

#### **Original citation:**

Riou, Marine, Ball, Stephen, Williams, Teresa A., Whiteside, Austin, O'Halloran, Kay L., Bray, Janet, Perkins, Gavin D., Smith, Karen, Cameron, Peter, Fatovich, Daniel M., Inoue, Madoka, Bailey, Paul, Brink, Deon and Finn, Judith. (2017) 'Tell me exactly what's happened': when linguistic choices affect the efficiency of emergency calls for cardiac arrest. Resuscitation, 117. pp. 58-65.

#### Permanent WRAP URL:

http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/89623

### **Copyright and reuse:**

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

#### **Publisher's statement:**

© 2017, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</a>

#### A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRAP url' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk

1 **Article title:** 'Tell me exactly what's happened': when linguistic choices affect the efficiency 2 of emergency calls for cardiac arrest 3 4 **Authors:** 5 Marine Riou<sup>1</sup>, Stephen Ball<sup>1</sup>, Teresa A. Williams<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Austin Whiteside<sup>2</sup>, Kay L. O'Halloran<sup>5</sup>, Janet Bray<sup>1,6</sup>, Gavin D. Perkins<sup>7</sup>, Karen Smith<sup>3,6,8,9</sup>, Peter Cameron<sup>6</sup>, Daniel M. Fatovich<sup>1,3,4,10</sup>, 6 Madoka Inoue<sup>1</sup>, Paul Bailey<sup>1,2</sup>, Deon Brink<sup>1,2</sup> and Judith Finn<sup>1,2,3,6</sup> 7 8 **Authors details:** 9 10 <sup>1</sup>Prehospital, Resuscitation and Emergency Care Research Unit (PRECRU), School of Nursing, 11 Midwifery and Paramedicine, Curtin University, Bentley, WA 6102, Australia <sup>2</sup>St John Ambulance (WA), Belmont, WA 6104, Australia 12 13 <sup>3</sup>Emergency Medicine, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia 14 <sup>4</sup>Royal Perth Hospital, Perth, WA 6001, Australia 15 <sup>5</sup>School of Education, Curtin University, Bentley, WA 6102, Australia 16 <sup>6</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Victoria 3004, 17 Australia 18 <sup>7</sup>Warwick Clinical Trials Unit and Heart of England NHS Foundation Trust, University of 19 Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, United Kingdom 20 <sup>8</sup>Department of Community Emergency Health and Paramedic Practice, Monash University, 21 Victoria 3004, Australia 22 <sup>9</sup>Ambulance Victoria, Blackburn North, Victoria 3130, Australia 23 <sup>10</sup>Centre for Clinical Research in Emergency Medicine, Harry Perkins Institute of Medical 24 Research, Nedlands, WA 6009, Australia 25 26 **Corresponding author:** 27 Dr Marine Riou 28 Prehospital, Resuscitation and Emergency Care Research Unit (PRECRU) 29 School of Nursing, Midwifery and Paramedicine 30 **Curtin University** 31 GPO Box U1987, Perth 32 WA 6845, Australia 33 Ph: +61 (0)892 664 223 34 Mobile: +61 (0)432 704 708 35 marine.riou@curtin.edu.au 36 37 Word count of the manuscript: 2752 (excluding title, abstract, keywords, references, 38 tables, figures) 39 Word count of the abstract: 225 40 Number of tables: 2

43 Funding

41

42

- 44 This work has been supported by an NHMRC Partnership Project between Curtin University
- and St John Ambulance Western Australia (APP1076949 'Improving ambulance dispatch to
- 46 time-critical emergencies').

