. Chater, J. R. Gavarri and A. W. Hewat, 1985 J. Solid State Chem. 1985, 60, 78.
- 5. G. Tammann, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1925, 149, 21.
- 6. E. Koyama, I. Nakai, K. Nagashima, Nippon Kagaku Kaishi, 1979, 6, 793.
- 7. J. R. Gavarri, G. Calvarin, B. Chardon, J. Solid State Chem., 1983, 47, 132.
- 8. H. T. Witteveen, *Solid State Commun.*, 1971, **9**, 1971.
- 9. S. Ståhl, Ark. Khem. Min. Geol., 1943, 17 B, 1.
- M. T. Atanasova, A. M. Strydom, C. J. H. Schutte, L. C. Prinsloo, W. W. Focke, J. *Mater. Sci.*, 2014, 49, 3497.
- M. Abakumov, M. G. Rozova, E. V. Antipov, J. Hadermann, G. Van Tendeloo, M. Lobanov, M. Greenblatt, M. Croft, E. V. Tsiper, A. Llobet, K. A. Lokshin and Y. Zhao, *Chem. Mater.* 2005 17, 1123.
- 12. M. J. Whitaker, R. D. Bayliss, F. J. Berry and C. Greaves, *J. Mater. Chem.* 2011, **21**, 14523.
- 13. J. Cumby, B. P. de Laune and C. Greaves, *J. Mater. Chem. C* 2016, **4**, 201.
- 14. F. Varret, P. Imbert, A. Gerard and F. Hartmann-Boutron, *Solid State Commun.* 1968,6, 889.
- 15. G. Pettit and M. R. Meder, *Hyperfine Interact.* 1978, **5**, 323.
- 16. M. Eibschutz and U. Ganiel, Solid State Commun. 1968, 6, 775.
- 17. R. D. Bayliss, F. J. Berry, B. P. de Laune, C. Greaves, O. Helgason, J. F. Marco, M. F. Thomas, L. Vergara and M. J. Whitaker, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter.* 2012, **24**, 276001.

- P. de Laune, G. J. Rees, M. J. Whitaker, H-Y. Hah, C. E. Johnson, J. A. Johnson, D. E. Brown, M. G. Tucker, T. C. Hansen, F. J. Berry, J. V. Hanna and C. Greaves, *Inorganic Chemistry* 2017, 56, 594.
- 19. C. Larson and R. B. Von Dreele, *General Structure Analysis System (GSAS)*, Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LAUR 86-748, 2004.
- 20. H. Toby, J. Appl. Cryst. 2001, 34, 210.
- 21. W. Kundig, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 1967, 48, 219.
- 22. R. D. Shannon, Acta. Crystallogr. 1976, A32, 751.
- 23. M. Valigi, F Pepe and M. Schiavello, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1975, 71, 1631.
- 24. G. Rousse, J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, S. Patoux and C. Masquelier, *Chem. Mater.* 2003, **15**, 4082.

**Tables** 

**Table 1.** Structural data from PXD. Space group  $P4_2/mbc$ : Fe 4d (0,0.5,0.25); Sb 8h (x,y,0); O1 8g (x,0.5+x,0.25); O2 8h (x,y,0).

|             |                   | FeSb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> | $Fe_{0.75}Mg_{0.25}Sb_2O_4$ | $Fe_{0.50}Mg_{0.50}Sb_2O_4$ | $Fe_{0.25}Mg_{0.75}Sb_2O_4$ | MgSb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> |
|-------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| а           | (Å)               | 8.59621(7)                       | 8.5689(1)                   | 8.5472(2)                   | 8.5031(1)                   | 8.4602(1)                        |
| c           | (Å)               | 5.91014(5)                       | 5.91455(7)                  | 5.91942(9)                  | 5.92477(7)                  | 5.92069(9)                       |
| volu        | me (ų)            | 436.729(9)                       | 434.28(2)                   | 432.44(2)                   | 428.38(2)                   | 423.77(2)                        |
|             |                   |                                  |                             |                             |                             |                                  |
| Sb          | X                 | 0.1763(2)                        | 0.1773(3)                   | 0.1765(3)                   | 0.1762(3)                   | 0.1753(3)                        |
| Sb          | у                 | 0.1660(2)                        | 0.1654(3)                   | 0.1656(3)                   | 0.1648(3)                   | 0.1648(3)                        |
| Ο1          | X                 | 0.6793(9)                        | 0.686(1)                    | 0.682(1)                    | 0.678(1)                    | 0.680(1)                         |
| O1          | у                 | 0.1793(9)                        | 0.186(1)                    | 0.182(1)                    | 0.178(1)                    | 0.180(1)                         |
| 02          | X                 | 0.100(1)                         | 0.093(6)                    | 0.100(2)                    | 0.101(2)                    | 0.100(2)                         |
| O2          | у                 | 0.644(1)                         | 0.632(2)                    | 0.628(2)                    | 0.633(2)                    | 0.635(2)                         |
| $U_{ m is}$ | so (Å2)           | 0.0199(4)                        | 0.0121(7)                   | 0.0126(6)                   | 0.0076(6)                   | 0.0095(6)                        |
|             | e/Mg<br>supancy   | -                                | 0.73(1)/0.27(1)             | 0.57(1)/0.43(1)             | 0.29(1)/0.71(1)             | -                                |
| $\chi^2$    |                   | 2.763                            | 1.490                       | 1.292                       | 1.884                       | 1.963                            |
| ]           | $R_{\mathrm{wp}}$ | 0.047                            | 0.049                       | 0.048                       | 0.064                       | 0.077                            |
|             | $R_F^2$           | 0.041                            | 0.078                       | 0.063                       | 0.052                       | 0.060                            |