Number of figures: 4

# 'Tell me exactly what's happened': when linguistic choices affect the efficiency of emergency calls for cardiac arrest

## 49 Abstract

47

48

- 50 Background: Clear and efficient communication between emergency caller and call-taker is
- 51 crucial to timely ambulance dispatch. We aimed to explore the impact of linguistic variation
- 52 in the delivery of the prompt "okay, tell me exactly what happened" on the way callers
- describe the emergency in the Medical Priority Dispatch System<sup>®</sup>.
- 54 Methods: We analysed 188 emergency calls for cases of paramedic-confirmed out-of-
- 55 hospital cardiac arrest. We investigated the linguistic features of the prompt "okay, tell me
- 56 exactly what happened" in relation to the format (report vs. narrative) of the caller's
- 57 response. In addition, we compared calls with report vs. narrative responses in the length of
- response and time to dispatch.
- 59 Results: Callers were more likely to respond with a report format when call-takers used the
- 60 present perfect ("what's happened") rather than the simple past ("what happened")
- 61 (Adjusted Odds Ratio [AOR] 4.07; 95% Confidence Interval [95%CI] 2.05–8.28, p < 0.001).
- Reports were significantly shorter than narrative responses (9 seconds vs. 18 seconds,
- p < 0.001), and were associated with less time to dispatch (50s vs. 58s, p = 0.002).
- 64 Conclusion: These results suggest that linguistic variations in the way the scripted sentences
- of a protocol are delivered can have an impact on the efficiency with which call-takers
- 66 process emergency calls. A better understanding of interactional dynamics between caller
- 67 and call-taker may translate into improvements of dispatch performance.

## 68 Keywords

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82 83

84

- 69 Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, emergency medical services, dispatch, emergency calls,
- 70 communication, conversation analysis

## Introduction

When a bystander calls for an emergency ambulance for a time-critical life-threatening condition, such as an out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA), they face the difficult task of describing a distressing situation to a call-taker. A call for an OHCA is the epitome of situations in which efficient and clear communication between caller and call-taker is of the utmost importance, because it may influence recognition of OHCA, rapid ambulance dispatch, and initiation of early basic life support until the paramedics arrive on the scene. In the case of OHCA, every minute counts,<sup>1</sup> thus any delays arising from the call may impact on patient outcomes. As a result, research on dispatch has identified the need to analyse the linguistic features of the call.<sup>2</sup>

To date, research analysing the language used in OHCA calls has largely focused on callers' use of specific keywords as potential indicators of cardiac arrest.<sup>3–5</sup> While this addresses what is said by the caller, it overlooks many of the other potentially important aspects of the interaction between caller and call-taker, even within the constraints of

scripted protocols. In particular, the *way* call-takers speak may influence what callers say next. In turn, this may affect the efficiency and accuracy of emergency calls. A large body of linguistic and sociological research<sup>6–11</sup> has demonstrated how slight variations in phrasing and delivery can escalate into serious communication difficulties during emergency calls, and a few studies have started to investigate this phenomenon in OHCA calls.<sup>2,12–14</sup> However, these studies have not used a theoretically informed linguistic analysis of the interactions between the call-taker and the caller.

One of the standard protocols used worldwide to process medical emergency calls is the Medical Priority Dispatch System® (MPDS<sup>15</sup>). Within the MPDS, the first opportunity that callers have of describing the situation is when call-takers deliver the scripted prompt "okay, tell me exactly what happened". This prompt initiates what may be termed the reason-forthe-call sequence<sup>16,17</sup> i.e., the part of the call in which callers are required to describe the emergency so that call-takers can determine the chief complaint and proceed with the assessment, taking the form of an interrogative series.<sup>18</sup> This study aimed to explore the impact of the linguistic variations in the way call-takers say the same scripted sentence (the reason-for-the-call prompt). Specifically, we examined the impact of these variations on the way callers subsequently describe the emergency and the timing of calls. The primary outcome was the format of caller response (report vs. narrative). Secondary outcomes were length of caller answer and time to ambulance dispatch.

## Methods

Population

- We retrospectively analysed a random selection of emergency calls for paramedicconfirmed OHCA received at the call centre of St John Ambulance Western Australia (SJA-WA) between 1 January 2014 and 31 December 2015 for the Perth metropolitan area.
- 109 Dispatch protocol

SJA-WA uses the MPDS (version 12.1.3), implemented with the ProQA software.<sup>19</sup> All calls start with a Case Entry sequence, with the following steps: after confirming (1) the address of the emergency and (2) the caller's telephone number, the call-taker (3) delivers the prompt "okay, tell me exactly what happened", and asks (4) "Are you with the patient now?", (5) "How old is s/he?", (6) "Is s/he awake?", and (7) "Is s/he breathing?", with the caller responding to each of these. Based on the caller's answers to these prompts/questions, the call-taker assigns the call to one of 32 Chief Complaints, representing the primary nature of the patient's emergency. The call-taker then uses caller feedback from a set of complaint-specific Key Questions to arrive at an MDPS dispatch code, which classifies both the nature and the likely severity of the patient's condition. After the Key Questions, the call-taker then issues any Dispatch Life Support instructions if applicable. Fig. 1 summarises the overall structure of calls using the MPDS.

## **122** Data collection

123124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

142

143

144

145146

147

148

149

150151

The SJA-WA OHCA database maintained by the Prehospital, Resuscitation & Emergency Care Research Unit (PRECRU) at Curtin University contains all cases of OHCA attended by paramedics in Perth, WA since 1996. A flowchart for the data collection is presented in Fig. 2. For the study period there were a total of 3,513 OHCA cases recorded. We selected from the SJA-WA OHCA database all the cases of non-traumatic, adult OHCA (>14 years old) where the arrest was not witnessed by paramedics, but where paramedics attempted resuscitation. We excluded cases where there was a clear impediment to paramedic attendance (e.g., patient on aeroplane, n = 7), incidents with multiple OHCA patients (n = 9), and cases where ProQA data was unavailable (n = 49). The selected cases were randomised (using a random number generator), and the corresponding audio recordings extracted and screened one-by-one, until reaching the target of 200 calls. Listening to each call, we excluded: calls in which the patient was unequivocally conscious at the end of the call, the caller was not a layperson (e.g., the caller worked for the police or a health/care facility), the caller was not on scene, the caller and/or call-taker was not a native speaker of English, and where the sound quality was very poor. More details about data collection can be found in the study protocol<sup>20</sup>. We focused on the subset of these calls in which the reason-for-thecall prompt (okay, tell me exactly what happened) was delivered by the call-taker (189 calls) and further excluded one call in which the caller's response was unintelligible.

# 141 Linguistic analysis

The linguistic analysis combined the qualitative analysis of Conversation Analysis and the quantitative methods used in Corpus Linguistics. One researcher (MR) transcribed the calls in the software CLAN<sup>21</sup> following the system developed within the conversation-analytical framework,<sup>22,23</sup> a method aimed at representing talk and encapsulating content as well as the manner of speaking. A list of the symbols used can be found in Appendix A. The transcripts were reviewed by a native speaker of Australian English (TAW). The basic unit used for transcription and analysis was the turn-constructional unit (TCU), the mainstream minimal unit used in Conversation Analysis. TCUs are the building blocks of spontaneous interaction, as they correspond to potentially complete turns.<sup>24–26</sup>

- We analysed four linguistic features of the prompt delivered by call-takers:
- **Tense**, i.e., whether the call-taker opted for the simple past (*what happened*) or the present perfect (*what's happened*)
- **Tone**, i.e., whether the final pitch contour was rising (*tell me exactly what happened* ≯) or falling (*tell me exactly what happened* ↓),<sup>27</sup> see Fig. 3.
- **Tonic**, i.e., which word bore the most prominent stress<sup>27</sup>
- **Turn-initial preface**, i.e., whether the call-taker used a discourse marker<sup>28,29</sup> (*okay*, *so*, *now*, etc.) at the beginning of the prompt.
- 159 The examination of intonation (tone and tonic) combined auditory analysis and visualisation 160 using the speech analysis software Praat.<sup>30</sup>

We annotated reasons-for-the-call as 'narratives' if they displayed any structural element indicative of oral narratives (orientation, complication, evaluation, resolution, or coda, defined in Appendix B),<sup>31</sup> and otherwise coded them as 'reports' (see Fig. 4 for an example of each type of reason-for-the-call format). Typically, narrative reasons-for-the-call contained an orientation sequence setting the scene of how the caller found the patient (e.g., "uh I've just heard a loud bang I've jumped up and ran into the ensuite toilet").

Timing of dispatch

Three time intervals were measured: time to reason-for-the-call (start of the call to the end of the call-taker's reason-for-the-call prompt), length of reason-for-the-call (end of the call-taker's prompt to the start of the next Entry Question), and time to dispatch (from confirmation of the caller's telephone number to effective dispatch as recorded in ProQA).