**Table 2.** Absorbed oxygen content, y, in  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_{4+y}$ , after heating in oxygen to 400 °C and holding for 10 min.

| x    | Mass increase (%) | у       | Expected y for 100% oxidation of $Fe^{2+}$ to $Fe^{3+}$ |
|------|-------------------|---------|---|
| 0.25 | 2.3(1)            | 0.51(3) | 0.375   |
| 0.50 | 1.8(1)            | 0.40(2) | 0.25  |
| 0.75 | 0.98(7)           | 0.21(1) | 0.125   |

 $\textbf{Table 3} : \textbf{Magnetic parameters obtained from SQUID magnetometry data for } Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4$ 

| х    | $\theta$ / K | $\mu_{ m eff}$ per Fe / $\mu_{ m B}$ | $T_{ m N}$ / K |
|------|--------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| 0.0  | -131(2)      | 6.3(1)                               | 45(5)          |
| 0.25 | -92(2)       | 5.9(1)                               | 45(5)          |
| 0.50 | -60(2)       | 5.8(1)                               | 20(2)          |
| 0.75 | -25(1)       | 5.5(1)                               | _              |

**Table 4**. <sup>57</sup>Fe Mossbauer parameters recorded at 16 K from  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4$  and  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_{4+y}$  (x = 0.50, 0.75)

|                                   | $\delta$ $\pm 0.02 \text{ (mm s}^{-1}\text{)}$ | $\Delta \\ \pm 0.02~(mm~s^{-1})$ | $B_{ m hf}$ $\pm 0.5 (T)$ | $	heta(°)^\dagger$ | $\psi(^{\circ})^{\dagger}$ | $\eta^{\dagger}$ | Area ± 3% |
|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| $Fe_{0.50}Mg_{0.50}Sb_2O_4$       | 1.26   | 2.70                             | 12.1                      | 38.7               | 0                          | 0.25             | 22        |
|                                   | 1.23   | 2.94                             |                           |                    |                            |                  | 78        |
|                                   | 0.46   | 1.46                             |                           |                    |                            |                  | 20        |
| $Fe_{0.50}Mg_{0.50}Sb_{2}O_{4+y}$ | 0.52   | -0.06                            | 46.7                      |                    |                            |                  | 16        |
|                                   | 0.56   | -0.12                            | 42.4                      |                    |                            |                  | 64        |
| $Fe_{0.25}Mg_{0.75}Sb_2O_4$       | 1.21   | 2.89                             |                           |                    |                            |                  | 100       |
| Eo Ma Sh O                        | 1.21   | 2.80                             |                           |                    |                            |                  | 31        |
| $Fe_{0.25}Mg_{0.75}Sb_2O_{4+y}$   | 0.41   | 0.46                             |                           |                    |                            |                  | 69        |

 $<sup>\</sup>dot{\eta}$  is the asymmetry parameter for the electric field gradient at the nucleus;  $\theta$  and  $\psi$  are the polar and azimuthal angles that specify the direction of the magnetic field with respect to the coordinates of the electric field gradient.