Statistical analysis

To analyse the relationship between the format of the call-taker's prompt and that of the caller's reason-for-the-call, logistic regression was conducted in R 3.3.1<sup>32</sup> using the glm() function, and odds ratios (OR) and 95% Confidence Intervals (95% CI) were calculated. To predict the format of the caller's reason-for-the-call (narrative vs. report), we included four linguistic features of the prompt as predictors: **tense**, **tone**, **tonic**, and **preface**. We also adjusted for the following contextual and sociolinguistic variables, which we identified as potential confounders: (1) pre-emption (whether the caller volunteered a reason-for-the-call before the prompt), (2) time to reason-for-the-call, (3) gender of the call-taker, (4) gender of the caller, (5) estimated age of the caller (child, adult, elderly), (6) relationship of the caller to the patient (close relation, e.g., spouse or friend, vs. stranger, e.g., passer-by or neighbour).

The Mann–Whitney U test was used to compare the differences in medians by group (report vs. narrative) for continuous variables (time). A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

*Ethics* 

Approval for the study was granted by the Human Research Ethics Committee of Curtin University (HR128/2013) and the SJA-WA Research Advisory Group.

Results

Effect of linguistic choices on reason-for-the-call format

We found substantial variation in the way call-takers delivered the reason-for-the-call prompt (Table 1). In 60% of cases, call-takers switched from the simple past (*what happened*) of the scripted prompt, to the present prefect (*what's happened*). We found that this deviation from the script significantly increased the likelihood of the caller providing a report rather than a narrative (AOR 4.07; 95% CI 2.05–8.28, p < 0.001). Prompts delivered with a falling tone were more often followed by a report (64%) than those with a rising tone

198 (51%) (Table 1). However, this positive association between falling tone in the prompt and 199 report format of the reason-for-the-call was not statistically significant (AOR 1.97, 95% CI 200 0.94-4.16, p = 0.07) (Table 2). Moreover, the odds of the caller choosing a report format 201 decreased by 20% for every 10 seconds from the beginning of the call (AOR 0.80, 95% 202 CI 0.66–0.95, p < 0.02). None of the other variables were found to be predictors of reason-203 for-the-call format (Table 2).

Effect of reason-for-the-call format on timing

The number of turn-constructional units (TCUs) used by callers for their reasons-for-the-call was significantly shorter (p < 0.001) in the case of reports (median 3 TCUs, Interquartile Range 2-4) than narratives (median 6 TCUs, IQR 4-8). The length of the reason-for-the-call was also significantly shorter (p < 0.001) for reports (median 9 seconds, IQR 6-13) than narratives (median 18 seconds, IQR 11-26). Similarly, time to dispatch was significantly shorter (p = 0.002) for reports (median 50 seconds, IQR 35-65) than narratives (median 58 seconds, IQR 43-81).

#### Discussion

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

Our results suggest that callers are less likely to use a narrative response if the reason-for-the-call prompt is delivered with the present perfect tense (*what's happened*). This is congruent with the English tense system, in which the simple past is associated with the narration of past events disconnected from the time of utterance, whereas the present perfect entertains an affinity with the current situation.<sup>33–35</sup>

Narratives are a less desirable format during an emergency call, as they tend to take longer to unfold both in terms of turns and seconds, which impacts time to dispatch. Response time provides additional context in which to interpret our findings on the timing of calls. The median time from allocation of a crew to arrival on scene was 7.0 minutes (10th and 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles: 3.9–11.8 minutes) during the study period for OHCA cases attended by paramedics and where resuscitation was attempted. Another potential issue of narratives is that they contain information that is not of primary relevance at this point in the call. In sum, the difficulty posed by narratives is that they can be less straightforward accounts than reports, which has consequences for time-management as well as the quality of information retrieval – two interrelated constraints at dispatch. From the point of view of the caller, both discursive formats (report and narrative) are relevant responses in the reason-for-thecall sequence, as their task is to convey what the situation is. However, in the context of a scripted protocol such as the MPDS, the narrative format can be detrimental because it causes delays until the next Entry Questions can be asked. Our results suggest that use of the narrative format can be reduced by implementing a linguistic change to the existing protocol – namely in the tense used by call-takers to deliver the reason-for-the-call prompt.

We also found a non-significant association between call-takers using a falling tone and callers responding with a narrative format. We propose that the role of tone be not entirely ruled out at this stage, but that more data is needed to explore the question further.

More difficult to interpret is that the odds of callers opting for a report format decreased as more time elapsed from the beginning of the call to the reason-for-the-call. We included the variable "time to the reason-for-the-call" as part of examining whether the format of the caller's response could be related to characteristics of the call prior to the call-taker's prompt. Interestingly, while increased time to reason-for-the-call predicted a lower odds of callers' use of report format, the inclusion of this variable in the multivariate model did not remove the effect of the caller-taker's prompt (i.e., the estimated effect of tense). Thus, it appears that the effect of time to reason-for-the-call, as well as the call-taker's use of tense, are independent predictors of the format of the caller's response. We interpret our result on time to reason-for-the-call as an indication that the very beginning of calls should be investigated further. Although our model was adjusted for some aspects of caller characteristics such as age and their relationship to the patient, it is beyond the scope of the present study to determine what specific features of the caller, call-taker, dialogue between caller and call-taker, or situation, might bear on the onset of calls.

Even though communication has long been identified as a key area of research for ambulance dispatch,<sup>2–4,14</sup> very few studies have targeted specific linguistic features, such as turn-taking<sup>12</sup> and acoustic properties of the caller's voice.<sup>13</sup> The novel contribution of our study is to assess the effect that linguistic variants used by call-takers can have on the success of the calls, and to propose concrete changes to the dispatch protocol. More than 3,000 call centres worldwide use the Priority Dispatch System®, and the prompt "okay, tell me exactly what happened" is also part of the protocol for Fire and Police dispatch. Our finding concerning the tense that call-takers chose when they ask callers to describe the emergency is relevant within the MPDS, but more generally for all English-speaking countries in which other protocols are used. Further studies on various languages could determine which tense is most successful in triggering a report from callers, depending on each language's tense system.

In this retrospective observational study, the effect of tense remained after accounting for potential confounders. Further research could assess the causal effect of a change of tense by means of a randomised controlled trial, as well as the effect of tone.

Our findings call for further work to identify other potentially modifiable aspects of the interactional dynamics (akin to Stokoe's "interactional nudges"<sup>36</sup>) between caller and calltaker during emergency ambulance dispatch. Further research could focus on many different aspects of emergency calls, such as the assessment of the patient's breathing and the delivery of instructions for cardio-pulmonary resuscitation (CPR), two aspects which are notoriously difficult to carry out over the telephone.<sup>4,12,37,38</sup> Taken all together, these findings about the linguistic and interactional structure of emergency calls could contribute to make a substantial difference for OHCA, the ultimate time-critical medical emergency.<sup>1,39,40</sup>

### 275 Conclusion

Our results generate the hypothesis that a change of tense can impact how efficiently callers describe a time-critical emergency. When call-takers ask callers to describe the emergency, our results indicate that they should do so by using the present perfect (*tell me exactly what's happened*) to increase the likelihood that callers respond with an informative and short report. A comprehensive understanding of linguistic and interactional dynamics of emergency calls has the potential to improve dispatch performance for emergency services.

281282283

284

285

286

287

276

277

278

279

280

### **Conflict of interest declaration**

JF is the Director of the Australian Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium (Aus-ROC). JF, MI and JB receive partial salary support from the NHMRC Aus-ROC Centre of Research Excellence #1029983. MR receives full salary support from the NHMRC Partnership Project #1076949. AW and DB received full salary support, and JF, PB and MI received partial salary support from St John Ambulance Western Australia.

288289

290

# Appendix A. Transcription conventions

```
291
        CT:
                       call-taker
292
        C:
                       caller
293
        (.)
                       very short pause
294
        (..)
                       short/medium pause
295
                       lengthening
296
                       overlap with following turn
        Γ
            1
297
                       overlap with previous turn
            1
        I
298
                       rising tone
        7
299
        7
                       falling tone
300
                       in-breath
        .h, .hh
301
                       out-breath
        h, hh
302
        °word°
                       lower volume, whispered segment
303
        ((SNIFF))
                       non-linguistic sound or anonymised content
```

304

305

306

307 308

309

310

311

# Appendix B. Definition of narrative components

Our definition of narrative structure is based on Labov and Waletzky's<sup>31</sup> analysis of oral narratives of personal experience, which can be divided into five sections: "orientation (scene-setting), complication (core sequence of events unfolding), evaluation (justifying the point of the narrative: how and why it is remarkable), resolution (what finally happened), and coda (the moral of the story, returning the perspective to the present)" as summarised in Richard and Rodríguez Louro (2016: 120). <sup>41</sup>