 $\textbf{Table 5}^{\ 57} Fe \ Mossbauer \ parameters \ recorded \ from \ Fe_{0.75} Mg_{0.25} Sb_2 O_4.$ 

| T     | $\delta \\ \pm 0.02~(mm~s^{-1})$ | $\Delta \text{ or } e^2 qQ/2 \\ \pm 0.02 (\text{mm s}^{-1})$ | $B_{\rm hf}$ $\pm 0.5 (T)$ | $	heta(^{\circ})^{\dagger}$ | $\psi(^{\circ})^{\dagger}$ | $\eta^{\dagger}$ | Area<br>± 3% |
|-------|----------------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 298 K | 1.08                             | 2.09   |                            |                             |                            |                  |              |
|       | 1.24                             | 2.85   | 17.0                       | 63                          | 0                          | 0.25             | 35           |
| 16 K  | 1.24                             | 2.85   | 13.7                       | 54                          | 0                          | 0.25             | 38           |
|       | 1.36                             | 2.85   | 5.0                        | 0.1                         | 0                          | 0.25             | 27           |

 $<sup>^{\</sup>dagger}$   $\eta$  is the asymmetry parameter for the electric field gradient at the nucleus;  $\theta$  and  $\psi$  are the polar and azimuthal angles that specify the direction of the magnetic field with respect to the coordinates of the electric field gradient.

Table 6 Magnetic parameters obtained from SQUID magnetometry data for  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_{4+y}$ 

| x    | θ/Κ    | $\mu_{ m eff}$ per Fe / $\mu_{ m B}$ | $T_{ m N}/ m K$ |
|------|--------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 0.25 | -69(2) | 6.4(1)                               | 56(5)           |
| 0.50 | -37(2) | 6.7(1)                               | 29(4)           |
| 0.75 | -29(2) | 6.4(2)                               | _               |

**Table 7** Results of Rietveld refinement against PND data at 298 K and 4 K (data in italics). Space group P4<sub>2</sub>/mbc: Mg/Fe 4d, Sb 8h, O1 8g, O2 8h, O3 16i.

| Atom       | x         | у         | z        | Site occupancy | $100 \times U_{iso} / \mathring{A}^2$ |
|------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Ma/Ea      | 0         | 0.5       | 0.25     | 0.5/0.5        | 1.64(7)                               |
| Mg/Fe      | 0         | 0.5       | 0.25     | 0.5/0.5        | 1.04(6)                               |
| Sb         | 0.1648(6) | 0.1596(6) | 0        | 1.0            | *                                     |
| 30         | 0.1642(5) | 0.1587(5) | 0        | 1.0            | ·                                     |
| <b>O</b> 1 | 0.6758(3) | 0.1758(3) | 0.25     | 1.0            | *                                     |
| OI         | 0.6742(3) | 0.1742(3) | 0.25     | 1.0            | ·                                     |
| O2         | 0.1027(4) | 0.6320(5) | 0        | 1.0            | 2.29(8)                               |
| 02         | 0.1017(4) | 0.6315(4) | 0        | 1.0            | 1.63(7)                               |
| О3         | 0.551(3)  | 0.415(3)  | 0.245(4) | 0.098(4)       | 2.29(8)                               |
|            | 0.558(2)  | 0.420(2)  | 0.251(3) | 0.107(3)       | 1.63(7)                               |

a = 8.3895(4)~(8.3766(4))~Å;~c = 5.9577(2)~(5.9459(2))~Å Magnetic moment at 4 K:  $2.24(5)~\mu_{\rm B}$ 

 $\chi^2 = 3.99 \ (6.10); R_{wp} = 0.049 \ (0.055); R_F^2 = 0.044 \ (0.049)$ 

| * Anisotropic displacement parameters $\times$ 100 / $\mathring{\rm A}^2$ |                 |          |                 |                 |                 |          |  |
|---|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|--|
| Atom  | U <sub>11</sub> | $U_{22}$ | U <sub>33</sub> | U <sub>12</sub> | U <sub>13</sub> | $U_{23}$ |  |
| Sb  | 1.9(3)          | 4.0(3)   | 1.7(2)          | 0.2(2)          | 0               | 0        |  |
|   | 1.8(2)          | 3.3(3)   | 0.5(1)          | 0.2(1)          | 0               | 0        |  |
| O1  | 5.3(2)          | 5.3(2)   | 1.1(1)          | -3.1(2)         | -0.8(2)         | 0.8(2)   |  |
|   | 3.6(1)          | 3.6(1)   | 0.5(1)          | -2.0(2)         | -0.4(1)         | 0.4(1)   |  |

 $\textbf{Table 8} \ ^{57} Fe \ Mossbauer \ parameters \ recorded \ from \ Fe_{0.75} Mg_{0.25} Sb_2 O_{4+y}$ 

| Temperature | $\delta \\ \pm 0.02~(mm~s^{-1})$ | $\Delta \text{ or } e^2 qQ/2$<br>± 0.02 (mm s <sup>-1</sup> ) | $B_{\rm hf} \pm 0.5 \; ({ m T})$ | Area ± 3% |
|-------------|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|-----------|
|             | 0.38                             | 0.97  |                                  | 33        |
| 298K        | 0.35                             | 0.57  |                                  | 67        |
|             | 0.48                             | -0.12   | 48.6                             | 34        |
| 16K         | 0.53                             | -0.14   | 46.9                             | 23        |
|             | 0.45                             | 0.26  | 43.8                             | 43        |

# Legends to Figures.

- **Fig. 1** The structure of FeSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> projected (a) along [001] showing channels between chains of FeO<sub>6</sub> octahedra and (b) along [110]. Fe ions are white within blue octahedra; O ions are shown as red spheres and Sb ions as green spheres.
- **Fig. 2** The common types of magnetic order observed for magnetic MSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> phases: (a) A-type, (b) C-type.
- **Fig. 3** SEM image recorded from Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Mg<sub>0.25</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.
- **Fig. 4** Plots for Rietveld structure refinement against PXD data recorded at 298 K from Fe<sub>0.50</sub>Mg<sub>0.50</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Observed data are red crosses, calculated green line and difference plot is magenta.
- **Fig. 5** Variation of unit cell volume and parameters with increasing magnesium content in Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Mg<sub>x</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> derived from Rietveld refinement of the PXD data. (The estimated standard deviations are smaller than the symbols on the graph).
- **Fig. 6** Thermogravimetric analysis of  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4$  (x = 0.25, 0.50, 0.75) in oxygen.
- **Fig. 7** PXD patterns recorded from oxidised samples,  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_{4+y}$  (x = 0.25, 0.50, 0.75).
- **Fig. 8** Variation of magnetic susceptibility ( $\chi$ ) with temperature for Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Mg<sub>x</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: (a) x = 0, (b) x = 0.25, (c) x = 0.50, (d) x = 0.75. FC and ZFC data are represented by open red squares and solid blue squares, respectively. Insets show plots of  $1/\chi$  versus T fitted to the Curie-Weiss law where linear fits are marked in black.
- **Fig.9** PND data from Mg<sub>0.5</sub>Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> collected at 300 and 4 K. (a) 300 K; (b) 4 K fitted to nuclear scattering only; (c) 4 K fitted to nuclear and magnetic scattering. Observed data are red crosses, calculated green line and difference plot is magenta.
- **Fig. 10** <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer spectra recorded from materials of composition  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4$  at 16 K.
- **Fig. 11**  $^{57}$ Fe Mössbauer spectra recorded from  $Fe_{0.75}Mg_{0.25}Sb_2O_4$  and  $Fe_{0.75}Mg_{0.25}Sb_2O_{4+y}$  at 298 K.
- **Fig.12** Variation of magnetic susceptibility  $(\chi)$  with temperature for Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Mg<sub>x</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4+y</sub>: (a) x = 0.25, (b) x = 0.50, (c) x = 0.75. FC and ZFC data are shown using open red squares and solid blue squares, respectively. Insets to (a) and (b) show plots of  $1/\chi$  versus T fitted to the Curie-Weiss law; the inset to (c) shows  $\chi$  versus T fitted to the Curie-Weiss law including a small temperature-independent component.
- **Fig.13** PND data from Mg<sub>0.5</sub>Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4+y</sub> collected at 300 and 4 K. (a) 300 K; (b) 4 K fitted to nuclear scattering only; (c) 4 K fitted to nuclear and magnetic scattering. Observed data are red crosses, calculated green line and difference plot is magenta.
- **Fig. 14**  $^{57}$ Fe Mössbauer spectra recorded at 16 K from materials of composition  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_{4+y}$ .




Fig.1




Fig.2




Fig.3




Fig.4




Fig.5




Fig.6




Fig.7




Fig.8




Fig.9




Fig.10




Fig.11




Fig.12




Fig.13





Fig.14

# **TOC** graphic abstract.



The structures and magnetic properties of  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_4$  and their products from low-temperature oxidation,  $Fe_{1-x}Mg_xSb_2O_{4+y}$ , are described.