### 312 References

- 313 1. Gräsner J-T, Meybohm P, Lefering R, Wnent J, Bahr J, Messelken M, et al. ROSC after
- 314 cardiac arrest—the RACA score to predict outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.
- 315 Eur Heart J 2011;32:1649–56.
- 2. Higgins J, Wilson S, Bridge P, Cooke MW. Communication difficulties during 999 ambulance calls: observational study. BMJ 2001;323:781–2.
- 318 3. Bång A, Herlitz J, Martinell S. Interaction between emergency medical dispatcher and
- caller in suspected out-of-hospital cardiac arrest calls with focus on agonal breathing. A
- review of 100 tape recordings of true cardiac arrest cases. Resuscitation 2003;56:25–34.
- 321 4. Berdowski J, Beekhuis F, Zwinderman AH, Tijssen JGP, Koster RW. Importance of the
- 322 First Link. Circulation 2009;119:2096–102.
- 323 5. Vaillancourt C, Charette ML, Bohm K, Dunford J, Castrén M. In out-of-hospital cardiac
- arrest patients, does the description of any specific symptoms to the emergency
- medical dispatcher improve the accuracy of the diagnosis of cardiac arrest: a systematic
- review of the literature. Resuscitation 2011;82:1483–1489.
- 327 6. Whalen J, Zimmerman DH, Whalen MR. When Words Fail: A Single Case Analysis. Soc
- 328 Probl 1988;35:335–62.
- 7. Tracy K. Interactional Trouble in Emergency Service Requests: A Problem of Frames. Res
- 330 Lang Soc Interact 1997;30:315–43.
- 331 8. Cromdal J, Osvaldsson K, Persson-Thunqvist D. Context that matters: Producing "thick-
- enough descriptions" in initial emergency reports. J Pragmat 2008;40:927–59.
- 333 9. Svennevig J. On being heard in emergency calls. The development of hostility in a fatal
- and the state of t
- 335 10. Larsen T. Dispatching Emergency Assistance: Callers' Claims of Entitlement and Call
- Takers' Decisions. Res Lang Soc Interact 2013;46:205–30.
- 337 11. Garcia AC. "Something really weird has happened": Losing the "big picture" in
- emergency service calls. J Pragmat 2015;84:102–20.
- 12. Clegg GR, Lyon RM, James S, Branigan HP, Bard EG, Egan GJ. Dispatch-assisted CPR:
- Where are the hold-ups during calls to emergency dispatchers? A preliminary analysis
- of caller-dispatcher interactions during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest using a novel call
- transcription technique. Resuscitation 2014;85:49–52.
- 343 13. Boidron L, Boudenia K, Avena C, Boucheix J-M, Aucouturier J-J. Emergency medical
- triage decisions are swayed by computer-manipulated cues of physical dominance in
- 345 caller's voice. Sci Rep 2016;6:1–7.
- 346 14. Alfsen D, Møller TP, Egerod I, Lippert FK. Barriers to recognition of out-of-hospital
- cardiac arrest during emergency medical calls: a qualitative inductive thematic analysis.
- 348 Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med 2015;23:70.
- 349 15. Medical Priority Dispatch System (version 12.1.3). Salt Lake City, Utah, USA: Priority
- 350 Dispatch Corp.
- 351 16. Schegloff E, Sacks H. Opening up closings. Semiotica 1973;7:289–327.

- 17. Couper-Kuhlen E. Constructing reason-for-the-call turns in everyday telephone conversation. InLiSt Interact Linguist Struct 2001;25.
- 18. Zimmerman DH. The interactional organization of calls for emergency assistance. In
- Drew P, Heritage J, editors. Talk at work. Interaction in institutional settings. Cambridge,
- 356 Cambridge University Press, 1992: 418–69.
- 19. ProQA (version 3.4.3.29). Salt Lake City, Utah, USA: Priority Dispatch Corp.
- 358 20. Riou, M., Ball, S., Williams, T.A., Whiteside, A., O'Halloran, K., Bray, J., Perkins, G.D.,
- Cameron, P., Fatovich, D.M., Inoue, M., Bailey, P., Brink, D., Smith, K., Della, P., Finn, J.
- The linguistic and interactional factors impacting recognition and dispatch in emergency
- calls for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. A linguistic analysis study protocol. To appear in
- 362 BMJ Open. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016510
- 21. MacWhinney B. The CHILDES Project: Tools for Analyzing Talk. 3rd Edition. Mahwah
- 364 (NJ), Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2000.
- 365 22. Jefferson G. Glossary of Transcript Symbols with an Introduction. In Lerner GH, editor.
- 366 Conversation Analysis: Studies from the First Generation. Amsterdam; Philadelphia,
- John Benjamins Publishing, 2004: 13–31.
- 368 23. Hepburn A, Bolden G. The Conversation Analytic Approach to Transcription. In Sidnell J,
- 369 Stivers T, editors. The Handbook of Conversation Analysis. Chichester, Wiley-Blackwell,
- 370 2013: 57–76.
- 371 24. Sacks H, Schegloff E, Jefferson G. A simplest systematics for the organization of Turn-
- Taking in Conversation. Language 1974;50:696–735.
- 373 25. Selting M. The construction of units in conversational talk. Language in Society
- 374 2000;29:477–517.
- 375 26. Clayman SE. Turn-Constructional Units and the Transition-Relevance Place. In Sidnell J,
- 376 Stivers T, editors. The Handbook of Conversation Analysis. Chichester, Wiley-Blackwell,
- 377 2013: 150–66.
- 378 27. Wells J. English Intonation. An introduction. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press,
- 379 2006.
- 380 28. Schiffrin D. Discourse markers. Cambridge; New York, Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- 381 29. Heritage J. Turn-initial position and some of its occupants. J Pragmat 2013;57:331–7.
- 382 30. Boersma P, Weenink D. Praat, Doing phonetics by computer. Version 6.0.21, 2016.
- Accessed 21 October 2016, at <a href="https://www.praat.org">www.praat.org</a>)
- 31. Labov W, Waletzky J. Narrative analysis: Oral sessions of personal experience. In Helm J,
- editor. Essays on the verbal and visual arts. Seattle, University of Washington Press,
- 386 2003: 74–104.
- 387 32. R Development Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- Version 3.3.1 (2016-06-21). Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing,
- 389 2016. (Accessed 21 October 2016, at <a href="https://www.r-project.org/">https://www.r-project.org/</a>)
- 33. Declerck R. Tense in English: Its Structure and Use in Discourse. 2nd ed. Oxon; New
- 391 York, Routledge, 2015.

- 34. Elsness J. The perfect and the preterite in contemporary and earlier English. Berlin; New York, Walter de Gruyter, 1997.
- 394 35. Klein W. The Present Perfect Puzzle. Language 1992;68:525–52.
- 36. Stokoe E. The Conversation Analytic Role-play Method (CARM): A Method for Training Communication Skills as an Alternative to Simulated Role-play. Res Lang Soc Interact 2014;47:255–65.
- 37. Travers S, Jost D, Gillard Y, Lanoë V, Bignand M, Domanski L, et al. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest phone detection: Those who most need chest compressions are the most difficult to recognize. Resuscitation 2014;85:1720–1725.
- 38. Culley LL, Clark JJ, Eisenberg MS, Larsen MP. Dispatcher-assisted telephone CPR: Common delays and time standards for delivery. Ann Emerg Med 1991;20:362–6.
- 403 39. Valenzuela TD, Roe DJ, Cretin S, Spaite DW, Larsen MP. Estimating Effectiveness of Cardiac Arrest Interventions. A Logistic Regression Survival Model. Circulation 1997;96:3308–13. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.96.10.3308.
- 40. Fridman M, Barnes V, Whyman A, Currell A, Bernard S, Walker T, et al. A model of survival following pre-hospital cardiac arrest based on the Victorian Ambulance Cardiac Arrest Register. Resuscitation 2007;75:311–22. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2007.05.005.
- 409 41. Richard S, Rodríguez Louro C. Narrative-embedded variation and change: The sociolinguistics of the Australian English narrative present perfect. In: Werner V, Seoane E, Suárez-Gómez C, editors. Re-assessing the Present Perfect, Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton; 2016, p. 119–46.